

1 Robert W. Boatman (009619)  
Paul L. Stoller (016773)  
2 Shannon L. Clark (019708)  
GALLAGHER & KENNEDY, P.A.  
3 2575 East Camelback Road  
Phoenix, Arizona 85016-9225  
4 Telephone: (602) 530-8000  
rwb@gknet.com  
5 paul.stoller@gknet.com  
SLC@gknet.com

6 Ramon Rossi Lopez (CA Bar No. 86361)  
(admitted *pro hac vice*)  
7 LOPEZ McHUGH LLP  
100 Bayview Circle, Suite 5600  
8 Newport Beach, California 92660  
rlopez@lopezmchugh.com  
9 *Co-Lead/Liaison Counsel for Plaintiffs*

10 James R. Condo (#005867)  
Amanda C. Sheridan (#027360)  
11 SNELL & WILMER L.L.P.  
One Arizona Center  
12 400 E. Van Buren  
Phoenix, AZ 85004-2202  
13 Telephone: (602) 382-6000  
14 E-Mail: jcondo@swlaw.com  
asheridan@swlaw.com

15  
16 Richard B. North, Jr. (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
Georgia Bar No. 545599  
17 Matthew B. Lerner (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
Georgia Bar No. 446986  
18 Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough, LLP  
201 17th St. NW, Suite 1700  
19 Atlanta, GA 30363  
richard.north@nelsonmullins.com  
20 matthew.lerner@nelsonmullins.com  
*Attorneys for Defendants C. R. Bard, Inc.*  
21 *and Bard Peripheral Vascular, Inc.*

22 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
23 FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA  
24

25 In re Bard IVC Filters Products  
26 Liability Litigation

NO. MD-15-02641-PHX-DGC

**STIPULATION AND JOINT  
SUBMISSION REGARDING  
BELLWETHER SELECTION  
PROCESS**

1 In accordance with this Court's request at the March 31, 2016 case management  
 2 conference and as provided in Section II of Case Management Order No. 10 (Doc. 1319),  
 3 the parties jointly provide this submission regarding a stipulated case management order  
 4 governing the bellwether selection process and fact sheets and procedures for dealing with  
 5 the issue of *Lexecon* waivers.

6 **I. Stipulated Case Management Order for Bellwether Selection and Fact Sheets**

7 Exhibit 1 to this filing is a stipulated form of case management order for the  
 8 bellwether selection process.

9 **II. Parties' Positions Regarding *Lexecon* Waivers**

10 Ideally, the parties would prefer that the Court exercise its ability to seek cross-  
 11 designation to sit as judge in transferor districts under 28 U.S.C. § 292(b) and (d) for those  
 12 cases in which both parties do not waive *Lexecon*.

13 If the Court is inclined to require *Lexecon* waiver for cases included in the  
 14 bellwether process, the parties jointly suggest that the court include the following  
 15 provision as Subsection C. under Section II (PFS/DFS Group 1) in the attached proposed  
 16 case management order for the bellwether selection process:

17  
 18 C. It is important for the use of the bellwether process that is contemplated by  
 19 this Order that both sides waive applicable venue and forum non conveniens challenges  
 20 for the cases in **PFS/DFS Group 1** and stipulate that the initial scheduled trials can be  
 21 conducted in the District of Arizona without remanding any case to the transferor forum  
 22 under *Lexecon v. Milberg Weiss* ("Lexecon Waiver"). Accordingly, the selection of any  
 23 case for inclusion in **PFS/DFS Group 1** constitutes a Lexecon Waiver by the side/party  
 24 selecting the case. Upon receipt of the list of cases from opposing counsel, each side will  
 25 have five (5) business days to notify the other side if they do not agree to waive Lexecon  
 26 with respect to any of the cases selected by the other side. Plaintiffs' Co-Lead Counsel  
 27 and the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee shall use best efforts to secure a Lexecon Waiver  
 28 for any case selected to be included in **PFS/DFS Group 1** by Defendants. Defendants'

counsel shall use best efforts to secure a Lexecon Waiver by Defendants for any case selected to be included in **PFS/DFS Group 1** by Plaintiffs.

If a plaintiff in a case selected for inclusion in **PFS/DFS Group 1** by Defendants does not provide a Lexecon Waiver, the plaintiff or his/her counsel shall show cause why a Lexecon Waiver is not being made. If Defendants do not provide a Lexecon Waiver for any case selected for inclusion in **PFS/DFS Group 1** by Plaintiffs, Defendants' counsel shall show cause why a Lexecon waiver is not being made in that particular case. Any party required to show cause must appear in person or by telephone before the Court to explain why a Lexecon Waiver may not be made in the particular case.

For any case removed from **PFS/DFS Group 1** because the Court determines that a Lexecon Waiver is not possible, the side that selected the case shall have the right to select a replacement case within five (5) business days following the Court's determination. Thereafter, the parties shall proceed with the Lexecon Waiver process under this Section for that particular case.

DATED this 15th day of April 2016.

#### **ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS**

/s/ Paul L. Stoller  
Robert W. Boatman  
Paul L. Stoller  
Gallagher & Kennedy PA  
2575 E Camelback Road, Suite 1100  
Phoenix, AZ 85016-9225

Ramon R. Lopez (admitted pro hac vice)  
Lopez McHugh LLP  
100 Bayview Circle, Suite 5600  
Newport Beach, CA 92660

*Co-Lead/Liaison Counsel for Plaintiffs*

#### **ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANTS**

/s/ Richard B. North, Jr.  
James R. Condo  
Amanda C. Sheridan  
Snell & Wilmer  
One Arizona Center  
400 E. Van Buren  
Phoenix, AZ 85004-2202

Richard B. North, Jr. (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough  
LLP  
201 17th St. NW, Suite 1700  
Atlanta, GA 30363

*Attorneys for Defendants*  
*C. R. Bard, Inc. and Bard Peripheral*  
*Vascular, Inc.*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on this 15<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2016, I electronically transmitted the attached document to the Clerk's Office using the CM/ECF System for filing and transmittal of a Notice of Electronic Filing.

/s/ Deborah Yanazzo  
Deborah Yanazzo

5375025/26997-0001

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

IN RE: BARD IVC FILTERS PRODUCTS  
LIABILITY LITIGATION,

No. 2:15-MD-02641-DGC

[PROPOSED] CASE MANAGEMENT  
ORDER NO. \_\_\_\_  
(Bellwether Selection Process)

Pursuant to the agreement of the parties, the Court enters this Case Management Order No. \_\_\_\_ regarding the process for the selection of and discovery in first set of bellwether cases for this MDL.

**I. Initial Plaintiff Pool**

A. The **Initial Plaintiff Pool** for this bellwether process includes all cases filed and properly served upon Defendants in MDL 2641 as of April 1, 2016.<sup>1</sup>

B. The parties will provide Plaintiff Profile Forms (“PPF”) and Defendants Profile Forms (“DPF”) for each of the **Initial Plaintiff Pool** cases. For purposes of the **Initial Plaintiff Pool** cases, the deadlines established in CMO No. 5 (Doc. 365) will be expedited. All Plaintiff Profile Forms for cases in the **Initial Plaintiff Pool** must be served within thirty (30) days of filing and service, and in no event later than May 1, 2016; and the Defendants Profile Forms shall be served within forty (40) days after the

---

<sup>1</sup> The **Initial Plaintiff Pool** does not, however, include those cases which make up the group of “Mature Cases” referenced in Case Management Order No. 8, Para. I (C) [Doc. 519], with the exception of the Conn, Milton, and Mintz cases, which the Court removed from that list in Case Management Order No. 10 [Doc. 1319].

1 date of receipt of the PPF and, in no event later than June 9, 2016. Cases filed after April  
2 1, 2016 shall continue to be governed by the deadlines established in CMO No. 5 (Doc.  
3 365). In order to make the process as efficient as possible, the parties shall provide their  
4 PPFs and DPFs on a rolling basis, as they are completed.

## 5 **II. PFS/DFS Group 1**

6 A. By not later than June 29, 2016, the parties shall make a simultaneous  
7 exchange of lists identifying twenty-four (24) representative cases each. Those forty-  
8 eight (48) cases shall constitute **PFS/DFS Group 1**. The lists exchanged by the parties  
9 shall be organized alphabetically by plaintiffs' last name and shall include the civil action  
10 number for each case.

11 B. Should Plaintiffs and Defendants select one or more of the same cases  
12 among their twenty-four (24) cases selected for **PFS/DFS Group 1**, thus resulting in a  
13 total pool of fewer than forty-eight (48) plaintiffs, the parties will alternate to identify  
14 additional plaintiffs to bring the pool to forty-eight (48), with Plaintiffs having the first  
15 selection. The parties shall make simultaneous exchange of the additional selections to  
16 complete the pool within 3 days of the initial simultaneous exchange set forth above.

## 17 **III. Plaintiff Fact Sheets (PFS) and Defendants Fact Sheets (DFS)**

18 A PFS and a DFS will be completed for each case in **PFS/DFS Group 1**.

### 19 A. Timing of services of Fact Sheets

20 Plaintiffs shall serve on counsel for Defendants all PFS for the **PFS/DFS Group 1**  
21 by no later than July 29, 2016.

22 Defendants shall serve on Plaintiffs' Co-Lead/Liaison Counsel the DFS for a  
23 particular matter no later than thirty (30) days after service of the PFS and all DFS shall  
24 be served by no later than August 29, 2016.

25 The parties shall provide completed PFS/DFS on a rolling basis as they are  
26 completed.

1           B.     Completion of Fact Sheets

2           A completed PFS and DFS shall be considered interrogatory answers under Fed. R.  
3 Civ. P. 33, responses to requests for production under Fed. R. Civ. P. 34, and will be  
4 governed by the standards applicable to written discovery under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26 and  
5 Fed. R. Civ. P. 37. The PFS and DFS questions and document requests shall be answered  
6 without objection. This section does not prohibit a party from withholding or redacting  
7 information based on a recognized privilege; however, if information is withheld or  
8 redacted, the party so withholding or redacting information shall provide opposing party  
9 with a privilege log.

10          The parties will provide a PFS or DFS that is substantially complete in all respects.  
11 “Substantially complete in all respects” requires that:

12          (a)     Every question in the PFS or DFS be answered, even if a party can only  
13 answer the question in good faith by indicating “not applicable” or “I don’t know”;

14          (b)     Plaintiffs shall provide the requested records authorizations accompanying  
15 the PFS;

16          (c)     The parties will produce the documents requested in the PFS and DFS, or  
17 provide a statement certifying that there are no responsive documents; and

18          (d)     Plaintiffs shall sign the PFS and provide verification that the information  
19 contained therein is true and correct to the best of Plaintiff’s knowledge, information, and  
20 belief, formed after due diligence and reasonable inquiry. If a plaintiff is suing in a  
21 representative or derivative capacity, the PFS shall be completed by the person with the  
22 legal authority to represent the estate or the person under legal disability. Plaintiff  
23 spouses with a claim for loss of consortium shall also sign the PFS, attesting that the  
24 responses made to the loss of consortium questions in the PFS are true and correct to the  
25 best of his or her knowledge, information, and belief, formed after due diligence and  
26 reasonable inquiry.

1 C. Fact Sheet Deficiencies

2 If a plaintiff fails to timely submit a PFS, or submits a PFS within the allotted time  
3 which Defendants deem not to be substantially complete, Defendants shall mail an  
4 overdue/deficiency letter by e-mail and U.S. mail to Plaintiffs' Co-Lead Counsel and the  
5 plaintiff's individual representative counsel, stating whether the PFS is overdue or deemed  
6 deficient, in which case the letter shall identify the purported deficiencies. The letter  
7 shall include sufficient detail for the parties to meet and confer regarding the alleged  
8 deficiencies. The plaintiff receiving such deficiency letter shall have fifteen (15) days  
9 from receipt of that letter to meet and confer in an effort to resolve the dispute. Should  
10 the meet-and-confer process not resolve the dispute, the parties shall arrange a call with  
11 the Court to resolve it.

12 Similarly, if Defendants do not submit a DFS within the time specified in this  
13 Order, or submit a DFS within the allotted time which Plaintiffs deem not to be  
14 substantially complete, Plaintiffs shall mail an overdue/deficiency letter by e-mail and  
15 U.S. mail to Defendants' Lead Counsel, stating whether the DFS is overdue or deemed  
16 deficient, in which case the letter shall identify the purported deficiencies. The letter  
17 shall include sufficient detail for the parties to meet and confer regarding the alleged  
18 deficiencies. Defendants shall have fifteen (15) days from receipt of such a letter to meet  
19 and confer in an effort to resolve the dispute. Should the meet-and-confer process not  
20 resolve the dispute, the parties shall arrange a call with the Court to resolve it.

21 C. Records Discovery in PFS/DFS Group 1

22 Records discovery for PFS/DFS Group 1 will proceed in accordance with the  
23 separate Case Management Order relating to Joint Records Collection to be entered by the  
24 Court.

25 Upon receipt of a plaintiff's PFS, Defendants may commence immediately to  
26 obtain records for that plaintiff pursuant to the provisions for the records vendor process.



1 **IV. Discovery Group 1**

2 A. Selection Process

3 1. By December 9, 2016, the parties shall exchange lists of ten (10)  
4 cases, selected in a manner consistent with the goal of identifying representative  
5 cases. The parties can each designate four (4) cases on those lists for automatic  
6 inclusion in **Discovery Group I**. After exchange of lists, the parties will meet and  
7 confer in an effort to identify from the exchanged lists the remaining four (4)  
8 additional cases that will be included in **Discovery Group 1**. By December 16,  
9 2016, the parties will complete their meet and confer process and submit to the  
10 Court a list of twelve (12) cases they jointly recommend as **Discovery Group 1**.

11 2. On December 16, 2016, the parties shall file a joint submission  
12 identifying each of Plaintiffs' and Defendants' selections of cases as well as all  
13 additional cases on which the parties have agreed for inclusion in **Discovery**  
14 **Group 1**. If the parties are unable to agree upon all four (4) additional cases for  
15 **Discovery Group 1**, they shall on December 16, 2016 each submit to the Court,  
16 outside of the ECF system, their proposed list of additional cases to include in  
17 **Discovery Group 1** with a memorandum in support of their selections. Within  
18 seven (7) business days of such submission, the parties may submit a response to  
19 the opposing party's memorandum regarding selection of cases. The Court will  
20 then select the remaining cases to be included in the twelve (12) cases to constitute  
21 **Discovery Group 1**.

22 3. **Discovery Group 1** will be governed by a scheduling order and case  
23 management order that will be determined at the time the group is selected. The  
24 parties will meet and confer in an effort to agree upon such scheduling order.  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 **V. Bellwether Group 1**

2 A. Selection Process

3 1. The initial bellwether cases for trial will be selected from **Discovery**  
4 **Group 1**.

5 2. After having met and conferred, and by March 1, 2017, the parties  
6 shall exchange lists of six (6) proposed selections from **Discovery Group 1** for  
7 bellwether plaintiffs, and order of trials. The parties will meet and confer in an  
8 effort to agree upon a group of six (6) cases to constitute **Bellwether Group 1**,  
9 which shall be done in a manner consistent with achieving the goal of  
10 proportionate identification of representative cases. If the parties are unable to  
11 agree on six (6) cases, the parties shall submit to the Court, outside of the ECF  
12 system, by March 5, 2017, their proposed lists and a memorandum in support of  
13 their selections and in opposition, if applicable, to the opposing party's selections.  
14 Within seven (7) business days of such submission, the parties may submit a  
15 response to the opposing party's memorandum regarding selection of cases. The  
16 parties propose that the Court then select the final group of six (6) cases to form  
17 **Bellwether Group 1**.

18 3. **Bellwether Group 1** will be governed by a scheduling order and case  
19 management order that will be determined at the time the group is selected. The  
20 parties will meet and confer in an effort to agree upon such scheduling order with  
21 the goal of completing remaining case-specific discovery as close as possible to the  
22 completion of common-issue discovery.

23 B. Remedies for Diminishment of Discovery Group and/or Bellwether  
24 Group 1.

25 1. Should Plaintiffs withdraw, settle, or dismiss without prejudice a case  
26 from **Discovery Group 1**, such case will be replaced. The party that originally  
27 designated the eliminated case shall select the replacement. However, if the  
28 eliminated case was one of the cases chosen by the Court, the Court will select the

1 substitute cases from a list of four (4) cases nominated by the parties (two from  
2 Plaintiffs and two from Defendants).

3 2. Should Plaintiffs withdraw, settle, or dismiss without prejudice a case  
4 from **Bellwether Group 1**, such case will be replaced by a case from **Discovery**  
5 **Group 1** selected by the Court from a list of four (4) cases nominated by the  
6 parties (two from each side).

7 **VI. Future Discovery and Bellwether Groups.**

8 The selection, discovery, and trial of future bellwether cases will be the subject of a  
9 future case management order or orders.