

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY**

**IN RE: FOSAMAX (ALENDRONATE
SODIUM): PRODUCTS LIABILITY
LITIGATION**

**THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:
ALL CASES LISTED IN
APPENDIX 1**

**DOCUMENT FILED
ELECTRONICALLY**

MDL No. 2243

Master Docket No. 08-08 (JAP)(LHG)

**DEFENDANT MERCK SHARP & DOHME CORP.'S BRIEF IN SUPPORT
OF APPLICATION FOR ENTRY OF AN ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY
ALL PENDING CLAIMS SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED**

VENABLE LLP

750 E. Pratt Street, Suite 900
Baltimore, MD 21202

FOX ROTHSCHILD LLP

997 Lenox Drive, Building 3
Lawrenceville, NJ 08648

KING & SPALDING LLP

1180 Peachtree Street
Atlanta, GA 30309

Of Counsel:

**SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE,
MEAGHER & FLOM LLP**
1440 New York Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005

Attorneys for Defendant Merck Sharp &
Dohme Corp.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT.....	1
BACKGROUND.....	3
A. FDA Evaluation Of Bisphosphonate Labeling And The History Of The 2011 Fosamax Label Change.....	3
B. The <i>Glynn</i> Decision And The Court’s Subsequent Order To Show Cause Regarding Federal Preemption In Pre-Label Change Cases.....	6
C. The <i>Gaynor</i> Decision	9
D. The Composition Of The Remaining Cases In The Litigation	12
ARGUMENT	14
CONCLUSION	17

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
CASES	
<i>Caveny v. CIBA-GEIGY Corp.</i> , 818 F. Supp. 1404 (D. Colo. 1992).....	15
<i>Clark v. Hoffman-La Roche, Inc.</i> , No. ATL-L-67-05, 2006 WL 1374516 (N.J. Super. Ct. May 2, 2006) (Utah law)	15
<i>Ebel v. Eli Lilly & Co.</i> , 536 F. Supp. 2d 767 (S.D. Tex. 2008)	15
<i>Gaynor v. Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp.</i> , Nos. 12-1492, 08-08, 2014 WL 2738224 (D.N.J. June 17, 2014).....	1, 2, 5, 6, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17
<i>Glynn v. Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp.</i> , 951 F. Supp. 2d 695 (D.N.J. 2013).....	3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 14, 15, 17
<i>In re Fosamax (Alendronate Sodium) Prods. Liab. Litig.</i> , MDL 2243, 2014 WL 1266994 (D.N.J. Mar. 26, 2014).....	1, 8, 10, 14, 15, 17
<i>Reece v. AstraZeneca Pharm., LP</i> , 500 F. Supp. 2d 736 (S.D. Ohio 2007)	15
<i>Salvio v. Amgen Inc.</i> , No. 2:11-cv-0553, 2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 19009 (W.D. Pa. Feb. 15, 2012).....	15
<i>Stupak v. Hoffmann-La Roche, Inc.</i> , No. 8:05-CV-926T30TBM, 2007 WL 2350561 (M.D. Fla. Aug. 17, 2007).....	15
<i>Tortorelli v. Mercy Health Ctr., Inc.</i> , 242 P.3d 549 (Okla. Civ. App. 2010)	16
<i>Wyeth v. Levine</i> , 555 U.S. 555 (2009)	7
<i>Ziliak v. AstraZeneca LP</i> , 324 F.3d 518 (7th Cir. 2003)	16
OTHER AUTHORITIES	
21 C.F.R. § 314.70(b)(2)(v)(A)	3

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp. (“Merck”) respectfully moves the Court to enter an order to show cause why all pending cases in this MDL proceeding should not be dismissed either because: (1) they are preempted under this Court’s March 26, 2014 Order, *In re Fosamax (Alendronate Sodium) Prods. Liab. Litig.*, MDL 2243, 2014 WL 1266994 (D.N.J. Mar. 26, 2014); or (2) they are based on the 2011 warning label, which is adequate as a matter of law, *Gaynor v. Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp.*, Nos. 12-1492, 08-08, 2014 WL 2738224 (D.N.J. June 17, 2014).

As the Court knows, Merck previously sought the dismissal of all cases involving an alleged injury *prior to September 14, 2010* on preemption grounds. Merck limited its motion to that group of cases on the assumption that plaintiffs who allege later injuries would base their claims on the contention that the revised warning label that was published in January 2011 was inadequate. Earlier this year, however, the Plaintiffs’ Steering Committee (“PSC”) indicated that regardless of when each plaintiff claims to have been injured, “*no Plaintiff* alleges that the January 2011 label was a proximate cause of his or her injury.” (Dkt. 3147, Ex. 4 (emphasis added).) Indeed, in opposing Merck’s motion for summary judgment concerning the adequacy of the 2011 label in *Gaynor v. Merck*, the plaintiffs argued that “[t]he 2011 Label is not relevant to Mrs. Gaynor’s failure to warn claim.” (See Dkt. 3531, at 2) Given plaintiffs’ shift in position, it appears

that all of the plaintiffs in this litigation are basing their claims on the pre-2011 Fosamax label. Because this Court has already held that plaintiffs' claims based on that label are preempted, all of the remaining claims in this MDL should be dismissed.¹

In the event the PSC was wrong and any individual plaintiffs in this litigation *do* seek to base their claims on the 2011 label, those claims should be dismissed as well. As the Court made clear in its recent ruling in *Gaynor*, the 2011 label – which expressly warns of atypical femur fractures – is adequate as a matter of New York law. The same logic holds true under other states' laws as well.

For these reasons, the Court should enter an order directing all remaining plaintiffs in the litigation to show cause within 45 days why their cases should not be dismissed. A list of cases to which this motion applies is attached as Appendix 1.

¹ To the extent any plaintiffs allege that they sustained injuries as a result of the warning label between September 14, 2010 and January 2011, those plaintiffs should be required to explain why they believe their alleged injuries were caused by the wording of the warning during that four-month period.

BACKGROUND

A. FDA Evaluation Of Bisphosphonate Labeling And The History Of The 2011 Fosamax Label Change

In June 2008, the FDA informed Merck and other bisphosphonate manufacturers that it was ““aware of reports regarding the occurrence of subtrochanteric hip fractures in patients using bisphosphonates”” and expressed its ““concern[] about this developing safety signal.”” *Glynn v. Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp.*, 951 F. Supp. 2d 695, 697 (D.N.J. 2013) (citation omitted). The FDA asked Merck to submit reports of hip and femur fractures, *id.*, which Merck delivered approximately one month later.

In September 2008, while the FDA was analyzing the data submitted by Merck and other bisphosphonate manufacturers, Merck submitted a Prior Approval Supplement (“PAS”) to the FDA.² *Id.* In that PAS, Merck sought to change the Fosamax label to include a Precaution about the potential risk of low-energy femoral shaft fractures associated with the use of the medicine. *Id.*; *see also id.* at 698 (quoting the language that Merck sought to add to the Precautions section of the Fosamax label on “Low-Energy Femoral Shaft Fracture”). The FDA rejected Merck’s request to include risk information regarding low-energy femur fractures

² A Prior Approval Supplement (“PAS”) is required by the FDA for any proposed change to product labeling, except changes designated as moderate or minor. 21 C.F.R. § 314.70(b)(2)(v)(A). A manufacturer cannot distribute its product with the changes until it receives FDA approval. *Id.* § 314.70(b).

in a Precaution, allowing inclusion of a reference to such fractures only in the Adverse Reactions section of the labeling. *Id.* The FDA also warned Merck that Fosamax could be considered misbranded if Merck adopted the Precaution about femur fractures. *Id.*

In March 2010, after reviewing the comprehensive data on femur fractures submitted by Merck and other bisphosphonate manufacturers, the FDA determined that there was no increased risk of atypical femur fractures in women using the medications. *Id.* at 699. Specifically, the FDA concluded that “the data . . . have not shown a clear connection between bisphosphonate use and a risk of atypical subtrochanteric femur fractures.” *Id.* (citation omitted). The FDA did state, however, that it was working with the American Society of Bone and Mineral Research (“ASBMR”) “to gather additional information that may provide more insight into this issue.” *Id.* (citation omitted).

On September 14, 2010, the ASBMR published an article stating that there was an association between long-term use of bisphosphonates and atypical femur fractures (“AFF”), as defined by the ASBMR, although the association had “not been proven to be causal.” *Id.* In a same-day response to the ASBMR report, the FDA declared that the agency was “thoroughly reviewing all long term data available on the products, as well as all safety reports” and that it would be “considering label revisions.” *Id.* (citation omitted, emphasis added in the

Court's opinion). On October 13, 2010, the FDA announced that it would require all bisphosphonate manufacturers to add information on AFFs to the Precautions section of their drug labels. *Id.* at 699-700. The FDA "reiterated that '[a]lthough it is not clear if bisphosphonates are the cause, these unusual femur fractures have been predominately reported in patients taking bisphosphonates.'" *Id.* at 700 (citation omitted). On January 11, 2011, Merck submitted the agreed-upon label changes to the FDA. *Id.*

On January 25, 2011, Merck incorporated the warning concerning femur fractures in the Warning and Precautions section of the Fosamax label. *Gaynor*, 2014 WL 2738224, at *3. Under that heading, the label states: "Atypical Femur Fractures have been reported. Patients with new thigh or groin pain should be evaluated to rule out an incomplete femoral fracture." *Id.* (citation omitted). In addition, a sub-section of the label is devoted to "Atypical Subtrochanteric and Diaphyseal Femoral Fractures," in which the label warns:

Atypical, low-energy, or low trauma fractures of the femoral shaft have been reported in bisphosphonate-treated patients. These fractures can occur anywhere in the femoral shaft from just below the lesser trochanter to above the supracondylar flare and are transverse or short oblique in orientation without evidence of comminution. Causality has not been established as these fractures also occur in osteoporotic patients who have not been treated with bisphosphonates.

Atypical femur fractures most commonly occur with minimal or no trauma to the affected area. They may be bilateral and many patients report prodromal pain in the affected area, usually

presenting as dull, aching thigh pain, weeks to months before a complete fracture occurs. A number of reports note that patients were also receiving treatment with glucocorticoids (e.g. prednisone) at the time of fracture.

Any patient with a history of bisphosphonate exposure who presents with thigh or groin pain should be suspected of having an atypical fracture and should be evaluated to rule out an incomplete femur fracture. Patients presenting with an atypical fracture should also be assessed for symptoms and signs of fracture in the contralateral limb. Interruption of bisphosphonate therapy should be considered, pending a risk/benefit assessment, on an individual basis.

Id. at *4 (internal quotation marks and citation omitted). Merck also modified the Medication Guide for Fosamax, which is included in the medication's tri-fold packaging, to expressly state that "FOSAMAX can cause serious side effects including . . . [u]nusual thigh bone fractures." *Id.* (citation omitted). The Medication Guide further explains that "[s]ome people have developed unusual fractures in their thigh bone. Symptoms of a fracture may include new or unusual pain in your hip, groin, or thigh." *Id.* (citation omitted).

B. The *Glynn* Decision And The Court's Subsequent Order To Show Cause Regarding Federal Preemption In Pre-Label Change Cases

The plaintiffs in *Glynn* filed a complaint alleging various causes of action arising out of Merck's alleged failure to warn of the risk of atypical femur fracture from using Fosamax. *Glynn*, 951 F. Supp. 2d at 700. The complaint alleged that Ms. Glynn had suffered an atypical femur fracture in April 2009. *Id.* at 701. The

plaintiffs proposed the *Glynn* case as an appropriate bellwether trial for the pre-label-change cases and the case eventually was chosen for that role.

Merck argued in both pre-trial and post-trial briefing that the *Glynn* plaintiffs' claims were preempted because the FDA's rejection of Merck's proposed Precaution disclosing a risk of femoral shaft fracture in patients using Fosamax made it impossible for Merck to warn plaintiffs about that risk. *See id.* at 700-01. After extensive briefing on the issue as well as a month-long bellwether trial, *see id.*, the Court granted judgment as a matter of law in favor of Merck on federal preemption grounds, *id.* at 697. As the Court explained, where "there is 'clear evidence that the FDA would not have approved a change' to the prescription drug's label, then it is impossible to comply with both federal and state requirements and the state failure to warn claim is preempted." *Id.* at 702-03 (quoting *Wyeth v. Levine*, 555 U.S. 555, 571 (2009)). Citing the FDA's May 2009 denial of Merck's request to add language about femur fractures to the Precautions section of the Fosamax label, the Court held that "preemption is warranted because there is clear evidence that the FDA would not have approved a change to the Precautions section of the Fosamax label prior to Mrs. Glynn's fracture." *Id.* at 703.

Subsequent to this decision, the Court issued an Order to Show Cause ("OTSC") (Dkt. 2895) directing all other plaintiffs who suffered femur fractures

prior to September 14, 2010 to show cause why their pre-September 14, 2010 injury claims should not be dismissed on preemption grounds pursuant to the Court's ruling in the *Glynn* case. See *In re Fosamax*, 2014 WL 1266994. Attached as Appendix A to the OTSC was a list of all plaintiffs who suffered injuries prior to September 14, 2010 ("Appendix A").

Following the submission of numerous briefs from plaintiffs and Merck, the Court entered judgment as a matter of law in hundreds of pre-label-change cases, explaining that "[p]reemption was dispositive of *Glynn* and cuts across all pre-label change cases." *Id.* at *1. The Court also determined that it was appropriate to utilize the OTSC to apply the *Glynn* preemption ruling to plaintiffs' design defect and non-failure-to-warn claims, because the claims were "based entirely on the premise that Fosamax had risks which should have been disclosed to consumers" such that "the[] claims rise and fall with a claim for failure to warn." *Id.* at *12. The Court therefore held that "[r]egardless of what state law applies to each Plaintiff's individual design defect and other non-failure to warn claims," Merck simply could not be held liable "for not having a warning on its product that was rejected by the FDA as of the date of a Plaintiff's injury." *Id.* at *14. Thus, the Court granted judgment as a matter of law on all pre-September 14, 2010 injury claims made by the plaintiffs listed in Appendix A of the OTSC.

C. The *Gaynor* Decision

Counsel agreed that the next bellwether trial after *Glynn* would be a post-label-change case so that the parties could test the content and adequacy of the Fosamax label as of January 25, 2011. *See Gaynor*, 2014 WL 2738224, at *5. After the parties each designated two potential candidates for such a trial, two of the cases were voluntarily dismissed and a third was determined by the Court to be ineligible because the plaintiff did not ingest Fosamax after the date of the label change. *See id.* at *4-6.

That left the case of Barbara Gaynor. Plaintiffs' counsel objected to her selection as a bellwether candidate but also refused to dismiss her case. *Id.* at *6. Merck sought and received the Court's approval to submit a motion for summary judgment to address the adequacy of the label at the time of Ms. Gaynor's injury. In its motion, Merck argued that plaintiffs' claims failed under New York law because Fosamax's 2011 label expressly warns of the risk of atypical femur fractures – the precise injury allegedly sustained by Mrs. Gaynor. Merck also argued, among other things, that the plaintiffs did not identify a separate misrepresentation or statement allegedly made to Mrs. Gaynor or her physician by Merck and did not allege a feasible alternative design to the drug. (Dkt. 3152.)

In their opposition, the *Gaynor* plaintiffs principally argued that “[t]he 2011 Label is not relevant to Mrs. Gaynor’s failure to warn claim” and that the Court

should not decide the issue of the adequacy of the 2011 Fosamax label. (*See* Dkt. 3531, at 2.) In support of their position, the *Gaynor* plaintiffs contended that because Mrs. Gaynor was prescribed Fosamax for approximately 15 years before the January 2011 label change, her injuries were “tied to the [alleged] failure to warn prior to 2011,” even though she was allegedly injured on September 20, 2011. (*Id.* at 1-2.)

The Court was unpersuaded by this argument. “While the Gaynor Plaintiffs’ Complaint specifically alleges that the warnings given by Defendant were not adequate,” the Court explained, “they are now contending that this Court should not determine whether the Fosamax label is adequate because Mrs. Gaynor is not contending that the label was a proximate cause of her injury. Plaintiffs cannot have it both ways.” *Gaynor*, 2014 WL 2738224, at *8 (citation omitted). According to the Court, “if the Gaynor Plaintiffs are basing their failure to warn claim on Merck’s conduct between 1996 and 2010 . . . then this Court has already held – twice – that such failure to warn claim fails as a matter of law on preemption grounds.” *Id.* (citing *In re Fosamax*, 2014 WL 1266994; *Glynn*, 951 F. Supp. 2d 695).

The Court also disagreed with the Gaynor plaintiffs’ contention that a determination regarding “the adequacy of the Fosamax label is not ripe.” *Id.* at *10. The Court explained that the issue could not be put off “[m]erely because the

Gaynor Plaintiffs have, at the last hour, conveniently chosen not to challenge the adequacy of the label as part of their failure to warn claim,” noting that “the Gaynor Plaintiffs’ Complaint specifically alleges that the label is inadequate and the inquiry is relevant to the Court’s analysis in determining whether Merck breached any duty.” *Id.* The Court then proceeded to assess the adequacy of the 2011 Fosamax label under New York law.

In conducting this inquiry, the Court first found that “the Fosamax label is accurate.” *Id.* at *11. The Court explained that “the label is fully descriptive, complete, and conveys updated information as to Fosamax’s side effects surrounding AFF’s.” *Id.* Next, the Court found that “the language employed on the warning label is sufficiently clear as it is direct and unequivocal and sufficiently conveys the risk of AFF’s when taking Fosamax.” *Id.* Third, the Court observed that “the sections and subsection of the Fosamax label which contain warnings related to AFF’s, as well as the Medication Guide directed towards patients, are consistent and precise, without any contradictions.” *Id.* Finally, the Court stated that “[a]s a whole, the label conveys an unmistakable meaning as to the consequences of ingesting Fosamax and instead of simply stating that a side effect may result from use of the drug, it advises physicians of the specific manner in which an AFF may materialize.” *Id.* Because the 2011 Fosamax label “is accurate, clear, consistent and as a whole conveys a meaning

that is unmistakable as it relates to AFF's," the Court held that the Fosamax label was adequate as a matter of law. *Id.* In addition, the Court also granted summary judgment in Merck's favor with respect to the "[p]laintiffs' claims for design defect, negligence, strict liability, and breach of warranties," because the Court recognized that these claims too "are directly related to the warning label." *Id.* at 12.³

D. The Composition Of The Remaining Cases In The Litigation

At the same status conference at which the Court approved Merck's request to prepare a dispositive motion in *Gaynor*, the Court also directed the parties to identify five post-label-change cases for expedited fact discovery – one of which would be tried as the next bellwether in October 2014. *See Gaynor*, 2014 WL 2738224, at *6.

However, "[a]s the parties began identifying five (5) cases for expedited fact discovery, and after adjourning the trial date twice, Plaintiffs' position on having a

³ Assuming, *arguendo*, that the design-defect claim was unrelated to the warning label, the Court further held that the claim would "still fail[]" as a matter of law because New York law is clear that, where a prescription drug is accompanied by a proper warning, such product is not defective nor is it unreasonably dangerous." *Gaynor*, 2014 WL 2738224, at *13. Similarly, the Court found that the *Gaynor* plaintiffs' warranty and negligent-misrepresentation claims also failed for other "independent reasons" – specifically, that the plaintiffs "failed to show that Merck made any warranty or misstatement to Mrs. Gaynor or her prescribing physician(s)." *Id.* Finally, the Court held that the "remaining claims for loss of consortium and punitive damages [we]re derivative of Plaintiffs' other causes of action" and granted summary judgment as to them as well. *Id.* at *13 n.6.

post-label change bellwether trial drastically changed.” *Id.* Specifically, on February 18, 2014, the Plaintiffs’ Steering Committee (“PSC”), advised counsel for Merck as follows:

We have requested that all counsel for Plaintiffs advise us if they represent a client that alleges that the January 2011 label was a proximate cause of his or her injury. To date, no one has responded with a case. Accordingly, we are not in a position to recommend five cases for advanced discovery. As such, we believe that the proposed schedule is moot because ***no Plaintiff alleges that the January 2011 label was a proximate cause of his or her injury.***

(See Dkt. 3147, Ex. 4 (emphases added).) Thereafter, on February 28, 2014, Co-Liaison Counsel advised the Court that “[p]laintiffs respectfully submit that an informative post-label change case should involve commencement of Fosamax use and a claimed injury date that both post-date the January 2011 label change” and that “[g]iven the time that has passed since the label change and the length of time ordinarily seen between first use and a fracture, it is highly unlikely that an instructive post-label change case has been filed and thus, this issue may not be ripe.” (Dkt. 3151.) The following month, counsel for plaintiffs advised that they did not have a post-label-change case for trial in October. (Dkt. 3204.)

In response, the Court cancelled the October 2014 bellwether trial date and directed the parties “to determine how the Court should proceed with ‘post-label change Plaintiffs.’” (*Id.*)

ARGUMENT

This Court is familiar with its authority to issue a show-cause order to evaluate whether a dispositive ruling in one case may control resolution of other pending cases. *See, e.g., In re Fosamax*, 2014 WL 1266994, at *10 (“[S]everal MDL courts have used an OTSC to” “apply a prior legal ruling to other Plaintiffs in an MDL”) (collecting authority); Order to Show Cause, Dkt. 432 (Dec. 29, 2011); Case Management Order No. 7, Dkt. 732 (Apr. 3, 2012). Indeed, the Court has already issued such an order to resolve a significant number of pending cases in light of its preemption ruling in *Glynn*. *See* 2014 WL 1266994.

An order to show cause should be issued with respect to the remaining cases in this MDL proceeding, particularly given plaintiffs’ claims that all pending cases – whatever injury date is alleged – are based on the pre-2011 label. (Dkt. 3147, Ex. 4 (stating that “no Plaintiff alleges that the January 2011 label was a proximate cause of his or her injury”).) As this Court has repeatedly recognized, to the extent plaintiffs base their claims on Merck’s purported failure to warn prior to September 14, 2010, such claims “fail[] as a matter of law on preemption grounds.” *Gaynor*, 2014 WL 2738224, at *8 (citing *In re Fosamax*, 2014 WL 1266994; *Glynn*, 951 F. Supp. 2d 695). Thus, the Court should order all remaining plaintiffs to show cause why their claims should not be dismissed on federal

preemption grounds consistent with this Court's rulings in *In re Fosamax*, 2014 WL 1266994 and *Glynn*, 951 F. Supp. 2d 695.

Alternatively, if any remaining plaintiffs claim that the January 2011 label *was* the proximate cause of his or her injury, those plaintiffs should show cause why their claims should not be dismissed for the reasons elucidated by the Court in *Gaynor*. Although *Gaynor* turns on New York law, it is based on fundamental principles that all states recognize – most notably, that a plaintiff cannot prevail for failure to warn if the warning label at issue warned of the very injury she allegedly suffered.⁴ Indeed, as this Court recognized in *Gaynor*, “[i]t is axiomatic that an

⁴ See, e.g., *Caveny v. CIBA-GEIGY Corp.*, 818 F. Supp. 1404, 1406 (D. Colo. 1992) (Colorado law) (granting summary judgment where the product's labeling warned of the precise adverse reaction suffered by the decedent); *Clark v. Hoffman-La Roche, Inc.*, No. ATL-L-67-05, 2006 WL 1374516, at *5-7 (N.J. Super. Ct. May 2, 2006) (Utah law) (concluding that a warning with respect to pregnancy was adequate as a matter of law where the black-box warning on the drug stated, among other things, that the drug “must not be used by females who are pregnant or who may become pregnant while undergoing treatment”); *Ebel v. Eli Lilly & Co.*, 536 F. Supp. 2d 767, 775 (S.D. Tex. 2008) (Texas law) (granting summary judgment based on statutory presumption of adequacy where the drug's warning label mentioned “the risks of which Plaintiff complain[ed]” and the plaintiff failed to present evidence to rebut the presumption); *Reece v. AstraZeneca Pharm., LP*, 500 F. Supp. 2d 736, 750 (S.D. Ohio 2007) (Ohio law) (failure-to-warn claim failed as a matter of law where the defendants “warned of th[e] risk on the [drug] label in no uncertain terms[] and plaintiff suffered the very injury warned of”); *Salvio v. Amgen Inc.*, No. 2:11-cv-0553, 2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 19009, at *8-19 (W.D. Pa. Feb. 15, 2012) (Pennsylvania law) (granting summary judgment because the package insert accompanying the drug expressly warned of the risk suffered by the plaintiff; explaining that “[w]arnings that ‘advise[] physicians of the specific risks at issue’ are adequate as a matter of law”) (citation omitted); *Stupak v. Hoffmann-La Roche, Inc.*, No. 8:05-CV-926T30TBM, 2007

essential element of a failure to warn claim is a defendant's failure to adequately warn about the alleged risks associated with its product." 2014 WL 2738224, at *8.⁵ Accordingly, if there are any plaintiffs who claim that their injuries were caused by the 2011 label, they too should be ordered to show cause why their claims should not be dismissed under the applicable state law.

WL 2350561, at *3 (M.D. Fla. Aug. 17, 2007) (Wisconsin law) (warning on prescription drug was adequate as a matter of law because it "warn[ed] of the precise adverse effect suffered by [plaintiff] (suicide) and the seriousness of the effect"); *Tortorelli v. Mercy Health Ctr., Inc.*, 242 P.3d 549, 559 (Okla. Civ. App. 2010) (Oklahoma law) (affirming grant of summary judgment where manufacturer adequately warned prescribing physician of the specific risk of an antigenic reaction from using its product); *Ziliak v. AstraZeneca LP*, 324 F.3d 518, 520-21 (7th Cir. 2003) (Indiana law) (affirming determination that the pharmaceutical manufacturer's warning was adequate as a matter of law where it "warn[ed] doctors that specific adverse side effects are associated with the use of a drug").

⁵ Plaintiffs' laundry list of other claims (e.g., design defect, negligence, fraud, strict liability, and breach of warranties, etc.) are all "predicated on a failure to warn," *Gaynor*, 2014 WL 2738224, at *12, and Merck believes they should be dismissed for many of the same reasons.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Merck respectfully requests that the Court issue an order directing all remaining plaintiffs in this MDL proceeding (listed in Appendix 1) to show cause within forty-five days why their claims should not be dismissed pursuant to the Court's rulings in *In re Fosamax*, 2014 WL 1266994, *Glynn*, 951 F. Supp. 2d 695, and *Gaynor*, 2014 WL 2738224.

Dated: August 15, 2014

Respectfully submitted,
FOX ROTHSCHILD LLP

/s/ Karen A. Confoy
Karen A. Confoy
kconfoy@foxrothschild.com

Paul F. Strain, Esq.
David J. Heubeck, Esq.
Stephen E. Marshall, Esq.
VENABLE LLP
750 E. Pratt Street, Suite 900
Baltimore, MD 21202

Chilton Davis Varner, Esq.
Andrew T. Bayman, Esq.
KING & SPALDING LLP
1180 Peachtree Street
Atlanta, GA 30309

Of Counsel:
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
& FLOM LLP

John H. Beisner, Esq.
Jessica Davidson Miller, Esq.
Geoffrey M. Wyatt, Esq.
1440 New York Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005
Attorneys for Merck Sharp and Dohme Corp.

68408
APPENDIX 1

Plaintiff Name	Case Name	Case No.	Alleged Date of Injury
Abbott, Sharon	Abbott, Sharon	13-cv-4701	6/3/2012
Abee, Helen	Abee, Helen	13-cv-1263	12/17/2010
Abrams, Marilyn	Abrams, Marilyn	13-cv-120	1/5/2010
Ahlgren, Constance	Ahlgren, Constance	13-cv-6546	10/16/2010
Akridge, Ronald	Akridge, Ronald	12-cv-5591	Unknown
Alberg, Evelyn	Alberg, Evelyn	13-cv-1378	6/20/2008; 9/16/2010
Aldous, Carol L.	Aldous, Carol	13-cv-629	4/21/2011; 8/28/2011
Allen, Juanita	Allen, Juanita	12-cv-5835	Unknown
Allera, June	Allera, June	13-cv-1661	12/28/2000
Andrews, Mary	Andrews, Mary	12-cv-1347	12/11/2009
Arinsberg, Larry	Arinsberg, Larry	12-cv-7285	Unknown
Atkins, Peggy	Atkins, Peggy	12-cv-6842	3/2/2008; 4/19/2012
Auker, Carolyn	Auker, Carolyn	13-cv-1657	3/16/2010
Ayres, Catherine	Ayres, Catherine	12-cv-6658	7/23/2010
Banner, Lorraine	Banner, Lorraine	13-cv-785	3/28/2011
Bannon, Florence	Bannon, Florence	12-cv-4082	7/21/2011
Barbe, Jane	Barbe, Jane	12-cv-6348	10/12/2007
Bartosch, Nancy J.	Bartosch, Nancy	13-cv-1413	10/1/2010
Bartsch, Geraldine	Bartsch, Geraldine	13-cv-1021	Unknown
Basile, Marie	Basile, Marie	12-cv-6944	10/31/2010
Beachel, Nancy	Beachel, Nancy	14-cv-1371	6/27/2013
Beadles, Louise	Beadles, Louise	13-cv-846	6/10/2010
Benedict, Shirley	Benedict, Shirley	13-cv-64	Unknown
Bennett, Elba I.	Bennett, Elba	13-cv-4448	7/7/2011
Benoit, Carolyn F.	Benoit, Carolyn F.	14-cv-1917	7/29/2012; 2/21/2013
Bernstein, Linda	Bernstein, Linda	13-cv-5911	3/16/2012; 8/29/2012
Betts, Sandra	Betts, Sandra	13-cv-1297	Unknown
Dharamsi, Kanta Manoj	Bishop, Rosemary	12-cv-1344	8/14/2011
Peterson, Pura Gonzales	Bishop, Rosemary	12-cv-1344	9/21/2010
Bjork, Frances	Bjork, Frances	12-cv-3325	9/26/2010
Black, Sandra Y.	Black, Sandra	12-cv-33	5/2/2011; 10/3/2011
Bordelon, Juanita	Bordelon, Juanita	12-cv-161	5/28/2011
Borri, Janice M.	Borri, Janice	11-cv-7341	10/17/2010; 10/23/2010
Boue, Norma	Boue, Norma	13-cv-6407	Unknown
Bowen, Kay	Bowen, Kay	13-cv-1317	Unknown
Brail, Katherine	Brail, Katherine	12-cv-5977	7/18/2011

APPENDIX 1

Plaintiff Name	Case Name	Case No.	Alleged Date of Injury
Bravo de Meneses, Maria M.	Bravo de Meneses, Maria	13-cv-3471	5/29/2011; 12/23/2011
Bray, Nettie	Bray, Nettie	13-cv-1017	2/16/2011
Breeden, Judy	Breeden, Judy	11-cv-6749	11/16/2010
Breen, Mary Elizabeth	Breen, Mary Elizabeth	13-cv-1316	8/10/2010
Breslin, Frances	Breslin, Frances	12-cv-6166	10/8/2010
Brooks, Linda	Brooks,	12-cv-6468	6/14/2011
Brooks, Betty	Brooks, Betty	13-cv-1314	3/16/2005; 9/6/2010; 1/31/2012
Brown, Christine	Brown, Christine	14-cv-1220	Unknown
Brown, Garry L.	Brown, Garry	12-cv-2731	1/15/2011
Brown, Harriett C.	Brown, Harriett	13-cv-250	4/10/2011
Bruffett, Melanie Ann	Bruffett, Melanie	12-cv-3976	4/1/2012
Bundy, Ruth	Bundy, Ruth	14-cv-1215	Unknown
Burch, Margaret	Burch, Margaret	12-cv-3554	4/29/2011
Burchett, Priscilla	Burchett, Priscilla	12-cv-7416	12/1/2009
Burnett, Mary	Burnett, Mary	12-cv-6101	Unknown
Burns, Ruth M.	Burns, Ruth	13-cv-6183	12/31/2010
Bush, Juanita	Bush, Juanita	13-cv-1448	5/25/2012
Caffery, Sharil	Caffery, Sharil	12-cv-4425	4/22/2011
Caldarello, Madeline	Caldarello, Madeline	12-cv-5832	9/15/2009
Camp, Phyllis	Camp, Phyllis	13-cv-2624	4/24/2010
Capasso, Kathleen	Capasso, Kathleen	13-cv-5693	Unknown
Carbo, Dorothy	Carbo, Dorothy	13-cv-1260	12/5/2005
Carey, Patricia	Carey, Patricia	13-cv-2413	Unknown
Carter, Cristina	Carter, Cristina	12-cv-7331	11/28/2010
Carter, Evelyn Wilson	Carter, Evelyn	12-cv-2822	6/17/2009
Carter, Katherine S.	Carter, Katherine	12-cv-4940	7/21/2011
Campbell Carter, Marguerite	Carter, Marguerite	12-CV-2674	3/20/2011
Cartier, Kathleen S.	Cartier, Kathleen	12-cv-2835	6/22/2011
Cassatt, Darlene	Cassatt, Darlene	13-cv-2401	Unknown
Castillo, Frances	Castillo, Frances	12-cv-6165	Unknown
Castillo, Mabel	Castillo, Theresa (PR-Mabel Castillo Estate)	12-cv-70	1/1/2009
Chapman, Priscilla J.	Chapman, Priscilla	13-cv-590	1/12/2011
Chase, Brenda	Chase, Brenda	12-cv-1786	4/2/2011; 7/30/2012
Chatman, Virginia	Chatman, Virginia	13-cv-754	1/19/2012
Christy, Tamsen	Christy, Tamsen	13-cv-2246	3/28/2011

APPENDIX 1

Plaintiff Name	Case Name	Case No.	Alleged Date of Injury
Cianci, Harriett Elizabeth	Cianci, Harriett Elizabeth	12-cv-2859	10/19/2010
Cichocki, Irene B.	Cichocki, Irene	14-cv-4558	12/24/2010
Clifton, Anatala S.	Clifton, Anatala	12-cv-1408	6/2/2011
Coffey, Jean E.	Coffey, Jean	13-cv-1696	7/16/2011
Taylor, Nancy A.	Coffin, Carolyn (PR-Nancy Taylor Estate)	13-cv-850	11/4/2009; 3/21/2011; 4/4/2011
Colby, Carol A.	Colby, Carol	13-cv-1435	11/11/2011
Coleman, Colleen	Coleman, Colleen	13-cv-263	3/14/2012
Colern, Barbara	Colern, Barbara	12-cv-7723	10/17/2009; 3/30/2012
Collier, Karen G.	Collier, Karen	12-cv-2860	5/17/2011
Collins, Margie	Collins, Margie	13-cv-2004	4/15/2011
Conner, Cheryl	Conner, Cheryl	13-cv-442	2/17/2003; 7/2/2007
Conroy, Joyce	Conroy, Joyce	13-cv-41	Unknown
Conway, Janet	Conway, Janet	13-cv-179	Unknown
Cook, Darlene	Cook, Darlene	12-cv-7112	Unknown
Cope, Mary S.	Cope, Mary	12-cv-1385	9/27/2010
Corriveau, Laurie	Corriveau, Laurie	12-cv-6164	Unknown
Costigan, Jack	Costigan, Jack	13-cv-2159	Unknown
Covin, Cynthia	Covin, Cynthia	14-cv-4308	6/27/2011
Cox, Mary Ann	Cox, Mary Ann	12-cv-6367	4/1/2012
Cox, Ralph T.	Cox, Ralph T.	12-cv-7173	Unknown
Criss, Mira L.	Criss, Mira	13-cv-1524	4/6/2012; 10/26/2012
Cromwell, Theresa	Cromwell, Theresa	13-cv-2095	Unknown
Croyle, Kim	Croyle, Kim	12-cv-7417	12/30/2010
Cruce, Eva	Cruce, Eva	13-cv-1698	7/6/2011
Crunk, Dorothy	Crunk, Dorothy	13-cv-7563	12/14/2011
Cuedek, Steven	Cuedek, Steven	13-cv-1715	9/14/2010
Culpepper-Sheffield, Effie	Culpepper-Sheffield, Effie	13-cv-29	9/2006; 1/16/2011
Cummings, June	Cummings, June	12-cv-6661	Unknown
Dale, Marcia "Marcy"	Dale, Marcia	12-cv-7193	12/5/2007; 11/27/2010
Darling, Selma	Darling, Selma	12-cv-6799	11/3/2010
Darnell, Doris	Darnell, Doris	12-cv-7681	5/21/2011
Davis, Frances	Davis, Frances	12-cv-6270	11/18/2011
Davis, Judith	Davis, Judith	11-cv-3313	12/3/2010
Davis, Melissa (Mary Melissa)	Davis, Melissa	13-1-cv-5324	10/8/2012

APPENDIX 1

Plaintiff Name	Case Name	Case No.	Alleged Date of Injury
Davis, Teresa Lynn	Davis, Teresa Lynn	12-cv-6234	Unknown
Dawson, Mary	Dawson, Mary	12-cv-1870	3/24/2011
Deaver, Clarice A.	Deaver, Clarice	12-cv-7252	10/28/2010; 5/24/2011
DeClue, Shirley	DeClue, Shirley	12-cv-1052	6/14/2011
Defibaugh, Delores	Defibaugh, Delores	13-cv-5257	10/22/2010
Denmon, Sterling	Denmon, Sterling	12-cv-6350	Unknown
DeStefano, Loretta	DeStefano, Loretta	12-cv-2266	2/23/2010; 9/20/2010
Diaz, Ana	Diaz, Ana	12-cv-3386	9/10/2010
Dillard, Norma	Dillard, Norma	13-cv-30	8/22/2007; 3/2008
Doses, Elaine	Doses, Elaine	14-cv-731	7/26/2011
Drake, Elaine	Drake, Elaine	12-cv-5563	Unknown
Dubois, Ann	Dubois, Ann	12-cv-5487	9/2006; 9/2011
Dudek, Marjorie	Dudek, Marjorie	13-cv-5258	4/28/2011
Duncan, Mary	Duncan, Mary	13-cv-1891	6/27/2008; 5/8/2010
Durec, Georgia	Durec, Georgia	13-cv-5259	9/20/2010; 1/17/2011
Duval, Doris Jane	Duval, Doris	13-cv-772	5/30/2011
Easterling, Dolores	Easterling, Dolores	13-cv-1687	4/29/2011
Ecker, Susan	Ecker, Susan	12-cv-7259	4/3/2009; 9/20/2009
Egle, Mary Frances	Egle, Mary	12-cv-7376	12/10/2010; late 2010
Eisman, Phyllis	Eisman, Phyllis	14-cv-4556	11/16/2013
Ellis, Rosemary	Ellis, Rosemary	12-cv-5429	Unknown
Elmore, Dolly G.	Elmore, Dolly	13-cv-2089	12/25/2011; 3/19/2012
Enerson, Kathleen	Enerson, Kathleen	13-cv-5260	10/29/2010
Enfield, Dorothy	Enfield, Dorothy	13-cv-830	2/13/2007
Eppler, Patricia	Eppler, Patricia	12-cv-7411	Unknown
Epstein, Marilyn	Epstein, Marilyn	12-cv-7113	11/11/2010
Eversole, Connie	Eversole, Connie	12-cv-6100	Unknown
Falconieri, Diane	Falconieri, Diane	13-cv-1240	6/20/2008
Faust, Patricia	Faust, Patricia	12-cv-7365	10/6/2004; 10/2/2007
Feinberg, Stephanie	Feinberg, Stephanie	13-cv-31	6/17/2007; 9/28/2007
Feingold, Renee	Feingold, Renee	12-cv-4941	9/24/2010
Ferguson, Marion A.	Ferguson, Marion	12-cv-377	5/29/2011; 3/2012
Fischer, Marilyn J.	Fischer, Marilyn	12-cv-5270	Unknown
Fishel, Patricia	Fishel, Patricia	12-cv-6470	10/12/2010
Fleetwood, Stella	Fleetwood, Stella	13-cv-2108	4/29/2011
Flynn, Wilma	Flynn, Wilma	12-cv-1279	4/9/2011
Forkel, Delia	Forkel, Delia	12-cv-1848	4/13/2011
Ennever, Patricia	Foster, Hazel	12-cv-3596	7/22/2002; 9/24/2004
Foster, Hazel	Foster, Hazel	12-cv-6857	8/1/2011; 8/4/2012

APPENDIX 1

Plaintiff Name	Case Name	Case No.	Alleged Date of Injury
Ledbetter, David	Foster, Hazel	12-cv-6857	Unknown
Porter, Angela	Foster, Hazel	12-cv-6857	6/15/2012
Fox, Dorothy	Fox, Dorothy	12-cv-5836	Unknown
Frampton, Barbara	Frampton, Barbara	11-cv-5564	12/1/2003; 12/22/2005
Frasier-Smith, Sandra K.	Frasier-Smith, Sandra	13-cv-1492	8/18/2012
Frizzell, Martha	Frizzell, Martha	12-cv-6273	2/29/2012
Fulkerson, Maria	Fulkerson, Maria	12-cv-1469	3/9/2005; 7/16/2011
Fulmer, Irene	Fulmer, Irene	12-cv-1108	11/28/2009
Galemba, Ruth	Galemba, Ruth	13-cv-35	Unknown
Gallop, Martha Oliver Crawley	Gallop, Martha	12-cv-1728	9/22/2010
Gann, Mary	Gann, Mary	13-cv-5943	Unknown
Gaynor, Barbara	Gaynor, Barbara	12-cv-1492	9/20/2011
George, Jean G.	George, Jean	13-cv-7414	12/8/2010
Getzberg, Celia A.	Getzberg, Celia	12-cv-4539	11/19/2011
Geyer, Suzanne	Geyer, Suzanne	13-cv-2774	1/16/2008; 5/17/2010
Gilbert, Barbara	Gilbert, Barbara	12-cv-2547	5/16/2010; 4/4/2011
Gill, Mary Jo	Gill, Mary Jo	12-cv-1717	12/5/2010
Gillett, Margaret	Gillett, Margaret	12-cv-7412	Unknown
Gittelman, Iris	Gittelman, Iris	12-cv-6660	10/25/2009
Gleue, Elmer	Gleue, Elmer	13-cv-2798	5/30/2011
Goldberger, Esther	Goldberger, Esther	12-cv-5643	11/19/2009
Goldklang, Charlotte	Goldklang, Charlotte	11-cv-7279	9/12/2011
Goldman, Marlene	Goldman, Marlene	13-cv-1890	Unknown
Gonshor, Eleanor	Gonshor, Eleanor	12-cv-7125	11/16/2008
Wolowick, Nancy Antoinette	Goodman, Arlene	11-cv-3320	11/14/2010
Goodwin, Prudence	Goodwin, Prudence	13-cv-2096	5/24/2008; 7/6/2010
Hancock, Judith	Gordan, Michelle (PR- Judith Hancock Estate)	13-cv-1361	1/2/2009
Gordon, Sandra	Gordon, Sandra	11-cv-7022	11/9/2008
Griffin, Sally	Griffin, Sally	12-cv-5579	Unknown
Anaya, Prescilla	Griggs-Anaya, Eleanor (PR-Prescilla Anaya Estate)	13-cv-1487	3/17/2011
Guire, Hazel	Guire, Hazel	12-cv-5559	Unknown
Gulley, Linda	Gulley, Linda	13-cv-5839	11/13/2010
Gulliford, Nancy	Gulliford, Nancy	12-cv-5644	Unknown
Gunderson, Rose	Gunderson, Rose	13-cv-1366	4/27/2009
Gunkle, Charlotte	Gunkle, Charlotte	12-cv-6285	6/2/2007

APPENDIX 1

Plaintiff Name	Case Name	Case No.	Alleged Date of Injury
Gunsberg, Melvin	Gunsberg, Melvin	12-cv-6163	8/23/2006
Gurth, Beverly	Gurth, Beverly	12-cv-7413	11/27/2010
Hamman, Janet	Hamman, Janet	12-cv-2189	8/4/2011
Hampson, Rosemary	Hampson, Rosemary	12-cv-7420	Unknown
Hardaway, Rebecca	Hardaway, Rebecca	13-cv-2423	4/22/2010
Hill, Phyllis	Harne, Sharon (PR-Phyllis Hill Estate)	12-cv-5562	Unknown
Enfield, Sandra	Harralson, Connie (PR-Leta Sneed Estate)	12-cv-4608	4/19/2010
Harris, Marie E.	Harris, Marie	12-cv-4446	4/16/2011
Harris, Nora Faye	Harris, Nora Faye	13-cv-7174	10/2/2010
Harrison, Anne	Harrison, Anne	12-cv-6006	Unknown
Hastings, Susan	Hastings, Susan	13-cv-2625	4/25/2011
Hasty, Madeline Anne	Hasty, Madeline Anne	13-cv-3361	5/30/2012
Hawes, Katherine	Hawes, Katherine	13-cv-36	8/14/2003
Hawn, Patricia	Hawn, Patricia	13-cv-4643	8/5/2011; late 2011/early 2012
Haynes, TC	Haynes, TC	13-cv-1885	1/1/2012; 2/11/2011
Hazelbaker, Dorothy	Hazelbaker, Dorothy	13-cv-3443	6/4/2010
Heaton, Sue	Heaton, Sue	13-cv-7705	12/18/2011; 6/25/2012
Helfers, Myrtle A.	Helfers, Myrtle	13-cv-4985	3/4/2006
Henrich, Cetha	Henrich, Cetha	13-cv-698	2/3/2011
Herbert, Paula	Herbert, Paula	12-cv-1635	4/2003
Hernandez, Enid	Hernandez, Enid	14-cv-1221	Unknown
Hildebrand, Dena	Hildebrand, Dena	12-cv-6435	8/24/2011
Hildebrand, Gloria Cope	Hildebrand, Gloria Cope	12-cv-3616	4/27/2011
Hillenburg, Wilma	Hillenburg, Wilma	12-cv-1202	11/14/2010
Himalaya, Shanthi	Himalaya, Shanthi	12-cv-2599	5/23/2011
Hiveley, Barbara	Hiveley, Barbara	12-cv-1201	Unknown
Hofer, Dolores	Hofer, Dolores	12-cv-6103	9/1/2010
Holland, Connie	Holland, Connie	14-cv-2147	11/29/2011; 2/15/2012

APPENDIX 1

Plaintiff Name	Case Name	Case No.	Alleged Date of Injury
Holman, Richlyn L.	Holman, Richlyn	12-cv-6051	5/22/2012
Holton, Karen Lynn Ayscue	Holton, Karen Lynn Ayscue	12-cv-1037	12/25/2010
Homler, Shirley	Homler, Shirley	11-cv-3162	7/20/2010; 10/2010
Hoover, Jane	Hoover, Jane	11-cv-6650	Unknown
Horn, Kathleen	Horn, Kathleen	13-cv-2224	5/26/2012
Howard, Zono Jane	Howard, Zono Jane	12-cv-5487	9/3/2011
Hudak, Mary	Hudak, Mary	13-cv-3475	11/23/2011
Hulin, Vikki L.	Hulin, Vikki	12-cv-1278	8/5/2011
Hunter, Daphne	Hunter, Daphne	12-cv-6300	10/6/2010
Hurley, Cheryl	Hurley, Cheryl	12-cv-6985	11/9/2010
Hurst, Thelma	Hurst, Thelma	12-cv-5967	Unknown
Irish, Marie Amber Drew	Irish, Marie Amber Drew	12-cv-5414	12/25/2007
Jackson, Margie	Jackson, Margie	12-cv-1750	5/2009; 11/25/2009; 9/20/2012
James, Betty	James, Betty	13-cv-1367	10/11/2007
Jarrett, June B.	Jarrett, June	12-cv-3591	11/22/2011
Jatcko, Barbara	Jatcko, Barbara	13-cv-37	12/12/2007
Jeffery, Joy	Jeffery, Joy	12-cv-6908	10/24/2010
Jeffries, Marilyn	Jeffries, Marilyn	11-cv-3370	2/9/2011
Jennings, Madeline	Jennings, Madeline	13-cv-1592	3/11/2010
Johnson, Beverly E.	Johnson, Beverly	13-cv-6205	9/25/2010
Johnson, Carl Donald	Johnson, Carl Donald	13-cv-5167	2011
Johnson, Karen	Johnson, Karen	12-cv-6600	11/2007; 9/2010
Jackson, Helen	Johnson, Sandra (Estate of Helen Jackson)	14-cv-3763	11/13/2007
Jones, Carole	Jones, Carole	12-cv-7427	Unknown
Jones, Sheila	Jones, Sheila	12-cv-7422	12/1/2010; 5/13/2011
Jones, Sumi	Jones, Sumi	12-cv-7256	11/24/2009
Joseph, Carol J.	Joseph, Carol	13-cv-2258	9/26/2012
Kafka, Helene	Kafka, Helene	13-cv-568	9/4/2008
Kahn, Sandra	Kahn, Sandra	11-cv-5150	10/3/2010
Kantrowitz, Norma	Kantrowitz, Norma	13-cv-6054	11/18/2011

APPENDIX 1

Plaintiff Name	Case Name	Case No.	Alleged Date of Injury
Karimi-Azad, Talat	Karimi-Azad, Talat	12-cv-2548	4/5/2011
Karones, Clara	Karones, Clara	12-cv-7418	6/20/2000; 12/3/2005; 12/1/2010
Kazemi, Farideh	Kazemi, Farideh	12-cv-1719	4/17/2011; 8/20/2011
Kazemi, Marilyn	Kazemi, Marilyn	12-cv-6183	10/30/2010
Kellison, Joyce H.	Kellison, Joyce	13-cv-1513	5/2011; 5/2012
Kershanbaum, Roslyn	Kershanbaum, Roslyn	12-cv-7192	11/28/2010
Kessler, Janice Joanne	Kessler, Janice	13-cv-985	1/19/2005
Kirchner, Beverly	Kirchner, Beverly	12-cv-5388	Unknown
Kirtley, Mildred	Kirtley, Mildred	12-cv-6349	Unknown
Kitowski, Charlene	Kitowski, Charlene	13-cv-2704	11/17/2009
Kleid, Adele	Kleid, Adele	12-cv-1064	12/27/2010
Knecht, Rose	Knecht, Rose	13-cv-39	11/2010
Koski, Solita	Koski, Solita	12-cv-6184	5/1/2011
Kozla, Marianne	Kozla, Marianne	12-cv-6162	Unknown
Kozlov, Simone A.	Kozlov, Simone	12-cv-1406	3/18/2011
Krapek, Lynn Ann	Krapek, Lynn Ann	13-cv-20	12/14/2010
Krebs, Regina	Krebs, Regina	13-cv-6406	3/1/2007
Krupka, Marianne B.	Krupka, Marianne	13-cv-1411	5/15/2011
LaFave, Darlene	La Fave, Darlene	13-cv-2287	4/16/2010
Lambert, Mary	Lambert, Mary	13-cv-851	Unknown
Lamirande, Louella	Lamirande, Louella	10-cv-6049	5/29/2009; 12/2010
Landis, Jacqueline	Landis, Jacqueline	13-cv-1241	5/14/2005
Lange, Vera	Lange, Susan (PR-Vera Lange Estate)	12-cv-966	Unknown
Lapan, Evelyn	Lapan, Evelyn	11-cv-3912	12/20/2010
Lapham, Joan	Lapham, Joan	13-cv-1869	3/27/2010
Latini, Barbara	Latini, Barbara	13-cv-5299	7/25/2011
Lavache, Bernice	Lavache, Bernice	12-cv-1716	5/26/2011
Law, Raymonda	Law, Raymonda	12-cv-6297	Unknown
LeBlanc, Margaret Ann	LeBlanc, Margaret Ann	12-cv-1280	11/23/2011
Lee, Chien F.	Lee, Chien F.	12-cv-6586	Unknown
Lee, Chontella	Lee, Chontella	13-cv-40	10/2006
Lees, Betty J.	Lees, Betty	12-cv-4451	5/18/2011
Leeson-Pike, Elizabeth	Leeson-Pike, Elizabeth	14-cv-3365	Unknown

68416
APPENDIX 1

Plaintiff Name	Case Name	Case No.	Alleged Date of Injury
LeMasters, Terri M.	LeMasters, Terri	12-cv-7542	12/10/2010
Levi, Eva	Levi, Eva	13-cv-122	1/7/2010; 2/14/2011
Liban, Inge	Liban, Inge	12-cv-7423	Unknown
Liddle, David A.	Liddle, David	13-cv-1514	7/15/2012
Lindsey, Yasuko	Lindsey, Yasuko	13-cv-2703	2/16/2010; 2/17/2013
Lipscomb, Joan	Lipscomb, Joan	13-cv-121	1/7/2011
Liston, Mary	Liston, Mary	13-cv-749	3/21/2012
Logan, Joyce	Logan, Joyce	12-cv-5553	3/31/2011; 11/2011
Logsdon, Adele	Logsdon, Adele	12-cv-5386	5/4/2009; 8/11/2009
Lowe, Georgetta	Lowe, Georgetta	12-cv-6190	1/16/2012
Rensing, Christine	Lowry, Marion (PR-Christine Rensing Estate)	12-cv-7421	4/2/2004
Lucas, Joanne	Lucas, Joanne	13-cv-1219	Unknown
Luck, Mary	Luck, Mary	12-cv-7419	Unknown
Lynn, Peggy	Lynn, Peggy	12-cv-7424	Unknown
Machino, Linda B.	Machino, Linda	13-cv-498	2/24/2009
Mack, Carolyn	Mack, Carolyn	12-cv-6303	Unknown
Maher, Frances	Maher, Frances	13-cv-7622	Unknown
Malcolm, Nancy Waller	Malcolm, Nancy Waller	12-cv-7877	6/16/2011
Marasco, Joan P.	Marasco, Joan P.	14-cv-2624	11/25/2011
Marcy, Ellen	Marcy, Ellen	11-cv-6922	12/1/2010
Marra, Carol A.	Marra, Carol A.	14-cv-4555	4/26/2013
Martin, Bobbie	Martin, Bobbie	12-cv-6189	11/12/2010
Martin, Nancy	Martin, Nancy	14-cv-4326	2/3/2013
Massengale, Mary	Massengale, Mary	12-cv-1062	11/1/2010; 12/14/2010
Matthews, Mary	Matthews, Mary	13-cv-4211	11/26/2010
Matzenbacher, Norma	Matzenbacher, Norma	12-cv-1565	5/31/1998
Maurice, Elisabeth Vail	Maurice, Elisabeth Vail	12-cv-6947	11/8/2009
Maurstad, Karen	Maurstad, Karen	12-cv-7645	12/15/2010
Mays, Imogene	Mays, Imogene	12-cv-6408	Unknown
Mazariegos, Maria Ene delia	Mazariegos, Maria	13-cv-7186	11/26/2011
McCall, Doreen	McCall, Doreen	13-cv-1351	5/16/2008; 11/9/2011
McCoy, Elizabeth	McCoy, Elizabeth	13-cv-1886	3/27/2011; 5/12/2010
McGarvey, Sheila	McGarvey, Sheila	13-cv-1866	9/26/2008

APPENDIX 1

Plaintiff Name	Case Name	Case No.	Alleged Date of Injury
McGeorge, Donna J.	McGeorge, Donna	12-cv-5343	6/2002; 2/1/2010
McGowan, Christine	McGowan, Christine	12-cv-5105	8/14/2011
McGuire, Doris	McGuire, Doris	13-cv-170	5/10/2006
McInnis, Michaela Shea	McInnis, Michaela Shea	12-cv-1409	3/17/2010
McIntyre, Claude	McIntyre, Claude	13-cv-543	12/19/2003
McMillan, Earline	McMillan, Earline	12-cv-6065	9/17/2010
McMillan, Glenda	McMillan, Glenda	12-cv-6295	Unknown
McNinch, Patricia E.	McNinch, Robert (PR-Patricia McNinch Estate)	13-cv-1717	1/12/2012
Meiner, Gerald	Meiner, Gerald	13-cv-177	12/2007; 1/21/2011
Mejia, Teresita	Mejia, Teresita	12-cv-2001	3/7/2010
Meltzer, Yvette	Meltzer, Yvette	13-cv-1243	9/30/2008; 10/2/2009
Melvin, Carmela	Melvin, Carmela	12-cv-6160	5/31/2010; 12/6/2010
Mercer, Ruby	Mercer, Ruby	12-cv-6425	2/6/2012
Metz, Theresa	Metz, Theresa	11-cv-1286	9/5/2009; 9/1/2011
Mieczkowski, Alice	Mieczkowski, Alice	13-cv-2705	Unknown
Miles, Ollie M.	Miles, Ollie	12-cv-5368	8/25/2011
Millar, Orah	Millar, Orah	12-cv-7124	Unknown
Smith, Marguerite	Mills, Cheryl (PR-Marguerite Smith Estate)	13-cv-1339	3/4/2010
Min, Pyohngsook	Min, Pyohngsook	13-cv-1521	11/27/2011; 9/16/2012
Mish, Dianna	Mish, Dianna	14-cv-1222	Unknown
Mitsutomi, Jean	Mitsutomi, Jean	12-cv-5331	5/22/2011; 6/21/2012
Modrow, Shirley	Modrow, Shirley	12-cv-6293	10/5/2011; 11/8/2011
Monahan, Virginia	Monahan, Virginia	12-cv-6943	Unknown
Montana, Michael	Montana, Michael	13-cv-2209	Unknown
Moosally, Beatrice	Moosally, Beatrice	12-cv-6159	Unknown
Mora, Maria	Mora, Maria	12-cv-5965	Unknown
Morgan, Lila	Morgan, Lila	12-cv-6185	9/23/2010
Morissette, Carol	Morissette, Carol	12-cv-7258	11/24/2009; 3/1/2010
Mosner, Florence	Mosner, Florence	13-cv-1299	Unknown
Moszczynski, Vera	Moszczynski, Vera	13-cv-7093	10/11/2013
Moyers, Margaret S.	Moyers, Margaret	11-cv-7612	Unknown
Murphy, Elaine L.	Murphy, Elaine	12-cv-376	Unknown
Murphy, Theresa	Murphy, Theresa	13-cv-2622	Unknown

APPENDIX 1

Plaintiff Name	Case Name	Case No.	Alleged Date of Injury
Mymit, Florence	Mymit, Florence	13-cv-1421	4/19/2013
Naccio, Josephine P.	Naccio, Josephine	11-cv-4055	3/20/2004
Nakamura, Reiko	Nakamura, Reiko	11-cv-5297	11/2/2010
Nance, Mardi	Nance, Mardi	13-cv-1489	5/11/2012
Narr, Colleen	Narr, Colleen	12-cv-7425	1/7/2011; 11/17/2011
Nelson, Ethelinda	Nelson, Ethelinda	11-cv-3367	10/23/2010; 11/7/2011
Nelson, Gilda	Nelson, Gilda	11-cv-6695	11/12/2010
Nelson, Marie S.	Nelson, Marie	13-cv-2092	9/19/2012
Nichols, Lois	Nichols, Lois	12-cv-6294	Unknown
Niver, Clara	Niver, Clara	12-cv-3327	3/2/2003
Noel, Jill	Noel, Jill	13-cv-745	5/8/2011
Nora, Belen D.	Nora, Belen	12-cv-1382	2/18/2008; 12/14/2010
O'Brien, Delores Marie	O'Brien, Delores Marie	13-cv-1197	6/17/2010
Burlage, Fred	O'Dell, Shirley (PR-Fred Burlage Estate)	13-cv-1706	Unknown
O'Donnell, Michele	O'Donnell, Michele	12-cv-6158	Unknown
Olson, Corinne	Olson, Corinne	12-cv-6157	8/17/2007
O'Neal, Linda	O'Neal, Linda	12-cv-6302	Unknown
Orent, Stephanie Jane	Orent, Stephanie	12-cv-4540	10/27/2007
Orr, Sophie V.	Orr, Sophie	12-cv-1110	5/21/2011
Osburn, Kathryn	Osburn, Kathryn	13-cv-1470	3/18/2007
Ostrowsky, Helena	Ostrowsky, Lynne (PR-Helena Ostrowsky Estate)	13-cv-694	Unknown
Oveson, Carolyn	Oveson, Carolyn	12-cv-6898	3/9/2011
Owens, Janene Kay	Owens, Janene	12-cv-5101	10/11/2010
Pace, Phyllis	Pace, Phyllis	12-cv-7414	Unknown
Pannone, Anthony	Pannone, Anthony	13-cv-699	Unknown
Pardue, Ellis	Pardue, Ellis	13-cv-1659	7/11/2008
Parker, William	Parker, William	12-cv-6963	Unknown
Parr, Loyce Marlene	Parr, Loyce Marlene	13-cv-1362	10/10/2008
Patterson, Carole	Patterson, Carole	12-cv-6953	9/7/2011
Paulson, Jo Ann	Paulson, Jo Ann	14-cv-1815	7/13/2010; 1/11/2011
Perkins, Yolanda	Perkins, Yolanda	12-cv-6186	3/20/2011
Perlow, Liba	Perlow, Liba	12-cv-6520	10/19/2009
Phillips, Linda	Phillips, Linda	13-cv-1658	3/19/2010
Phillips, Majorie	Phillips, Majorie	12-cv-6958	12/10/2009

APPENDIX 1

Plaintiff Name	Case Name	Case No.	Alleged Date of Injury
Pickering, Linda	Pickering, Linda	12-cv-7111	11/13/2010
Pidluski, Olga	Pidluski, Olga	12-cv-6188	3/3/2011
Yelgin, Rita	Pittman, Esther Hamilton (PR-Rita Yelgin Estate)	13-cv-522	1/17/2010
Pitts, Jamie	Pitts, Jamie	12-cv-5556	6/11/2010; 11/11/2010
Piwinski, Dianne	Piwinski, Dianne	12-cv-6654	7/3/2009; 10/23/2009
Plato, Ruth R.	Plato, Ruth	12-cv-1051	5/20/2011
Powers, Emily Earle	Powers, Emily	13-cv-1323	2/13/2009
Powers, Kaaren	Powers, Kaaren	13-cv-1245	2/26/2007; 7/26/2009; 3/13/2011
Prelle, Juanda Dorcas	Prelle, Juanda Dorcas	12-cv-6831	11/1/2009
Presnall, Annie Sue	Presnall, Annie Sue	13-cv-2705	6/10/2012
Prinzel, Shirley	Prinzel, Shirley	13-cv-2471	8/13/2007; 4/17/2011
Prout, Dorothy	Prout, Dorothy	13-cv-1728	1/24/2008
Psyck, Carolyn	Psyck, Carolyn	12-cv-7684	4/2009
Putman, Betty	Putman, Betty	12-cv-5969	9/19/2010
Quinlan, Barbara J.	Quinlan, Barbara	12-cv-5332	10/31/2010; 11/21/2011
Quinn, Nancy Mae	Quinn, Nancy Mae	13-cv-1518	6/16/2012
Quirk, William	Quirk, William	14-cv-1823	Unknown
Raczka, Nancy	Raczka, Nancy	13-cv-1588	3/11/2010
Rahn, Susan K.	Rahn, Susan	12-cv-7896	8/11/2009
Rakestraw, Mae Marie	Rakestraw, Mae Marie	12-cv-7408	4/1/2012
Rampell, Arlene A.	Rampell, Arlene	13-cv-1194	2/26/2009; 1/12/2011
Rasmusen, Martha	Rasmusen, Martha	14-cv-2148	12/23/2010
Ray, Susan	Ray, Susan	12-cv-7721	12/12/2011
Reed, Patricia	Reed, Patricia	13-cv-736	2/5/2011
Rees, Martha E.	Rees, Martha	13-cv-1526	7/12/2012
Rempson, Andre D.	Rempson, Andre	13-cv-1515	4/10/2009
Retsel, Mary Jean	Retsel, Mary Jean	13-cv-1322	10/25/2007
Rhodes, Ardeth	Rhodes, Ardeth	12-cv-5663	9/14/2010; 11/30/2010
Estes, Bobbie Jean Wood	Richmond, Nancy M.	12-cv-946	2/2/2011
Richmond, Nancy M.	Richmond, Nancy M.	12-cv-946	5/26/2011
Riley, Carol A.	Riley, Carol	13-cv-1477	3/16/2012
Ripley, Vivian B.	Ripley, Vivian	12-cv-1012	12/28/2010
Rivers, Patricia	Rivers, Roland (PR-Patricia Rivers Estate)	12-cv-6156	10/2009
Roberts, Sandra	Roberts, Sandra	12-cv-7286	11/26/2010

APPENDIX 1

Plaintiff Name	Case Name	Case No.	Alleged Date of Injury
Rogers, Eugenia	Rogers, Eugenia	13-cv-3274	5/9/2011; 10/4/2012
Morgan, Christine	Rose, Casey (PR-Christine Morgan Estate)	11-cv-7186	4/30/2011
Russell, Diana	Russell, Diana	12-cv-4266	11/28/2010
Rutman, Jane E.	Rutman, Jane	13-cv-4210	9/2010; 10/2010
Rydell, Sandra	Rydell, Sandra	13-cv-32	6/26/2007
Ryder, Eleanor	Ryder, Eleanor	12-cv-7375	11/30/2009; 4/2010
Rykard, Marilyn	Rykard, Marilyn	12-cv-7415	Unknown
Sadowski, Patricia A.	Sadowski, Patricia	12-cv-1113	3/12/2010
Salazar, Leonor	Salazar, Leonor	13-cv-747	5/2012
Sallimo, Mary	Sallimo, Mary	13-cv-357	11/2011
Salmons, Carmen	Salmons, Carmen	13-cv-5692	Unknown
Salvatore, Sheila	Salvatore, Sheila	11-cv-3169	8/7/2010; 1/11/2011
Samuelson, Yvonne	Samuelson, Yvonne	13-cv-695	Unknown
Sanchez, Martha L.	Sanchez, Martha	12-cv-1109	Unknown
Schmitz, Richard	Schmitz, Richard	12-cv-3506	6/9/2010
Schwartz, Marilyn	Schwartz, Marilyn	13-cv-1155	8/1/2011
Schwartz, Mary M.	Schwartz, Mary	13-cv-812	5/22/2009
Sellin, Joan	Sellin, Joan	13-cv-5261	2/19/2011
Seuga, Terima	Seuga, Terima	12-cv-5333	11/14/2010
Shackelford, Margaret	Shackelford, Margaret	11-cv-7106	12/9/2010
Shaffer, Marilyn D.	Shaffer, Marilyn	13-cv-1511	8/19/2011
Sherry, Mary Ann	Sherry, Mary Ann	13-cv-1499	3/18/2012
Sherwood, Myrna	Sherwood, Myrna	13-cv-1246	8/9/2007
Shevel, Faye Elizabeth	Shevel, Faye Elizabeth	13-cv-4643	7/8/2011
Shulkin, Audrey	Shulkin, Audrey	12-cv-5554	7/17/2011
Shull, Mary Anne	Shull, Mary Anne	12-cv-5473	3/20/2011
Sigro, Betty J.	Sigro, Betty	12-cv-6272	7/8/2000; 1/24/2006; 1/28/2008; 9/4/2008
Simic, Ann	Simic, Ann	13-cv-2211	4/6/2011; 10/20/2013
Sleep, Barbara	Sleep, Barbara	12-cv-5349	Unknown
Smith, Elizabeth	Smith, Elizabeth	13-cv-2097	6/5/2008
Snow, Mary	Snow, Mary	13-cv-2109	4/10/2011
Snyder, Janice L.	Snyder, Janice	13-cv-6184	2/2/2012
Snyder, Lorraine F.	Snyder, Lorraine	13-cv-262	4/26/2012
Sohn, Sam	Sohn, Sam	13-cv-50	11/14/2008
Soria, Barbara	Soria, Barbara	13-cv-7824	12/24/2011

APPENDIX 1

Plaintiff Name	Case Name	Case No.	Alleged Date of Injury
Southard, Charles	Southard, Charles	13-cv-1325	1/17/2008
Southard, Marjorie	Southard, Charles (PR-Marjorie Southard Estate)	13-cv-1428	1/21/2002
Southerland, Kathryn	Southerland, Kathryn	12-cv-6657	Unknown
Spitler, Elizabeth	Spitler, Elizabeth	12-cv-4189	10/7/2011
Sprangler, Katherine	Sprangler, Katherine	12-cv-6562	9/2/2010
Stanley, Betty	Stanley, Betty	12-cv-3052	1/3/2012
Stanton, Rosemary	Stanton, Rosemary	13-cv-1247	8/28/2007
Starchman, Ruby L.	Starchman, Ruby	12-cv-225	Unknown
Stark, Tavia G.	Stark, Tavia	13-cv-1517	9/6/2012
Stecher, Donald	Stecher, Donald	13-cv-1248	12/11/2007
Steen, Barbara	Steen, Barbara	12-cv-3429	7/5/2010; 10/16/2010
Steves, Susan	Steves, Susan	12-cv-1275	3/25/2008; 7/16/2011
Stewart, Kathleen	Stewart, Kathleen	12-cv-6296	8/31/2009; 1/11/2010
Stiles, Brenda M.	Stiles, Brenda	12-cv-5640	8/19/2011
Stolt, Vera Mae	Stolt, Vera Mae	13-cv-6045	7/6/2011
Stone, Gladys	Stone, Gladys	12-cv-6066	Unknown
Stubler, Madeline C.	Stubler, Madeline	13-cv-1718	12/11/2011
Stuive, Madeline	Stuive, Madeline	12-cv-1548	12/17/2007
Sutherland, Evelyn	Sutherland, Evelyn	12-cv-2328	4/17/2012
Swasey, Martha Y.	Swasey, Martha	13-cv-593	1/10/2011; 9/13/2012
Sylvester, Sandra	Sylvester, Sandra	13-cv-1660	3/16/2010
Tardugno, Phyllis	Tardugno, Phyllis	12-cv-82	1/9/2008
Taylor, Linda	Taylor, Linda	12-cv-6364	2/15/2011
Templeton, Mary F.	Templeton, Mary	12-cv-1071	3/9/2011
Terry, Linda J.	Terry, Linda	12-cv-5485	5/2/2008
Then, Bonnie L.	Then, Bonnie	12-cv-6287	3/25/2012
Thompson, Claire	Thompson, Claire	13-cv-2564	4/21/2010
Tidwell, Bryce Langston	Tidwell, Bryce Langston	13-cv-4447	7/2/2011; 6/15/2012
Torp, Mary Ann	Torp, Mary Ann	12-cv-7426	Unknown
Torregrossa, Ann M.	Torregrossa, Ann	11-cv-6874	Unknown
Townsend, Thomas	Townsend, Thomas	12-cv-6942	10/31/2010
Tran, Yen	Tran, Yen	12-cv-4757	12/4/2011
Turbes, Gerald	Turbes, Gerald	14-cv-1814	4/18/2012
Turski, Thressa	Turski, Thressa	14-cv-4559	7/11/2013
Ulstein, Lucila	Ulstein, Lucila	14-cv-1223	Unknown
Upton, Margaret	Upton, Margaret	12-cv-6878	11/14/2010

APPENDIX 1

Plaintiff Name	Case Name	Case No.	Alleged Date of Injury
Valdez, Beatrice	Valdez, Beatrice	13-cv-1662	2/6/2010
Van Poucke, Shirley H.	Van Poucke, Shirley	13-cv-272	8/10/2011; 5/27/2012
Vanark, Elizabeth	Vanark, Elizabeth	13-cv-3887	6/29/2010
Vanghel, Debra A.	Vanghel, Debra	13-1-cv-5264	2011
Vannoy, Doris A.	Vannoy, Doris	12-cv-1072	8/9/2011
Villadiego, Maria	Villadiego, Maria	12-cv-5833	9/16/2010; 11/17/2011
Vithespongse, Saichol	Vithsepongse, Saichol	13-cv-33	11/5/2002; 1/19/2008
Vocci, Nancy	Vocci, Nancy	12-cv-3790	8/16/2011; 12/2/2011
Voss, Margieann	Voss, Margieann	13-cv-6010	10/11/2011
Vuich, Nancy Jean	Vuich, Nancy Jean	12-cv-1388	Unknown
Wade, Kay J.	Wade, Kay	12-cv-1018	Unknown
Walker, Joanne	Walker, Joanne	13-cv-4986	7/20/2008
Walker, Lucy Virginia	Walker, Lucy Virginia	13-cv-2227	4/19/2011
Wallheimer, Sharon	Wallheimer, Sharon	13-cv-6563	10/31/2011
Wanish, Dorothy	Wanish, Dorothy	13-cv-1593	9/2007
Warner, Sharon	Warner, Sharon	11-cv-4955	2/18/2011
Webb, Alberta A.	Webb, Alberta	14-cv-4557	10/11/2013
Weber, Jane	Weber, Jane	12-cv-7257	Unknown
Webster, Dorothy Jane	Webster, Dorothy	14-cv-1916	6/2/2012
Weinmann, Shirley	Weinmann, Shirley	13-cv-34	1/19/2009
Welty, Johanna	Welty, Johanna	12-cv-6879	2/7/2007
White, Claudia	White, Claudia	14-CV-02717	4/30/2011
White, Mary Belle	White, Mary Belle	11-cv-6478	10/12/2010; 4/19/2010
White, Sammy	White, Sammy	13-cv-1296	Unknown
White, Wendy S.	White, Wendy	13-cv-1041	8/20/2013

APPENDIX 1

Plaintiff Name	Case Name	Case No.	Alleged Date of Injury
Whiteside, Elizabeth	Whiteside, Elizabeth	12-cv-4609	9/19/2009; 12/2011
Wicher, Helen	Wicher, Deborahanne (PR for Estate of Wicher, Helen)	12-cv-964	7/14/2011
Wichmann, Elfi	Wichmann, Elfi	12-cv-5382	Unknown
Wile, Lucille	Wile, Lucille	13-cv-7880	2/6/2011
Wilke, Beverly	Wilke, Beverly	13-cv-925	1/27/2012
Williams, Carleen	Williams, Carleen	12-cv-5561	12/8/2010; 2/24/2011
Williams, Jerrie	Williams, Jerrie	11-cv-6244	10/23/2010
Williams, Marjorie	Williams, Marjorie	13-cv-2098	1/14/2011
Morey, Stella	Williamson-Robinette, Tammy (PR-Stella Morey Estate)	13-cv-5979	12/23/2007
Willstatter, Gertrude	Willstatter, Gertrude	13-cv-7743	12/21/2010
Wilson, Emily	Wilson, Emily	13-cv-1872	11/27/2010
Witkin, Millicent	Witkin, Millicent	12-cv-1142	1/19/2011
Woodson, January Fern	Woodson, January Fern	13-cv-1883	Unknown
Young, Barbara	Young, Barbara	12-cv-4089	10/5/2010
Young, Terry	Young, Terry	13-cv-3464	7/23/2011
Yu, Nancy	Yu, Nancy	12-cv-2623	Unknown
Zellers, Virginia L.	Zellers, Virginia	13-cv-7042	12/24/2011; 2/1/2013
Zick, Zelma	Zick, Terry (PR-Zelma Zick estate)	14-cv-1335	Unknown
Ziegenfus, Gwendolyn	Ziegenfus, Gwendolyn	12-cv-5834	11/29/2010
Zorn, Norma	Zorn, Norma	12-cv-6233	5/8/2012