# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

DIANNE CHRISTOPHER	)
Plainti	) CASE NO.: <u>3:13-cv-00306</u> ff,
v.	) COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
PFIZER, INC.,	) )
Defend	ant. )

# **COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES**

COMES NOW Plaintiff, Dianne Christopher, who brings this action for damages against Defendant, Pfizer, Inc., by and through undersigned counsel, who alleges and states the following:

### **PARTIES**

- 1. Plaintiff, Dianne Christopher, is a person of the full age of majority and a resident and domiciliary of East Baton Rouge Parish, State of Louisiana.
- 2. At all pertinent times, Plaintiff was domiciled in Baton Rouge, Louisiana and was a citizen of East Baton Rouge Parish in the State of Louisiana, which is located within the district of the United States District Court for the Middle District of Louisiana.
- 3. Pfizer, Inc. (herein referred to as "Pfizer" or "Defendant") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware with its principal place of business in the State of New York.
- 4. Defendant regularly conducts business within the State of Louisiana and derives substantial revenues from drugs consumed in the State of Louisiana. At all times material

hereto, Defendant was engaged in the business of manufacturing, promoting, marketing, distributing and selling pharmaceutical drugs, including the drug Lipitor, which is distributed throughout the State of Louisiana, and within the Middle District of Louisiana.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1332 as full diversity of citizenship exists among the parties. Furthermore, the amount in controversy is substantially in excess of seventy-five thousand dollars (\$75,000), exclusive of interest and costs. Additionally, a significant part of the omissions giving rise to the Plaintiff's claims happened within the United States District Court for the Middle District of Louisiana and Defendants are subject to personal jurisdiction in this district.
- 6. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391 because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred within the Middle District of Louisiana.

### **STATEMENT OF THE CASE**

- 7. This is an action brought by Dianne Christopher, for the injury she suffered, as a result of her ingestion of Pfizer's drug, Lipitor, which caused her to develop type 2 diabetes. Ms. Christopher's type 2 diagnosis is a direct and proximate result of the wrongful conduct of Pfizer in designing, developing, manufacturing, testing, distribution, labeling, advertising, marketing, promotion, and selling an unsafe prescription cholesterol reducing drug, Lipitor.
- 8. Plaintiff brings this action to recover medical and other expenses and all general and special damages related to her development of type 2 diabetes, and for general and specific future damages, and such other relief as requested herein for injuries suffered as a direct result of Dianne Christopher's ingestion of Lipitor. At all times pertinent, Plaintiff used Lipitor in a manner and dosage recommended by Pfizer and prescribed by her doctor.

#### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 9. The drug "atorvastatin calcium," is manufactured, promoted, distributed, labeled and marketed by Pfizer under the trade name Lipitor. It is a HMG-CoA reductase inhibitor and a member of the class of drugs known as "statins."
- 10. Lipitor is prescribed to lower cholesterol and other fatty substances in the blood. Lipitor, like other statins, works primarily by blocking an enzyme in the liver responsible for producing cholesterol.
- 11. Pfizer's predecessor in interest, Parke-Davis Pharmaceutical Research, a division of Warner-Lambert Company, received approval for Lipitor by the Food and Drug Administration ("FDA") on December 17, 1996. Following FDA approval of Lipitor, Warner-Lambert entered into a co-marketing agreement with Pfizer to distribute and sell Lipitor throughout the United States in 1997. Subsequently, on June 19, 2000, Pfizer acquired Warner-Lambert along with all rights to design, manufacture, advertise, analyze, assemble, compound, develop, distribute, inspect, label, test, promote, market and sell Lipitor.
- 12. Pfizer placed Lipitor into the stream of worldwide commerce and interstate commerce in the United States and the State of Louisiana. Pfizer did so without adequate testing and with inadequate or no warning that the use of Lipitor carried with it the risk of causing and/or developing type 2 diabetes. Despite knowledge that Lipitor use is linked to the development of type 2 diabetes and/or increased blood glucose levels diagnostic for type 2 diabetes, Pfizer promoted and marketed Lipitor as safe and effective for persons such as Dianne Christopher throughout the United States, including the State of Louisiana.

- 13. In February 2012, Pfizer revised the Warnings and Precautions Section of its Lipitor label to include the following language: "Increase in HbA1c and fasting serum glucose levels have been reported with HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors, including LIPITOR."
- 14. Prior to this addition, Lipitor's label never contained any warning concerning an association between Lipitor and elevated blood glucose levels.
- 15. Notwithstanding the February 2012 label change, Lipitor's label continues to fail to adequately warn consumers of the serious risk of developing type 2 diabetes associated with the use of Lipitor.
- 16. At all pertinent times, Pfizer knew or should have known that the risks associated with Lipitor included the development of type 2 diabetes along with the risk of developing severe and life-threatening diabetic complications. Yet despite this knowledge Pfizer, by and through its agents, servants, and/or employees failed to adequately warn physicians and consumers, including Dianne Christopher, of the risk of developing type 2 diabetes.
- 17. Furthermore, at all pertinent times, Pfizer, by and through its agents, servants, and/or employees negligently, recklessly, and/or carelessly marketed, distributed, and/or sold Lipitor without adequate testing and with inadequate or no warning that the use of Lipitor carried with it serious side effects and unreasonably dangerous risks, including, but not limited to, the development of type 2 diabetes.
- 18. Pfizer failed and continues to fail to warn and disclose to Plaintiff, other consumers, and healthcare providers that Lipitor significantly increases a patient's risk of developing type 2 diabetes.
- 19. Plaintiff, Dianne Christopher, was prescribed Lipitor in order to lower her low-density lipoprotein ("LDL") cholesterol levels,

- 20. Prior to being placed on Lipitor and at time Lipitor was prescribed, Plaintiff had a total body mass index of approximately 29.
- 21. Plaintiff subsequently began taking Lipitor as directed and prescribed to the best of Plaintiff's current knowledge and recollection in 2003.
- 22. Plaintiff agreed to initiate LIPITOR treatment, relying on claims made by Pfizer that Lipitor has been clinically shown to lower LDL levels and reduce the risk of developing heart disease.
- 23. Subsequently, in 2011 Plaintiff was diagnosed with type 2 diabetes while still actively taking Lipitor.
- 24. Due to becoming diabetic, Plaintiff must now undergo regular testing of her blood glucose levels, follow a restrictive diabetic diet, and take additional medication to regulate her diabetes. Furthermore, Plaintiff is now exposed to a blatantly increased risk of cardiovascular disease, blindness, neuropathy (nerve damage), diabetic skin conditions, hearing loss, nephropathy (kidney disease), and stroke.
- 25. Had Pfizer properly warned Plaintiff of the risk associated with the use of Lipitor, Plaintiff would have avoided the risk of developing type 2 diabetes by either not using Lipitor or by diligently monitoring her blood glucose levels.
- 26. As a direct, proximate, and legal result of Pfizer's negligence and wrongful conduct, and the unreasonably dangerous and defective characteristics of the drug Lipitor, Plaintiff, Dianne Christopher, has suffered severe and permanent physical and emotional injuries, and disabilities including, but not limited to, being diagnosed with type 2 diabetes. Furthermore, Plaintiff has endured pain and suffering, suffered economic loss, including incurring significant expenses for medical care and treatment, and will continue to incur such expenses in the future.

## FRAUDULENT CONCEALMENT AND TOLLING

- 27. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference all other paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 28. The running of any statute of limitations has been tolled by reason of Defendant's fraudulent concealment. Defendant, through its affirmative misrepresentations and omissions, actively concealed from Plaintiff, Dianne Christopher, and her physician(s) the true risks associated with the use of Lipitor.
- 29. As a result of Defendant's actions, Plaintiff and her physician(s) were unaware, and could not reasonably have known or have learned through reasonable diligence, that she had been exposed to the risks alleged herein and that those risks were the direct and proximate result of Defendants' acts and omissions.

# COUNT ONE LOUISIANA PRODUCTS LIABILITY ACT ("LPLA") DESIGN DEFECT UNDER LSA-R.S. 9:2800.56

- 30. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference all other paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 31. At all times material to this action, Defendant was responsible for designing, developing, manufacturing, testing, packaging, promoting, marketing, distributing, labeling, and/or selling Lipitor.
- 32. Pfizer's prescription drug, Lipitor, is defective and unreasonably dangerous to consumers.
- 33. Lipitor is defective in its design or formulation in that it is not reasonable fit, suitable, or safe for its intended purpose and/or its foreseeable risks exceed the benefits associated with its design and formulation.

- 34. At all times mentioned herein, the drug Lipitor was not safe or suitable for the purposes for which Defendant, directly and indirectly, advertised, marketed, and promoted the drug at the time Defendant designed, manufactured, distributed, and sold the drug to Plaintiff and placed the drug into the stream of commerce.
- 35. Lipitor, sold to and used by the Plaintiff, was defective and unreasonably dangerous when it left control of the Defendant in one or more of the following ways:
  - a) The risk associated with the use of Lipitor and developing type 2 diabetes far outweighed the utility derived from using the medication;
  - b) Defendant failed to provide adequate warnings regarding the hazards associated with the use of Lipitor; and
  - c) Defendant's product was defectively designed and unreasonably dangerous in design and composition in that other medications could achieve similar results without the risks presented by Lipitor.
- 36. In addition, at the time the Lipitor used by Plaintiff left the control of the Defendant, there were practical and feasible alternative designs that would have prevented and/or significantly reduced the risk of Ms. Christopher's injuries without impairing the reasonably anticipated or intend function of the product. These safer alternatives designs were economically and technologically feasible, and would have prevented or significantly reduced the risk of Ms. Christopher's injuries without substantially impairing the product's utility.
- 37. As a direct and proximate result of Lipitor's defective design, Dianne Christopher, has and will continue to suffer severe and permanent injuries and/or damages.

# COUNT TWO <u>LOUISIANA PRODUCTS LIABILITY ACT</u> DEFECT IN CONSTRUCTION OR COMPOSITION UNDER LA. R.S. § 9:2800.55

- 38. As fully set forth herein, Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference all other paragraphs of this Complaint.
- 39. The characteristic of Lipitor that renders it unreasonably dangerous in construction or composition existed at the time the product left the control of Pfizer or resulted from a reasonably anticipated alteration or modification of the product.
- 40. Defendant sold and/or distributed Lipitor in a condition that posed unreasonable risks from reasonably anticipated use of the product. Lipitor was expected to and did reach Dianne Christopher without substantial change in condition from the time that it left the control of Pfizer.
- 41. Defendant designed, researched, developed, tested, inspected, produced, manufactured, analyzed, merchandised, packaged, advertised, promoted, labeled, distributed, marketed, and/or sold Lipitor in a condition which rendered the product unreasonably dangerous due to its propensity to lead to the development of type 2 diabetes. As such, Lipitor was unreasonably dangerous in construction and/or composition as provided for in La. R.S. § 9:2800.55.
- 42. As a direct and proximate result of the Lipitor's defects in composition and/or construction, Dianne Christopher suffered, and will continue to suffer, severe and permanent injuries and/or damages.

# COUNT THREE LOUISIANA PRODUCTS LIABILITY ACT INADEQUATE WARNING UNDER LA. R.S. § 9:2800.57

- 43. As if fully set forth herein, Plaintiff incorporates by reference, each and every allegation set forth in the preceding paragraphs and further alleges as follows.
- 44. Pfizer knew, or in light of reasonably available knowledge, should have known that Lipitor was dangerous and caused serious side effects including the development of diabetes. The ordinary user or consumer of Lipitor would not have realized such dangers.
- 45. Defendant neglected to provide Dianne Christopher with any warning which could have been expected to catch the attention of a reasonably prudent person under similar circumstances who may have purchased Lipitor. Furthermore, Defendant failed to provide warnings to Plaintiff which could accurately advise her or an ordinary consumer of the scope, severity and likelihood of serious injury resulting from the use of Lipitor. Had such warnings been provided, Dianne Christopher would have avoided the risk of diabetes by either not taking Lipitor or by closely monitoring her blood glucose levels. As such, the severe and permanent injuries and/or damages sustained by Dianne Christopher could have been avoided.
- 46. Defendant neglected to provide Dianne Christopher and her prescribing physician(s) with adequate warnings to accurately advise her and such physician(s) of the increased propensity for developing type 2 diabetes resulting from the prescribing and ingestion of Lipitor.
- 47. As a direct and proximate result of Lipitor's defective and inappropriate warnings, Dianne Christopher, suffered and will continue to suffer severe and permanent injuries and/or damages.

# COUNT FOUR LOUSIANA PRODCUTS LIABILITY ACT BREACH OF EXPRESS WARRANTY UNDER LA. R.S. § 9:2800.58

- 48. As if fully set forth herein, Plaintiff incorporates by reference, each and every allegation set forth in the preceding paragraphs and further alleges as follows.
- 49. At all times material herein, Defendant directly and indirectly manufactured, compounded, packaged, distributed, advertised, marketed, promoted, recommended, supplied, and sold Lipitor for the treatment of elevated cholesterol levels and prevention of heart disease, and placed Lipitor in the stream of commerce. In doing so, Pfizer expressly warranted to all foreseeable users of the drug, including Dianne Christopher, directly and through her prescribing physician(s), that Lipitor was safe and effective for its intended purpose.
- 50. Plaintiff reasonably relied, directly and through her prescribing physician(s), upon Defendant's skill, superior knowledge, and judgment upon the aforesaid express warranty provided by Defendant.
- 51. Upon being prescribed Lipitor, Dianne Christopher's use of Lipitor was consistent with its intended purpose for which Defendant directly and indirectly advertised, marketed, and promoted Lipitor. Additionally, Plaintiff's use of Lipitor was reasonably contemplated, intended, and foreseen by Defendant at the time of the distribution and sale of Lipitor by Defendant. Therefore, Dianne Christopher's use of Lipitor is within the scope of the express warranties issued by the Defendant.
- 52. Defendant breached the express warranties because Lipitor was not safe nor fit for its intended uses and purposes.
- 53. As a direct and proximate result of Pfizer's breach of expressed warranty, Dianne Christopher suffered, and will continue to suffer, severe and permanent injuries and/or damages.

# COUNT FIVE BREACH OF WARRANTY IN REDHIBITION

- 54. As fully set forth herein, Plaintiff incorporates by reference, each and every allegation set forth in the preceding paragraphs and further alleges as follows.
- 55. Lipitor contains a vice or defect which renders it useless or its use so inconvenient that consumers would not have purchased it had they known about the vice or defect.
- 56. Pursuant to Louisiana Civil Code article 2520, a seller warrants the buyer against redhibitory defects, or vices, in the thing sold. Lipitor which was sold and promoted by Pfizer possesses a redhibitory defect because it was not manufactured and marketed in accordance with industry standards and/or is unreasonably dangerous, as described above, which renders Lipitor useless or so inconvenient that it must be presumed that had Dianne Christopher would not have bought Lipitor had she known of the defects.
- 57. Defendant was aware of the substantial risks from using Lipitor but failed to fully disclose those risks to the Plaintiff.
- 58. In accordance with Louisiana Civil Code article 2545, Pfizer, as the manufacturer of Lipitor, is deemed to be aware of its redhibitory defects.
- 59. Had Dianne Christopher been made aware of the defects contained in Lipitor, she would not have purchased Lipitor. This characteristic rendered Lipitor unfit for its intended purposes.
- 60. Defendant is liable to Plaintiff under the theory of redhibition as a consequence of the sale to Plaintiff of a product unfit for its intended use.
- 61. Dianne Christopher is entitled to the return of purchase price paid, including, but not limited to, insurance co-payments, interest on these amounts from the date of purchase,

attorneys' fees and costs, pecuniary and non-pecuniary damages, as well as any other legal and equitable relief to which Plaintiff may be entitled.

#### **DAMAGES**

- 62. As a result of the failures described herein, Dianne Christopher has sustained substantial injuries, permanent disability, and damages, including, but not limited to, severe and permanent bodily injury.
- 63. As a result of her injuries, Plaintiff has and will sustain the following non-exclusive damages including physical injuries, past, present and future emotional distress; loss of enjoyment of life; past, present and future mental pain and suffering; inconvenience; past, present and future physical pain, suffering and disability; past, present and future medical expenses; economic damages; and other damages to be proven at the trial of this matter.

## **JURY DEMAND**

Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial on all issues so triable.

### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays:

- (a) that Plaintiff be granted a trial by jury in this matter;
- (b) that the Court enter judgment against Defendant for all general and compensatory damages allowable to Plaintiff;
- (c) that the Court enter judgment against Defendant for all other special damages allowable to Plaintiff;
- (d) that the Court enter judgment against Defendant for all other relief sought by Plaintiff under this Complaint;
- (e) that the Court render judgment in favor of the Plaintiff, awarding all damages as prayed for herein, including attorney's fees, with all costs assessed against Defendant; and

(f) that the Court grant Plaintiff such other and further relief to which the Court deems just and appropriate.

Date: May 13, 2013 Respectfully submitted by,

# PENDLEY, BAUDIN & COFFIN, L.L.P.

/s/ Christopher L. Coffin

Christopher L. Coffin, La. Bar Roll #27902 Jessica A. Perez, La. Bar Roll #34024 Nicholas R. Rockforte, La. Bar Roll #31305 24110 Eden Street Post Office Drawer 71 Plaquemine, Louisiana 70765 Telephone: (225) 687-6396

Telephone: (225) 687-6396 Facsimile (225) 687-6398

Email: ccoffin@pbclawfirm.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

# **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		DEFENDANTS			
Dianne Christopher		Pfizer, Inc.			
•	of First Listed Plaintiff <u>East Baton Rou</u> XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.			
4110 Eden Street, Post C Plaquemine, LA 70765, 22	25.687.6396		Attorneys (If Known)		
II. BASIS OF JURISI	OICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF P (For Diversity Cases Only)	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)
□ 1 U.S. Government □ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)			P	TF DEF 1 □ 1 Incorporated or Pr of Business In Thi	PTF DEF incipal Place
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	■ 4 Diversity  (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item		en of Another State	2	1
			en or Subject of a reign Country	3	
IV. NATURE OF SUI					OMYTER CONT.
CONTRACT  ☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	Slander 368 Asbestos F  330 Federal Employers' Injury Pro Liability Liability  340 Marine Product Liability 370 Other Frau Liability 371 Truth in Lo 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 380 Other Pers 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 385 Property D	INJURY	O Agriculture O Other Food & Drug Tother Food & Drug Other Food & Drug Tother Food T	BANKRUPTCY  □ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157  PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark  SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	OTHER STATUTES  □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 810 Selective Service □ 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange □ 875 Customer Challenge □ 12 USC 3410 □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 892 Economic Stabilization Act □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 894 Energy Allocation Act □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 900Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
□ 2 R	an "X" in One Box Only) emoved from ate Court	Reop	pened another (speci		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	Personal injury/product liability		ing Pfizer's drug Lip EMAND\$		if demanded in complaint:
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	(See instructions):	orable Richar	d M Gergel	DOCKET NUMBER M	
DATE 05/13/2013		OF ATTORNEY OF ATTORNEY			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY					
RECEIPT #A	MOUNT APPLYING	G IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JU	DGE

#### INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

#### Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I. (a) Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- **II. Jurisdiction**. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.C.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.

United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)

- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerks in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.

Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment. (7) Check this box for an appeal from a magistrate judge's decision.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. **Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity**. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553
  Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

Demand. In this space enter the dollar amount (in thousands of dollars) being demanded or indicate other demand such as a preliminary injunction.

Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.

VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Middle District of Louisiana						
Dianne Christopher	) ) )					
V.	Civil Action No. 3:13-cv-00306					
Pfizer, Inc.	) )					
	)					
Defendant(s)						
SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION						
5615 Cor	portation System orate Blvd., Ste. 400B ge, LA 70808					
A lawsuit has been filed against y	u.					
are the United States or a United States at P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The whose name and address are:  Christoph Pendley, 24110 Economic PO Draw						
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.						
	CLERK OF COURT					
Date:						
	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk					

Civil Action No. 3:13-cv-00306

# PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (no	ame of individual and title, if any)						
was red	ceived by me on (date)							
	☐ I personally serve	d the summons on the indiv	idual at (place)					
			on (date)	; or				
	☐ I left the summon	s at the individual's residen	ce or usual place of abode with (name)					
	, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,							
	on (date)	, and mailed a co	ppy to the individual's last known address; or					
	☐ I served the summ	nons on (name of individual)		, who is				
	designated by law to	accept service of process of	on behalf of (name of organization)					
			on (date)	; or				
	☐ I returned the sum	nmons unexecuted because		; or				
	☐ Other (specify):							
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00				
	I declare under penal	ty of perjury that this inform	mation is true.					
Date:		_						
			Server's signature					
			Printed name and title					
			Server's address					

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc: