1	BURSOR & FISHER, P.A. Neal J. Deckant (State Bar No. 322946)	
2	Luke Sironski-White (State Bar No. 348441)	
	1990 North California Blvd., 9th Floor	
3	Walnut Creek, CA 94596 Telephone: (925) 300-4455	
4	Facsimile: (925) 407-2700	
5	E-mail: ndeckant@bursor.com lsironski@bursor.com	
6	LANGUE CARRENTERRA LAR	
7	LYNCH CARPENTER, LLP Todd D. Carpenter (SBN 234464)	
	todd@lcllp.com	
8	Scott G. Braden (SBN 305051)	
9	scott@lcllp.com 1234 Camino del Mar	
10	Del Mar, CA 92014	
	Tel: 619-762-1910	
11	Fax: 858-313-1850	
12	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
13	and Proposed Class Counsel	
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
15	NORTHERN DISTRIC	CT OF CALIFORNIA
	NORTHERN DISTRIC	CT OF CALIFORNIA
16	M.A., A.S., N.A., and J.R., individually on behalf	CT OF CALIFORNIA Case No.
16 17	M.A., A.S., N.A., and J.R., individually on behalf of themselves and on behalf of all others similarly	Case No.
16 17	M.A., A.S., N.A., and J.R., individually on behalf	
16 17 18	M.A., A.S., N.A., and J.R., individually on behalf of themselves and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs,	Case No.
16 17 18 19	M.A., A.S., N.A., and J.R., individually on behalf of themselves and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, v. THANG BOTANICALS, INC., and FTLS	Case No. CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT
16 17 18 19 20	M.A., A.S., N.A., and J.R., individually on behalf of themselves and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, v. THANG BOTANICALS, INC., and FTLS HOLDINGS LLC, collectively doing business as	Case No. CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT
116 117 118 119 120 221	M.A., A.S., N.A., and J.R., individually on behalf of themselves and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, v. THANG BOTANICALS, INC., and FTLS HOLDINGS LLC, collectively doing business as 7ΩHMZ, and DOES 1-10, inclusive,	Case No. CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	M.A., A.S., N.A., and J.R., individually on behalf of themselves and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, v. THANG BOTANICALS, INC., and FTLS HOLDINGS LLC, collectively doing business as	Case No. CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT
116 117 118 119 120 221 222 223	M.A., A.S., N.A., and J.R., individually on behalf of themselves and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, v. THANG BOTANICALS, INC., and FTLS HOLDINGS LLC, collectively doing business as 7ΩHMZ, and DOES 1-10, inclusive,	Case No. CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT
116 117 118 119 120 221 222 223	M.A., A.S., N.A., and J.R., individually on behalf of themselves and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, v. THANG BOTANICALS, INC., and FTLS HOLDINGS LLC, collectively doing business as 7ΩHMZ, and DOES 1-10, inclusive,	Case No. CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 22 23 24	M.A., A.S., N.A., and J.R., individually on behalf of themselves and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, v. THANG BOTANICALS, INC., and FTLS HOLDINGS LLC, collectively doing business as 7ΩHMZ, and DOES 1-10, inclusive,	Case No. CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	M.A., A.S., N.A., and J.R., individually on behalf of themselves and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, v. THANG BOTANICALS, INC., and FTLS HOLDINGS LLC, collectively doing business as 7ΩHMZ, and DOES 1-10, inclusive,	Case No. CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	M.A., A.S., N.A., and J.R., individually on behalf of themselves and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, v. THANG BOTANICALS, INC., and FTLS HOLDINGS LLC, collectively doing business as 7ΩHMZ, and DOES 1-10, inclusive,	Case No. CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiffs M.A., A.S., N.A., and J.R. (collectively, "Plaintiffs") bring this action on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated against Defendants Thang Botanicals, Inc. (d/b/a 7ΩHMZ, 7-OHMZ, or 7OHMZ ("Thang")) and FTLS Holdings, LLC ("FTLS") (collectively, "Defendants" or "7-OHMZ") and Does 1 through 10, inclusive.

NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 1. This is a civil class action against Defendants for their false, misleading, deceptive, and negligent sales practices regarding their 7-Hydroxymitragynine ("7-OH") tablet products (collectively, the "7-OH Products" or the "7-OH Tablets"). 7-OH is an alkaloid (psychoactive chemical) found in the kratom plant (mitragyna speciosa). Kratom is both a plant and a drug. The plant originates from Southeast Asia where its leaves have long been ingested to produce stimulant and opiate-like effects. Use of kratom in the United States was practically non-existent until the last decade. Since then, Kratom has become a massively popular substance in the United States. This is because it is currently legal to consume, and because of the stimulant and opiate-like effects produced by its two major alkaloids: 7-OH and Mitragynine.
- 2. However, what consumers do not know is that the opiate-like effects produced by Mitragynine and 7-OH are not the result of novel chemical interactions in the brain. Rather, these alkaloids are behaving, in part, exactly like opioids. That is, the Mitragynine and 7-OH found in the kratom plant activate the same opioid receptors in the human brain as morphine, heroin, and other opiates. Consequently, kratom consumption has the same risks of addiction, dependency, and painful withdrawal symptoms, among various other negative side effects.
- 3. But it gets worse. While both active alkaloids in kratom interact with the opioid receptors, 7-OH is substantially more potent than mitragynine. Indeed, some studies have shown that 7-OH is ten times more potent than morphine in activating the mu-opioid receptor, which is the receptor associated most strongly with opioid addiction.
- 4. In raw kratom though, 7-OH does not appear in great quantities, making up less than 0.05% of kratom powder by weight. For raw kratom powder consumers this means that normal doses of kratom powder do not contain enough 7-OH to produce the intense narcotic effects (and concomitantly strong withdrawals) characteristic of traditional opioids. This is not to say that kratom can be consumed with

abandon—kratom is highly addictive and will induce opioid withdrawal symptoms if taken too frequently—rather, this is to say that in comparison to raw kratom addiction, the withdrawal symptoms from pure 7-OH consumption would be substantially worse.

- 5. Defendants do not sell raw kratom. Defendants' Tablets are <u>pure 7-Hydroxymitragynine</u>. 14mg of it to be precise. This makes Defendants' 7-OH Tablets substantially more addictive than even kratom, and the withdrawal symptoms significantly worse.
- 6. Almost as soon as Defendants' Tablets hit the market the horror stories began to surface. Consumers stating that they were blindsided by these Tablets, thinking they were just a different form of kratom, and suffering through the worst withdrawal symptoms they had ever experienced worse than heroin by some accounts.
- 7. The general public is largely unaware of kratom and its addictive potential. So, when it comes to "7-Hydroxymitragynine," a derivative of kratom with a tortuously complex name, the public is even less aware of it and its negative effects.
- 8. When reasonable consumers think of opioids, they think of heroin, fentanyl, hydrocodone, oxycodone, or morphine; they do not think of 7-OH Tablets or expect the "kratom alkaloid" product sold at their local gas stations or corner stores to act like an opioid or have the same addiction and dependency risks as opioids. 7-OH is extremely addictive and, as a result, tens of thousands of unsuspecting consumers have developed 7-OH dependencies that cause them serious physical, psychological, and financial harm.
- 9. Defendants have intentionally failed to disclose these material facts regarding the dangers of 7-OH consumption anywhere on its 7-OH Tablets' labeling, packaging, or marketing material. As a result, Defendants have violated warranty law and state consumer protection laws.
- 10. Defendants rely on their Products' vague packaging and consumers' limited knowledge of 7-Hydroxymytragynine to get unsuspecting people addicted to their Products and reap substantial profits from these addictions. Defendants rely on this ignorance and does nothing to correct it. Such activity is outrageous and is contrary to California law and public policy.
- 11. Plaintiffs seek relief in their action individually, and as a class action, on behalf of similarly situated purchasers of Defendants' Products, for the following violations of: (i) California's Unfair Competition Law, Business and Professions Code § 17200, et seq. (the "UCL"); (ii) California's

Consumer Legal Remedies Act, Civil Code § 1750, et seq. (the "CLRA"); (iii) California's False Advertising Law, Business and Professions Code § 17500, et seq. (the "FAL"); (iv) Oregon's Unlawful Trade Practices Act, OR. REV. STAT. § 646.605, et seq. ("UTPA"); (v) Nevada's Deceptive Trade Practices Act, NEV. REV. STAT. § 598, et seq. and NEV. REV. STAT. § 41.600(2)(e); (vi) breach of implied warranty; (vii) unjust enrichment; and (viii) fraud by omission.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 12. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to the Class Action Fairness Act, 28 U.S.C. Section 1332(d)(2), because this case is a class action where the aggregate claims of all members of the proposed Classes (defined below), exclusive of interest and costs, exceed the sum or value of \$5,000,000 and Plaintiffs, and at least some members of the proposed Classes, have a different state citizenship from Defendants.
- 13. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants because Defendants are entities with constitutionally sufficient contacts with this District to make personal jurisdiction in this Court proper. Moreover, 7OHMZ principal place of business is in this District.
- 14. Venue is proper in this District because Defendant 7OHMZ is headquartered in this District. And at least one of the Plaintiffs was harmed by Defendants' actions in this District.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

A. Background and Pharmacology of Kratom and 7-Hydroxymitragynine

- 15. "Kratom" refers to the substance derived from the leaves of a tropical plant, *mitragyna speciosa* (the "kratom plant"), indigenous to Southeast Asia, where it has been used in herbal medicine since the 19th Century. Kratom's first reported use in scientific literature was in 1836, when it was noted that Malays used kratom tree leaves as a substitute for opium. Historic use of the kratom plant was particularly well-documented in Thailand, Indonesia, and Malaysia, where kratom remains popular to this day.
- 16. Kratom is the most widely used drug in Thailand. This popularity does not mean Thailand believes kratom is harmless. To the contrary, Thailand understands that kratom is dangerous, as

demonstrated by its ban of the substance in 1943.¹ Kratom was also historically popular in Malaysia until it was banned in 1952 under the Poisons Act.

- 17. Kratom's unknown and inconsistent effects have historically been part of its appeal. For instance, the earliest accounts of kratom characterize kratom use for both a stimulant effect during hard day-labor by chewing fresh kratom leaves, and also for an analgesic or relaxing effect by brewing kratom into a tea.
- 18. In the Western world, kratom is sold online and at herbal stores, gas stations, corner stores, smoke shops, and "head" shops where it is primarily marketed as an herbal medicine or natural supplement to use to "treat" a variety of ailments (e.g., pain, mental health, opioid withdrawal symptoms), and/or to obtain a "legal" or "natural" high.
- 19. To create consumable kratom products, kratom plant leaves are harvested, dried, and crushed into a fine powder that is then packaged and sold in pouches, capsules, or liquid formulations.²
- 20. The chemicals in the kratom plant which produce a psychoactive effect when ingested are called "alkaloids." "Alkaloids" are a class of various naturally occurring organic chemical compounds. The primary alkaloids in kratom leaves responsible for the kratom's effects are mitragynine ("MG") and 7-Hydroxymitragynine ("7-OH").
- 21. MG and 7-OH produce a wide spectrum of effects because they interact with many different receptors in the brain. Studies show that MG and 7-OH interact with alpha-2 adrenergic receptors (adrenaline), D2 dopamine receptors, and the serotonin receptors (5-HT2A and 5-HT2C), all of which contribute to kratom's mood-lifting and stimulant-like effects.
- 22. Defendants' Products are unique as compared to most other kratom products on the market and represent a "next gen" iteration of the substance in terms of addictiveness and harm-potential.
- 23. In other words, in raw kratom, 7-OH typically only occurs in doses which are no greater than 2% of total alkaloid content (or 0.05% by weight). 7-OH is different than MG because, although

¹ In 1943, Thailand banned the possession, use, and propagation of kratom, and later banned all kratom sales, imports, exports, and consumption all together. However, in 2021, Thailand decriminalized possession of kratom in response to a growing pressure on its justice system to fix the country's overcrowded prisons through liberalization of its drug laws.

² When kratom leaves are extracted into a liquid formulation, this is colloquially called a kratom "extract shot."

both interact with the mu-opioid receptor,³ MG has a dramatically lower affinity for the mu-opioid receptor, whereas 7-OH has an affinity for the mu-opioid receptor which is comparable to—or greater than—opioids like Percocet, morphine, and oxycontin. Studies have shown that when 7-OH is administered in concentrated, isolated, doses it presents a significantly greater risk of inducing physical and mental addiction in consumers than raw kratom. Yet, consumers are largely ignorant of this fact.

- 24. For instance, while both 7-OH and MG target the opioid-receptors, 7-OH's effect on the opioid receptors is approximately forty-six times that of MG, and thirteen times that of morphine.⁴ Both MG and 7-OH were found to be more potent to the mu-opioid receptor than morphine when taken via oral administration.
- 25. Accordingly, kratom products are referred to as a "quasi-opiate" by health professionals because of their opioid-like characteristics. This is doubly true of 7-OH, which interacts solely and more intensely with the mu-opioid receptor.
- 26. Opioids are addictive not only because of the pleasurable effects that they produce, but also because sudden cessation of opioid use causes severe withdrawal symptoms which users feel compelled to avoid by taking more of the drug. The tragedy of addiction is that users want to stop but cannot.
- 27. All substances that act on the opioid receptors have a high risk of addiction, and 7-OH is no exception. Addiction occurs when an opioid is ingested on a regular basis and, over time, the user develops a tolerance to the drug that requires the user to consume an increased dose of the drug to achieve the same effects a lower dose previously had. As these doses increase, the body becomes dependent on the drug to feel normal and function properly. When the drug is suddenly taken away or the user tries to stop taking the drug, withdrawal occurs. Withdrawal symptoms cause the user to feel much worse than they did before they started taking the drug and can be extremely painful and intolerable to the user.
- 28. Indeed, 7-OH withdrawal symptoms are very similar to those of traditional opioid withdrawal. These symptoms include irritability, anxiety, difficulty concentrating, depression, sleep

³ The mu-opioid receptor produces the most addictive or habit-forming effects, such as euphoria and analgesia. For this reason, the mu-opioid receptor is known as "the gateway to addiction" because it is the receptor which all opioids interact with to produce the classic opioid high feelings of euphoria, sedation, and pain relief.

⁴ Kratom—Pharmacology, Clinical Implications, & Outlook: A Comprehensive Review, National Library of Medicine, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7203303.

disturbance including restless legs, tearing up, runny nose, muscle and bone pain, muscle spasms, diarrhea, decreased appetite, chills, inability to control temperature, extreme dysphoria, and malaise.

29. Users typically start substances like 7-OH because of how good it makes them feel, but once addicted, they use 7-OH to avoid the pain and sickness of withdrawal. Use is no longer is about getting high, but about not feeling "sick."

B. Kratom and 7-OH Use and Addiction in the United States

- 30. Over the past decade, kratom has exploded in popularity within the United States. As of 2021, the American Kratom Association estimates that kratom is a \$1.3 billion a year industry, with 11 million to 15 million annual users within the United States. Studies show that 1 million United States residents use kratom monthly, and that two-thirds of those users use kratom daily.
- 31. Kratom's popularity is attributed to several factors: first, kratom is marketed as a safe substitute for painkillers and so it appeals to consumers who falsely equate "natural" with "safe;" second, kratom has received media attention as a "nootropic" or "smart" drug because it is stimulating at low doses; third, kratom is widely available and unregulated within the United States; fourth, it produces a "pleasurable" high; and lastly, users are unaware of kratom's opioid-like characteristics, addiction, and withdrawal potential.
- 32. 7-OH is new to the market. Having only arrived in 2022 or even more recently. Defendants' Products, for instance, came to market in 2023.
- 33. Kratom is still a relatively unknown substance to the average consumer, and most people have never heard of it. This is doubly true of 7-OH. Even if consumers have heard of kratom they may not have heard of 7-OH.
- 34. 7-OH sellers advertise it is a substitute for coffee, a pain reliever, a treatment for opioid withdrawal, an antidepressant, an anti-anxiety supplement, and that it improves focus and gives users a boost of energy to get through the day. Some even assure consumers that 7-OH is a <u>non-addictive</u> way to deal with opioid withdrawal. These 7-OH companies universally reiterate these purported "benefits" of 7-OH consumption, without disclosing any of the corresponding harms of 7-OH use.
- 35. As a result of 7-OH manufacturers', retailers', and advertisers' failure to warn consumers of 7-OH's addictive potential, many 7-OH users find themselves blindsided when they stop taking 7-OH

and find themselves facing severe withdrawal symptoms after having stopped using what they thought was a harmless supplement. Further, because 7-OH is relatively unknown in the United States, there are not well-established recovery resources for addicted users to turn to for resources and aid. Some 7-OH users turn to the Internet for support, and there are well-populated and very active Internet forum support groups for consumers struggling with, and recovering from, kratom addictions.

- 36. The reports from addicted 7-OH users are heart-wrenching. Consistent among these reports is a feeling of initial shock when users realized they had become unknowingly addicted to 7-OH, how difficult it was to stop their 7-OH use. Below are several accounts from the "Quitting Kratom" forum on www.reddit.com, which has over 45,000 members as of September 2024:⁵
 - i. In one post titled **Beware of 7-Hydroxymitragynine**, a user wrote: I ended up getting these extract pills that were 14mg of 7 Hydroxymitragynine per pill. Used them for about a week for some bad pain, and then got thrown into aggressive withdrawal. I've been on a now 3 month taper journey off of just a week of using them. It's been hell. Trying to get there slowly tapering. Just wanted to put the PSA out there, something like 70hmz might be tempting, and it is incredible for pain, but it threw me into awful withdrawal that's now forced me to have to taper off of it and take a dose every 12 hours. This was after 2 and a half years of regular Kratom use that never gave me withdrawal. This week of using 70hmz though mixed with my 2 and a half years of Kratom experience created a withdrawal shitstorm that was never expected. Beware.
 - a. **Another user responded**: I don't know how you're cold turkey from the 70hmz, I tried and it was worse than when I had to kick morphine. I've had to do a long and slow taper with 6grams of Kratom powder every 12 hours currently. The 7ohmz withdrawal is very scary, worse than any opiate I've been on.
 - b. **Another User Responded**: I started taking those because the guy suggested them now it's every day for 3 months. I can't stop and get bad withdrawals at 24 hr mark. I'm taking about 6 a day now and I'm spending over 1K per month now it's going to ruin me if I don't stop. I can't believe this is legal, idk what to do
 - ii. In separate post titled **70hmz**, another user wrote: Guys I desperately need help. I have been taking 7 Ohmz for maybe 6 months. Like more than three a day. The more research I do on them the more I realize they're not even Kratom and no one scientifically really knows anything about them. I am going across the country tomorrow and am going to be CT for 8 days. I actually really want to stop and I've been trying to for a really long time, but I am terrified of the withdrawals. I don't know what to do. I actually upped my dose this week and have probably been taking more like five a day. I am so embarrassed to say that, I am now realizing that everyone is cautioning even the fucking American Kratom Society about these things. I'm really worried about my mental health

⁵ See https://www.reddit.com/r/quittingkratom.

3

4

6

5

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2223

24

2526

27

- not to mention I am going to be with my wife/kids and parents for 8 days. I don't know what I was thinking. Any recommendations?
- iii. In another post titled Could not and would not believe you all...another user wrote: Long time lurker, first time poster. I went CT three days ago... after using for 1 1/2 years. The last 3 months I've been exclusively abusing the 7-Ohmz tablets, a full pack of three a day. I told myself you were all exaggerating. I told myself you were all weak. Now I'm completely humbled and fully ashamed. The last three days I've experienced a fatigue and fever like I've never experienced before. Trying to fall asleep and stay asleep, is absolutely impossible. During the night I sweat through my sheets...during the day I feel like a walking corpse. And I caved. I took half a tablet today just to stop the WD symptoms I was feeling. Now my brain just wants to rationalize what I've done. But I know, deep down this was the wrong move...I'm truly ashamed. I share this post for the people like me, who are lurking or glancing at this subreddit from time to time and telling themselves "naw I'll be fine...I can handle the WD whenever I decide or if I ever stop." I share this post for future me to look at to read and see the seriousness of my addiction and situation. I know that next time I quit I need to be more prepared. If you abuse it the way I do...Kratom is robbing you of life, and you trade it willingly for a 2 hour high. There's so much more to life than getting high. Thank you to this community, I hope you all know how much reading your stories and struggles does for someone like me. Thank you 🙏
- 37. Other experiences with kratom (not necessarily 7-OH products) described on the subreddit are similarly horrifying:
 - i. One user wrote: I started using kratom in pill and powder form a couple years ago. I had no idea it was addictive, and I liked how it made me feel.... so much that I went from using it a couple weekends a month to wanting to use it every weekend to wanting to use it every day. I upped my dose a whole bunch, and soon I started to realize that, when I didn't take it, I would start to get what seemed like withdrawals! WTF? I googled it and did some more research and learned that I was indeed going through withdrawals. I immediately decided to suck it up and get off that stuff and spend a week withdrawing. Unfortunately, it wasn't that simple. I was addicted. That poison was in my mind constantly. I started using again and, LONG story short and many MANY other withdrawal attempts later, I had lost my JOB, my boyfriend, and my personality. It landed me in the hospital many times actually. I was losing hair, my eyes looked horrible, my skin was horribly dry, and I was miserable. I decided to go to REHAB. Effing rehab for this sh!t.
 - ii. Another user shared: I just tapered down from 80gpd to 20, and the experience was so awful that I just decided to jump yesterday, figuring "Let's just get this over with already!" Well, I gotta tell you, last night may have been one of the roughest nights of my life. It felt like a bad acid trip. I got zero sleep. The RLS was so bad I kept getting out of bed, bundling up, which was exhausting in itself, and going for a loop around the property outside; while hoping to be able to crawl back in bed and actually sleep. Nope. It felt like I was being electrocuted!!! This is even with clonidine and gabapentin. But, I'm determined to NEVER go through that first night again! (And of course I was lamenting my rash decision to jump, and DYING to take some K). But, there's no turning back now. I'm hoping I'll get some sleep tonight since I had none last night. Wish me luck please:).

- iii. Another user shared: I was the worst kratom addict I knew and now I'm coming up on 5 months sober. Let me first qualify VERY quickly... Multiple extract shots a day, crying on the way to the store, cut up all my credit cards multiple times (until I got Apple Pay), sent my credit cards to myself in the mail, got on oral naltrexone, got on vivitrol (the injectable shot), gave my wife my wallet, lied every day, ... Today I'm sober off of everything and almost 5 months clean. I don't crave alcohol or drugs anymore. Cravings were my biggest problem. I don't think about kratom all day any longer. I had to walk my sad @\$\$ all the way to a 12 step program in order to get help. I have to talk to other struggling people. I had to start working a program of recovery which i still work...My habit was \$50 a day, and with a newborn and mortgage etc I'm still trying to climb out of that hole. But man, to go from complete self-hate to self-love makes everything worth it. I hated myself, not anymore.
- 38. This Internet forum is filled with other accounts like these, and the stories are consistently the same well-meaning people were looking to feel better by taking with what they thought was an "herbal supplement," only to develop an opioid-like addiction. This anecdotal evidence makes clear that 7-OH's addictive potential is a material fact to reasonable consumers that, if known, would help inform their purchase and consumption decisions. However, Defendants' Products have no information whatsoever warning that 7-OH is similar to an opioid, is habit-forming, or that regular use will result in opioid-like dependency and withdrawal symptoms.
- 39. Consumers who knew the truth about 7-OH may not have purchased Defendants' Products or would have paid less than they did for them.

C. Defendants Knew or Should Have Known they were Selling a Highly Addictive Drug to Unsuspecting Consumers

- 40. Defendants manufacture their 7-OH Tablets in a highly specialized lab and utilize highly technical knowledge about kratom and its alkaloids to synthesize their Products. Thus, Defendants are acutely aware of the addiction risks posed by their Products.⁶
- 41. Despite this knowledge, Defendants failed to disclose 7-OH's addictive potential to their customers on their Products' packaging.
- 42. Defendants have no excuse for their lack of a detailed disclaimer warning on their 7-OH Tablet's packaging of 7-OH's harms. The pharmacological effects of 7-OH have been thoroughly studied,

⁶ Indeed, in an appearance on The Kratom Advocacy Podcast on September 9, 2024, Gregory Dalli (CFO for both Defendants 7OHMZ and FTLS Holdings) stated that "7-Hydroxymitragynine in specific is a really perfect key for the MU opioid receptor, and the reason why that is such an important thing is you don't need to take as much of it to get an effect versus if you look at morphine." *See* https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8lBSL_HTW4I at 3 minutes and 10 seconds.

and it is well-established that 7-OH acts on the same mu-opioid receptors in the brain as traditional opioids do. Further, there are widespread reports and studies of other addiction and dependency issues.⁷

- 43. Defendants therefore know or should have known that kratom users can develop an addiction. Yet, Defendants fail to disclose this material fact on their advertisements or on their Products' packaging.
- 44. The very fact that Defendants possesses the capability to manufacture their 7-OH Tablets shows that it understands the pharmacokinetic nature of 7-OH and the substantial risk of addiction that it poses to consumers. Despite this, Defendants market their 7-OH Products as if they are nothing more than over-the-counter supplements. Indeed, the packaging looks more like allergy medication than a dangerously strong opioid, and Defendants' glossy website and design language obfuscates the very real truth that it is selling a strong narcotic to consumers who likely do not fully comprehend the risks associated with consuming the Products.
- 45. For instance, in the image pictured below, taken from Defendants' website, Defendants gives customers a wink and a nod that 7-OH is "known for its unique effects" which "interacts with receptors in an interesting way." While some customers who have experience with Defendants' Products may have a sense for what this means, regular consumers do not. Like Plaintiffs' experiences described below, consumers may walk into the local headshop and see Defendants' Product and be enticed into purchasing it because, they think, it looks inviting and if it was dangerous there would be a warning on the package.

WELCOME TO 7-OHMZ

ADVANCED KRATOM ALKALOIDS

Introducing our new 7-Hydroxymitragynine tablets!

Discover the natural wonder of 7-OHM, an active metabolite derived from the Kratom leaf. This remarkable terpenoid indole alkaloid, known for its unique effects, can provide you with a potentially enjoyable experience.

⁷ In that same podcast interview, Gregory Dalli is informed by the interviewer that consumers have stated the Product "has ruined [their] li[v]e[s]." The interviewer then informs Gregory that he has tried Defendants' 7-OH Product and can see how one can get addicted to it. *Id.* at 28 minutes and 55 seconds.

46. It gets worse. Just like a street-level drug dealer might do, Defendants have authorized stores, and in some cases, instructed them, to give the tablets out as free samples. The sample pictured below was found by Plaintiffs' counsel in a $7\Omega HMZ$ -branded "free sample" box. This sample bears zero warning that the Product interacts with the *opioid* receptors, that it is substantially more addictive than kratom, or that continued use may result in severe withdrawal symptoms. The very act of giving out "free samples" is a signal to consumers that the Product is safe and harmless. Without the warning, consumers have no reason not to try the sample. They may even enjoy the effects and continue using the Product because they do not believe a Product with such substantial addictive potential would not bear some kind of warning. This is outrageous, a moral and ethical failure, and in contravention of public policy.



- 47. Reasonable consumers looking at the Products' packaging and online store description would not presume that 7-OH is highly addictive.
- 48. Nowhere on the packaging does Defendants mention that 7-OH presents the same addiction problems that former opioid users and any other consumers would want to avoid. Consumers seeking help as they come off opioids may be drawn in by Defendants' misleading statements about 7-OH without knowing that they risk trading one addiction for another.
- 49. As a 7-OH product seller, manufacturer and/or distributor, Defendants occupies a position of superior knowledge to the average reasonable consumer, who likely knows nothing about 7-OH.



51. On Defendants' website homepage, they market their 7-OH Tablets with the following:

Introducing our new 7-Hydroxymitragynine tablets! Discover the natural wonder of 7-OHM, an active metabolite derived from the Kratom leaf. This remarkable terpenoid indole alkaloid, known for its unique effects, can provide you with a potentially enjoyable experience. Derived from the Kratom plant and often referred to as '7-OHM,' this unique compound interacts with receptors in an interesting way.

52. Additionally, Defendants' website's homepage has a section called "What is 7-OHM?" that contains the following description:

7-Hydroxymitragynine is a terpenoid indole alkaloid from the plant Mitragyna speciosa, commonly known as Kratom. It is often referred to as '7-OHM. It was first described in 1994 and is a natural product derived from the mitragynine present in the Kratom leaf. It is considered an oxidized derivative and active metabolite of mitragynine. 7-OHM binds to opioid receptors like mitragynine, but research suggests that 7-OHM binds with greater potency and contributes heavily to the analgesic activity of mitragynine as a metabolite.

- 53. In this description, Defendants admit that their 7-OH Products contain the more potent and more harmful kratom alkaloid (7-OH), instead of the less potent form (MG), but Defendants fail to disclose on the Products' packaging the heightened harm that corresponds with the increased potency of their 7-OH Products.
- 54. Despite these "informative" introductions to their Products, nowhere in any of these disclaimers or descriptions do Defendants provide any warning to consumers on the Products' packaging that their Products interact with opioid receptors or are highly addictive and should not be taken on a daily basis, or note any of the numerous negative side effects and withdrawal symptoms caused by their Products.
- 55. Indeed, the entire contents of the warning on the Products' packaging is a bog-standard disclaimer—written in miniscule text—that consumers should consult a healthcare professional before use and that Defendants are not liable for "misuse of this Product." The warning then directs consumers to a legal disclaimer page on Defendants' website.
- 56. A boilerplate disclaimer and suggestion that consumers check out a website is plainly insufficient. This is a Product that poses a serious risk of intense addiction and withdrawal in line with traditional "hard" opioids.
- 57. Addiction is a disease. As such Defendants' 7-OH Tablets pose an unreasonable health hazard, and Defendants had and have a duty to disclose this fact *on their Products' packaging*.
- 58. What's more, nothing about the Products' packaging would lead reasonable consumers to believe they were purchasing compounds similar to opioids, that function on the same mu-opioid receptors in the brain. It looks as innocuous as a vitamin supplement.
- 59. Defendants, through their misleading advertising and their failure to disclose 7-OH's addictive properties on their Products' labels, relied upon the average consumer's incomplete knowledge of 7-OH to better sell their Products and get users addicted to them.
- 60. Defendants fail to disclose 7-OH's addictive potential because Defendants know that it is a material fact to reasonable consumers which would influence their purchasing and consumption decisions, likely to Defendants' financial detriment.

- 61. By any metric, Defendants' conduct is immoral, unethical, and contrary to California public policy.
- 62. The United States is going through an opiate crisis that is shaking the foundations of our society. Amid this crisis, Defendants are creating more addicts for no reason other than to line their pockets, without adequate disclosure of their Products' risks through the use of false and misleading packaging and marketing. That cannot—and should not—stand, at least when Defendants' conduct entails breaches of warranty and violation of state consumer protection statutes as it does here.

PARTIES

- 63. Plaintiff M.A. ("M.A.") resides in and is a citizen of Highland Park, California. M.A. first learned about 7-OH/7OHMZ in January 2023 when he saw it at a local smoke shop. He observed that the packaging did not mention any risks of potential harm. Plaintiff M.A. went on to regularly purchase 7OHMZ from this smoke shop in Highland Park, California. M.A.'s use of 7OHMZ was at its worst in June-July 2024 when he was using a \$30 pack of 3 tablets daily. Each tablet is two servings (6 total). M.A. would ingest the entire pack at once, all three tablets. Despite his best efforts, he has not been able to kick the habit. When he has tried, the withdrawal symptoms he experienced included, but were not limited to, anxiety, depression, sleep issues, and extreme lethargy. He has recently succeeded in lowering his dosage to about one tablet per day. Had he known that Defendants' Product was highly addictive, by way of a warning on the Product's packaging, he would have never purchased it.
- 64. Plaintiff A.S. resides in and is a citizen of Gresham, Oregon. A.S. first learned about kratom when he worked as a waiter and a coworker told him it could help with anxiety and make it easier to talk to people, but did not mention any risk. A.S. first tried 70HMZ in June 2024 when he was on his honeymoon and a smoke shop sales associate said it was a stronger option than the rest. He purchased it without seeing any risk on the packaging. A.S. purchased 70HMZ frequently after that at House of Pipes, a local smoke shop chain, in Portland, Oregon. A.S. knew he was addicted after just the first few weeks of use. A.S. took 70HMZ daily for three months; his addiction was at its worst in August 2024 when he was spending roughly \$700 each week and buying daily three of the 3-pack tablets per day (nine total). Withdrawal symptoms A.S. experienced when he did try to quit (multiple times) included restlessness, cramps, vomiting, sweating, irritability, and loss of appetite. He was finally able to kick the habit by going

567

9 10

11

8

1213

15

14

16 17

18 19

2021

2223

2425

2627

2728

to a clinic and getting on Suboxone, which he still takes but plans to stop before the end of the year. Had he known that Defendants' Product was highly addictive, by way of a warning on the Product's packaging, he would have never purchased it.

- 65. Plaintiff N.A. is a resident of Santa Monica, California. He first purchased Defendants' 7-OH Products in or around October 2023. At the time of this purchase, N.A. had been clean from opiates for 3.5 years, and was working at an addiction treatment center helping others overcome their disease. When he first purchased the Product he was told that Defendants' 7-OH Products were a non-addictive, organic, functional supplement for those seeking to alleviate pain, get sober, or maintain sobriety. The first time N.A. tried Defendants' 7-OH Products he was amazed that such a Product could produce such effects without being addictive. From here, things slid downhill at a terrifying rate. N.A. thought he had found a miracle pill. He began taking more and more of Defendants' 7-OH Tablets and soon found himself in the grips of addiction without realizing it. N.A. did not realize he had fallen into addiction because there were no adequate warnings on Defendants' 7-OH Product's packaging. He had no reason to know it was Defendants' 7-OH Product that was making him sick and anxious, that was causing him to vomit and experience dramatic swings in mood and body temperature, that had him doubled over in pain in the middle of the night, covered in sweat. In a truly horrifying moment, his partner took one of Defendants' 7-OH pills, overdosed, and had to be rushed to the hospital. N.A. could not believe that something without a warning could wreak the same havoc on his life that pharmaceutical opiates like Percocet did when he was an addict. N.A. has had enough though, and he intends to check himself into a rehab facility and regain control of his life. Had he known that Defendants' 7-OH Products were highly addictive, by way of a warning on the Products' packaging, he would have never purchased it.
- 66. Plaintiff J.R. is a resident of Nevada. He first purchased Defendants' 7-OH Tablets in or around May 1, 2024. In 2017 J.R. was accidentally shot and had to have his leg amputated. The amputation caused a great deal of pain and J.R. was given prescription narcotics to combat it. J.R., like so many millions in this country, fell into addiction as a result of his prescription. He was eventually able to get clean and escape from opioid addiction. He did not, however, escape the pain his amputation continued to cause. J.R. had been using Delta-9 gummies to relieve pain, but he was still suffering. One day, while in the store to purchase more Delta-9 gummies, J.R. saw Defendants' 7-OH Tablets on the

shelf and inquired about them. He was told that they would help with his leg pain. J.R. stated he did not want to take anything addictive, but he was assured that Defendants' 7-OH Tablets were not addictive. The first time J.R. tried Defendants' 7-OH Tablets he was amazed that such a Product could produce such effects without being addictive, so he came back for more. J.R. consumed 4 to 5 7ΩHMZ tablets a day because he had no reason to believe it was habit forming. This continued until June 2024 when J.R. ran out of money and could not afford any more of Defendants' 7-OH Tablets – he had been spending nearly his entire paycheck each week on the Products. J.R. experienced severe withdrawals that were substantially similar to the withdrawals he experienced coming off of his prescription opiates. This was the moment J.R. realized he had again fallen into addiction. J.R. could not believe that something without a warning could wreak the same havoc on his life that those pills did when he was an addict. J.R. is desperate to quit using Defendants' 7-OH Tablets but he has been unable to kick his addiction so far. Had he known that Defendants' 7-OH Tablets were highly addictive, by way of a warning on the Products' packaging, he would have never purchased them.

- 67. Defendant Thang Botanicals, Inc., d/b/a 7ΩHMZ, is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in San Francisco, California. Defendant Thang owns and operates the 7-OHMZ website, www.7ohmz.com, and also advertises, markets, distributes, and sells its 7-OHMZ Products in California, Oregon, Nevada, and throughout the United States.
- 68. Defendant FTLS Holdings, LLC is a Delaware entity with its principal place of business in San Francisco, California. Defendant FTLS Holdings, LLC owns the trademark for the "7 Ω HMZ" brand. Defendant FTLS Holdings, LLC is owned and operated by Gregory Dalli, who is also the CFO of Defendant Thang Botanicals/7 Ω HMZ. FTLS and Thang/7 Ω HMZ operate in furtherance of a single enterprise, and are so financially intertwined as to be considered one and the same entity for purposes of this lawsuit.
- 69. Plaintiffs do not know the true names or capacities of the persons or entities sued herein as Does 1-10, inclusive, and therefore sue such Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and upon such information and belief allege, that each of the Doe Defendants are, in some manner, legally responsible for the damages suffered by Plaintiffs and members of the proposed Classes as alleged herein. Plaintiffs reserve the right to amend this Complaint to set forth the true names and

4

5

3

6 7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

capacities of these Defendants when they are ascertained, along with appropriate charging allegations, as may be necessary.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

Plaintiffs bring this action pursuant to Rules 23(a), (b)(2) and (b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure on behalf of themselves and all other Class members, defined as follows:

> All persons nationwide who, within the applicable statute of limitations period, purchased 7-OHMZ kratom products (the "Nationwide Class").

> All persons who, within the applicable statute of limitations period, purchased 7-OHMZ kratom products while in California (the "California Class").

> All persons who, within the applicable statute of limitations period, purchased 7-OHMZ kratom products while in Oregon (the "Oregon Class").

> All persons who, within the applicable statute of limitations period, purchased 7-OHMZ kratom products while in Nevada (the "Nevada Class").

- 70. Excluded from the Classes are Defendants, as well as their officers, employees, agents or affiliates, parent companies and/or subsidiaries, and each of their respective officers, employees, agents or affiliates, and any judge who presides over this action. Plaintiffs reserve the right to expand, limit, modify, or amend these Class definitions, including the addition of one or more subclasses, in connection with their motion for Class certification, or at any other time, based upon, inter alia, changing circumstances and/or new facts obtained during discovery.
- 71. *Numerosity*: The members of the Classes are so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable. Plaintiffs are informed and believe that the proposed Classes contains at least thousands of consumers throughout California, Oregon, and the United States who have been damaged by Defendants conduct as alleged herein. The precise number of members of the Classes is unknown to Plaintiffs at this time.
- 72. Existence and Predominance of Common Questions of Law and Fact: This action involves common questions of law and fact, which predominate over any questions affecting individual members of the Classes. These common legal and factual questions include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - a. whether the labels on Defendants' Products have the capacity to mislead reasonable consumers;

- b. whether Defendants knew that 7-OH is a highly addictive substance that causes physical and psychological dependence and opioid-like withdrawal symptoms;
- whether Defendants' conduct alleged herein violated the FAL, CLRA, UCL, and/or UTPA;
- d. whether Defendants' conduct alleged herein constitutes unjust enrichment;
- e. whether Defendants' conduct constitutes a fraudulent omission;
- f. whether Plaintiffs and the Classes are entitled to damages and/or restitution; and
- g. whether an injunction is necessary to prevent Defendants from continuing to sell their 70HMZ Products without warning labels of their addictiveness.
- 73. *Typicality*: Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the claims of the Classes in that Plaintiffs and the Class members sustained damages as a result of Defendants' uniform wrongful conduct, based upon Defendants' failure to inform Plaintiffs and all others similarly situated that their Products are highly addictive and akin to opioids.
- 74. *Adequacy*: Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the members of the Classes. Plaintiffs have retained counsel experienced in complex consumer class action litigation, and Plaintiffs intend to prosecute this action vigorously. Plaintiffs have no antagonistic or adverse interests to those of the Classes.
- 75. **Superiority**: A class action is superior to all other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy for, *inter alia*, the following reasons: prosecutions of individual actions are economically impractical for members of the Classes; the Classes are readily definable; prosecution as a class action avoids repetitious litigation and duplicative litigation costs, conserves judicial resources, and ensures uniformity of decisions; and prosecution as a class action permits claims to be handled in an orderly and expeditious manner.
- 76. Defendants have acted or failed to act on grounds generally applicable to the Classes, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief with respect to the Classes as a whole.
- 77. Without a class action, Defendants will continue a course of action that will result in further damages to Plaintiffs, members of the Classes, and the general public—who are also negatively impacted by the dregs of addiction—and will likely retain the benefits of Defendants wrongdoing.
 - 78. Based on the forgoing allegations, Plaintiffs' claims for relief include those set forth below.

79. Plaintiffs are informed that Defendants keeps extensive computerized records through their online sales data, as well as through, *inter alia*, general marketing programs. Defendants have one or more databases through which a significant majority of members of the Classes may be identified and ascertained, and Defendants maintains contact information, including email and home addresses, through which notice of this action could be disseminated in accordance with due process requirements.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Violation of California's Unfair Competition Law ("UCL") Business and Professions Code, §§ 17200, et seq.

- 80. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference every allegation set forth in the preceding paragraphs.
- 81. Plaintiffs M.A. and N.A. bring this cause of action individually and on behalf of the members of the proposed California Class against Defendants.
- 82. The UCL prohibits unfair competition in the form of "any unlawful, unfair, or fraudulent, business act or practice and unfair, deceptive, untrue or misleading advertising and any act[.]" A practice is unfair if it (1) offends public policy; (2) is immoral, unethical, oppressive, or unscrupulous; or (3) causes substantial injury to consumers. The UCL allows "a person who has suffered injury in fact and has lost money or property" to prosecute a civil action for violation of the UCL. Such a person may bring such an action on behalf of himself or herself and other similarly situated who are affected by unlawful and/or unfair business practices or acts.
- 83. As alleged below, Defendants have committed unlawful, fraudulent, and/or unfair business practices under the UCL by (a) representing that their 7-OH Products have certain characteristics that they do not, in violation of California Civil Code Section 1770(a)(5); (b) advertising goods and services with the intent not to sell them as advertised, in violation of California Civil Code Section 1770(a)(9); (c) selling addictive substances to unsuspecting consumers and profiting from their addiction; and (d) failing to disclose that their 7-OH Products pose a serious risk of addiction.
- 84. Defendants' conduct has the capacity to mislead a significant portion of the general consuming public and to target consumers, acting reasonably in the circumstances.
- 85. Defendants' conduct has injured Plaintiffs and the California Class they seek to represent in that they paid money for a Product that they would not have purchased or paid more than they would

have but for Defendants' failure to disclose the addictive nature of their 7-OH Products. Such injury is substantial and is not outweighed by any countervailing benefits to consumers or competition. Indeed, no benefit to consumers or competition results from Defendants' conduct. Since consumers reasonably rely on Defendants' labels, and thus also Defendants omissions, consumers themselves could not have reasonably avoided such injury.

- 86. Moreover, Defendants' Products pose an unreasonable health hazard because 7-OH is highly addictive and may induce serious withdrawal symptoms. Accordingly, Defendants had a duty to consumers to disclose on the Products' labels that their 7-OH Products pose a risk of physical and psychological dependence.
- 87. Pursuant to California Business and Professions Code Section 17203, Plaintiffs M.A., N.A., and the California Class members seek an order of this Court that includes, but is not limited to, an order requiring Defendants to (a) provide restitution to Plaintiffs and the other California Class members; (b) disgorge all revenues obtained as a result of Defendants violations of the UCL; and (c) pay Plaintiffs and the California Class members' attorney's fees and costs.
- 88. Here, equitable relief is appropriate because Plaintiffs may lack an adequate remedy at law if, for instance, damages resulting from their purchase of the Products is determined to be an amount less than the premium price of the Products. Without compensation for the full premium price of the Products, Plaintiffs would be left without the parity in purchasing power to which they are entitled.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

Violation of California's False Advertising Law ("FAL") Business and Professions Code, § 17500, et seq.

- 89. Plaintiffs reallege and reincorporate by reference all paragraphs alleged above.
- 90. Plaintiffs M.A. and N.A. bring this claim individually and on behalf of the California Class against Defendants.
- 91. Defendants' acts and practices, as described herein, have deceived, and are likely to continue to deceive, California Class members and the public at large. As described above and throughout this Complaint, Defendants failed to disclose that 7-OH is addictive on their Products' packaging.
- 92. Defendants disseminated uniform advertising regarding their kratom Products to and across California. This advertising was, by its very nature, unfair, deceptive, untrue, and misleading

within the meaning of the FAL. Such advertisements were intended to, and likely did, deceive the consuming public for the reasons detailed herein.

- 93. The above-described false, misleading, and deceptive advertising Defendants disseminated continues to have a likelihood to deceive because Defendants continue to misrepresent that kratom is not addictive.
- 94. Defendants knew, or should have known, that in making and disseminating these statements, their advertisements were untrue and misleading in violation of California law. Defendants know that kratom is addictive yet fail to disclose this fact to consumers.
- 95. Plaintiff M.A. and the California Class members purchased Defendants' Products based on Defendants' misrepresentations and omissions indicating that kratom is not addictive.
- 96. Defendants' misrepresentations and non-disclosures of the material facts described herein constitute false and misleading advertising and, therefore, constitute a violation of the FAL.
- 97. As a result of Defendants' wrongful conduct, Plaintiff M.A. and California Class members lost money in an amount to be proven at trial. Plaintiff M.A. and the California Class are therefore entitled to restitution as appropriate for this cause of action.
- 98. Plaintiff M.A. and the California Class seek all monetary and non-monetary relief allowed by law, including restitution of all profits stemming from Defendants' unfair, unlawful, and fraudulent business practices; declaratory relief; reasonable attorney's fees and costs under California Code Civil Procedure Section 1021.5; and other appropriate equitable relief.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION Violation of California's Consumers Legal Remedies Act ("CLRA"), California Civil Code, § 1750, et seq.

- 99. Plaintiffs reallege and reincorporate by reference all paragraphs alleged above.
- 100. Plaintiffs M.A. and N.A. bring this claim individually and on behalf of the California Class against Defendants.
- 101. Plaintiffs M.A. and N.A. and California Class members are consumers within the meaning of California Civil Code Section 1761(d) of the CLRA.

- 102. Civil Code Section 1770(a)(5) prohibits "[r]epresenting that goods or services have sponsorship, approval, characteristics, ingredients, uses, benefits, or quantities which they do not have or that a person has a sponsorship, approval, status, affiliation, or connection which she or he does not have."
- 103. Civil Code Section 1770(a)(7) prohibits "[r]epresenting that goods or services are of a particular standard, quality, or grade, or that goods are of a particular style or model, if they are of another."
- 104. Civil Code Section 1770(a)(9) prohibits "advertising goods or services with intent not to sell them as advertised."
- 105. Defendants violated Civil Code Sections 1770(a)(5), (a)(7), and (a)(9) by failing to disclose that its 7-OH Tablets are addictive, an unreasonable health hazard and necessarily a fact which is material to reasonable consumers.
- 106. Defendants' misrepresentations and omissions deceived, and have a tendency and ability to deceive, the general public.
- 107. Defendants have exclusive or superior knowledge of 7-OH's addictive nature, which was not known to Plaintiffs or California Class members.
- 108. Plaintiffs and California Class members have suffered harm as a result of these violations of the CLRA, Civil Code Section 1750, because they have incurred charges and/or paid monies for the Products that they otherwise would not have incurred or paid had they known that 7-OH is addictive and causes withdrawals. As a result, Plaintiffs M.A., N.A., and the California Class are entitled to actual damages in an amount to be proven at trial, reasonable attorney's fees and costs, declaratory relief, and punitive damages.
- 109. On July 31, 2024, Plaintiffs' counsel sent Defendants a CLRA notice letter, which complies in all respect with Section 1782(a). The letter was sent via certified mail, return receipt requested, and advised Defendants that they were in violation of the CLRA and demanded Defendants cease and desist from such violations and make full restitution by refunding the monies received therefrom. The CLRA letter stated that it was sent on behalf of all other similarly situated purchasers.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION Violation of Oregon's Unlawful Trade Practices Act ("UTPA") OR. REV. STAT. § 646.605 et seq.

- 110. Plaintiff A.S. realleges and incorporates by reference every allegation set forth in the preceding paragraphs.
- 111. Plaintiff A.S. brings this claim individually and on behalf of the members of the proposed Oregon Class against Defendants for violations of the UTPA, Or. Rev. Stat § 646.605, *et seq*.
- 112. The UTPA is intended to be interpreted liberally to protect consumers, covering a broad spectrum of unfair or deceptive practices in trade or commerce.
- 113. The unlawful methods, acts and practices pled herein were committed in the course Defendants' business. ORS § 646.608(1).
- 114. Defendants are a "person," as defined by ORS § 646.605(4). Defendants are engaged in "trade" and "commerce" in Oregon by advertising, offering or distributing for sale goods that directly or indirectly affect the people of the State of Oregon, as defined by ORS § 646.605(8).
- 115. Defendants' 7-OH Tablets are "goods" that Plaintiff A.S. and the proposed Oregon Class obtained primarily for personal purposes, as defined by ORS § 646.605(6).
- 116. ORS § 646.608(1)(e) states that it is an unlawful business practice for a company to represent that their goods sold have characteristics, ingredients, uses, or benefits that they do not have. This provision is specifically intended to prevent economic harm based on deceptive commercial practices and extends to omissions.
- 117. A "representation" under ORS § 646.608(1) is any manifestation of any assertion by words or conduct, including, but not limited to, a failure to disclose a fact.
- 118. The Supreme Court of Oregon has clarified that for a Defendants to violate the UTPA provisions prohibiting misrepresentations about a product's attributes, it is not required that the misrepresentations be material to consumer purchasing decisions. *See State ex rel Rosenblum v. Living Essentials, LLC*, 371 Or. 23 (2023). This means that any misrepresentation about the product, regardless of its influence on the purchasing decision, can be actionable under the UTPA.
- 119. Defendants have further engaged in "unconscionable tactics" by knowingly taking advantage of consumers' physical infirmity and ignorance, knowingly permitting customers to enter into transactions in which the customers will derive no material benefit. ORS § 646.605(9).

- 120. Defendants' unlawful omissions, acts, and practices pled herein were "willful violations" of ORS § 646.608 because Defendants knew or should have known that Defendants conduct was a violation, as defined by ORS § 646.605(10).
- 121. Defendants' methods, acts and practices, including Defendants' representations, omissions, active concealments and failures to disclose, violated and continue to violate the UTPA.
- 122. With respect to omissions, Defendants at all relevant times had a duty to disclose the information in question because: (i) Defendants had exclusive knowledge of material information that was not known to Plaintiff A.S. and the Oregon Class (i.e., that 7-OH is highly addictive); (ii) Defendants concealed material information from Plaintiff A.S. and the Oregon Class; and/or (iii) Defendants made partial representations which were false and misleading absent the omitted information.
- 123. Defendants' misrepresentations and nondisclosures deceive and tend to deceive a reasonable consumer and the general public.
- 124. Defendants engaged in these reckless or knowing use of these unlawful methods, acts or practices alleged herein which have been declared unlawful by the UTPA.
- 125. As a direct, substantial and/or proximate result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff A.S. and the Oregon Class members suffered compensable and ascertainable losses.
- 126. Plaintiff A.S. and the Oregon Class members would not have purchased the Products at the prices they paid if they had known the harmful opioid-like effects of 7-OH consumption and/or its addiction and withdrawal symptoms.
- 127. Plaintiff A.S. seeks on behalf of themselves and the Oregon Class: (1) the greater of statutory damages of \$200 or actual damages for every violation of the act; (2) punitive damages; (3) appropriate equitable relief, including injunctive and restitution, as appropriate; and (4) attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to ORS § 646.638, et seq.
- 128. Under the UTPA, a private plaintiff may seek an injunction as may be necessary to ensure cessation of unlawful business and trade practices. ORS § 646.636. The unlawful acts and omissions pled herein were, are, and continue to be part of a pattern or generalized course of conduct. Defendants' conduct is ongoing and is likely to continue and recur absent a permanent injunction. Accordingly, Plaintiff A.S. seeks an order enjoining Defendants from committing such unlawful practices pursuant to ORS

§ 646.638(8)(c); ORS § 646.636. The balance of the equities favors the entry of permanent injunctive relief against Defendants. Plaintiff A.S., the Oregon Class members and the general public will be irreparably harmed absent the entry of permanent injunctive relief against Defendants. Plaintiff A.S., the Oregon Class members and the general public lack an adequate remedy at law. A permanent injunction against Defendants are in the public interest. Plaintiff A.S. is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants' unlawful behavior is ongoing as of the date of the filing of this Complaint. If not enjoined by order of this Court, Defendants will or may continue to injure Plaintiff A.S. and Oregon consumers through the misconduct alleged herein. Absent the entry of a permanent injunction, Defendants' unlawful behavior will not cease and, in the unlikely event that it voluntarily ceases, it is capable of repetition and is likely to reoccur.

129. This action was brought "within one year after the discovery of the unlawful method, act or practice." ORS § 646.638(6). By Defendants' design, their misrepresentations and omissions regarding the effects of the ingredients in their kratom Products made it virtually impossible for the typical consumer to discover the truth. Plaintiff A.S. and members of the proposed Oregon Class were reasonable consumers who trusted Defendants' representations when they purchased Defendants' kratom Products.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION Violation of the Nevada Deceptive Trade Practices Act ("DTPA"), NEV. REV. St. § 598, et seq. and NEV. REV. STAT. § 41.600(2)(e)

- 130. Plaintiff J.R. realleges and reincorporates by reference all paragraphs alleged above.
- 131. Plaintiff J.R. brings this claim individually and on behalf of the Nevada Class against Defendants.
- 132. The Nevada Deceptive Trade Practices Act states that "a person engages in a 'deceptive trade practices' when in the course of his or her business or occupation he or she knowingly...fails to disclose material fact in connection with the sale or lease." Nevada Revised Statutes § 598.0923(1)(a).
- 133. Defendants engaged in deceptive trade practices when, in the course of their business, they knowingly omitted materials facts in connection with the sale of the 7-OH Products to Plaintiff J.R. and other Nevada consumers. Namely, Defendants failed to disclose on the Products' labels that 7-OH is highly addictive and may induce psychological and physical dependence.

Plaintiffs and the N

- 134. Defendants knowingly failed to disclose material information concerning Defendants 7-OH Tablets that they had a duty to disclose. Defendants had a duty to disclose 7-OH's addictive potential because: (a) they were aware that Defendants 7-OH products were addictive and operated like opioids in the brain; (b) they had exclusive or superior knowledge of 7-OH's addictive potential; and (c) they actively concealed material facts concerning their Products' addictiveness from the general public, Plaintiff J.R., and the Nevada Class members.
- 135. As detailed above, the information concerning 7-OH's addictive nature and mechanism of action was known to Defendants at the time it advertised and sold its 7-OH tablets. Despite this, Defendants knowingly and intentionally failed to disclose these material facts on their Products' packaging.
- 136. Defendants' omissions were intended to induce, and did induce, Plaintiff J.R., and the Nevada Class members consumers to purchase Defendants 7-OH Tablets.
- 137. Plaintiff J.R. and Nevada Class members have suffered harm as a result of these violations of the DTPA, because they have incurred charges and/or paid monies for the Products that they otherwise would not have incurred or paid had they known that 7-OH is addictive and causes withdrawals. As a result, Plaintiff J.R. and the Nevada Class are entitled to actual damages in an amount to be proven at trial, reasonable attorney's fees and costs, declaratory relief, and punitive damages.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION Breach of Implied Warranty

- 138. Plaintiffs reallege and reincorporate by reference all paragraphs alleged above.
- 139. Plaintiffs bring this claim individually and on behalf of the Nationwide Class against Defendants.
- 140. Defendants, as the designers, manufacturers, marketers, distributors, and/or sellers of the Products, impliedly warranted that 7-OH is not addictive and does not cause opioid-like withdrawal symptoms because they did not provide disclosure on the Products' packaging stating otherwise.
- 141. Defendants breached their warranty implied in the contract for the sale of their 7-OH Products because the Products could not pass without objection in the trade under the contract description: the 7-OH Products were not adequately contained, packaged, and labeled as per Defendants' contract with Plaintiffs and the Nationwide Class members, and the Products do not conform to the implied affirmations

of fact made on the marketing and packaging for the Products that the Products are not addictive and do not cause withdrawals. U.C.C. §§ 2-313(2)(a), (e), (f). As a result, Plaintiffs and the members of the Nationwide Class did not receive the goods as impliedly warranted by Defendants to be merchantable.

- 142. Plaintiffs and the Nationwide Class members purchased the 7-OH Tablets in reliance upon Defendants' skill and judgement and the implied warranties of fitness for the purpose.
 - 143. The 7-OH Products were defective when they left Defendants' exclusive control.
 - 144. Plaintiffs and the Nationwide Class did not receive the goods as warranted.
- 145. As a direct and proximate cause of Defendants' breach of their implied warranty, Plaintiffs and the Nationwide Class have been injured and harmed because (i) they would not have purchased Defendants' Products on the same terms if they knew that the Products were addictive and could cause opioid-like withdrawal symptoms; and (ii) the Products do not have the characteristics, uses, or benefits as promised by Defendants.
- 146. On July 31, 2024, prior to filing this action, Defendants was served with a pre-suit notice letters on behalf of Plaintiffs that complied in all respects with U.C.C. Sections 2-314 and 2-607. Plaintiffs' counsel sent Defendants a letter advising Defendants that it breached an implied warranty and demanded Defendants cease and desist from such breaches and make full restitution by refunding the monies received therefrom.

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION Unjust Enrichment

- 147. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as fully stated herein.
- 148. Plaintiffs bring this claim individually and on behalf of the Nationwide Class members against Defendants.
- 149. Plaintiffs and the Nationwide Class conferred a benefit on Defendants in the form of the gross revenues Defendants derived from the money they paid to Defendants.
- 150. Defendants had an appreciation or knowledge of the benefit conferred on it by Plaintiffs and the Nationwide Class members.
- 151. Defendants have been unjustly enriched in retaining the revenues derived from Plaintiffs' and the Nationwide Class members' purchases of the Products, and retention of such revenues under these

12

13

11

14 15

17

18

16

19 20

22

21

23

24 25

26

27

28

circumstances is unjust and inequitable because Defendants failed to disclose on the Products' packaging that the Products were addictive and similar to opioids. This caused injuries to Plaintiffs and the Nationwide Class because they would not have purchased the Products or would have paid less for them if the true facts concerning the Products had been known.

- 152. Defendants accepted and retained the benefit in the amount of the gross revenues they derived from sales of the Products to Plaintiffs and the Nationwide Class members.
- 153. Defendants have thereby profited by retaining the benefit under circumstances which would make it unjust for Defendants to retain the benefit.
- 154. Plaintiffs and the Nationwide Class members are, therefore, entitled to restitution in the form of the revenues derived from Defendants' sale of the Products.
- 155. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' actions, Plaintiffs and the Nationwide Class members have suffered in an amount to be proven at trial.
- Here, equitable relief is appropriate because Plaintiffs may lack an adequate remedy at law 156. if, for instance, damages resulting from their purchase of the Products is determined to be an amount less than the premium price of the Products, Plaintiffs would be left without the parity in purchasing power to which they are entitled.
- Restitution may also be more certain, prompt, and efficient than other legal remedies requested herein. The return of the full premium price will ensure that Plaintiffs are in the same place they would have been in had Defendants' wrongful conduct not occurred, i.e., in the position to make an informed decision about the purchase of the Products absent omissions with the full purchase price at their disposal.

EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Fraud by Omission

- 158. Plaintiffs reallege and reincorporate by reference all paragraphs alleged above.
- 159. Plaintiffs bring this claim individually and on behalf of the Nationwide Class against Defendants.
- 160. Defendants distributed their Products throughout the United States, including within the States of California, Nevada, and Oregon.

- 161. Defendants misrepresented that their 7-OH Products had attributes or qualities that they do not have by failing to disclose that 7-OH is addictive and can cause opioid-like withdrawal.
- 162. Defendants know that 7-OH is addictive because they employ a highly specialized lab to isolate and extract 7-Hydroxymitragynine from kratom, and because they have received consumer reports of addiction and withdrawal.
- 163. Defendants know that knowledge of 7-OH's addictive nature is a material fact that would influence the purchasing decisions of reasonable consumers because addiction is an unreasonable health hazard.
- 164. Defendants therefore had a duty to Plaintiffs and to the Nationwide Class members to disclose that 7-OH is addictive and can cause withdrawals on the Products' packaging.
- 165. Consumers reasonably and justifiably relied on Defendants' omissions, because it is reasonable to assume that a product which is addictive like an opioid would bear a warning on its packaging.
- 166. As a result of Defendants' omissions, Plaintiffs and the Nationwide Class paid for 7-OH Products they may not have purchased, or paid more for those Products than they would have, had they known the truth about 7-OH.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves, and on behalf of the Classes, respectfully request this Court award relief against Defendants as follows:

- an order certifying the Classes and designating Plaintiffs as the Class Representatives and their counsel as Class Counsel;
- b. award Plaintiffs and members of the Classes actual, consequential, punitive, and statutory damages, as appropriate;
- award restitution and disgorgement of all profits and unjust enrichment that Defendants obtained from Plaintiffs and the members of the Classes as a result of Defendants' unlawful, unfair, and fraudulent business practices described herein;
- d. award declaratory and injunctive relief as permitted by law or equity, including enjoining Defendants from continuing their unlawful practices set forth herein, and directing Defendants to identify, with Court supervision, victims of their misconduct and pay them all money they are required to pay;
- e. order Defendants to engage in a corrective advertising campaign;

1	f. award attorneys' fees and costs; and	
2	g. award any other further relief as the Court may deem necessary or appropriate.	
3	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED	
4	Plaintiffs demand a trial by jury on all claims so triable.	
5	5	
6		
7	Dated: October 7, 2024	BURSOR & FISHER, P.A.
8		By: /s/Neal J. Deckant
9		Neal J. Deckant
10		Neal J. Deckant (State Bar No. 322946) Luke Sironski-White (State Bar No. 348441)
11		1990 North California Blvd., 9th Floor Walnut Creek, CA 94596
12		Telephone: (925) 300-4455 Facsimile: (925) 407-2700
13		E-mail: ndeckant@bursor.com lsironski@bursor.com
14		LYNCH CARPENTER, LLP
15		Todd D. Carpenter (SBN 234464) todd@lcllp.com
16		Scott G. Braden (SBN 305051) scott@lellp.com
17	7	1234 Camino del Mar Del Mar, CA 92014
18		Tel: 619-762-1910 Fax: 858-313-1850
19		Attorneys for Plaintiffs and Proposed Class Counsel
20		Proposea Ciass Counsei
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	3	

8

11 12

14

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

CLRA Venue Declaration Pursuant to California Civil Code Section 1780(d)

I, Neal J. Deckant, declare as follows:

- I am an attorney at law licensed to practice in the State of California and a member of the bar of this Court. I am a partner at Bursor & Fisher, P.A., counsel of record for Plaintiffs. Plaintiff N.A. resides in Santa Monica, California. Plaintiff M.A. is a resident of Highland Park, California. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this declaration and as called as a witness, I could and would completely testify thereto under oath.
- 2. The Complaint filed in this action is filed in the proper place for trial under Civil Code Section 1780(d) in that a substantial portion of the events alleged in the Complaint occurred in the Northern District of California, as Defendants advertised, marketed, manufactured, distributed, and/or sold the Product at issue to Class Members in this District. Further, Defendants are headquartered in this District.
- 3. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California and the United States that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration was executed at Walnut Creek, California this October 7, 2024.

/s/ Neal J. Deckant Neal J. Deckant