

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS**

**IN RE: TESTOSTERONE REPLACEMENT
THERAPY PRODUCTS LIABILITY
LITIGATION**

**Case No. 1:14-CV-01748
MDL 2545**

JUDGE MATTHEW F. KENNELLY

**MOTION OF DEFENDANTS ABBVIE INC. AND ABBOTT LABORATORIES
PURSUANT TO THE COURT’S JUNE 15, 2015 AMENDED CMO 14 TO
ASSURE THE FINALITY AND INTEGRITY OF THE BELLWETHER POOL**

By August 10, 2015, Plaintiffs and Defendants AbbVie Inc. and Abbott Laboratories (collectively “AbbVie”)¹ must submit a proposal for selecting Plaintiffs from an initial pool for discovery, motion practice, and potential bellwether trials. The Court will then establish a process for bellwether selection by the end of August. Until there is a defined and complete pool of known cases that is not capable of being “gamed,” however, the Court will be unable to establish and then implement the process by which it picks the 32 cases to be worked up for potential bellwether trial. Currently, the pool is not complete or well-defined, for it includes Plaintiffs who provided materially deficient Plaintiff Fact Sheets (“PFS”) and have thus missed the Court’s final deadline of June 15, 2015 to submit completed PFSs.

To provide clarity as to who is in and who is out of the bellwether pool, AbbVie respectfully requests this Court to dismiss with prejudice the claims of any Plaintiff who fails to cure deficiencies in his PFS by August 14, 2015, the date of the Court’s hearing on bellwether proposals.² This will ensure the Court has a complete and defined pool before it chooses a

¹ AbbVie was established in January 2013 as an independent, publicly traded company from the innovative pharmaceutical business of codefendant Abbott Laboratories, which no longer sells AndroGel in the United States.

² There are 9 Plaintiffs who failed to submit a PFS at all. AbbVie sent warning letters to those Plaintiffs (among others who have since filed PFSs) on May 18, 2015. In accordance with the

method for selecting the cases for bellwether discovery and potential trial. It will be more efficient, and preserve the bellwether schedule, to dismiss the Plaintiffs who remain noncompliant rather than first undertaking the multi-stage motion to compel practice in CMO 9, which was put in place before certain Plaintiffs missed two deadlines for providing complete PFSs.

Plaintiffs have had more than enough time to comply with this Court's discovery Orders. Amended CMO 9 required Plaintiffs to submit *completed* PFSs and supporting documents by May 8, 2015. When it became clear that many Plaintiffs would not meet that deadline, the Court entered Amended CMO 14, which required Plaintiffs to submit *completed* PFSs and supporting documents by the final deadline of June 15, 2015 to be eligible for inclusion in the bellwether pool. *See* Amended CMO 14. This final deadline was intended to ensure there was a complete, defined, and final pool of cases in place by *June 15*, not months later. This was so the parties would not be litigating over a moving target when it comes time in August to propose a fair process for selecting bellwethers, and so the Court can choose a fair selection process knowing who will remain and participate in the litigation, and who is merely lurking in the background. Even after bellwether selection, the schedule depends on the parties participating, and cannot accommodate delays and distractions caused by months of litigating discovery issues relating to absent Plaintiffs who are not ready to participate in discovery.³

protocol in Amended CMO 9, AbbVie has filed separate motions to dismiss those 9 Plaintiffs' cases with prejudice.

³ For this reason, any Plaintiff who refuses to participate in discovery or decides to voluntarily dismiss his claims after the bellwether selection process is set in August should be subject to dismissal with prejudice, and the Court should award other appropriate sanctions such as requiring the Plaintiff to pay AbbVie's costs and attorneys' fees. This will prevent Plaintiffs from "gaming" the bellwether process.

AbbVie has identified 169 Plaintiffs who continue to have materially deficient PFSs. Some deficiencies, although significant, are easily cured—for example, Plaintiffs can identify the relevant prescribing physician(s) or provide authorizations so AbbVie may obtain Plaintiffs’ medical records if a case is selected as a bellwether. Other Plaintiffs, however, have more serious problems that are unlikely be resolved in time for those Plaintiffs to be included as potential bellwethers, and those Plaintiffs’ continued noncompliance should lead to dismissal of their claims with prejudice if not cured by the time of the Court’s bellwether process hearing on August 14.

The bellwether pool should also be defined to exclude any Plaintiff who admits to using another manufacturer’s Testosterone Replacement Therapy (“TRT”) in addition to AndroGel. Approximately 180 Plaintiffs sued only AbbVie, but admit to using TRT made by other manufacturers. Allowing those Plaintiffs to remain in the pool would be contrary to the spirit of this Court’s plan to try “AbbVie-only” cases first. AbbVie is the focus in this phase of the litigation, and the great majority of the 666 cases in the pool were filed by Plaintiffs who used only AndroGel. There is no allowance in the Court’s “AbbVie-only” bellwether schedule for the participation of other manufacturers, and if additional TRTs are at issue in a bellwether case, there will likely be additional complications with the Court’s schedule. Testing the claims of Plaintiffs who used only AndroGel is also more likely to inform the parties about the majority of the pending claims (assuming the selected cases are otherwise truly representative of other Plaintiffs) than selecting cases that involve other TRT usage, which will likely raise additional case-specific factual and legal issues depending on the other TRTs used, order of use, and length of therapy on each TRT.

ARGUMENT

I. Plaintiffs Who Fail To Cure All Deficiencies In Their Fact Sheets By August 14, 2015 Should Be Dismissed With Prejudice.

Plaintiffs have had months to complete PFSs and provide supporting medical records. In February, after it became apparent that many Plaintiffs simply were not complying with their discovery obligations, AbbVie sought relief from this Court, which aptly observed that “the whole thing goes awry” in the absence of prompt and complete PFSs. Tr. of Feb. 20, 2015 Hearing, at 8-9. Plaintiff with a pending Complaint would serve a completed PFS and supporting documents by May 8, and subsequent Plaintiffs would have 80 days after filing their Complaint to serve their discovery responses. The Court formalized this agreement in Amended CMO 9.

As May 8 approached, it became obvious that many Plaintiffs would miss the deadline, and most were rushing to complete PFSs at the midnight hour. In the week leading up to May 8, AbbVie received 271 PFSs. AbbVie once again sought relief from this Court, and subsequent negotiations resulted in a new, final deadline of June 15 for Plaintiffs to serve completed PFSs and supporting documents. Again, the Court formalized the parties’ agreement, entering an Amended CMO 14 that provided, “[o]nly cases that have been filed and for which plaintiff’s fact sheets have been completed in accordance with Amended CMO 9 on or before June 15, 2015 will be eligible to be selected as a bellwether plaintiff.”⁴ This was intended to be a final deadline that would determine the composition of the bellwether pool, so that it included only Plaintiffs who provided complete PFSs and supporting medical records.

⁴ AbbVie received an additional 101 PFSs between May 9 and June 15, meaning that Plaintiffs filed 372 PFSs—55% of the total pool—between May 1 and the June 15 deadline.

Unfortunately, even after this June 15 deadline, many AbbVie-only Plaintiffs failed to provide the discovery required by this Court's Orders. On June 25, AbbVie sent Plaintiffs a spreadsheet identifying these deficiencies, and over the following days, AbbVie sent follow-up deficiency letters to individual Plaintiffs' counsel. AbbVie's review has identified 169 Plaintiffs who continue to have material deficiencies in their PFSs because they have not provided basic information that is required by Amended CMO 9 and critical to this litigation. *See* Exhibit A.⁵ AbbVie's present motion focuses on those Plaintiffs with deficiencies that significantly impact the parties' ability to test Plaintiffs' claims; although most Plaintiffs have at least minor deficiencies in their responses, AbbVie has only targeted a relatively small subset of the cases.⁶

AbbVie needs this information to propose a fair selection process and/or to select bellwethers, and thereafter to litigate Plaintiffs' claims. Such essential—*yet somehow missing*—information includes the identification of prescribing physicians and/or dispensing pharmacies, and the completion of the authorizations AbbVie needs to collect additional medical records. In many instances, it should be relatively easy for Plaintiffs to cure the deficiencies in the next few weeks. If Plaintiffs fail to remedy these problems, however, they will not be ready to litigate their claims if selected as bellwethers. Therefore, if the problems persist at the time of the Court's bellwether hearing on August 14, the Court should dismiss those cases with prejudice.

⁵ AbbVie would have discovered these deficiencies sooner, but most Plaintiffs submitted their PFSs in a large group immediately before the cutoff.

⁶ For example, an additional 280 Plaintiffs failed to provide authorizations for employment records. Although AbbVie included those cases on the initial deficiency spreadsheet sent to Plaintiffs on June 25, AbbVie will continue to work with those 280 Plaintiffs to ensure all of them agree that they will not pursue lost-wages claims. Further, since AbbVie sent deficiency letters, over 50 Plaintiffs have responded and 22 have cured their deficient discovery responses.

AbbVie has notified the Plaintiffs identified on Exhibit A of the deficiencies in their discovery responses. Under current Amended CMO 9, AbbVie is permitted to file a motion to compel if a Plaintiff fails either to cure the identified deficiencies or to object to the requests within 30 days after receiving notice. Plaintiffs then have 21 days to respond, after which time the Court may rule on the motion without argument, and if there is continued noncompliance, AbbVie can then file a motion to dismiss.

AbbVie submits that this extended deficiency dispute resolution process is no longer feasible to timely resolve the claims of Plaintiffs who wish to remain in the initial bellwether pool. The Court established this multi-step protocol *before* Amended CMO 14 set a compressed bellwether schedule. Under the current briefing deadlines in Amended CMO 9, this Court would not be able to address and finally resolve deficient Plaintiff discovery (by dismissing non-compliant Plaintiffs) until well after bellwether discovery is underway. The motions to compel would not be ripe and fully briefed until September at the earliest. Then, should the Court compel further responses, Plaintiffs would have additional time to provide basic discovery responses, which would put them behind the rest of the pool even if they complied.

Plaintiffs embrace this schedule, which provides them yet more chances to provide the basic discovery that they owed months ago, renders the June 15 final deadline a nullity, and extends the true deadline for completing PFSs many months into the future. Under Plaintiffs' view, the Court may not be in a position to dismiss the claims of intransigent Plaintiffs until late Fall or early Winter. This means that the Court risks selecting these flawed cases, only to later dismiss them because of a Plaintiff's noncompliance. The continued inability or unwillingness to comply with simple discovery Orders is also a harbinger of things to come; a Plaintiff who has not provided complete PFS responses by this point of the litigation is also unlikely to be willing

or prepared to participate in full discovery if selected as a bellwether. There is no reason to wait until after the pool is comprised to deal with these recalcitrant Plaintiffs, for incomplete or inaccurate discovery responses, if not addressed promptly, can quickly derail an otherwise functional bellwether plan. *See, e.g., In re Yasmin & YAZ (Drospirenone) Mktg., Sales Practices & Products Liab. Litig.*, 2011 WL 3035087, at *2 (S.D. Ill. July 25, 2011) (“*In re Yasmin*”) (“Inaccurate and/or incomplete PFS submissions, however, interfere with the current discovery process reaching its full potential In other words, without accurate information a meaningful evaluation of the litigation cannot occur and the entire process will have been a waste of everyone's time and resources.”).

To prevent lingering deficiencies from skewing or delaying the bellwether process, AbbVie proposes that the AbbVie-only Plaintiffs on Exhibit A should have until August 14 to cure all identified deficiencies and serve complete PFSs and supporting documents. At that point, the Court should dismiss with prejudice the claims of any Plaintiff who remains noncompliant, rather than engaging in the intermediate step of entertaining motions to compel, which is impractical given the tight deadlines in the bellwether schedule. Even if noncompliant Plaintiffs ultimately were to provide discovery in response to an Order to compel, they would still be months behind schedule.

In the interim, AbbVie will continue to work with Plaintiffs to identify, and hopefully resolve, continuing deficiencies. But in less than two months, the Court will have to choose a process for selecting bellwethers. The June 15 final deadline for PFS completion was set so that the composition of the pool would be fixed before the Court decides on a selection protocol. Whatever method the court chooses, it must apply only to an unbiased pool of Plaintiffs who have complied with this Court's Orders, completed their preliminary discovery, and

demonstrated a willingness to participate in the litigation. Otherwise, the pool will include Plaintiffs who have chosen to duck their litigation responsibilities and are lurking in the MDL thicket. The Court should not tolerate this free-riding, which effectively permits the MDL procedural device to change the rules of litigation and affect AbbVie's right to test the claims of every Plaintiff, in violation of the Rules Enabling Act. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2072(b) (procedural devices "shall not abridge, enlarge or modify any substantive right").

Therefore, AbbVie respectfully requests the Court dismiss with prejudice the claims of those Plaintiffs on Exhibit A who fail to cure all identified discovery deficiencies by August 14, 2015. At that time, the parties can provide to the Court an agreed list of the Plaintiffs who are eligible for selection as bellwethers because they have substantially complied with their discovery obligations.

II. The Court Should Exclude From The Initial Bellwether Pool Any Plaintiffs Who Used TRT Products Other Than AndroGel.

There are another 184 Plaintiffs who sued only AbbVie, but admitted in their PFSs that they used TRTs made by other manufacturers. *See* Exhibit B. Some of these Plaintiffs were using other TRTs at the time of their alleged injuries. Including them in the bellwether pool would be inconsistent with the spirit of this Court's ruling that the first bellwether cases should be "AbbVie-only." *See* CMO 14.

Despite a good-faith effort to resolve this dispute, the parties have fundamentally different views of what was intended when everyone in this MDL agreed to begin with "AbbVie-only" cases. AbbVie takes the position that a case is eligible for selection as a bellwether if: (a) AbbVie is the only defendant; and (b) AndroGel is the only TRT allegedly used. Plaintiffs, on the other hand, believe a case is eligible even if the Plaintiff allegedly used other TRTs.

Plaintiffs' interpretation, if accepted, would lead to the inclusion of cases that are not representative of the majority of the claims against AbbVie.

The Court's schedule does not allow for or contemplate the participation of other manufacturers during this AbbVie-only phase of the litigation. Indeed, neither the parties nor the Court intended the focus of the initial bellwethers to be on anything other than AbbVie and AndroGel, which is why there are no provisions in the current schedule to litigate issues in the bellwether cases relating to any manufacturer other than AbbVie.

It makes little sense to begin the bellwether process by tackling complex multi-product claims that affect only a minority of the pending cases. It is more consistent with this Court's case management plan to first test the claims of Plaintiffs who only used AndroGel. These cases are more straightforward and do not risk the procedural or substantive complications that arise in cases involving multiple manufacturers (including questions of potential joint tortfeasor liability, contribution, and whether a jury may apportion fault to non-defendants). More practically, although Plaintiffs may suggest AbbVie should be happy to have an "empty chair" defense, if AbbVie were to prevail on motions or at trial, a Plaintiff's multi-product use would become a convenient post-hoc rationale for minimizing the significance of the outcome.

Further, bellwether cases are an effective case management tool only where they are representative of the claimant pool, and test issues that will hasten resolution of the litigation as a whole, or for a substantial number of cases. *See, e.g., In re Yasmin*, 2010 WL 4024778, at *2 (S.D. Ill. Oct. 13, 2010) ("[T]he most critical element of this plan and the purpose it seeks to serve is for ***the most representative cases*** to be selected and for no one to lose sight of that objective.") (emphasis added); *see also Standards and Best Practices for Large and Mass-Tort*

MDLs 27 (2014) (“[T]he key is to select cases that are representative of the entire claimant pool (or of specific categories in that pool).”).

In more than two-thirds of the current “AbbVie-only” bellwether pool, AndroGel is the only product the Plaintiff allegedly used. The use of TRT products other than AndroGel is a complicating factor, and those cases are not representative of the majority of pending claims because they will raise case-specific factual and legal issues relating to other TRTs and other manufacturers. As such, litigating multi-product cases will not advance the resolution of the bulk of the AndroGel-only cases against AbbVie.

A case brought by a Plaintiff who used other TRT products will test different issues than a case involving only AndroGel use. TRT products each carry different benefit/risk profiles and have different regulatory histories, which will add additional issues in discovery. Should a multi-product case advance to trial, the jury will have to evaluate the science as it relates to each product, and each company’s alleged conduct. The trial will be longer and more confusing to the jury, and these complicating issues will be present in the case even where certain Plaintiffs have chosen not to sue other manufacturers for tactical reasons.

A bellwether pool limited to Plaintiffs who took only AndroGel is more likely to be meaningful to the overwhelming majority of the 1200+ cases pending against AbbVie. Accordingly, AbbVie respectfully requests this Court to exclude from the initial bellwether pool those Plaintiffs who admit that they used TRTs other than AndroGel. This will not prejudice those Plaintiffs’ ability to have their claims tested at a later phase of the litigation.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons set forth above, AbbVie respectfully requests this Court to dismiss with prejudice the claims of those Plaintiffs who fail to cure all identified PFS deficiencies by August 14, 2015.

AbbVie also respectfully requests this Court to exclude from the initial “AbbVie-only” bellwether pool those Plaintiffs who admit they used TRTs other than AndroGel.

Dated: July 6, 2015

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ David M. Bernick

David M. Bernick
DECHERT LLP
1095 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036-6797
Tel: (212) 698-3500
Fax: (212) 698-3599
david.bernick@dechert.com

Hope S. Freiwald
DECHERT LLP
2929 Arch St., Cira Centre
Philadelphia, PA 19104-2808
Tel: (312) 646-5827
Fax: (312) 698-5858
hope.freiwald@dechert.com

***Attorneys for AbbVie Inc. and Abbott
Laboratories***

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Christopher R. Boisvert, hereby certify that on July 6, 2015, the foregoing document was filed via the Court's CM/ECF system, which will automatically serve and send email notification of such filing to all registered attorneys of record.

/s/ Christopher R. Boisvert

EXHIBIT A

Plaintiff	MDL NDIL Case No.	Opposing Firm	Filing Date	PFS not Signed / Dated	No authorizations	Missisng pharmacy authorizations	Missing Prescriber authorizations	Prescriber not identified	Prescriber address not provided or incomplete	Incomplete / Missing Pharmacy Information	No Dates of Usage	No date of Hospitalization for injury
Ascher, Richard; Ascher, Barbara	14-cv-10433	Anapol Schwartz	12/30/2014									Yes
Ebner, Joseph Ebner, Natalie	14-cv-04617	Anapol Schwartz	6/6/2014						Yes			
Gallegos, Frank	15-cv-01201	Anapol Schwartz	2/9/2015						Yes			
Lanning, Gary; Lanning, Mary	14-cv-05158	Anapol Schwartz	6/25/2014									Yes
Casals, Alfred	14-cv-08599	Aylstock Witkin Kreis & Overholtz	10/30/2014			Yes						
Norton, Roger	14-cv-10311	Aylstock Witkin Kreis & Overholtz	12/23/2014			Yes						
Riley, Lionel	14-cv-10306	Aylstock Witkin Kreis & Overholtz	12/23/2014				Yes	Yes				Yes
McCullouch, Henry	15-cv-00729	Baron & Budd	1/26/2015							Yes		
Sherman, Lee Allen	15-cv-00833	Baron & Budd	1/28/2015			Yes	Yes	Yes				
Chapman, Roy; Chapman, Robin	14-cv-08732	Beasley, Allen, Crow, Methvin, Portis & Miles, P.C.	11/3/2014							Yes		
LaRoche, Teresa LaRoche, Robert	14-cv-01826	Beasley, Allen, Crow, Methvin, Portis & Miles, P.C.	3/13/2014									Yes
Dansereau, Daniel	15-cv-01659	Bernstein Liebhard	2/24/2015				Yes		Yes			
Davis, Paula; Davis, Dennis (deceased)	14-cv-08267	Bernstein Liebhard	10/21/2014			Yes				Yes	Yes	
Faison, John; Faison, Natalie	15-cv-01894	Bernstein Liebhard	3/3/2015				Yes	Yes				
Stigmon, John Stigmon, Kathleen	15-cv-02903	Bernstein Liebhard	4/2/2015	Yes								
Anderson, Morris	15-cv-01372	Branch Law Firm	2/13/2015			Yes	Yes					
Bentley, Roger	15-cv-01579	Branch Law Firm	2/20/2015		Yes	Yes	Yes					
Hernandez, Ernesto	15-cv-02635	Branch Law Firm	3/27/2015	Yes								
Krapcha, John	14-cv-09140	Branch Law Firm	10/31/2014									
Sutton, Mary J; Sutton, Elgar Ray (deceased);	15-cv-00315	Branch Law Firm	1/13/2015	Yes								
Worner, Harold	15-cv-02630	Branch Law Firm	3/27/2015			Yes						
Somerville, Dennis	15-cv-03456	Burg Simpson Eldredge Hersh & Jardine	4/20/2015						Yes			
Becnel, Thomas	15-cv-00846	Carey Danis & Lowe	1/28/2015		Yes	Yes	Yes					

EXHIBIT A

Plaintiff	MDL NDIL Case No.	Opposing Firm	Filing Date	PFS not Signed / Dated	No authorizations	Missisng pharmacy authorizations	Missing Prescriber authorizations	Prescriber not identified	Prescriber address not provided or incomplete	Incomplete / Missing Pharmacy Information	No Dates of Usage	No date of Hospitalization for injury
Garrison, Michael; Garrison, Margaret	14-cv-10194	Casey Gerry Schenk Francavilla Blatt & Penfield	12/19/2014			Yes				Yes		
Foster, Thomas; Foster, Glenda	15-cv-02174	Cohen & Malad	3/12/2015			Yes						
Herubin, John	14-cv-09144	Davis & Crump	11/14/2014							Yes		
Hill, Charles	14-cv-09493	Davis & Crump	11/26/2014						Yes			
Whitecar, Richard	14-cv-03221	Davis & Crump	5/2/2014				Yes		Yes			
Anderson, Michael; Anderson, Donna	14-cv-09928	Douglas & London	12/11/2014				Yes		Yes			
Annette, Ronald; Annette, Joanne	15-cv-00785	Douglas & London	1/27/2015						Yes			
Brousseau, William	14-cv-10396	Douglas & London	12/28/2014				Yes		Yes			
Covey, Bobby	14-cv-02406	Douglas & London	4/3/2014	Yes						yes		
DeForest, Jeffrey; DeForest, Vickie	14-cv-02405	Douglas & London	4/3/2014	Yes								
Elder, Eric Elder, Mary	14-cv-04538	Douglas & London	4/23/2014				Yes					
Eudy, Bruce Eudy, Cecelia	14-cv-07355	Douglas & London	9/22/2014	Yes								
Gandy, Bruce; Gandy, Marcia	14-cv-04551	Douglas & London	5/9/2014	Yes								
Harlow, Jack	15-cv-00524	Douglas & London	1/20/2015	Yes						Yes		
Mason, Kevin Mason, Susan	14-cv-06656	Douglas & London	8/28/2014				Yes		Yes			
Quinones, Jorge	14-cv-04551	Douglas & London	5/9/2014	Yes								
Reno, David Reno, Lucretia	14-cv-07349	Douglas & London	9/22/2014				Yes					
Wesson, Eddie	15-cv-01341	Douglas & London	2/12/2015				Yes		Yes			
LoCoco, Santo; LoCoco, Sharon	14-cv-04256	Gainsburgh, Benjamin, David, Meunier & Warshauer	4/4/2014			Yes	Yes			yes		
Nolte, Robert; Nolte, Genienne	14-cv-08135	Goldberg & Osborne	10/17/2014						Yes			
Phillips, Ernie	14-cv-08445	Goldberg & Osborne	10/27/2014							Yes		
Wilcher, Charles	14-cv-08450	Goldberg & Osborne	10/27/2014					Yes	Yes			
Tomlin, Lucinda; Tomlin, Daryl (deceased)	15-cv-00651	Goldenberglaw PLLC	1/22/2015	Yes								
Fullerton, William	14-cv-09611	Golomb & Honik	12/2/2014						Yes			
Emmons, John	14-cv-02221	Heard Robins Cloud	3/28/2014			Yes						

EXHIBIT A

Plaintiff	MDL NDIL Case No.	Opposing Firm	Filing Date	PFS not Signed / Dated	No authorizations	Missisng pharmacy authorizations	Missing Prescriber authorizations	Prescriber not identified	Prescriber address not provided or incomplete	Incomplete / Missing Pharmacy Information	No Dates of Usage	No date of Hospitalization for injury
Anderson, Robert	14-cv-09961	Heninger Garrison Davis	12/12/2014			Yes			Yes	Yes		
Coker, Anthony	14-cv-09941	Heninger Garrison Davis	12/11/2014			Yes				Yes	Yes	
Isom, Wardell	14-cv-09971	Heninger Garrison Davis	12/12/2014			Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes		
Kahl, Murray	14-cv-09951	Heninger Garrison Davis	12/11/2014					Yes		Yes		
Ragin, Hubert	14-cv-09947	Heninger Garrison Davis	12/11/2014			Yes						
Rowe, Lewis	14-cv-09949	Heninger Garrison Davis	12/11/2014			Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes	
Scovil, Stephen L.	15-cv-02362	Heninger Garrison Davis	3/18/2015									Yes
Sherman, Stephen C.; Sherman, Lynda	15-cv-02363	Heninger Garrison Davis	3/18/2015			Yes	Yes	Yes				
Tankerson, Richard E. Tankerson, Pamela	15-cv-02357	Heninger Garrison Davis	3/18/2015									Yes
Esolen, Gary	15-cv-00835	Herman, Herman & Katz	1/28/2015						Yes			
Meagher, Thomas	15-cv-00105	Herman, Herman & Katz	1/7/2015	Yes								
Krzyzaniak, Denise; Krzyzaniak, Randall (deceased)	14-cv-09884	Hollis Law Firm	12/9/2014						Yes			
Cruz, Orlando; Cruz, Carmen	15-cv-01799	Hovde Dassow & Deets	2/27/2015						Yes			
Dudley, Perry	15-cv-00045	James Esparza Law Firm	1/6/2015					Yes				
Vanbuskirk, Alan	14-cv-07554	James Esparza Law Firm	9/29/2014			Yes						
White, Peggy White, Dave (deceased) White, Allison White, Caroline White, Kathleen	14-cv-03818	Janet Jenner & Suggs	5/23/2014			Yes						
Borgnis, Bernard A.	15-cv-02530	Johnson Becker PLLC	3/25/2015	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes					
Grover, Joshua P.	15-cv-02848	Johnson Becker PLLC	4/1/2015	Yes								
Iannaccone, Eugene	15-cv-00340	Johnson Becker PLLC	1/14/2015				Yes		Yes			
Lawrence, Arthur	15-cv-01679	Johnson Becker PLLC	2/25/2015				Yes		Yes			
Ortiz, Eddie	14-cv-01670	Johnson Becker PLLC	3/10/2014							Yes		
Whiddon, Michael	14-cv-10026	Johnson Becker PLLC	12/15/2014	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes					
Levy, Marc; Levy, Connie	14-cv-10124	Jones Ward PLC	12/17/2014						Yes			
Werblo, John N. Werblo, Mary	15-cv-03559	Jones Ward PLC	4/23/2015									Yes
Boone, John Boone, Dale	14-cv-06709	Kaiser Gornick, LLP	8/29/2014			Yes	Yes		Yes			
Cook, Lewis	14-cv-10482	Kaiser Gornick, LLP	12/30/2014				Yes					

EXHIBIT A

Plaintiff	MDL NDIL Case No.	Opposing Firm	Filing Date	PFS not Signed / Dated	No authorizations	Missisng pharmacy authorizations	Missing Prescriber authorizations	Prescriber not identified	Prescriber address not provided or incomplete	Incomplete / Missing Pharmacy Information	No Dates of Usage	No date of Hospitalization for injury
Lane, Richard	14-cv-10483	Kaiser Gornick, LLP	12/30/2014				Yes		Yes			
Moss, Sandra; Moss, Donald (deceased)	14-cv-10484	Kaiser Gornick, LLP	12/30/2014			Yes	Yes		Yes			
Stinnett, Patrick; Lee, Ethel	15-cv-01801	Kaiser Gornick, LLP	2/27/2015				Yes					
Towne, Jackson; Towne, Mary	14-cv-09363	Kaiser Gornick, LLP	11/21/2014					Yes				
Welch, Gary G.; Welch, Patricia	15-cv-01806	Kaiser Gornick, LLP	2/27/2015			Yes						
Dane, Joseph; Dane, Krista	14-cv-05323	Levin Papantonio Thomas Mitchell Rafferty & Proctor	7/14/2014			Yes						
Mullins, Paul	15-cv-01254	Levin Papantonio Thomas Mitchell Rafferty & Proctor	2/10/2015	Yes								
Aranda, Harold	14-cv-08410	Levin Simes LLP	10/27/2014	Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes			
Dunbar, Robert	14-cv-03170	Levin Simes LLP	4/30/2014	Yes		Yes						Yes
Gross, Stephen	14-cv-02934	Levin Simes LLP	4/24/2014						Yes			
Hansford, Freddie Hansford, Frankie	14-cv-05042	Levin Simes LLP	7/2/2014	Yes		Yes				Yes		
Heath, Edward	14-cv-03156	Levin Simes LLP	4/30/2014			Yes						
McLaughlin, Michael; McLaughlin, Susan	14-cv-04816	Levin Simes LLP	6/25/2014			Yes						
Nieves, Harry Nieves, Mildred	14-cv-03166	Levin Simes LLP	4/30/2014	Yes		Yes						
Nowak, Stephen; Nowak, Marian	14-cv-03630	Levin Simes LLP	5/16/2014	Yes		Yes						
Olds, David	14-cv-03007	Levin Simes LLP	4/25/2014	Yes		Yes						
Patrick, Frederic	14-cv-02903	Levin Simes LLP	4/23/2014	Yes		Yes						
Phelps, Dale Phelps, Debbie	14-cv-05049	Levin Simes LLP	7/2/2014	Yes		Yes						
Roberts, William	14-cv-03062	Levin Simes LLP	4/28/2014			Yes						
Short, Dale	14-cv-09414	Levin Simes LLP	11/24/2014				Yes					
Sikes, Lawrence Sikes, Anna Marie	14-cv-03163	Levin Simes LLP	4/30/2014	Yes		Yes						
Taylor, John	14-cv-05030	Levin Simes LLP	7/2/2014	Yes		Yes						
Truax, Roccie	14-cv-02935	Levin Simes LLP	4/24/2014	Yes		Yes						
Trusty, Joe; Trusty, Christine	15-cv-01015	Levin Simes LLP	1/30/2015				Yes					

EXHIBIT A

Plaintiff	MDL NDIL Case No.	Opposing Firm	Filing Date	PFS not Signed / Dated	No authorizations	Missisng pharmacy authorizations	Missing Prescriber authorizations	Prescriber not identified	Prescriber address not provided or incomplete	Incomplete / Missing Pharmacy Information	No Dates of Usage	No date of Hospitalization for injury
Ward, Thomas Ward, Gerianne	14-cv-03168	Levin Simes LLP	4/30/2014	Yes		Yes						
Windham, Jason Windham, Pamela	14-cv-03191	Levin Simes LLP	4/30/2014			Yes						
Wooden, Samuel	15-cv-00983	Levin Simes LLP	1/30/2015			Yes						
MacEachran, Ainslie	14-cv-09080	Lockridge Grindal Nauen	11/12/2014				Yes		Yes			
Bailey, Lloyd; Bailey, Emily	14-cv-08927	Locks Law Firm	10/24/2014		Yes	Yes	Yes				Yes	
Wisdom, Nicholas; Wisdom, Lori	14-cv-10137	Locks Law Firm	12/5/2014						Yes		Yes	
Neubauer, Raymond Neubauer, Janette	15-cv-05117	Maher Law Firm	6/10/2015			Yes						
Seawell, John	15-cv-02483	Matthews & Associates	3/23/2015							Yes		
Farrell, Scott Farrell, Stephanie	15-cv-04258	McSweeney/Langevin	5/14/2015						Yes			
Rodriguez, Mark	14-cv-05752	Morelli Alters	7/28/2014						Yes			
Schwalm, Mickey	14-cv-02899	Morelli Alters	4/23/2014						Yes			
Akridge, Milton	15-cv-00310	Morgan & Morgan	1/13/2015								Yes	
Dawson, Tony Dawson, Zanett	15-cv-04478	Morgan & Morgan	5/21/2015					Yes				
Iversen, James Iversen, Jacki	15-cv-04457	Morgan & Morgan	5/20/2015					Yes				
Wainwright, Ralph	14-cv-04534	Morgan & Morgan	5/6/2014				Yes		Yes			
Ziminsky, Leo Cree Ziminsky, Louise	14-cv-05625	Morgan Law Firm	7/23/2014			Yes				Yes		
Belanger, Michael	14-cv-08186	Morris Bart	10/7/2014	Yes								
Raymo, McArthur	14-cv-08407	Morris Bart	10/7/2014					Yes				
Vincent, Laxy; Vincent, Tammy	14-cv-07600	Morris Bart	9/12/2014	Yes								
Tejeda, Rafael	14-cv-04258	NastLaw, LLC	2/12/2014					Yes				
Lindsey, Jimmy	15-cv-00734	Peterson & Associates	1/26/2015				Yes		Yes			
Carr, Robert Brian	14-cv-04615	Pogust Braslow & Millrood	6/6/2014			Yes	Yes					
Henning, Thomas	14-cv-03384	Pogust Braslow & Millrood	5/9/2014						Yes			
Jennings, Michael; Jennings, Deborah	14-cv-05778	Pogust Braslow & Millrood	7/28/2014	Yes					Yes			
Ohlson, Grant; Ohlson, Donna	14-cv-07137	Robert J. Debry & Associates; Burg Simpson Eldredge Hersh & Jardine	8/28/2014			Yes				Yes		

EXHIBIT A

Plaintiff	MDL NDIL Case No.	Opposing Firm	Filing Date	PFS not Signed / Dated	No authorizations	Missisng pharmacy authorizations	Missing Prescriber authorizations	Prescriber not identified	Prescriber address not provided or incomplete	Incomplete / Missing Pharmacy Information	No Dates of Usage	No date of Hospitalization for injury
Frey, Bruce; Bozentka, Regina	14-cv-06600	Schachter Hendy & Johnson	8/27/2014				Yes		Yes			
Humphries, Buddy; Humphries, Kathy	14-cv-01473	Schachter Hendy & Johnson	2/28/2014				Yes					
Innis, Michael Innis, Suzanne	15-cv-00492	Schachter Hendy & Johnson	1/19/2015				Yes		Yes			
Little, Robert	15-cv-00439	Schachter Hendy & Johnson	1/16/2015			Yes				Yes		
Poulsen, Brett; Poulsen, Cindy	14-cv-06912	Schachter Hendy & Johnson	9/8/2014				Yes					
Thomas, Steven; Thomas, Marlys Ann	15-cv-01370	Schachter Hendy & Johnson	2/13/2015				yes					
Scott, William	14-cv-10443	Schlichter Bogard & Denton	12/30/2014			Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes		
Wilkins, Jonathan	15-cv-00641	Seeger Weiss	1/22/2015				Yes		Yes			
Skinner, Robert	14-cv-07959	Simmons Hanly Conroy	10/13/2014					Yes				
Linn, Donald	14-cv-04527	Singleton Law Firm	5/6/2014							Yes		
Burk, Larry	14-cv-06682	Stueve Siegel Hanson	8/29/2014				Yes	Yes				
Bender, Andrew	14-cv-08773	The Lanier Law Firm	11/4/2014		Yes	Yes	Yes				Yes	
Jackson, Calvin Estate	15-cv-01831	The Orlando Firm	3/2/2015						Yes			
Cribbs, Edward	15-cv-01056	Weitz & Luxenberg	2/2/2015			Yes						
Litzinger, Robert	14-cv-09376	Weitz & Luxenberg	11/7/2014			Yes						
Martina, Randy	14-cv-08598	Weitz & Luxenberg	10/30/2014			Yes						
McCann, John; McCann, Mary Ann	15-cv-00107	Weitz & Luxenberg	1/7/2015			Yes	Yes		Yes			
Tallent, Donald	15-cv-00456	Weitz & Luxenberg	1/16/2015			Yes						
White, Roy; White, Belle	14-cv-07086	Weitz & Luxenberg	9/11/2014			Yes						
Box, Pascal	15-cv-01153	Wendt Goss	2/5/2015						Yes			
Creasy, Michael	15-cv-00237	Wendt Goss	1/12/2015						Yes			
Jones, Willie	14-cv-08670	Wendt Goss	10/31/2014				Yes		Yes			
Latka, Louis	14-cv-10434	Wendt Goss	12/30/2014					Yes				
Wittnebel, Leonard	14-cv-07477	Wendt Goss	9/26/2014						Yes			
Ziese, Judy; Ziese, Robert (deceased)	15-cv-01705	Wendt Goss	2/25/2015		Yes - St. John's Regional MC							
Banner, Keith	14-cv-06552	Zoll, Kranz & Borgess	8/25/2014							Yes		

EXHIBIT B

Plaintiff	MDL NDIL Case No.	Opposing Firm	Filing Date	Other TRT Product Usage Alleged
Lanning, Gary; Lanning, Mary	14-cv-05158	Anapol Schwartz	6/25/2014	Yes
Kerr, George	14-cv-09576	Aylstock Witkin Kreis & Overholtz	12/1/2014	Yes
Young, David	15-cv-00897	Aylstock Witkin Kreis & Overholtz	1/29/2015	Yes
Casals, Alfred	14-cv-08599	Aylstock Witkin Kreis & Overholtz	10/30/2014	Yes
Gevas, Steven	15-cv-03189	Ball & Bonholtzer	4/10/2015	Yes
Toro, Frank	15-cv-03312	Ball & Bonholtzer	4/14/2015	Yes
Richards, Francis	14-cv-07803	Baron & Budd	10/6/2014	Yes
Miler, Kerry	14-cv-09894	Baron & Budd	12/10/2014	Yes
Hutcherson, Kevin; Hutcherson, Siji	14-cv-08736	Beasley, Allen, Crow, Methvin, Portis & Miles, P.C.	11/3/2014	Yes
Nugent, Stephen	15-cv-01675	Beasley, Allen, Crow, Methvin, Portis & Miles, P.C.	2/24/2015	Yes
Faison, John; Faison, Natalie	15-cv-01894	Bernstein Liebhard	3/3/2015	Yes
Worner, Harold	15-cv-02630	Branch Law Firm	3/27/2015	Yes
Hubbard, Randy	15-cv-00847	Burg Simpson Eldredge Hersh & Jardine	1/28/2015	Yes
Longcrier, Steven	15-cv-01004	Burg Simpson Eldredge Hersh & Jardine	1/30/2015	Yes
Restivo, Joseph	14-cv-09911	Burg Simpson Eldredge Hersh & Jardine	12/10/2014	Yes
Webster, Ray	15-cv-01029	Burg Simpson Eldredge Hersh & Jardine	1/30/2015	Yes
Cullen, George	15-cv-02699	Burg Simpson Eldredge Hersh & Jardine	3/30/2015	Yes
Cumberledge, Patrick	15-cv-01192	Burg Simpson Eldredge Hersh & Jardine	2/6/2015	Yes
Easterling, Eddie	15-cv-02365	Burg Simpson Eldredge Hersh & Jardine	3/18/2015	Yes
Flowers, Chester	14-cv-09427	Burg Simpson Eldredge Hersh & Jardine	11/25/2014	Yes
Fulkerson, Scott	14-cv-03715	Burg Simpson Eldredge Hersh & Jardine	5/20/2014	Yes
Kneafsey, David	15-cv-02172	Burg Simpson Eldredge Hersh & Jardine	3/12/2015	Yes
McFadden, David	14-cv-09509	Burg Simpson Eldredge Hersh & Jardine	11/26/2014	Yes
Michael, James	15-cv-00857	Burg Simpson Eldredge Hersh & Jardine	1/28/2015	Yes
Somerville, Dennis	15-cv-03456	Burg Simpson Eldredge Hersh & Jardine	4/20/2015	Yes
Taylor, Robert	14-cv-05232	Burg Simpson Eldredge Hersh & Jardine	7/9/2014	Yes
Danna, Johnnie	14-cv-07599	Burke Harvey	9/12/2014	Yes

EXHIBIT B

Plaintiff	MDL NDIL Case No.	Opposing Firm	Filing Date	Other TRT Product Usage Alleged
Becnel, Thomas	15-cv-00846	Carey Danis & Lowe	1/28/2015	Yes
Grote, Robert	15-cv-00863	Carey Danis & Lowe	1/28/2015	Yes
Railey, Charles	15-cv-00860	Carey Danis & Lowe	1/28/2015	Yes
Allen, Michael	14-cv-07377	Chaffin Luhana LLP	9/4/2014	Yes
Newsome, Toney	14-cv-08517	Cohen & Malad	10/29/2014	Yes
Fuhrman, James Fuhrman, Alton (Deceased)	15-cv-02603	Cory Watson, PC	3/27/2015	Yes
Wigley, Kenneth	14-cv-9138	Cory Watson, PC	10/31/2014	Yes
Hufstetler, Donald	14-cv-09706	Cuneo Gilbert Laduca	12/4/2014	Yes
Hill, Charles	14-cv-09493	Davis & Crump	11/26/2014	Yes
Whitecar, Richard	14-cv-03221	Davis & Crump	5/2/2014	Yes
Anderson, Michael; Anderson, Donna	14-cv-09928	Douglas & London	12/11/2014	Yes
Annette, Ronald; Annette, Joanne	15-cv-00785	Douglas & London	1/27/2015	Yes
Brousseau, William	14-cv-10396	Douglas & London	12/28/2014	Yes
DeForest, Jeffrey; DeForest, Vickie	14-cv-02405	Douglas & London	4/3/2014	Yes
Elder, Eric Elder, Mary	14-cv-04538	Douglas & London	4/23/2014	Yes
Fedewa, Richard; Fedewa, Ginger	15-cv-01339	Douglas & London	2/12/2015	Yes
Smith, James; Smith, Theresa	14-cv-08470	Douglas & London	10/28/2014	Yes
Dominguez, David	14-cv-06914	Edwards & De La Cerda	8/15/2014	Yes
Hamilton, Heath	15-cv-00528	Gancedo Law Firm; Ball & Bonholtzer	1/20/2015	Yes
Crume, Kurt	14-cv-08426	Goldberg & Osborne	10/27/2014	Yes
Finley, David; Finley, Rhonda	15-cv-01821	Goldenberglaw PLLC	3/2/2015	Yes
Rowe, Lewis	14-cv-09949	Heninger Garrison Davis	12/11/2014	Yes
Scovil, Stephen L.	15-cv-02362	Heninger Garrison Davis	3/18/2015	Yes

EXHIBIT B

Plaintiff	MDL NDIL Case No.	Opposing Firm	Filing Date	Other TRT Product Usage Alleged
Sherman, Stephen C.; Sherman, Lynda	15-cv-02363	Heninger Garrison Davis	3/18/2015	Yes
Tankerson, Richard E. Tankerson, Pamela	15-cv-02357	Heninger Garrison Davis	3/18/2015	Yes
Bourgeois, Michael	14-cv-04516	Herman, Herman & Katz	4/17/2014	Yes
Olson, Shad	14-cv-10304	Herman, Herman & Katz	12/23/2014	Yes
Vanbuskirk, Alan	14-cv-07554	James Esparza Law Firm	9/29/2014	Yes
Bellay, Timothy; Bellay, Valeria	14-cv-09608	John Lysenko Co., LPA	11/14/2014	Yes
Bergmayr, Timothy	15-cv-00867	Johnson Becker PLLC	1/28/2015	Yes
Morrow, Michael	15-cv-00356	Johnson Becker PLLC	1/14/2015	Yes
Borgnis, Bernard A.	15-cv-02530	Johnson Becker PLLC	3/25/2015	Yes
Cates, Albert	14-cv-09690	Johnson Becker PLLC	12/4/2014	Yes
Dillin, Kent	14-cv-09888	Johnson Becker PLLC	12/10/2014	Yes
Fletcher, Dennis	15-cv-00342	Johnson Becker PLLC	1/14/2015	Yes
George, Mark	14-cv-02085	Johnson Becker PLLC	3/25/2014	Yes
Gilbreath, Ronald	15-cv-00909	Johnson Becker PLLC	1/29/2015	Yes
Iannaccone, Eugene	15-cv-00340	Johnson Becker PLLC	1/14/2015	Yes
Lawrence, Arthur	15-cv-01679	Johnson Becker PLLC	2/25/2015	Yes
Minch, Lance	15-cv-00362	Johnson Becker PLLC	1/14/2015	Yes
Nuckolls, Jay	14-cv-09170	Johnson Becker PLLC	11/14/2014	Yes
Ortiz, Eddie	14-cv-01670	Johnson Becker PLLC	3/10/2014	Yes
Ray, Timothy	14-cv-07134	Johnson Becker PLLC	8/29/2014	Yes
Snyder, Thomas	14-cv-10489	Johnson Becker PLLC	12/31/2014	Yes
Tomlin, Tommy	15-cv-00919	Johnson Becker PLLC	1/29/2015	Yes
Valentino, Joseph A.	15-cv-04205	Johnson Becker PLLC	5/13/2015	Yes
Boone, John Boone, Dale	14-cv-06709	Kaiser Gornick, LLP	8/29/2014	Yes
Cook, Lewis	14-cv-10482	Kaiser Gornick, LLP	12/30/2014	Yes
Lane, Richard	14-cv-10483	Kaiser Gornick, LLP	12/30/2014	Yes

EXHIBIT B

Plaintiff	MDL NDIL Case No.	Opposing Firm	Filing Date	Other TRT Product Usage Alleged
Littlepage, Lum; Littlepage, Lawanda	14-cv-04019	Kaiser Gornick, LLP	5/30/2014	Yes
Mishler, Donald; Mishler, Sharon	15-cv-00824	Kaiser Gornick, LLP	1/27/2015	Yes
Towne, Jackson; Towne, Mary	14-cv-09363	Kaiser Gornick, LLP	11/21/2014	Yes
Husted, Ronald	14-cv-04259	Levin Fishbein Sedran & Berman	4/10/2014	Yes
Uptain, Gary; Uptain, Marsha	15-cv-00403	Levin Fishbein Sedran & Berman	12/16/2014	Yes
Bennett, Vincent	15-cv-00425	Levin Papantonio Thomas Mitchell Rafferty & Proctor	1/16/2015	Yes
Benz, Alan	14-cv-10123	Levin Papantonio Thomas Mitchell Rafferty & Proctor	12/17/2014	Yes
Dane, Joseph; Dane, Krista	14-cv-05323	Levin Papantonio Thomas Mitchell Rafferty & Proctor	7/14/2014	Yes
Depellegrin, Ronald	15-cv-00918	Levin Papantonio Thomas Mitchell Rafferty & Proctor	1/29/2015	Yes
Mullins, Paul	15-cv-01254	Levin Papantonio Thomas Mitchell Rafferty & Proctor	2/10/2015	Yes
Tavarez, Manuel	14-cv-05674	Levin Papantonio Thomas Mitchell Rafferty & Proctor	7/24/2014	Yes
Bayes, Kurt; Bayes, Dianna	14-cv-05188	Levin Simes LLP	7/8/2014	Yes
Hansford, Freddie Hansford, Frankie	14-cv-05042	Levin Simes LLP	7/2/2014	Yes
Phelps, Dale Phelps, Debbie	14-cv-05049	Levin Simes LLP	7/2/2014	Yes
Roberts, William	14-cv-03062	Levin Simes LLP	4/28/2014	Yes
Wooden, Samuel	15-cv-00983	Levin Simes LLP	1/30/2015	Yes
Neal, Robert	14-cv-05057	Lockridge Grindal Nauen	7/2/2014	Yes

EXHIBIT B

Plaintiff	MDL NDIL Case No.	Opposing Firm	Filing Date	Other TRT Product Usage Alleged
Bailey, Lloyd; Bailey, Emily	14-cv-08927	Locks Law Firm	10/24/2014	Yes
Hess, Roger	14-cv-09413	Lopez McHugh	11/24/2014	Yes
Shepherd, Charles	15-cv-00684	Maher Law Firm	1/23/2015	Yes
Ward, Steven	15-cv-00532	Maher Law Firm	1/20/2015	Yes
Neubauer, Raymond Neubauer, Janette	15-cv-05117	Maher Law Firm	6/10/2015	Yes
Roman, Charles	15-cv-00687	Maher Law Firm	1/23/2015	Yes
Nelson, Anthony	15-cv-00435	McEwen Law Firm	1/16/2015	Yes
Farrell, Scott Farrell, Stephanie	15-cv-04258	McSweeney/Langevin	5/14/2015	Yes
Beren, Peter L.	15-cv-03036	Meshbeshher & Spence, LTD	4/7/2015	Yes
Marino, Stephen	14-cv-00777	Morelli Alters	2/4/2014	Yes
White, Gary	14-cv-01667	Morelli Alters	3/10/2014	Yes
Schwalm, Mickey	14-cv-02899	Morelli Alters	4/23/2014	Yes
David, Wyatt	14-cv-08166	Morgan & Morgan	10/17/2014	Yes
Iversen, James Iversen, Jacki	15-cv-04457	Morgan & Morgan	5/20/2015	Yes
Taylor, William; Taylor, Louise	15-cv-01780	Morgan & Morgan	2/27/2015	Yes
Williams, Kelvin; Williams, Demita	15-cv-00671	Morgan & Morgan	1/22/2015	Yes
Knott, Robert	14-cv-09861	Morris Bart	12/9/2014	Yes
Belanger, Michael	14-cv-08186	Morris Bart	10/7/2014	Yes
Milner, John Milner, Linda	15-cv-00397	Morris Bart	1/15/2015	Yes
Phillips, Robert; Phillips, Debra	14-cv-09934	Morris Bart	12/11/2014	Yes
Raymo, McArthur	14-cv-08407	Morris Bart	10/7/2014	Yes
Rintz, Robert	14-cv-09788	Morris Bart	12/5/2014	Yes

EXHIBIT B

Plaintiff	MDL NDIL Case No.	Opposing Firm	Filing Date	Other TRT Product Usage Alleged
St. Pierre, Jude; St. Pierre, Mary	14-cv-09679	Morris Bart	12/3/2014	Yes
Tejeda, Rafael	14-cv-04258	NastLaw, LLC	2/12/2014	Yes
True, Walter	14-cv-07378	NastLaw, LLC	9/9/2014	Yes
Herczeg, Leslie	15-cv-00926	Onder, Shelton, O'Leary & Peterson	1/29/2015	Yes
McKinley, Timothy McKinley, Molly	14-cv-03404	Onder, Shelton, O'Leary & Peterson	5/9/2014	Yes
Wall, Donald	15-cv-00923	Onder, Shelton, O'Leary & Peterson	1/29/2015	Yes
Lindsey, Jimmy	15-cv-00734	Peterson & Associates	1/26/2015	Yes
Shewmaker, Andrew	15-cv-01743	Provost Umphrey Law Firm	2/26/2015	Yes
Waiter, Deborah; Waiter, Daniel (deceased)	15-cv-00933	Robert J. Debry & Associates; Burg Simpson Eldredge Hersh & Jardine	1/29/2015	Yes
Dent, Christopher	14-cv-09448	Robinson Calcagnie Robinson Shapiro Davis	11/25/2014	Yes
Fitzpatrick, Jeffry	14-cv-09449	Robinson Calcagnie Robinson Shapiro Davis	11/25/2014	Yes
Rutan, Michael	14-cv-10341	Robinson Calcagnie Robinson Shapiro Davis	12/23/2014	Yes
Frickie, Stephen	14-cv-04521	Robinson Calcagnie Robinson Shapiro Davis	4/30/2014	Yes
Boris, Richard	14-cv-08215	Ross Feller Casey	10/20/2014	Yes
Cochran, Leo; Cochran, Kimberly	15-cv-02340	Ross Feller Casey	3/18/2015	Yes
Escue, Alvin; Escue, Phyllis	15-cv-01080	Ross Feller Casey	2/3/2015	Yes
Hughes, James A.	15-cv-02264	Ross Feller Casey	3/16/2015	Yes
Kane, Thomas; Kane, Lorraine	14-cv-08208	Ross Feller Casey	10/20/2014	Yes
Powers, Ricky	14-cv-08203	Ross Feller Casey	10/20/2014	Yes
Roy, Mark Anderson, Cheylee	15-cv-00191	Ross Feller Casey	1/9/2015	Yes

EXHIBIT B

Plaintiff	MDL NDIL Case No.	Opposing Firm	Filing Date	Other TRT Product Usage Alleged
Spencer, Paul; Sewchuk-Spencer, Lee	15-cv-01419	Ross Feller Casey	2/16/2015	Yes
Justice, Kirby	14-cv-10487	Schachter Hendy & Johnson	12/31/2014	Yes
King, Mark	14-cv-01480	Schachter Hendy & Johnson	2/28/2014	Yes
Lewis, Calvin	14-cv-01481	Schachter Hendy & Johnson	2/28/2014	Yes
Ousley, Danny	14-cv-02729	Schachter Hendy & Johnson	4/16/2014	Yes
Arpajian, Ronald; Arpajian, Michele	15-cv-02821	Schachter Hendy & Johnson	3/31/2015	Yes
Blades, William; Blades, Catherine	14-cv-01471	Schachter Hendy & Johnson	2/28/2014	Yes
Frey, Bruce; Bozentka, Regina	14-cv-06600	Schachter Hendy & Johnson	8/27/2014	Yes
Gibby, Roger; Gibby, Angela	14-cv-00917	Schachter Hendy & Johnson	2/10/2014	Yes
Johns, Charles Johns, Cynthia	15-cv-00420	Schachter Hendy & Johnson	1/16/2015	Yes
Mattei, Vincent; Travis, Sharon	14-cv-09391	Schachter Hendy & Johnson	11/24/2014	Yes
McClure, David; McClure, Yolanda	15-cv-02606	Schachter Hendy & Johnson	3/27/2015	Yes
Saylor, Robert; Saylor, Cecile	14-cv-01482	Schachter Hendy & Johnson	2/28/2014	Yes
Ryan, Richard	15-cv-02609	Schlichter Bogard & Denton	3/27/2015	Yes
Scott, William	14-cv-10443	Schlichter Bogard & Denton	12/30/2014	Yes
Corbin, Timothy	15-cv-00879	Seeger Weiss	1/28/2015	Yes
Miller, Everett	15-cv-00631	Seeger Weiss	1/22/2015	Yes
Prall, Andrew Prall, Rhonda	14-cv-04549	Seeger Weiss	4/25/2014	Yes
Price, Clifford	15-cv-00632	Seeger Weiss	1/22/2015	Yes
Wilkins, Jonathan	15-cv-00641	Seeger Weiss	1/22/2015	Yes

EXHIBIT B

Plaintiff	MDL NDIL Case No.	Opposing Firm	Filing Date	Other TRT Product Usage Alleged
Collins, Billy Denver Collins, Janice F.	15-cv-03341	Simmons Hanly Conroy	4/15/2015	Yes
Kanady, Dustin	14-cv-02612	Simmons Hanly Conroy	4/11/2014	Yes
Lane, Charles	14-cv-02611	Simmons Hanly Conroy	4/11/2014	Yes
Pointer, Nathean; Pointer, Doris	14-cv-02633	Simmons Hanly Conroy	4/11/2014	Yes
Skinner, Robert	14-cv-07959	Simmons Hanly Conroy	10/13/2014	Yes
Udovich, Ronald	14-cv-02629	Simmons Hanly Conroy	4/11/2014	Yes
Cove, Darrell	14-cv-05659	Stueve Siegel Hanson	7/10/2014	Yes
Sturgill, Jimmy	14-cv-06883	Stueve Siegel Hanson	9/5/2014	Yes
Shrader, Jerry	14-cv-08805	The Kelly Firm	11/4/2014	Yes
Page, Charles	14-cv-09527	The Lanier Law Firm	11/26/2014	Yes
Brown, Charles	14-cv-08963	The Lanier Law Firm	11/7/2014	Yes
Mitchell, Everett; Mitchell, Kaye	15-cv-02203	The Lanier Law Firm	3/12/2015	Yes
Warren, Scott	15-cv-01020	The Lanier Law Firm	1/30/2015	Yes
Gianni, James; Gianni, Angela	14-cv-08834	The Levensten Law Firm	11/5/2014	Yes
Palmer, Kris; Palmer, Charone	14-cv-08816	The Levensten Law Firm	11/5/2014	Yes
Downes, Edward	14-cv-06386	The Levensten Law Firm	8/19/2014	Yes
Simmons, Gregory	14-cv-08449	The Michael Brady Lynch Firm	10/27/2014	Yes
Zoller, Ned	14-cv-06351	The Michael Brady Lynch Firm	8/18/2014	Yes
Pacella, Lenardo; Pacella, Kathy	14-cv-08767	TorHoerman Law	11/3/2014	Yes
Powers, Gary	14-cv-08763	TorHoerman Law	11/3/2014	Yes
Seehusen, Bernadine, individually and as personal representative of the Estate of Dale Seehusen	15-cv-00421	TorHoerman Law	1/16/2015	Yes
Arline, Joe	14-cv-09139	Wagstaff & Cartmell	10/30/2014	Yes

EXHIBIT B

Plaintiff	MDL NDIL Case No.	Opposing Firm	Filing Date	Other TRT Product Usage Alleged
McCann, John; McCann, Mary Ann	15-cv-00107	Weitz & Luxenberg	1/7/2015	Yes
White, Roy; White, Belle	14-cv-07086	Weitz & Luxenberg	9/11/2014	Yes
Box, Pascal	15-cv-01153	Wendt Goss	2/5/2015	Yes
Creasy, Michael	15-cv-00237	Wendt Goss	1/12/2015	Yes
Ziese, Judy; Ziese, Robert (deceased)	15-cv-01705	Wendt Goss	2/25/2015	Yes
Godden, Shawn	15-cv-00432	Wexler Wallace	1/16/2015	Yes
Darby, Mark	14-cv-02227	Zoll, Kranz & Borgess	3/28/2014	Yes