	Case 3:16-md-02691-RS Document 9	1 Filed 08/10/16 Page 1 of 6	
1 2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	IN RE: VIAGRA (SILDENAFIL CITRATE) PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION This Document Relates to: ALL ACTIONS	Case No. 3:16-md-02691-RS MDL No. 2691 [PROPOSED] PRETRIAL ORDER No. <u>5</u> : PROCEDURES FOR DIRECT FILING, MASTER PLEADINGS, AND SERVICE OF PROCESS	
10			
11	I. SCOPE OF ORDER		
12	1. <u>Applicability of Order</u> . This Order applies to all cases pending against Defendant		
13	Pfizer Inc. ("Pfizer") in MDL No. 2691 and to all actions against Pfizer that have been or will in		
14	the future be originally filed in, transferred to, or properly removed to this Court and assigned		
15	thereto as part of this MDL No. 2691.		
16	II. DIRECT FILING OF CASES IN MDL NO. 2691		
17	2. <u>Direct Filing Permitted</u> . To promote judicial efficiency and eliminate delays		
18	associated with the transfer to this Court of cases filed in or removed to other federal district		
19	courts, any Plaintiff whose case would be subject to transfer to MDL No. 2691 may file his or her		
20	case directly into MDL No. 2691 in the Northern District of California, San Francisco.		
21	3. <u>Pfizer Shall Not Challenge Venue for Pretrial Purposes</u> . Each case filed directly		
22	into MDL No. 2691 by a Plaintiff who resides in a federal district other than the Northern District		
23	of California will be filed into MDL No. 2691 for the purposes of pretrial proceedings, consistent		
24	with the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation's April 7, 2016 Transfer Order. Pfizer will not		
25	challenge the venue of any action filed directly into MDL No. 2691 for purposes of pretrial		
26	proceedings.		
27	4. <u>No <i>Lexecon</i> Waiver</u> . For cases filed directly into MDL No. 2691, the Parties		
28	preserve and do not waive any and all rights under <i>Lexecon Inc. v. Milberg Weiss</i> , 523 U.S. 26 -1-		
	3:16-md-02691-RS PROCEDURES FOR DIRECT	FILING, MASTER PLEADINGS, AND SERVICE OF PROCESS	

Case 3:16-md-02691-RS Document 91 Filed 08/10/16 Page 2 of 6

(1998) to have each case remanded to the district of traditional venue for trial set forth in
 paragraph 10(e) herein.

5. <u>No Determination Regarding Jurisdiction or Venue</u>. The inclusion of any case in
MDL No. 2691, whether such case was or will be filed originally or directly in the Northern
District of California, or transferred or removed to the Northern District of California, shall not
constitute a determination by this Court that jurisdiction or venue is proper in this district.

6. <u>No Impact on Choice of Law</u>. The fact that a case was filed directly in MDL No.
2691 pursuant to this Order will have no impact on choice of law, including the statute of
limitations that otherwise would apply to an individual case had it been filed in another court and
removed and/or transferred to this Court.

11

III. MASTER COMPLAINT

7. Purpose of Master Pleadings. In light of the number of Complaints filed to date
and likely to be filed in the future in MDL No. 2691, and the inefficiencies of drafting those
Complaints and individual Answers to those Complaints, the Parties have agreed to the following
procedures for the use of Master Pleadings. Nothing in this Order is intended to (or does) alter
the applicable provisions of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or the Local Rules of this Court,
except as otherwise provided herein or in any subsequent Order.

B. Deadline for Master Complaint. On or before September 9, 2016, the Plaintiffs'
 Steering Committee ("PSC") shall file in MDL No. 2691 a Master Complaint on behalf of all
 Plaintiffs asserting claims in MDL No. 2691.

21

IV.

SHORT FORM COMPLAINTS

9. <u>Content of Short Form Complaints</u>. At the same time the PSC files the Master
Complaint, the PSC also shall file as Exhibit 1 thereto a Master Short Form Complaint, which
shall be an abbreviated form that future Plaintiffs will complete in lieu of filing new standalone
Complaints. All Short Form Complaints shall adopt the Master Complaint by reference and shall
contain, at a minimum, for each individual case:

- 27
- a. The name of the Plaintiff(s);
- 28

	Case 3:16-md-02691-RS Document 91 Filed 08/10/16 Page 3 of 6	
1	c. The city and state in which Plaintiff(s) resided at the time of the injury	
2	allegedly caused by Viagra (and, if the Viagra user is deceased, where the	
3	Viagra user resided at the time of his alleged injury);	
4	d. The basis for subject matter jurisdiction in this Court;	
5	e. The federal district court in which the action otherwise would have been	
6	filed absent the direct filing procedures adopted by this Court;	
7	f. The dates that the Viagra user ingested Viagra;	
8	g. Whether the Plaintiff is alleging that he developed melanoma as a result of	
9	ingesting Viagra, and, if so, the stage and type of melanoma (if known at	
10	the time of filing the Short Form Complaint);	
11	h. Whether the Plaintiff is alleging any injury other than melanoma as a result	
12	of the use of Viagra, and, if so, the nature of the alleged injury or injuries;	
13	i. The dates of all alleged injuries;	
14	j. If applicable, the date of death of the Viagra user;	
15	k. Which causes of action in the Master Complaint Plaintiff(s) adopts	
16	(including whether any Plaintiff(s) is asserting a claim for loss of	
17	consortium, survival, or wrongful death), which Plaintiff(s) may indicate	
18	by checking the applicable box on the Short Form Complaint;	
19	1. Any additional legal counts, Defendants and supporting allegations; and	
20	m. Whether Plaintiff(s) demands a jury trial.	
21	10. <u>Timing and Effect of Filing Short Form Complaints</u> .	
22	a. <u>Complaints Filed or Transferred Before Date of Master Complaint</u> . Any	
23	Plaintiff who filed (or files) a Complaint in MDL No. 2691 or had (or has) a Complaint	
24	transferred to this MDL before the date on which the PSC files the Master Complaint must file a	
25	Short Form Complaint in his or her case within 60 days of the date on which the PSC files the	
26	Master Complaint. For purposes of statutes of limitations and statues of repose, any such Plaintiff	
27	shall be deemed to have filed his or her Complaint as of the date he or she filed his or her original	
28		
	-3- 3:16-md-02691-RS PROCEDURES FOR DIRECT FILING, MASTER PLEADINGS, AND SERVICE OF PROCESS	

Case 3:16-md-02691-RS Document 91 Filed 08/10/16 Page 4 of 6

Complaint and not the date of the Master Complaint or the date he or she filed his or her Short
 Form Complaint.

b. <u>Complaints Filed On or After Date of Master Complaint</u>. Any Plaintiff
who files a Complaint directly in MDL No. 2691 on or after the date on which the PSC files the
Master Complaint must file a Short Form Complaint. For purposes of statutes of limitations and
statues of repose, any such Plaintiff shall be deemed to have filed his or her Complaint as of the
date he or she filed his or her Short Form Complaint and not the date of the Master Complaint.

8 Complaints Transferred to MDL On or After Date of Master Complaint. c. 9 Any Plaintiff who files a Complaint that is transferred to MDL No. 2691 on or after the date on 10 which the PSC files the Master Complaint must file a Short Form Complaint within 60 days of 11 the date of transfer (which shall be the date this Court posts the Transfer Order on its docket). 12 For purposes of statutes of limitations and statues of repose, any such Plaintiff shall be deemed to 13 have filed his or her Complaint as of the date he or she filed his or her original Complaint in a 14 different judicial district and not the date of the Master Complaint or the date he or she filed his or 15 her Short Form Complaint.

16 d. Amended Short Form Complaints. Nothing in this order shall limit 17 Plaintiffs' right to seek leave of court to amend a Short Form Complaint as provided in the 18 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Further, Plaintiffs may file an amended Short Form Complaint 19 without leave of court to assert any Loss of Consortium claims. Plaintiffs may also file an 20 amended Short Form Complaint without leave of court to substitute or add an heir, estate, 21 executor, successor, personal representative, or other proper party in the event that substitution is 22 necessary, including but not limited to the death or incapacitation of the original named plaintiff; 23 provided, however, that Defendant(s) shall not be deemed to have consented to any such 24 amendments by virtue of this order and shall preserve the right to challenge whether the 25 appropriate party brought the action in a timely matter.

26

V. MASTER ANSWER

27

28

11. <u>Deadline for Master Answer or Motion to Dismiss</u>. Within sixty (60) days of the date of filing of the Master Complaint, Pfizer shall file a Master Answer to the Master Complaint -4-

3:16-md-02691-RS PROCEDURES FOR DIRECT FILING, MASTER PLEADINGS, AND SERVICE OF PROCESS

Case 3:16-md-02691-RS Document 91 Filed 08/10/16 Page 5 of 6

1 or shall file, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, a motion seeking dismissal, in 2 whole or in part, of the Master Complaint. If Pfizer files a Motion to Dismiss the Master 3 Complaint, and such Motion does not result in the dismissal of the Master Complaint in its 4 entirety, Pfizer shall file a Master Answer within sixty (60) days of the date on which the Court 5 issues a ruling as to Pfizer's Motion to Dismiss, provided that the Court does not grant the PSC 6 leave to amend the Master Complaint. If the Court grants the PSC leave to amend the Master 7 Complaint, the parties shall meet and confer in good faith regarding deadlines for the filing of an 8 Amended Master Complaint and Pfizer's response thereto.

9 12. Master Answer Deemed Adopted in All Cases. The Master Answer shall be 10 deemed to be the Answer to all properly served Complaints, whether Short Form or otherwise, in 11 any case now or in the future pending in MDL No. 2691, including those cases transferred to 12 MDL No. 2691 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407, filed directly in MDL No. 2691 pursuant as 13 authorized herein, or removed to this Court and included in MDL No. 2691. Pfizer is hereby 14 relieved of the obligation to file any further Answer to any Complaint not yet answered and/or 15 any Complaint in a case subsequently transferred to or consolidated with MDL No. 2691, unless 16 otherwise ordered by this Court. For cases in MDL No. 2691 that do not utilize the Master 17 Complaint, the Master Answer will be deemed the answer to those allegations that correspond to 18 the allegations of the Master Complaint, and will be deemed a denial of any allegations not 19 contained in the Master Complaint.

20 13. Master Answer Without Prejudice to Individual Motions to Dismiss. The adoption 21 of the Master Answer in every case is without prejudice to Pfizer later moving to dismiss certain 22 counts alleged in the Master Complaint (at the appropriate time in any individual Plaintiff's 23 action), asserting any affirmative defenses, filing an Amended Answer to address specifically any 24 individual Complaints described below, or otherwise challenging the sufficiency of any claim or 25 cause of action in any Complaint under the applicable state's law, including cases that may be 26 selected for inclusion in a discovery pool or bellwether trial pool. Any proposed Order submitted 27 to this Court for a process of selecting cases for inclusion in a discovery pool or bellwether trial 28 pool must include a proposed procedure for the filing of dispositive motions applicable to those -5-

Case 3:16-md-02691-RS Document 91 Filed 08/10/16 Page 6 of 6

cases. Furthermore, by agreeing to the procedures for filing the Master Complaint and Short
 Form Complaints, Pfizer has not agreed to or admitted the allegations set forth in those
 documents, nor has Pfizer conceded or waived its right to dispute the legal validity of the claims
 alleged therein.

5 14. <u>Voluntary Dismissals</u>. As set forth herein, after the Master Answer has been filed
6 in MDL No. 2691, it will be deemed adopted in every case. As a result, following the filing of the
7 Master Answer, any Plaintiff who wishes to voluntarily dismiss any case filed in or transferred to
8 MDL No. 2691 must comply with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)(ii).

9

VI. SERVICE OF PROCESS AS TO PFIZER.

10 15. <u>Service of Process by Mail on Pfizer's Registered Agent</u>. Without waiver of any
11 defenses, Pfizer agrees that Plaintiffs may effectuate service of process pursuant to the provisions
12 of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4 by sending a copy of the Summons and Complaint by
13 certified mail to Pfizer's registered agent for service of process at the following address: The
14 Corporation Trust Company, 1209 Orange Street, Wilmington, DE 19801. Service shall be
15 deemed effective on the day that the Summons and Complaint are sent to Pfizer as set forth
16 above. Plaintiffs shall be responsible for filing a return of service with the Court.

17 16. <u>Certain Other Methods of Service Not Sufficient</u>. General mailing to Pfizer or its
18 counsel (except as provided above) or use of other methods of transmission (e.g., electronic
19 transmission, Federal Express, or DHL) to Pfizer or its counsel will not be sufficient to effectuate
20 service. This Order does not prevent any Plaintiff from effectuating service pursuant to any other
21 method authorized under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 8/10/16

1191

THE HONORABLE RICHARD SEEBORG UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

-6-