

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
SAVANNAH DIVISION**

ANN EDENFIELD LEMLEY, as)
Administrator of the Estate of)
WILLIAM JACOB WADE,)
Deceased, and ANN EDENFIELD)
LEMLEY, Individually,)

Plaintiffs,)

vs.)

RED BULL NORTH AMERICA,)
INC.,)

Defendant.)

CY 416 - 080

Civil Action No. _____

COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR CIVIL JURY TRIAL

COMES NOW ANN EDENFIELD LEMLEY, as Administrator of the Estate of WILLIAM JACOB WADE, Deceased, and ANN EDENFIELD LEMLEY, Individually, Plaintiffs in the above-captioned matter, and by and through their attorneys hereby complain of defendant RED BULL NORTH OF AMERICA, INC. and respectfully allege, upon information and belief, as follows:

NATURE OF THE CASE AND THE PARTIES

1. On October 21, 2015, the Probate Court of Chatham County, Georgia, appointed ANN EDENFIELD LEMLEY as Temporary Administrator of the Estate of WILLIAM JACOB WADE. Plaintiff-Administrator ANN EDENFIELD LEMLEY ("Ms. Lemley") brings this action as a result of the pain and suffering

and death of Plaintiff-Decedent WILLIAM JACOB WADE (“Mr. Wade”) on August 8, 2014 following his ingestion of toxic amounts of caffeine and other chemicals through his consumption of RED BULL “energy drink.” Ms. Lemley is a resident of the State of Georgia, County of Chatham and is the surviving mother of Mr. Wade.

2. Mr. Wade was born in Savannah, GA on New Year's Eve in 1969 and attended local schools including St. Paul's, Memorial Day, Armstrong State and Georgia Southern University. He grew-up in and around his family antiques' businesses and shared a lifetime love of antiques and Savannah's history. He was co-owner of Old Savannah Estates, Antiques & Auction Co. and Furniture Doctor and was an antiques dealer, appraiser, expert woodcraftsman, businessman and lead auctioneer for the company. He was a lucky fisherman, a talented musician, a writer of lyrics and scores, a designer and creator of beautiful objects of art. He died at the age of 44.

3. Defendant RED BULL NORTH AMERICA, INC. (“Defendant”) is a foreign profit corporation registered with the State of Georgia, with its principal place of business located at 1740 Steward Street, Santa Monica, California, 90404. At all times pertinent hereto, Defendant was engaged in and responsible for the design, manufacture, production, testing, study, inspecting, mixture, labeling, marketing, advertising, sales, promotion, and/or distribution of the energy drink

named RED BULL. Defendant may be served through its registered agent of service, C T CORPORATION SYSTEM, 1201 Peachtree Street, NE, Atlanta, GA 30361.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4. Plaintiffs bring their complaint under federal diversity jurisdiction, 28 U.S.C. 1332, as the parties are completely diverse in citizenship and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.00.

5. Venue is proper in the Southern District of Georgia, under 28 U.S.C. 1391(b), in that Ms. Lemley is located in this state and district, and a substantial part of the acts and/or omissions giving rise to Plaintiffs' claim occurred in this district.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

6. On April 1, 1987 RED BULL "energy drink" (hereinafter referred to as "RED BULL") was sold for the very first time in Austria. Today, RED BULL is available in more than 165 countries and more than 35 billion cans of RED BULL have been consumed so far. Defendant's website proudly claims: "RED BULL has been giving wings for more than 25 years." In 2012 alone, Defendant sold about 5.2 billion cans of RED BULL. A total of 4.631 billion cans of RED BULL were sold worldwide in 2011 alone, resulting in revenue of \$5.7 billion.

7. In 2000, Ross Rooney, a first-year student in Limerick University, Ireland, died during a basketball match after drinking RED BULL. On or about November 15, 2000, a jury in Dublin questioned the role of RED BULL in the untimely death of this 18-year old athlete.

8. On or about July 12, 2001, BBC News reported that RED BULL was under investigation in Sweden following three deaths. Two of the three of victims ingested RED BULL mixed with vodka. The third victim ingested RED BULL after exercising.

9. In or about February 2004, Europe's highest court upheld a French ban on RED BULL, citing health concerns over the energy drink. France and Denmark have similarly banned RED BULL.

10. In or about September 2006, a 40-year old supermarket worker, who regularly ingested RED BULL, died from cardiac arrest. The pathologist found that RED BULL may have contributed to the untimely death of this man from Oxford, England.

11. On or about August 15, 2008, Scott Willoughby of the Cardiovascular Research Centre at the Royal Adelaide Hospital, published a study that showed "that normal people develop symptoms normally associated with cardiovascular disease" after drinking RED BULL. Dr. Willoughby concluded that "drinking just one can of RED BULL energy drink may be enough to increase dramatically the

risk of developing life-threatening blood clots, even in healthy young people.” Dr. Willoughby also concluded that “[d]espite the drinks’ promotional promise to give its costumers ‘wings’, Australian researchers who studied the caffeine-laden beverage say it may increase the risk of symptoms commonly associated with heart disease.” He also concluded that “[i]f you get an increase in stickiness and a decreased ability of the blood vessels to stop its stickiness, that adds up to the bad situation” and that “[i]f you add in other risk factors for cardiovascular disease – stress or high blood pressure – this could potentially be deadly.”

12. On or about August 18, 2008, *Clinical Autonomic Research* published an article entitled “Reversible Postural Tachycardia Syndrome Due to Inadvertent Overuse of Red Bull,” which outlined the following findings: “Postural tachycardia syndrome associated with a vasovagal reaction was recorded in a young volleyball player after an excess intake of Red Bull as a refreshing energy drink. Considering the widespread use of Red Bull among young people who are often unaware of the drink’s drug content, this case report suggests Red Bull be considered a possible cause of orthostatic intolerance.”

13. In or about September 2008, a British student died in a nightclub after consuming approximately four cans of RED BULL. RED BULL was considered as a partial contributor to the untimely death of this 21-year old.

14. On or about January 5, 2009, the *MJA* published a medical article related to the death of a young man following consumption of “energy drinks” containing caffeine and taurine, as found in RED BULL. The article’s findings can be summed up as follows:

An otherwise healthy 28-year old man had a cardiac arrest after a day of motorcross racing. He had consumed excessive amounts of a caffeinated “energy drink” throughout the day. We postulate that a combination of excessive ingestion of caffeine- and taurine-containing energy drinks and strenuous physical activity can produce myocardial ischaemia by inducing coronary vasospasm.

The article also stated: “Both taurine and caffeine have been shown in vitro to have physiological effects on intracellular calcium concentration within vascular smooth muscle, and they can conceivably induce coronary vasospasm. In-vivo studies have demonstrated a capacity for caffeine to decrease myocardial blood flow during exercise. We postulate that, in physiologically predisposed individuals, *a combination of excessive ingestion of caffeine- and taurine-containing energy drinks and strenuous activity can induce coronary vasospasm, with potentially fatal results.*” Further the article stated: “In-vitro studies have shown that taurine has inotropic effect on cardiac muscle similar to that of caffeine, and *potentiates caffeine-induced muscle contracture.*”

15. On or about January 19, 2011, the *Journal of Medical Case Reports* published an article called “Atrial Fibrillation in Healthy Adolescents After Highly

Caffeinated Beverage Consumption: Two Case Reports.” The article discussed “the cases of two Caucasian adolescent boys of 14 and 16 years of age at the time of presentation, each without a significant cardiac history, who presented with palpitations or vague chest discomfort or both after a recent history of excessive caffeine consumption. Both were found to have atrial fibrillation on electrocardiogram; one patient required digoxin to restore a normal sinus rhythm, and the other self-converted after intravenous fluid administration.” The article made the following recommendations: “With the increasing popularity of energy drinks in the pediatric and adolescent population, physicians should be aware of the arrhythmogenic potential associated with their consumption. *It is important for pediatricians to understand the lack of regulation in the caffeine content and other ingredients of these high-energy beverages and their complications, so that parents and children can be educated at well visits and sports physicians.* We must inform the public on the potential health hazards related to the excessive intake of caffeine-containing beverages by children and adolescents; the caffeine content of energy drinks should be better regulated and reported on food labels; and the purchase of energy drinks by the young consumer should be more closely monitored.”

16. In or about June 2012, *Cardiovascular Toxicology* published an article called “A Case of Caffeine-Induced Coronary Artery Vasospasm of a 17-Year Old

Male.” The article described the case of a 17-year old male who was diagnosed with coronary vasospasms as a result of ingesting caffeine.

17. In or about August 2012, the *Medical Journal of Australia* published an article titled “Energy Drinks: Health and Toxicity.” The objective of the article was to “describe the epidemiology and toxicity of caffeinated energy drink exposures in Australia.” The article shows the result of a study done related to energy drinks and involved 217 subjects who have ingested energy drinks. *Eighty-seven percent* of these subjects experienced symptoms, the common of which included palpitations, agitation, tremor, and gastrointestinal symptoms. *Twenty-one subjects had signs of serious cardiac or neurological toxicity, including hallucinations, seizures, arrhythmias, or cardiac ischemia.* At least 125 subjects required hospitalizations. The article concluded: “Reports of caffeine toxicity from energy drink consumption are increasing, particularly among adolescents, warranting review and regulation of the labeling and sale of these drinks. Educating adolescents and increasing the community’s awareness of the hazards from energy drinks is of paramount importance.”

18. On or about October 29, 2012, *BioMed Research Notes* published an article titled “Hypertension in a Young Boy: An Energy Drink Effect.” The article concluded: “*Several studies have reported numerous health hazards including cardiac effects associated with energy drinks.* Warning labeling should be done of

these drinks regulating the content of caffeine and its harmful effects on health.” The article also concluded: “There are numerous false perceptions in the society about the positive benefits and harmful effects of energy drinks. There is a strong need to create awareness through health education regarding these drinks especially among children as they are exposed to an ever-increasing range and easily accessible energy drinks market. There is also a strong need for legislation regarding mandatory labeling of exact caffeine content of these drinks and with strong health warning regarding potential health risks. These health warnings must also be included in TV commercials and print media advertisements.”

19. On or about November 16, 2012, the Food and Drug Administration (“FDA”) publicly released reports of injuries associated with ingestion of RED BULL. In particular, the FDA “posted 21 reports that had been filed with the agency since 2004 that mentioned Red Bull, including ones that involved hospitalizations for heart problems and vomiting.”

20. On or about November 18, 2012, RED BULL was linked to the death of three Canadians and was linked to serious side effects on 35 other Canadians. The report indicated that “three male teens, two 15-year olds and an 18-year old, died after drinking Red Bull.”

21. Between 2004 and 2012, The Center for Food and Safety Adverse Event Reporting collected reports of events or problems allegedly related to RED

BULL. Those events or problems included, but were not limited to, the following: pancreatitis, fatigue, panic attack, anxiety, blurred vision, dizziness, decreased appetite, adrenal insufficiency, insomnia, confusional state, disturbance in attention, dependence, nausea, hyperhidrosis, increased heart rate, chest pain, dyspnea, increased blood pressure, increased heart rate, acute myocardial infarction, intracardiac thrombus, panic attack, irregular heart rate, depressed level of consciousness, sensory loss, flushing, tremor, tachycardia, livedo reticularis, vertigo, blindness, chest pain, fluctuation in blood pressure, diarrhea, abdominal pain, glossodynia, hypersensitivity, aggression, vomiting, convulsions, and cardiac disorders. Some of these events or problems were life threatening and required hospitalizations.

22. In 2013, a case report entitled “High-energy Drinks May Provoke Aortic Dissection” discussed the development of aortic dissection of three males following their ingestion of energy drinks such as RED BULL. The authors propose “that uncontrolled consumption of high-energy drinks, especially in patients with underlying heart disease, could provoke potentially lethal cardiovascular event as well as acute aortic dissection.”

23. Most recently, in or about November of 2015, a study from the Mayo Clinic, which was led by Dr. Ana Svatikova and was published online in the *Journal of American Medical Association*, showed a statistically significant

increase in the blood pressure and norepinephrine levels of a group of young, healthy adults who consumed one can of energy drink, such as RED BULL. According to this study, acute hemodynamic and adrenergic changes predispose individuals to cardiovascular risks.

24. At all relevant times, Defendant was responsible for the design, manufacture, production, testing, study, inspection, mixture, labeling, marketing, advertising, sales, promotion, and/or distribution of the RED BULL that Mr. Wade consumed and from which he ultimately died on August 8, 2014.

25. RED BULL is marketed as a product that provides benefits to consumers in that it “gives [them] wings” resulting in increased physical and/or mental performance.

26. Despite Defendant’s knowledge of the significant risks associated with consumption of RED BULL, particularly with respect to its target audience, Defendant’s product masks and otherwise fails to alert consumers like Mr. Wade of the significant risks associated with the consumption of RED BULL.

27. Though championing the benefits provided by RED BULL, Defendant entirely failed to warn or disclose to consumers like Mr. Wade the known risks and side effects of consuming RED BULL, including the risk of aortic dissection, hypertension, and other cardiovascular conditions, from which Mr. Wade ultimately died.

28. Beyond its failure to warn of or disclose to consumers information related to the significant risks associated with consuming RED BULL, Defendant intentionally withheld, suppressed and concealed from consumers information relating to the risks of adverse health effects upon consumption of this product.

29. Defendant failed to conduct adequate testing, studies or clinical testing and research, and similarly failed to conduct adequate marketing surveillance regarding RED BULL's adverse effects upon consumption of this product.

30. Despite Defendant's representations to the contrary, RED BULL cans consumed by Mr. Wade were not safe or fit for the use for which they were intended.

31. Had Defendant properly disclosed and warned of the significant risk of suffering adverse effects, including aortic dissection, hypertension, and other cardiovascular problems, due to the consumption of RED BULL, a product containing exorbitant levels of caffeine, taurine, and other harmful chemicals, Mr. Wade would not have purchased and consumed RED BULL.

32. Defendant's failures in designing, manufacturing, marketing, distributing, warning and/or selling RED BULL directly and proximately caused Mr. Wade to suffer aortic dissection, hypertension, and other cardiovascular problems, and ultimately caused his death on August 8, 2014.

**AS AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION FOR STRICT LIABILITY –
DESIGN DEFECT**

33. Plaintiffs re-allege each and every allegation contained in this Complaint with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.

34. Defendant manufactured, sold, and supplied RED BULL and had significant involvement in distribution including the capability of exercising control over quality.

35. Defendant placed RED BULL into the stream of commerce and RED BULL was expected to, and did, reach Mr. Wade without substantial change in its condition. Mr. Wade consumed RED BULL, causing his hypertension, aortic dissection and other cardiovascular problems, and ultimately, his death.

36. Mr. Wade consumed RED BULL that caused his death in the way that Defendant intended RED BULL to be used – he ingested them orally.

37. The RED BULL cans that Mr. Wade consumed, and that caused his death, did not perform as safely as an ordinary consumer would have expected it to perform when used or misused in an intended or reasonably foreseeable way.

38. At the time the RED BULL consumed by Mr. Wade left Defendant's control, it was in a condition not contemplated by him and was unreasonably dangerous and defective. RED BULL was at the time of Mr. Wade's consumption, and remains to this day, dangerous to an extent beyond that which would be contemplated by the ordinary consumer in his/her position.

39. RED BULL failed to perform as intended and the circumstances surrounding Mr. Wade's injuries and death exclude all causes other than RED BULL's failure.

40. The risks associated with ingesting RED BULL outweigh any claimed or perceived benefits. There are practicable, feasible, and safer alternatives to achieve "energy" and increased awareness that do not present the severe health risks that accompany RED BULL.

41. The failure of the RED BULL cans that Mr. Wade consumed to perform safely was a substantial factor in causing his hypertension, aortic dissection and other cardiovascular problems, and ultimately, his death.

42. A reasonable person who knew or should have known of RED BULL's potential for causing injury and of the feasible alternative design would have concluded that RED BULL should not have been marketed in that condition.

43. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's design, manufacture, marketing, and/or sale of RED BULL, Plaintiffs, and particularly Mr. Wade, suffered the injuries herein described.

44. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's design, manufacture, marketing, and/or sale of RED BULL, it became necessary for Plaintiffs to incur expenses for doctors, hospitals, nurses, pharmaceuticals, and other reasonably required and medically necessary supplies and services.

45. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's design, manufacture, marketing, and/or sale of RED BULL, Plaintiffs suffered serious and permanent physical injury, harm, damages and economic loss.

**AS AND FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION FOR STRICT
LIABILITY – FAILURE TO WARN**

46. Plaintiffs re-allege each and every allegation contained in this Complaint with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.

47. Prior to Mr. Wade's consumption of RED BULL, Defendant designed, manufactured, marketed, distributed and/or sold RED BULL, and at all material times was in the business of doing so. Defendant placed RED BULL into the stream of commerce. RED BULL was expected to, and did, reach Mr. Wade without substantial change in its condition. *Mr. Wade consumed RED BULL and it caused his cardiac arrhythmia and death.*

48. RED BULL had potential risks and side effects that were known or knowable to Defendant by the use of scientific knowledge available at and after the time of design, manufacture, marketing, distribution and/or sale of the RED BULL consumed by Mr. Wade. Defendant knew or should have known of the defective condition, characteristics, and risks associated with RED BULL, as previously set forth herein.

49. The potential risks and side effects associated with RED BULL presented, and continue to present, a substantial danger when the drinks are used or misused in an intended or reasonably foreseeable way (*i.e.* ingested orally).

50. Ordinary consumers would not have recognized the potential risks and side effects associated with ingesting RED BULL.

51. When placing RED BULL into the stream of commerce, Defendant failed to provide adequate warnings as to the risks associated with the product. Defendant failed to warn consumers of the true risks and dangers – and of the symptoms, scope and severity of the potential side effects of RED BULL that Mr. Wade consumed, such as significantly increased risk of hypertension, aortic dissection and other cardiovascular problems.

52. As detailed herein, Defendants failed to adequately warn and instruct of the potential risks and side effects associated with ingesting RED BULL. Examples of the inadequacies of Defendant's warnings include, but are not limited to, the following: (a) the warnings were insufficient to alert Mr. Wade of the significant risk, scope, duration and severity of adverse events and/or reactions associated with RED BULL, subjecting him to risks that far exceeded the benefits of RED BULL; (b) Defendant marketed and sold RED BULL using misleading advertisement; and (c) Defendant failed to disclose the increased risks of

hypertension, aortic dissection, and other cardiovascular problems associated with the consumption of RED BULL.

53. The lack of sufficient instructions or warnings was a substantial factor in causing Mr. Wade's conscious pain and suffering and death.

54. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's failure to provide adequate warnings in connection with its design, manufacture, marketing, distribution and/or sale of RED BULL, Plaintiffs suffered the injuries herein described.

55. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's failure to provide adequate warnings in connection with its design, manufacture, marketing, distribution and/or sale of RED BULL, it became necessary for Plaintiffs to incur expenses for doctors, hospitals, nurses, pharmaceuticals, and other reasonably required and medically necessary supplies and services.

56. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's failure to provide adequate warnings in connection with its design, manufacture, marketing, distribution and/or sale of RED BULL, Plaintiffs suffered serious and permanent physical injury, harm, damages and economic loss.

**AS AND FOR A THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION FOR NEGLIGENCE—
DESIGN, MANUFACTURE, AND SALE**

57. Plaintiffs re-allege each and every allegation contained in this Complaint with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.

58. Defendant owed a duty to Mr. Wade and all consumers of RED BULL to exercise reasonable care in the design, formulation, testing, manufacture, labeling, marketing, distribution, promotion and/or sale of RED BULL. This duty required Defendant to ensure that its product did not pose an unreasonable risk of bodily harm to Mr. Wade and all other consumers, and similarly required Defendant to warn of side effects, risks, dangers and potential for adverse cardiac episodes associated with the ingestion of RED BULL.

59. Defendant failed to exercise reasonable care in the design, formulation, testing, manufacturing, labeling, marketing, distribution, promotion and/or sale of RED BULL in that Defendant knew or should have known that RED BULL could cause significant bodily harm, including cardiac arrhythmia, and was not safe for use by those who ingest the product.

60. Defendant was negligent in the design, formulation, testing, manufacturing, labeling, marketing, distribution, promotion and/or sale of RED BULL and breached its duties to Plaintiffs and their decedent. Specifically, Defendant: (a) failed to use due care in the preparation and design of RED BULL to prevent the previously-described risks; (b) failed to conduct adequate testing of RED BULL; (c) failed to cease manufacturing or otherwise alter the composition of RED BULL to produce a safer alternative despite the fact that Defendant knew or should have known that such drinks posted a serious risk of bodily harm to

consumers; (d) failed to conduct post-marketing surveillance to determine the safety of RED BULL; (e) failed to exercise reasonable care with respect to post-sale warnings and instructions for safe use by consumers; (f) failed to exercise ordinary care in the labeling of RED BULL; and (g) was otherwise careless and negligent.

61. At all relevant times, it was foreseeable to Defendant that consumers, like Mr. Wade, would suffer injury as a result of Defendant's failure to exercise ordinary care.

62. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's negligence, Mr. Wade suffered the injuries herein described.

63. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's negligence, it became necessary for Plaintiffs to incur expenses for doctors, hospitals, nurses, pharmaceuticals, and other reasonably required and medically necessary supplies and services.

64. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's negligence, Plaintiffs suffered serious and permanent physical injury, harm, damages and economic loss.

**AS AND FOR A FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR NEGLIGENCE-
FAILURE TO WARN**

65. Plaintiffs re-allege each and every allegation contained in this Complaint with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.

66. Prior to, on, and after the date of Mr. Wade's ingestion of RED BULL, and at all relevant times, Defendant was engaged in the design, manufacture, production, testing, study, inspection, mixture, labeling, marketing, advertising, sales, promotion, and/or distribution of RED BULL, which was intended for consumption by consumers like Mr. Wade.

67. Prior to, on, and after the date of Mr. Wade's ingestion of RED BULL, Defendant knew or should have known that RED BULL was dangerous or was likely, to be dangerous when used in a reasonably foreseeable manner. Such dangers include, but are not limited to, significantly increased risks of hypertension, aortic dissection, and other cardiovascular problems.

68. Prior to, on, and after the date of Mr. Wade's ingestion of RED BULL, Defendant knew or should have known that consumers of RED BULL, including Mr. Wade, would not realize the dangers presented by the product.

69. Prior to, on, and after the date of Mr. Wade's ingestion of RED BULL, Defendant failed to adequately warn of the dangers associated with consumption of RED BULL and/or failed to adequately instruct consumers on the safe use of the product. Such failures to warn and/or instruct included, but were not limited to: failing to issue adequate warnings to consumers concerning the risks of serious bodily harm associated with the ingestion of RED BULL; failing to supply adequate warnings regarding all potential adverse health effects associated with the

use of its product and the comparative severity of these side effects; and failing to set forth adequate warnings directed to consumers with common underlying cardiac conditions that are more susceptible to adverse cardiac reactions.

70. It was foreseeable to Defendant that consumers, including Mr. Wade, would suffer injury as a result of its failure to exercise ordinary care in providing adequate warnings concerning the dangers associated with consumption of RED BULL.

71. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's negligence, Mr. Wade suffered the injuries herein described.

72. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's negligence, it became necessary for Plaintiffs to incur expenses for doctors, hospitals, nurses, pharmaceuticals, and other reasonably required and medically necessary supplies and services.

73. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's negligence, Plaintiffs suffered serious and permanent physical injury, harm, damages and economic loss.

AS AND FOR A FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR FRAUD

74. Plaintiffs re-allege each and every allegation contained in this Complaint with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.

75. Defendant withheld and suppressed facts in its advertising, labeling, packaging, marketing and promotion of RED BULL that led consumers to falsely

believe that the product posed no greater risk to the health of those who consumed it than did natural supplements containing similar ingredients.

76. Due to the potential risks associated with consumption of RED BULL, Defendant owed a duty to disclose the truth about the significant adverse health effects associated with the consumption of these drinks, but failed to do so.

77. Despite Defendant's knowledge of the health risks associated with consumption of energy drinks like RED BULL, Defendant concealed these dangers and took steps in the advertising, packaging, marketing, promotion and/or sale of RED BULL to prevent consumers from learning the true facts about the product.

78. The concealment of the true facts about RED BULL was done with the intent to induce Mr. Wade to purchase and consume RED BULL.

79. Defendant intended for consumers, like Mr. Wade, to rely on its advertising, labeling, packaging, marketing, promotion and/or sale of RED BULL, as well as its suppression of the true facts about the risks and dangers associated with consuming RED BULL.

80. The reliance by Mr. Wade in consuming RED BULL was reasonable and justified in that Defendant appeared to be, and represented itself to be, a reputable business that would disclose the truth about any potential harmful health effects of consuming its product.

81. As a direct and proximate result of the fraud and deceit alleged, Plaintiffs suffered the injuries herein described.

82. As a direct and proximate result of the fraud and deceit alleged, it became necessary for Plaintiffs to incur expenses for doctors, hospitals, nurses, pharmaceuticals, and other reasonably required and medically necessary supplies and services.

83. As a direct and proximate result of the fraud and deceit alleged, Plaintiffs suffered serious and permanent physical injury, harm, damages and economic loss.

**AS AND FOR A SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR
BREACH OF IMPLIED WARRANTIES**

84. Plaintiffs re-allege each and every allegation contained in this Complaint with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.

85. Mr. Wade consumed RED BULL that caused his hypertension, aortic dissection and other cardiovascular problems, and ultimately, his death.

86. At the time of Mr. Wade's purchase of RED BULL, Defendant was in the business of selling RED BULL.

87. The RED BULL cans that Mr. Wade consumed were harmful when consumed.

88. The harmful condition of the RED BULL that Mr. Wade consumed would not reasonably be expected by the average consumer.

89. RED BULL was a substantial factor in causing Mr. Wade's death.

90. Prior to Mr. Wade's consumption of RED BULL, Defendant impliedly warranted to Mr. Wade and other consumers that RED BULL was of merchantable quality and safe and fit for the use for which it was intended.

91. Mr. Wade reasonably relied entirely on the expertise, knowledge, skill, judgment, and implied warranty of Defendant in choosing to purchase and consume RED BULL.

92. The RED BULL cans Mr. Wade consumed were neither safe for their intended use, nor of merchantable quality, in that they possessed a dangerous mixture of ingredients that, when put to its intended use, caused severe, permanent and fatal injuries to Mr. Wade. As such, RED BULL was not of the same quality as those "energy drinks" generally acceptable in the trade and it was not fit for the ordinary purposes for which such goods are used.

93. By selling, delivering and/or distributing the defective RED BULL to Mr. Wade, Defendant breached the implied warranty of merchantability and the implied warranty of fitness.

94. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's breach of the implied warranty of merchantability and the implied warranty of fitness, Plaintiffs suffered the injuries herein described.

95. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's breach of the implied warranty of merchantability and the implied warranty of fitness, it became necessary for Plaintiffs to incur expenses for doctors, hospitals, nurses, pharmaceuticals, and other reasonably required and medically necessary supplies and services.

96. As a direct and proximate result of the fraud and deceit alleged, Plaintiffs suffered serious and permanent physical injury, harm, damages and economic loss.

AS AND FOR ALLEGATIONS IN SUPPORT OF PUNITIVE DAMAGES

97. Plaintiffs re-allege each and every allegation contained in this Complaint with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.

98. At all relevant times, Defendant knew that RED BULL contained dangerous levels of caffeine, taurine, and other chemicals, and knew the serious health risks to consumers associated with the consumption of RED BULL.

99. With such knowledge and in furtherance of its own financial interests, Defendant willfully, wantonly and maliciously engaged in the design, manufacture, production, testing, study, inspection, mixture, labeling, marketing, advertising, sales, promotion, and/or distribution of RED BULL while simultaneously failing to warn potential consumers of its dangerous propensities.

100. With such knowledge and in furtherance of its own financial interests, Defendant willfully, wantonly and maliciously, and with conscious disregard for, and indifference to, the health and safety of consumers, including Mr. Wade, failed and refused to supply adequate warnings and/or information to protect consumers and/or otherwise reduce or eliminate the health risks to consumers associated with the consumption of RED BULL.

101. As a direct and proximate result of such conduct, and because the acts and omissions of Defendant were willful, wanton, malicious, intended and in conscious disregard for, and indifference to, the health and safety of potential consumers, like Mr. Wade, an award of exemplary or punitive damages is appropriate and necessary to punish Defendant, and to deter Defendant from engaging in such misconduct in the future and to affect significant change in the way Defendant designs, manufactures, markets, promotes, warns about, distributes and/or sells RED BULL.

**AS AND FOR A SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR
WRONGFUL DEATH**

102. Plaintiffs re-allege each and every allegation contained in this Complaint with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.

103. Ms. Lemley and all other surviving distributees of and successors in interest to Mr. Wade do hereby bring any and all Wrongful Death causes of action.

104. The wrongful actions of Defendant described in the preceding paragraphs, and the defects in the RED BULL product designed, manufactured, marketed, distributed and/or sold by Defendant, caused the death of Mr. Wade. As a direct and proximate result of the strict liability, negligence, fraud, and breach of warranty described above, Mr. Wade purchased and consumed RED BULL, which resulted in his death on August 8, 2014.

105. As a result of the death of Mr. Wade, Ms. Lemley and all other distributees were deprived of the love, companionship, comfort, affection, support, and society of Mr. Wade.

106. Plaintiff and all other distributees are entitled to recover economic and non-economic damages against Defendant for the wrongful death proximately caused by Mr. Wade's consumption of RED BULL and directly attributable to Defendant's failures as described in the preceding paragraphs.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs request that the Court award them:

- (a) The sum of \$5,000,000.00 in compensatory damages for each cause of action;
- (b) Costs and reasonable attorney's fees incurred with this lawsuit with interests thereon;
- (c) Punitive damages in the sum of \$30,000,000.00;
- (d) A trial by jury; and

(e) Any and all other damages and further relief as deemed just.

Respectfully submitted on this 28th day of March, 2016.

THE BOWEN LAW GROUP

/s/ Charles J. Bowen

CHARLES J. BOWEN

Georgia State Bar No. 071115

Attorney for Plaintiffs

7 East Congress Street

Suite 1001

Savannah, GA 31401

(912) 544-2050

(912) 544-2070 (fax)

cbowen@thebowenlawgroup.com

MUNAWAR & ANDREWS-SANTILLO, LLP

/s/ James S. Paglinawan

JAMES S. PAGLINAWAN

Application to be admitted pro hac vice to be filed

Attorney for Plaintiffs

420 Lexington Avenue

Suite 2601

New York, New York 10170

(212) 400-4000

(212) 300-3730 (fax)

jp@mfirm.com

MUNAWAR & ANDREWS-SANTILLO, LLP

/s/ Ashley Andrews-Santillo

ASHLEY ANDREWS-SANTILLO

Application to be admitted pro hac vice to be filed

Attorney for Plaintiffs

420 Lexington Avenue

Suite 2601

New York, New York 10170

(212) 400-4000

(212) 300-3730 (fax)

aas@mfirm.com

JS 44 (Rev. 12/12)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS
ANN EDENFIELD LEMLEY, as Administrator of the Estate of WILLIAM JACOB WADE, Deceased and ANN EDENFIELD LEMLEY, Individually

DEFENDANTS
RED BULL NORTH AMERICA, INC.

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Chatham
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant LOS ANGELES
(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)
See attachment.

Attorneys (If Known) **CV 416-080**

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff
- 2 U.S. Government Defendant
- 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)
- 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

- | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|---|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | PTF | DEF | | PTF | DEF |
| Citizen of This State | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | Incorporated or Principal Place of Business in This State | <input type="checkbox"/> 4 | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 |
| Citizen of Another State | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | Incorporated and Principal Place of Business in Another State | <input type="checkbox"/> 5 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 |
| Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 | Foreign Nation | <input type="checkbox"/> 6 | <input type="checkbox"/> 6 |

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

CONTRACT	TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
<input type="checkbox"/> 110 Insurance <input type="checkbox"/> 120 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 130 Miller Act <input type="checkbox"/> 140 Negotiable Instrument <input type="checkbox"/> 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> 151 Medicare Act <input type="checkbox"/> 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) <input type="checkbox"/> 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits <input type="checkbox"/> 160 Stockholders' Suits <input type="checkbox"/> 190 Other Contract <input type="checkbox"/> 195 Contract Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 196 Franchise	PERSONAL INJURY <input type="checkbox"/> 310 Airplane <input type="checkbox"/> 315 Airplane Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 320 Assault, Libel & Slander <input type="checkbox"/> 330 Federal Employers' Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 340 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 345 Marine Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 350 Motor Vehicle <input type="checkbox"/> 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 360 Other Personal Injury <input type="checkbox"/> 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	PERSONAL INJURY <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 367 Health Care/Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY <input type="checkbox"/> 370 Other Fraud <input type="checkbox"/> 371 Truth in Lending <input type="checkbox"/> 380 Other Personal Property Damage <input type="checkbox"/> 385 Property Damage Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 <input type="checkbox"/> 690 Other LABOR <input type="checkbox"/> 710 Fair Labor Standards Act <input type="checkbox"/> 720 Labor/Management Relations <input type="checkbox"/> 740 Railway Labor Act <input type="checkbox"/> 751 Family and Medical Leave Act <input type="checkbox"/> 790 Other Labor Litigation <input type="checkbox"/> 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION <input type="checkbox"/> 462 Naturalization Application <input type="checkbox"/> 465 Other Immigration Actions	<input type="checkbox"/> 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 <input type="checkbox"/> 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS <input type="checkbox"/> 820 Copyrights <input type="checkbox"/> 830 Patent <input type="checkbox"/> 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY <input type="checkbox"/> 861 HIA (1395f) <input type="checkbox"/> 862 Black Lung (923) <input type="checkbox"/> 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) <input type="checkbox"/> 864 SSID Title XVI <input type="checkbox"/> 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS <input type="checkbox"/> 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) <input type="checkbox"/> 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	<input type="checkbox"/> 375 False Claims Act <input type="checkbox"/> 400 State Reapportionment <input type="checkbox"/> 410 Antitrust <input type="checkbox"/> 430 Banks and Banking <input type="checkbox"/> 450 Commerce <input type="checkbox"/> 460 Deportation <input type="checkbox"/> 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations <input type="checkbox"/> 480 Consumer Credit <input type="checkbox"/> 490 Cable/Sat TV <input type="checkbox"/> 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange <input type="checkbox"/> 890 Other Statutory Actions <input type="checkbox"/> 891 Agricultural Acts <input type="checkbox"/> 893 Environmental Matters <input type="checkbox"/> 895 Freedom of Information Act <input type="checkbox"/> 896 Arbitration <input type="checkbox"/> 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision <input type="checkbox"/> 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS			
<input type="checkbox"/> 210 Land Condemnation <input type="checkbox"/> 220 Foreclosure <input type="checkbox"/> 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment <input type="checkbox"/> 240 Torts to Land <input type="checkbox"/> 245 Tort Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 290 All Other Real Property	<input type="checkbox"/> 440 Other Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 441 Voting <input type="checkbox"/> 442 Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 443 Housing/Accommodations <input type="checkbox"/> 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other <input type="checkbox"/> 448 Education	Habeas Corpus: <input type="checkbox"/> 463 Alien Detainee <input type="checkbox"/> 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence <input type="checkbox"/> 530 General <input type="checkbox"/> 535 Death Penalty Other: <input type="checkbox"/> 540 Mandamus & Other <input type="checkbox"/> 550 Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 555 Prison Condition <input type="checkbox"/> 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement			

V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- 1 Original Proceeding
- 2 Removed from State Court
- 3 Remanded from Appellate Court
- 4 Reinstated or Reopened
- 5 Transferred from Another District (specify)
- 6 Multidistrict Litigation

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):
 28 U.S.C. Section 13-32
 Brief description of cause:
 Defective product causing the death of Plaintiff's son.

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:

CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. DEMAND \$ _____ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: Yes No

VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY

(See instructions): JUDGE _____ DOCKET NUMBER _____

DATE 03/28/2016 SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD Charles J. [Signature]

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT # _____ AMOUNT _____ APPLYING IFP _____ JUDGE _____ MAG. JUDGE _____