# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA (BATON ROUGE)

| MIRIAM RILEY<br>INDIVIDUALLY AND ON BEHALF OF   | CIVIL ACTION NUMBER: |
|---|----------------------|
| R. R.<br>V.   | JUDGE:               |
| JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.,<br>JOHNSON & JOHNSON,<br>JANSSEN RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT, L.L.C.,<br>AND MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. | MAGISTRATE JUDGE:    |

# COMPLAINT

NOW INTO COURT, through undersigned counsel, come Plaintiffs, MIRIAM RILEY

INDIVIDUALLY AND ON BEHALF OF R. R. ("Plaintiffs"), to file this Complaint against

Defendants, JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., JOHNSON & JOHNSON, JANSSEN

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT, L.L.C., AND MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC.

("Defendants").

# PARTIES

- 1. The following parties are Plaintiffs:
  - a. **MIRIAM RILEY** is an individual of the full age of majority domiciled in East Baton Rouge Parish, Louisiana; and
  - b. **R. R.** is the minor child of **MIRIAM RILEY** domiciled in East Baton Rouge Parish, Louisiana.
- 2. The following parties are Defendants:

- a. JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. formerly known as Ortho-McNeil-Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Janssen Pharmaceutica, Inc. is a corporation organized under the laws of Pennsylvania conducting business in Louisiana at all relevant times including, but not limited to, business related to Risperdal® (risperidone), Risperdal Consta® (a long-acting injectable form of risperidone), and/or Invega® (paliperidone);
- b. **JOHNSON & JOHNSON** doing business as Johnson & Johnson Family of Companies is a corporation organized under the laws of New Jersey conducting business in Louisiana at all relevant times including, but not limited to, business related to Risperdal® (risperidone), Risperdal Consta® (a long-acting injectable form of risperidone), and/or Invega® (paliperidone);
- c. JANSSEN RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT, L.L.C. formerly known as Johnson & Johnson Pharmaceutical Research and Development, L.L.C. is a corporation organized under the laws of New Jersey conducting business in Louisiana at all relevant times including, but not limited to, business related to Risperdal® (risperidone), Risperdal Consta® (a long-acting injectable form of risperidone), and/or Invega® (paliperidone); and
- d. **MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC.** is a corporation organized under the laws of West Virginia conducting business in Louisiana at all relevant times including, but not limited to, business related to Risperidone.

# JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332 because the amount in controversy

exceeds \$75,000.00, exclusive of interest and costs, and Plaintiffs and Defendants are

citizens of different states.

4. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because a substantial part of the

events or omissions giving rise to this claim occurred in the Middle District of Louisiana.

# **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

5. **R. R.** is the minor child of **MIRIAM RILEY** born in 2001.

- R. R. was prescribed Risperdal® (risperidone), Risperdal Consta® (a long-acting injectable form of risperidone), Invega® (paliperidone), and/or Risperidone by various healthcare providers.
- 7. As a result of ingesting Risperdal® (risperidone), Risperdal Consta® (a long-acting injectable form of risperidone), Invega® (paliperidone), and/or Risperidone, R. R. suffered injuries including, but not limited to, gynecomastia.
- 8. Defendants designed, developed, researched, manufactured, tested, promoted, advertised, marketed, sold, and/or distributed Risperdal® (risperidone), Risperdal Consta®(a long-acting injectable form of risperidone), Invega® (paliperidone), and/or Risperidone.
- 9. Defendants falsely advertised Risperdal® (risperidone), Risperdal Consta® (a long-acting injectable form of risperidone), Invega® (paliperidone), and/or Risperidone as a safe and effective treatment for schizophrenia and bipolar mania; illegally promoted Risperdal® (risperidone), Risperdal Consta® (a long-acting injectable form of risperidone), Invega® (paliperidone), and/or Risperidone as a safe and effective treatment for off-label uses; wrongly marketed Risperdal® (risperidone), Risperdal® (risperidone), Risperdal® (risperidone), Risperdal® (a long-acting injectable form of risperidone), Invega® (paliperidone), and/or Risperidone as safer and more effective than other antipsychotic medications; and improperly minimized the adverse effects of Risperdal® (risperidone), Risperdal Consta® (a long-acting injectable form of risperidone), Risperdal® (nog-acting injectable form of risperidone), Invega® (paliperidone), and/or Risperdal® (a long-acting injectable form of risperidone), Risperdal Consta® (a long-acting injectable form of risperidone), Invega® (paliperidone), and/or Risperdal® (risperidone), Risperdal Consta® (a long-acting injectable form of risperidone), Risperdal® (nog-acting injectable form of risperidone), Risperdal® (risperidone), Risperdal Consta® (a long-acting injectable form of risperidone), Invega® (paliperidone), Risperdal Consta® (a long-acting injectable form of risperidone), Invega® (paliperidone), Risperdal Consta® (a long-acting injectable form of risperidone), Invega® (paliperidone), Risperdal Consta® (a long-acting injectable form of risperidone), Invega® (paliperidone), Risperdal Consta® (a long-acting injectable form of risperidone), Invega® (paliperidone), and/or Risperidone.
- 10. Defendants knew that Risperdal® (risperidone), Risperdal Consta® (a long-acting injectable form of risperidone), Invega® (paliperidone), and/or Risperidone was not a safe and effective treatment for schizophrenia and bipolar mania.

- 11. Defendants knew that Risperdal® (risperidone), Risperdal Consta® (a long-acting injectable form of risperidone), Invega® (paliperidone), and/or Risperidone was not approved as a safe and effective treatment for off-label uses.
- 12. Defendants knew that Risperdal® (risperidone), Risperdal Consta® (a long-acting injectable form of risperidone), Invega® (paliperidone), and/or Risperidone was no more effective and considerably less safe than other antipsychotic medications.
- 13. Defendants knew that Risperdal® (risperidone), Risperdal Consta® (a long-acting injectable form of risperidone), Invega® (paliperidone), and/or Risperidone was defective and likely to cause gynecomastia and other medical problems.
- 14. Defendants continued to promote Risperdal® (risperidone), Risperdal Consta® (a longacting injectable form of risperidone), Invega® (paliperidone), and/or Risperidone as safe and effective despite patient reports of adverse events and warnings from the United States Food and Drug Administration.
- 15. Defendants failed to disclose to healthcare providers, patients, and Plaintiffs that Risperdal® (risperidone), Risperdal Consta® (a long-acting injectable form of risperidone), Invega® (paliperidone), and/or Risperidone was likely to cause gynecomastia and other medical problems.

#### **CAUSES OF ACTION**

#### **CONSTRUCTION OR COMPOSITION DEFECT PURSUANT TO LA. R.S. 9:2800.55**

- 16. Plaintiffs reaver and reallege each and every allegation of this Complaint.
- 17. Pursuant to La. R.S. 9:2800.55,

A product is unreasonably dangerous in construction or composition if, at the time the product left its manufacturer's control, the product deviated in a material way from the manufacturer's specifications or performance standards for the product or from otherwise identical products manufactured by the same manufacturer.

18. The danger to people including Plaintiffs resulting from the construction and/or composition

defects in Risperdal® (risperidone), Risperdal Consta® (a long-acting injectable form of

risperidone), Invega® (paliperidone), and/or Risperidone was foreseeable by Defendants.

19. Risperdal® (risperidone), Risperdal Consta® (a long-acting injectable form of risperidone),

Invega® (paliperidone), and/or Risperidone are unreasonably dangerous in construction

and/or composition pursuant to La. R.S. 9:2800.55 and damaged Plaintiffs.

# **DESIGN DEFECT PURSUANT TO LA. R.S. 9:2800.56**

- 20. Plaintiffs reaver and reallege each and every allegation of this Complaint.
- 21. Pursuant to La. R.S. 9:2800.56,

A product is unreasonably dangerous in design if, at the time the product left its manufacturer's control:

(1) There existed an alternative design for the product that was capable of preventing the claimant's damage; and

(2) The likelihood that the product's design would cause the claimant's damage and the gravity of that damage outweighed the burden on the manufacturer of adopting such alternative design and the adverse effect, if any, of such alternative design on the utility of the product. An adequate warning about a product shall be considered in evaluating the likelihood of damage when the manufacturer has used reasonable care to provide the adequate warning to users and handlers of the product.

22. The danger to people including Plaintiffs resulting from the design defects in Risperdal®

(risperidone), Risperdal Consta® (a long-acting injectable form of risperidone), Invega®

(paliperidone), and/or Risperidone was foreseeable by Defendants.

23. Risperdal® (risperidone), Risperdal Consta® (a long-acting injectable form of risperidone),

Invega® (paliperidone), and/or Risperidone are unreasonably dangerous in design pursuant

to La. R.S. 9:2800.56 and damaged Plaintiffs.

# **INADEQUATE WARNING PURSUANT TO LA. R.S. 9:2800.57**

- 24. Plaintiffs reaver and reallege each and every allegation of this Complaint.
- 25. Pursuant to La. R.S. 9:2800.57,

A product is unreasonably dangerous because an adequate warning about the product has not been provided if, at the time the product left its manufacturer's control, the product possessed a characteristic that may cause damage and the manufacturer failed to use reasonable care to provide an adequate warning of such characteristic and its danger to users and handlers of the product....

A manufacturer of a product who, after the product has left his control, acquires knowledge of a characteristic of the product that may cause damage and the danger of such characteristic, or who would have acquired such knowledge had he acted as a reasonably prudent manufacturer, is liable for damage caused by his subsequent failure to use reasonable care to provide an adequate warning of such characteristic and its danger to users and handlers of the product.

26. The danger to people including Plaintiffs resulting from the lack of adequate warning related

to Risperdal® (risperidone), Risperdal Consta® (a long-acting injectable form of

risperidone), Invega® (paliperidone), and/or Risperidone was foreseeable by Defendants.

 27. Risperdal® (risperidone), Risperdal Consta® (a long-acting injectable form of risperidone), Invega® (paliperidone), and/or Risperidone are unreasonably dangerous because of the lack of adequate warning pursuant to La. R.S. 9:2800.57 and damaged Plaintiffs.

# BREACH OF EXPRESS WARRANTY PURSUANT TO LA. R.S. 9:2800.58

- 28. Plaintiffs reaver and reallege each and every allegation of this Complaint.
- 29. Pursuant to La. R.S. 9:2800.58,

A product is unreasonably dangerous when it does not conform to an express warranty made at any time by the manufacturer about the product if the express warranty has induced the claimant or another person or entity to use the product and the claimant's damage was proximately caused because the express warranty was untrue.

- 30. The danger to people including Plaintiffs resulting from the failure to conform to express warranties related to Risperdal® (risperidone), Risperdal Consta® (a long-acting injectable form of risperidone), Invega® (paliperidone), and/or Risperidone was foreseeable by Defendants.
- 31. Risperdal® (risperidone), Risperdal Consta® (a long-acting injectable form of risperidone), Invega® (paliperidone), and/or Risperidone are unreasonably dangerous because they did not conform to express warranties pursuant to La. R.S. 9:2800.58 and damaged Plaintiffs.

### NEGLIGENCE

- 32. Plaintiffs reaver and reallege each and every allegation of this Complaint.
- 33. Pursuant to Article 2315 of the Louisiana Civil Code, "Every act whatever of man that causes damage to another obliges him by whose fault it happened to repair it."
- 34. The danger to people including Plaintiffs related to Risperdal® (risperidone), Risperdal
   Consta® (a long-acting injectable form of risperidone), Invega® (paliperidone), and/or
   Risperidone resulting from negligence was foreseeable by Defendants.
- 35. Risperdal® (risperidone), Risperdal Consta® (a long-acting injectable form of risperidone),Invega® (paliperidone), and/or Risperidone damaged Plaintiffs.

### **REDHIBITION**

- 36. Plaintiffs reaver and reallege each and every allegation of this Complaint.
- 37. Pursuant to Article 2520 of the Louisiana Civil Code,

The seller warrants the buyer against redhibitory defects, or vices, in the thing sold. A defect is redhibitory when it renders the thing useless, or its use so inconvenient that it must be presumed that a buyer would not have bought the thing had he known of the defect. The existence of such a defect gives a buyer the right to obtain rescission of the sale. A defect is redhibitory also when, without rendering the thing totally useless, it diminishes its usefulness or its value so that it must be presumed that a buyer would still have bought it but for a lesser price. The existence of such a defect limits the right of a buyer to a reduction of the price.

- 38. The danger to people including Plaintiffs resulting from the redhibitory defects and/or vices related to Risperdal® (risperidone), Risperdal Consta® (a long-acting injectable form of risperidone), Invega® (paliperidone), and/or Risperidone was foreseeable by Defendants.
- 39. Risperdal® (risperidone), Risperdal Consta® (a long-acting injectable form of risperidone),

Invega® (paliperidone), and/or Risperidone contain redhibitory defects and/or vices and

damaged Plaintiffs.

# BREACH OF WARRANTY OF FITNESS FOR ORDINARY USE

- 40. Plaintiffs reaver and reallege each and every allegation of this Complaint.
- 41. Pursuant to Article 2524 of the Louisiana Civil Code,

The thing sold must be reasonably fit for its ordinary use. When the seller has reason to know the particular use the buyer intends for the thing, or the buyer's particular purpose for buying the thing, and that the buyer is relying on the seller's skill or judgment in selecting it, the thing sold must be fit for the buyer's intended use or for his particular purpose. If the thing is not so fit, the buyer's rights are governed by the general rules of conventional

If the thing is not so fit, the buyer's rights are governed by the general rules of conventional obligations.

42. The danger to people including Plaintiffs resulting from the breach of warranty of fitness for ordinary use related to Risperdal® (risperidone), Risperdal Consta® (a long-acting injectable form of risperidone), Invega® (paliperidone), and/or Risperidone was foreseeable by Defendants.

Risperdal® (risperidone), Risperdal Consta® (a long-acting injectable form of risperidone),
 Invega® (paliperidone), and/or Risperidone are not reasonably fit for their ordinary use and
 damaged Plaintiffs.

### **BREACH OF IMPLIED WARRANTY OF MERCHANTABILITY AND FITNESS**

- 44. Plaintiffs reaver and reallege each and every allegation of this Complaint.
- 45. Defendants knew of the uses for which Risperdal® (risperidone), Risperdal Consta® (a longacting injectable form of risperidone), Invega® (paliperidone), and/or Risperidone were intended and impliedly warranted that they would be of merchantable quality and safe and fit for such uses.
- 46. The danger to people including Plaintiffs resulting from the breach of implied warranty of merchantability and fitness related to Risperdal® (risperidone), Risperdal Consta® (a longacting injectable form of risperidone), Invega® (paliperidone), and/or Risperidone was foreseeable by Defendants.
- 47. Risperdal® (risperidone), Risperdal Consta® (a long-acting injectable form of risperidone),
  Invega® (paliperidone), and/or Risperidone were not of merchantable quality or safe and fit for their intended uses and damaged Plaintiffs.

### STRICT LIABILITY

48. Plaintiffs reaver and reallege each and every allegation of this Complaint.

#### Case 3:14-cv-00741-JWD-RLB Document 1 11/25/14 Page 10 of 12

- 49. Defendants knew the foreseeable risks of Risperdal® (risperidone), Risperdal Consta® (a long-acting injectable form of risperidone), Invega® (paliperidone), and/or Risperidone exceeded the benefits such that Risperdal® (risperidone), Risperdal Consta® (a long-acting injectable form of risperidone), Invega® (paliperidone), and/or Risperidone were unreasonably dangerous or more dangerous than other antipsychotic medications.
- 50. The danger to people including Plaintiffs related to Risperdal® (risperidone), Risperdal Consta® (a long-acting injectable form of risperidone), Invega® (paliperidone), and/or Risperidone was foreseeable by Defendants.
- 51. The foreseeable risks of Risperdal® (risperidone), Risperdal Consta® (a long-acting injectable form of risperidone), Invega® (paliperidone), and/or Risperidone exceeded the benefits and damaged Plaintiffs.

#### **VIOLATIONS OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS**

- 52. Plaintiffs reaver and reallege each and every allegation of this Complaint.
- 53. 21 U.S.C.A. § 321 and 21 U.S.C.A. § 352 require Defendants to fully and accurately disclose information related to the adverse effects of Risperdal® (risperidone), Risperdal Consta® (a long-acting injectable form of risperidone), Invega® (paliperidone), and/or Risperidone in the labeling, package inserts, and promotional materials and prohibit Defendants from promulgating misleading claims that Risperdal® (risperidone), Risperdal Consta® (a long-acting injectable form of risperidone), Invega® (paliperidone), Risperdal Consta® (a long-acting injectable form of risperidone), Invega® (paliperidone), Risperdal Consta® (a long-acting injectable form of risperidone), Invega® (paliperidone), and/or Risperidone are safer than other antipsychotic medications.

- 54. 21 U.S.C.A. § 331 and 21 U.S.C.A. § 352 prevent Defendants from introducing Risperdal® (risperidone), Risperdal Consta® (a long-acting injectable form of risperidone), Invega® (paliperidone), and/or Risperidone into interstate commerce for off-label uses.
- 55. The danger to people including Plaintiffs resulting from Defendants violations of federal regulations related to Risperdal® (risperidone), Risperdal Consta® (a long-acting injectable form of risperidone), Invega® (paliperidone), and/or Risperidone was foreseeable by Defendants.
- 56. Defendants violated federal regulations related to Risperdal® (risperidone), Risperdal Consta® (a long-acting injectable form of risperidone), Invega® (paliperidone), and/or Risperidone and damaged Plaintiffs.

#### **DAMAGES**

- 57. Plaintiffs reaver and reallege each and every allegation of this Complaint.
- 58. Plaintiffs allege entitlement to such damages as are reasonable including, but not limited to, the following:
  - a. Past, present, and future medical expenses;
  - b. Past, present, and future physical pain and suffering;
  - c. Past, present, and future mental anxiety and anguish;
  - d. Past, present, and future lost wages and earnings;
  - e. Past, present, and future loss of earning capacity;
  - f. Past, present, and future loss of enjoyment of life;
  - g. Loss of consortium; and,
  - h. All damages which are reasonable as will be more fully shown at trial.

#### JURY DEMAND

- 59. Plaintiffs reaver and reallege each and every allegation of this Complaint.
- 60. Plaintiffs are entitled to and demand a trial by jury.

## WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs, MIRIAM RILEY INDIVIDUALLY AND ON BEHALF OF

R. R., pray that there be a judgment against Defendants, JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS,

INC., JOHNSON & JOHNSON, JANSSEN RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT, L.L.C.,

AND MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC., for all reasonable damages, legal interest, attorney's

fees, and costs.

#### **DATED:** NOVEMBER 25, 2014

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Jessica W. Hayes, Trial Attorney (#28927) Jessica W. Hayes, Trial Attorney (#28927) MURRAY LAW FIRM 650 Poydras Street, Suite 2150 New Orleans, Louisiana 70130 Telephone: (504) 525-8100 Facsimile: (504) 584-5249 E-mail: jhayes@murray-lawfirm.com

#### JS 44 (Rev. 12/12)

# Case 3:14-cv-00741-JWD RL& Over Sheet 11/25/14 Page 1 of 2

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)* 

| I. (a) PLAINTIFFS<br>MIRIAM RILEY INDIVIDUALLY AND ON BEHALF OF R. R.  |   |   | DEFENDANTS<br>JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., JOHNSON & JOHNSON<br>COMPANY, JANSSEN RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT, L.L.C.,   |  |  |  |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)   |   |   | County of Residence  | AND MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC.<br>County of Residence of First Listed Defendant <u>Dauphin County</u><br>(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)<br>NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF<br>THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)</li> <li>Jessica W. Hayes (#28927) - Murray Law Firm,</li> <li>650 Poydras Street, Suite 2150, New Orleans, Louisiana 70130</li> <li>Telephone: (504) 525-8100</li> </ul>  |   |   | Attorneys (If Known)   |  |  |  |
| II. BASIS OF JURISDI   | <b>CTION</b> (Place an "X" in O   | ne Box Only)  | III. CITIZENSHIP OF P  | RINCIPAL PARTIES   | (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintifj   |  |
| □ 1 U.S. Government<br>Plaintiff   | □ 3 Federal Question<br>(U.S. Government)   | Not a Party)  |  | TF DEF<br>↓ □ 1 Incorporated <i>or</i> Pr<br>of Business In 1  |  |  |
| □ 2 U.S. Government<br>Defendant   | ■ 4 Diversity<br>(Indicate Citizensh)   | ip of Parties in Item III)  |  | 2 2 Incorporated and I<br>of Business In A   | Another State  |  |
|  |   |   | Citizen or Subject of a<br>Foreign Country   | 3 🗖 3 Foreign Nation   |  |  |
| IV. NATURE OF SUIT   |   |   | FORFEITURE/PENALTY   | DANIZDUDTCV  | OTHER STATUTES   |  |
| CONTRACT      110 Insurance     120 Marine     130 Miller Act     140 Negotiable Instrument     150 Recovery of Overpayment     & Enforcement of Judgment     151 Medicare Act     152 Recovery of Defaulted     Student Loans     (Excludes Veterans)     153 Recovery of Overpayment     of Veteran's Benefits     160 Stockholders' Suits     190 Other Contract     195 Contract Product Liability | PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal                                | <ul> <li>PERSONAL INJURY</li> <li>365 Personal Injury -<br/>Product Liability</li> <li>367 Health Care/<br/>Pharmaceutical<br/>Personal Injury</li> <li>368 Asbestos Personal<br/>Injury Product<br/>Liability</li> <li>368 Asbestos Personal<br/>Injury Product<br/>Jiability</li> <li>370 Other Fraud</li> <li>371 Truth in Lending</li> <li>380 Other Personal<br/>Property Damage</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>G25 Drug Related Seizure<br/>of Property 21 USC 881</li> <li>G90 Other</li> <li>G90 Other</li> <li>T10 Fair Labor Standards<br/>Act</li> <li>T20 Labor/Management<br/>Relations</li> <li>T40 Railway Labor Act</li> </ul> | BANKRUPTCY           □         422 Appeal 28 USC 158           □         423 Withdrawal<br>28 USC 157 <b>PROPERTY RIGHTS</b> □         820 Copyrights           □         820 Copyrights           □         820 Copyrights           □         840 Trademark <b>SOCIAL SECURITY</b> □         861 HIA (1395ff)           □         862 Black Lung (923)           □         863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))           □         864 SSID Title XVI           □         865 RSI (405(g))  | <ul> <li>375 False Claims Act</li> <li>400 State Reapportionment</li> <li>410 Antitrust</li> <li>430 Banks and Banking</li> <li>450 Commerce</li> <li>460 Deportation</li> <li>470 Racketeer Influenced and<br/>Corrupt Organizations</li> <li>480 Consumer Credit</li> <li>490 Cable/Sat TV</li> <li>850 Securities/Commodities/<br/>Exchange</li> <li>890 Other Statutory Actions</li> <li>891 Agricultural Acts</li> <li>893 Environmental Matters</li> </ul> |  |
| <ul> <li>196 Franchise</li> <li>REAL PROPERTY</li> <li>210 Land Condemnation</li> <li>220 Foreclosure</li> <li>230 Rent Lease &amp; Ejectment</li> <li>240 Torts to Land</li> <li>245 Tort Product Liability</li> <li>290 All Other Real Property</li> </ul>   | Injury<br>Injury<br>Medical Malpractice<br>CIVIL RIGHTS<br>440 Other Civil Rights<br>441 Voting<br>442 Employment<br>443 Housing/<br>Accommodations<br>445 Amer. w/Disabilities -<br>Employment<br>446 Amer. w/Disabilities -<br>Other<br>448 Education | <ul> <li>385 Property Damage<br/>Product Liability</li> <li>PRISONER PETITIONS</li> <li>Habeas Corpus:         <ul> <li>463 Alien Detainee</li> <li>510 Motions to Vacate<br/>Sentence</li> <li>530 General</li> <li>535 Death Penalty</li> <li>Other:</li> <li>540 Mandamus &amp; Other</li> <li>550 Civil Rights</li> <li>555 Prison Condition</li> <li>560 Civil Detainee -<br/>Conditions of<br/>Confinement</li> </ul> </li> </ul> | Income Security Act IMMIGRATION 462 Naturalization Application   | FEDERAL TAX SUITS  FEDERAL TAX SUITS  Strain | <ul> <li>895 Freedom of Information<br/>Act</li> <li>896 Arbitration</li> <li>899 Administrative Procedure<br/>Act/Review or Appeal of<br/>Agency Decision</li> <li>950 Constitutionality of<br/>State Statutes</li> </ul>   |  |
| V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in   | n One Box Only)   |   |  |  | <u> </u>   |  |
|  |   | Remanded from<br>Appellate Court  | 4 Reinstated or<br>Reopened 5 Transfer<br>Another<br>(specify)   | er District Litigation   |  |  |
| VI. CAUSE OF ACTION  | DN 28 U.S.C. § 1332<br>Brief description of ca  | use:  | filing (Do not cite jurisdictional states)<br>perdal®, Risperdal Constate  |  | eridone  |  |
| VII. REQUESTED IN<br>COMPLAINT:  | CHECK IF THIS<br>UNDER RULE 2   | IS A <b>CLASS ACTION</b><br>3, F.R.Cv.P.  | DEMAND \$  | CHECK YES only<br>JURY DEMAND  | if demanded in complaint:<br>Yes D No  |  |
| VIII. RELATED CASI<br>IF ANY   | E(S) (See instructions):  | JUDGE   |  | DOCKET NUMBER  |  |  |
| DATE<br>11/25/2014   | SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD<br>Jessica W. Hayes (#28927)  |   |  |  |  |  |
| FOR OFFICE USE ONLY       RECEIPT #     AN   | MOUNT   | APPLYING IFP  | JUDGE  | MAG. JU  | DGE  |  |

#### **INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44**

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".

II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below. United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)

- **III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- **IV.** Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerk(s) in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the six boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.