

Kimberly D. Barone Baden (CA SBN 207731)
Ann E. Rice Ervin
Motley Rice LLP
28 Bridgeside Boulevard
Mount Pleasant, SC 29464
(843) 216-9265 (Phone)
(843) 216-9450 (Facsimile)
kbarone@motleyrice.com
ariceervin@motleyrice.com

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Gerald F. Grey,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Pfizer Inc.,

Defendant

) Case No.: _____

) **COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES**

-) **1. Negligence**
) **2. Negligence Per Se**
) **3. Strict Products Liability (Failure to**
) **Warn/Defective Design)**
) **4. Breach of Implied Warranty**
) **5. Breach of Express Warranty**
) **6. Fraudulent Misrepresentation**
) **7. Fraudulent Concealment**
) **8. Negligent Misrepresentation**

) **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff, Gerald F. Grey, by and through his undersigned counsel, hereby submits this Complaint and Jury Demand against Defendant, Pfizer Inc. ("Pfizer" or "Defendant") for compensatory damages, punitive damages, equitable relief and such other relief deemed just and proper arising from the injuries to Gerald F. Grey resulting from the ingestion of the prescription drug Viagra®. In support of this Complaint and Jury Demand, Plaintiff alleges the following:

1 This is an action for personal injuries and damages suffered by Plaintiff Gerald F. Grey
2 (“Plaintiff”) as a direct and proximate result of Pfizer Inc.’s (“Pfizer”) negligent and wrongful
3 conduct in connection with the design, development, manufacturing, testing, packaging, promoting,
4 marking, distribution, labeling and/or sale of sildenafil citrate tablets sold under the brand name
5 Viagra® (“Viagra®”).
6

7 **PARTIES**

8 1. Plaintiff, Gerald F. Grey, resides in the County of Washoe, State of Nevada.

9 2. Defendant, Pfizer Inc. (“Pfizer”) is a corporation organized and existing under the
10 laws of the State of Delaware with its principal place of business in the State of New York. Pfizer
11 regularly conducts business in the States of Delaware, New York, California, Nevada and throughout
12 the United States and derives substantial revenues from drugs it sells in the States of Delaware, New
13 York, California, Nevada and throughout the United States. Pfizer is engaged in the business of
14 designing, developing, manufacturing, labeling, promoting, marketing, distributing and selling
15 pharmaceutical drugs, including the drug Viagra® in New York, California, Nevada and throughout
16 the United States.
17

18 3. Pfizer may be served with process by registered mail with return receipt requested,
19 upon CT Corporation System, 818 West Seventh Street, Suite 930, Los Angeles, CA, 90017. Pfizer’s
20 registered agent in New York is CT Corporation System, 111 Eighth Avenue, New York, New York,
21 10011.
22

23 4. Pfizer, including its owners, employees, parent companies, subsidiaries, affiliates and
24 agents, developed, designed, manufactured, assembled, tested, inspected, marketed, promoted,
25 advertised, warranted, distributed, labeled, sold, packaged, and/or provided warnings and instructions
26 for Viagra®.
27
28

6. Plaintiff is a citizen of the State of Nevada.

8. The value of Plaintiff's claims exceeds the total of seventy-five thousand dollars (\$75,000.00), exclusive of recoverable interest and costs. None of the causes of action stated herein have been assigned or otherwise given to any other court or tribunal.

10. Venue is proper in this Judicial District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because Pfizer engaged in continual and substantial business within this Judicial District, and otherwise maintains the requisite minimum contacts within the State of California. Additionally, Pfizer manufactures, distributes, sells and receives substantial profits from the sales of Viagra® in this District, and has and continues to conceal and make material omissions in this District, so as to evade its obligations to the public and to avoid the jurisdiction of this District. It is therefore proper to exercise *in personam* jurisdiction in this Judicial District.

11. On December 11, 2015, a Petition was filed with the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation (“JPML”) seeking coordination of all such matters before the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California. *See In Re: Viagra Products Liability Litigation*, MDL No. 2691. The Petition was fully briefed, unopposed by Pfizer and all other interested parties, and argued on March 31, 2016.

12. On April 7, 2016, the JPML issued a Transfer Order and consolidation of related cases into *In Re: Viagra (Sildenafil Citrate) Products Liability Litigation*, MDL No. 2691 and transferred the consolidation to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California before The Honorable Richard Seeborg.

13. Therefore, venue is also proper in the Northern District of California pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407.

14. Related Viagra® actions are pending in this and other federal judicial districts throughout the United States. In light of this pretrial coordination and cooperation, Plaintiff is filing this Complaint in the Northern District of California. Plaintiff reserves the right to assert all other legal claims under Nevada's substantive law. For purposes of remand and trial, venue is proper in Plaintiff's home District, United States District Court for the District of Nevada, Reno Division.

15. Plaintiff is domiciled in Nevada, was prescribed and ingested Viagra® in Nevada and sustained injuries in Nevada.

FACTS

Background

16. On March 27, 1998, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration approved a new drug application ("NDA") for the manufacture and sale of sildenafil citrate.

17. Sildenafil citrate, sold under the brand name Viagra®, is an oral tablet prescribed to men with erectile dysfunction.

18. Sildenafil citrate ("Sildenafil") is the active ingredient in Viagra®.

19. Erectile dysfunction is the medical diagnosis for a condition in which a man cannot achieve or maintain an erection sufficient for satisfactory sexual activity. Since achieving and/or maintaining an erection involves the brain, nerves, hormones and blood vessels, any condition that

1 interferes with any of these functional areas of the body may be causally related to an individual's
2 erectile dysfunction. These problems become more common with age, but erectile dysfunction can
3 affect a man at any age.

4 20. Viagra® treats erectile dysfunction by inhibiting the secretion of phosphodiesterase
5 type 5 ("PDE5"), an enzyme responsible for the degradation of cyclic guanosine monophosphate
6 ("cGMP"). When the cGMP is not degraded by the PDE5, smooth muscles in the corpus cavernosum
7 relax, creating an erection.
8

9 21. The National Institutes of Health estimate that erectile dysfunction affects as many as
10 thirty million men in the United States.¹
11

12 **Prevalence of Viagra® in the Market**

13 22. In its 2013 Annual Report, Pfizer states that it accumulated revenue exceeding
14 \$1,800,000,000 from worldwide sales of Viagra®. This statistic is particularly significant in light of
15 the fact that Pfizer lost exclusivity of Viagra® throughout Europe in 2013, which in itself led to a
16 drop in profits from the previous calendar year.
17

18 23. Viagra® holds approximately 45% of the U.S. market share for erectile dysfunction
19 medications.²

20 24. Pfizer estimates that Viagra® has been prescribed to more than 35 million men
21 worldwide.³

22 25. In 2012 alone, physicians wrote approximately eight million prescriptions for
23 Viagra®.⁴
24
25
26

27 ¹ NIH Consensus Development Panel on Impotence (July 7, 1993).

28 ² Jacque Wilson, *Viagra: The Little Blue Pill That Could*, CNN, Mar. 27, 2013, *available at*:
<http://www.cnn.com/2013/03/27/health/viagra-anniversary-timeline/index.html>.

Pfizer's Knowledge

26. Unbeknownst to Viagra® users, studies have shown that the cellular activity providing the mechanism of action for Viagra® is associated with the development and/or exacerbation of melanoma.

27. The American Cancer Society states that melanoma is “the most serious type of skin cancer.”⁵

28. According to the National Cancer Institute, part of the National Institutes of Health, melanoma is more likely than other skin cancers to spread to other parts of the body, thereby causing further tissue damage and complicating the potential for effective treatment and eradication of the cancerous cells.⁶

29. Several studies have linked the mechanism of action for Viagra® to cell mutation cultivating melanomagenesis, or the creation of melanocytes which develop into melanoma.

30. Upon information and belief, according to the Center for Drug Evaluation and Research “Joint Clinical Review” Internal Safety Review for Viagra (Sildenafil) NDA 20-895, Pfizer knew as early as approximately 1998 that there were people that dropped out of the clinical studies due to the development of carcinoma, including but not limited to melanoma, after taking Viagra® as part of a study.

³ Hilary Stout, *The Thrill That Was*, N.Y. TIMES, June 5, 2011, available at: <http://query.nytimes.com/gst/fullpage.html?res=9B06E3DF173DF173FF936A35755C0A9679D8B63>.

⁴ Wilson, *supra* note 4.

⁵ American Cancer Society, *Skin Cancer Facts*, last revised March 19, 2014, available at: <http://cancer.org/cancer/cancercauses/sunanduvexposure/skin-cancer-facts>.

⁶ National Cancer Institute, *Types of Skin Cancer*, last updated Jan. 11, 2011, available at: <http://www.cancer.gov/cancertopics/wynthk/skin/page4>.

31. A study published in 2011 found that treatment with Viagra® can promote melanoma cell invasion.⁷ Specifically, by inhibiting PDE5, Viagra® mimics an effect of gene activation and therefore may potentially function as a trigger for the creation of melanoma cells.

32. A 2012 study published in the Journal of Cell Biochemistry also found that PDE5 inhibitors were shown to promote melanin synthesis,⁸ which may exacerbate melanoma development.⁹

33. On April 7, 2014, an original study (“the JAMA study”) was published on the website for the *Journal of the American Medical Association Internal Medicine* which, in light of the previous studies, sought to examine the direct relationship between sildenafil use and melanoma development in men in the United States.¹⁰ The JAMA study was published in the journal’s June 2014 edition.

34. Among 25,848 participants, the JAMA study reported that recent sildenafil users at baseline had a significantly elevated risk of invasive melanoma, with a “hazard ratio” of 1.84; in other words, the study participants who had recently used sildenafil exhibited an 84% increase in risk of developing or encouraging invasive melanoma.¹¹

Consumer Expectations

35. Since Viagra®’s FDA approval in 1998, Pfizer has engaged in a continuous, expensive and aggressive advertising campaign to market Viagra® to men worldwide as a symbol of regaining and enhancing one’s virility.

⁷ I. Aozarena, et al., *Oncogenic BRAF Induces Melanoma Cell Invasion by Downregulating The cGMP-Specific Phosphodiesterase PDE5A*, 19 CANCER CELL 45 (2011).

⁸ X Zhang, et al., *PDE5 Inhibitor Promotes Melanin Synthesis Through the PKG Pathway in B16 Melanoma Cells*, 113 J. CELL BIOCHEM. 2738 (2012).

⁹ F.P. Noonan, et al., *Melanoma Induction by Ultraviolet A But Not Ultraviolet B Radiation Requires Melanin Pigment*, 3 NATURE COMMUNICATIONS 884 (2012).

¹⁰ Wen-Qing Li, Abrar A. Qureshi, Kathleen C. Robinson & Jiali Han, *Sildenafil Use and Increased Risk of Incident Melanoma in U.S. Men: A Prospective Cohort Study*, 174 JAMA INTERNAL MEDICINE 964 (2014).

¹¹ Id.

1 36. Pfizer has engaged in increasingly aggressive marketing techniques and strategies to
2 promote the use of Viagra® in the face of increasing pharmaceutical competition. By means of
3 demonstration, a 2004 article in the Chicago Tribune cited industry reports stating that Pfizer spent
4 “tens of millions of dollars each month on direct-to-consumer advertising.”¹²
5

6 37. Pfizer has also been criticized by regulators, physicians and consumer groups for its
7 attempt to target younger men in their advertising. Doctors and federal regulators stated that “such
8 ads sen[t] a confusing message to patients who might really benefit from the drug.”¹³
9

10 38. While designing and formulating Viagra®, Pfizer discovered or should have
11 discovered that the drug’s mechanism of action, the inhibition of PDE5, also presented a significant
12 risk of the development and/or the exacerbation of melanoma.

13 39. Despite these significant findings, Pfizer has made no efforts in its ubiquitous Viagra®
14 advertisements to warn users about the potential risk of developing and/or exacerbating melanoma
15 that has been scientifically linked to its drug.

16 40. Members of the general public had no plausible means through which they could have
17 discovered the significant risk of melanomagenesis associated with PDE5 inhibition.
18

19 41. Prescribing physicians would not have had the same level of access to the research and
20 development conducted by Pfizer prior to its decision to manufacture Viagra® for general public use.

21 42. Pfizer failed to communicate to the general public that the inhibition of PDE5
22 inherently necessary to the efficacy of Viagra® would also present a significant risk of one’s
23 development and/or exacerbation of cancerous cells.
24
25
26

27 ¹² Bruce Japsen, *Viagra’s 2 Rivals Grab Market Share In A Year*, CHICAGO TRIBUNE, Sept. 23, 2004, available at
28 http://articles.chicagotribune.com/2004-09-23/business/0409230283_1_viagra-erectile-levitra.

¹³ Bruce Japsen, *Toned-Down Advertising Credited for Viagra Gains*, CHICAGO TRIBUTED, Feb. 8, 2007, available at
http://articles.chicagotribune.com/2007-02-08/business/0702080063_1_viagra-erectile-Pfizer-spokesman.

1 43. For example, no individual prescribed to use Viagra® would have believed or be
2 expected to know that his use of Viagra® would expose him to an increased risk of developing
3 melanoma or exacerbating the growth of melanocytes already present in the body.

4 44. Pfizer expected or should have expected individuals who suffered from erectile
5 dysfunction to ingest Viagra® as a means to treat their condition.
6

7 45. Pfizer expected or should have expected physicians treating erectile dysfunction to
8 prescribe Viagra® as a means to treat this condition.

9 46. The risk presented by ingesting Viagra® would be present from the moment of
10 manufacture; that is, the user would not need to change or alter the drug itself or the means by which
11 it was ingested in order for the drug to carry the same risk of harm as described herein.
12

13 **Risks and Benefits of Viagra® Use**

14 47. Erectile dysfunction is not fatal, nor does it present any related symptoms or
15 characteristics harmful to one's physical health; however, those with erectile dysfunction are unable
16 to achieve and maintain an erection.
17

18 48. At all times relevant hereto, Viagra® was useful to some members of the population;
19 namely, men diagnosed with erectile dysfunction.

20 49. However, Viagra® also encourages the development of melanoma in the body of a
21 user, thereby placing them at a significant health risk.

22 50. Pfizer manufactured, marketed and sold Viagra® as a PDE5 inhibitor; however, the
23 mechanism of action that made the drug effective in treating erectile dysfunction simultaneously
24 increased the risk of the user developing melanoma.
25
26
27
28

1 51. At the time Viagra® was formulated and manufactured, Pfizer knew or should have
2 known that the drug posed a significantly heightened risk to users, specifically through the increased
3 likelihood that those users would develop melanoma because of the chemical reactions inherent to the
4 drug's functioning.

5
6 52. Through the testing and formulating of Viagra®, and before the initiation of the drug's
7 mass manufacturing, Pfizer knew or should have known in the exercise of ordinary care that the
8 chemical reactions inherent to Viagra®'s mechanism of action would present a cancer-related health
9 hazard to potential future users.

10 53. The risk presented by the use of Viagra® through PDE5 inhibition – a characteristic
11 inherent to the drug's potential efficacy – was unquestionably far more significant than the benefit
12 provided to its users.

13
14 54. Because the risk of using Viagra® so greatly outweighs the benefits of such use, the
15 drug presents an unreasonably dangerous risk when used for its intended indication.

16 **Facts Regarding Plaintiff**

17
18 55. Plaintiff, Gerald F. Grey, began pharmaceutical treatment for erectile dysfunction in or
19 about 2002, when his physician prescribed Viagra®.

20 56. Plaintiff continuously filled and regularly ingested Viagra® through April 2016.

21 57. On March 13, 2014, Plaintiff had a shave biopsy on his left chest and the pathology
22 report confirmed malignant melanoma, filling the papillary dermis (Clark's Level III).

23
24 58. On May 14, 2014, Plaintiff underwent a wide excision of the left chest wall and two
25 sentinel lymph node biopsies from the left axilla. The pathology results confirmed metastatic
26 melanoma in one sentinel lymph node in the left axilla. The tumor stage is pTx pN1a.

1 59. On August 15, 2014, Plaintiff underwent left axillary lymph node dissection level 1, 2,
2 3.

3 60. Since first being diagnosed with melanoma, Plaintiff has had to remain vigilant in
4 monitoring his skin for lesions and must go for routine and regular check-ups.

5 61. Had Pfizer properly disclosed the increased risk of melanoma associated with
6 Viagra®, Plaintiff would have avoided the risk of developing melanoma from Viagra® use by not
7 taking Viagra® at all.

8 62. As a direct, proximate and legal result of Pfizer's negligence and wrongful conduct,
9 and the unreasonably dangerous and defective characteristics of the drug Viagra®, Plaintiff suffered
10 severe and permanent physical and emotional injuries. His physical injuries have included melanoma
11 as well as surgery necessitated by his skin cancer diagnosis. Plaintiff has endured not only physical
12 pain and suffering but also an economic loss, including medical care and treatment. Because of the
13 nature of his diagnosis, he will certainly continue to incur such medical expenses in the future. As a
14 result of these damages, Plaintiff seeks actual and punitive damages from Pfizer.
15
16
17

18 Summary

19 63. At all times relevant to this lawsuit, Pfizer engaged in the business of researching,
20 licensing, designing, formulating, compounding, testing, manufacturing, producing, processing,
21 assembling, inspecting, distributing, marketing, labeling, promoting, packaging and/or advertising for
22 sale or selling the prescription drug Viagra® for use among the general public.

23 64. For the duration of these efforts, Pfizer directed its advertising efforts to consumers
24 located across the nation, including consumers in the States of California, Nevada and throughout the
25 United States. Such efforts were also aimed at prescribing physicians across the nation, including
26 prescribing physicians in the States of California, Nevada and throughout the United States.
27
28

1 65. At all times mentioned in this Complaint, Pfizer's officers and directors participated
2 in, authorized and directed the production and aggressive promotion of Viagra® when they knew, or
3 with the exercise of reasonable care should have known, of the risk of developing melanoma
4 associated with Viagra® use. In doing so, these officers and directors actively participated in the
5 tortious conduct which resulted in the injuries suffered by many Viagra® users, including Plaintiff.
6

7 66. Pfizer purposefully downplayed, understated and outright ignored the melanoma-
8 related health hazards and increased risks associated with using Viagra®. Pfizer also deceived
9 potential Viagra® users by relaying positive information through the press, including testimonials
10 from retired, popular U.S. politicians, while downplaying known adverse and serious health
11 consequences.
12

13 67. Pfizer concealed material information related to melanoma development from
14 potential Viagra® users.

15 68. In particular, in the warnings the company includes in its commercials, online and
16 print advertisements, Pfizer failed to mention any potential risk for melanoma development and/or
17 exacerbation associated with Viagra® use.
18

19 69. As a result of Pfizer's advertising and marketing, and representations about its
20 product, men in the United States pervasively sought prescriptions for Viagra®. If Plaintiff in this
21 action had known the risks and dangers associated with taking Viagra®, Plaintiff would have elected
22 not to take Viagra® and, consequently, would not have developed melanoma. Similarly, if Plaintiff's
23 physicians had been aware of the risks and dangers associated with taking Viagra®, they would not
24 have prescribed Viagra® to Plaintiff.
25
26
27
28

CAUSES OF ACTION

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION
NEGLIGENCE

70. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation of this Complaint contained in each of the foregoing paragraphs inclusive, with the same force and effect as if more fully set forth herein.

71. Pfizer had a duty to exercise reasonable care and comply with existing standards of care in the testing, designing, researching, developing, manufacturing, packaging, promoting, labeling, advertising, marketing, selling and/or distribution of Viagra® into the stream of commerce including a duty to ensure that the product would not cause users to suffer unreasonable and dangerous side effects.

72. Pfizer failed to exercise ordinary care and failed to comply with existing standards of care in the testing, designing, researching, developing, manufacturing, packaging, promoting, labeling, advertising, marketing, selling and/or distribution of Viagra® into interstate commerce in that Pfizer knew or should have known that using Viagra® created an unreasonable risk of melanoma as well as other severe personal injuries which are permanent and lasting in nature, physical pain and mental anguish, including diminished enjoyment of life as well as the need for lifelong medical treatment, monitoring, medications and/or death.

73. Pfizer, its agents, servants and/or employees failed to exercise ordinary care and failed to comply with existing standards of care in the following acts and/or omissions:

- a. Failing to conduct adequate testing, including pre-clinical and clinical testing and post-marketing surveillance to determine the safety risks of Viagra® for treating men while promoting the use of Viagra® and providing kickbacks to healthcare professionals to convince healthcare professionals to prescribe Viagra® for erectile dysfunction;

- b. Marketing Viagra® for the treatment of erectile dysfunction without testing it to determine whether Viagra® was safe for this use;
- c. Designing, manufacturing, producing, promoting, formulating, creating and/or developing Viagra® without adequately and thoroughly testing it;
- d. Selling Viagra® without conducting sufficient tests to identify the dangers posed by Viagra® to men;
- e. Failing to adequately and correctly warn Plaintiff, the public, the healthcare community, including Plaintiff, Gerald F. Grey, and his healthcare providers, as well as the FDA of the dangers of Viagra® in men;
- f. Failing to evaluate available data and safety information concerning Viagra® use in men;
- g. Advertising and recommending the use of Viagra® without sufficient knowledge as to its dangerous propensities to cause and/or exacerbate melanoma;
- h. Representing that Viagra® was safe for treating men when in fact it was and is unsafe;
- i. Representing that Viagra® was safe and efficacious for treating erectile dysfunction when Defendant was aware that neither the safety nor efficacy for such treatment has been established;
- j. Representing that Viagra® was not carcinogenic in the animal studies conducted in rats and rabbits;
- k. Failing to provide any warnings regarding melanoma;
- l. Failing to accompany Viagra® with proper and/or accurate warnings regarding all possible adverse side effects associated with the use of Viagra®;
- m. Failing to issue sufficiently strengthened warnings following additional evidence associating Viagra® use with the increased risk of melanoma;
- n. Failing to advise Plaintiff, Gerald F. Grey's healthcare providers, the FDA and the healthcare community that neither the safety nor the efficacy of Viagra® for treating erectile dysfunction has been established and that the risks of using the drug for that condition outweigh any putative benefit; and
- o. Failing to advise Plaintiff, Gerald F. Grey's healthcare providers, the FDA and the healthcare community of clinically significant adverse events, specifically melanoma, associated with Viagra® use for erectile dysfunction.

1 74. Despite the fact that Pfizer knew or should have known that Viagra® significantly
2 increased the risk of melanoma, it continued and still continues to negligently market through false
3 and misleading promotion and communication, manufacture, distribute and/or sell Viagra® to
4 consumers including Plaintiff, Gerald F. Grey.

5 75. Pfizer knew or should have known that consumers such as Plaintiff would foreseeably
6 suffer injury as a result of its failure to exercise ordinary care as set forth above.

7 76. Pfizer's negligence was the proximate cause of Plaintiff's injuries, harm and economic
8 loss which Plaintiff suffered and/or will continue to suffer.

9 77. Had Plaintiff, Gerald F. Grey, not taken Viagra®, he would not have suffered those
10 injuries and damages as described herein with particularity.

11 78. As a result of the foregoing acts and omissions, Gerald F. Grey was caused to suffer
12 injuries from melanoma that are permanent and lasting in nature, physical pain and mental anguish
13 including diminished enjoyment of life, as well as the need for lifelong medical treatment, monitoring
14 and/or medication.

15 79. Plaintiff, Gerald F. Grey, has also sustained severe emotional distress and suffering as
16 a result of Pfizer's wrongful conduct.

17 80. As a result of the foregoing acts and omissions, Gerald F. Grey has required and will
18 require future medical care for which he has incurred medical, health, incidental and related
19 expenses. Plaintiff, Gerald F. Grey, believes and further alleges that he will in the future be required
20 to obtain further medical and/or hospital care, attention and services.

1 81. By reason of the foregoing, Plaintiff has been damaged by Pfizer's wrongful conduct.
2 Pfizer's conduct was willful, wanton, reckless and, at the very least, arose to the level of gross
3 negligence so as to indicate a complete disregard of the rights and safety of Plaintiff and others
4 justifying an award of punitive damages.
5

6 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment in his favor for
7 compensatory and punitive damages together with interest, costs herein, attorneys' fees and all such
8 other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper. Plaintiff also demands that the issues
9 herein contained be tried by a jury.
10

11 **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**
12 **NEGLIGENCE PER SE**

13 82. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation of this Complaint
14 contained in each of the foregoing paragraphs inclusive, with the same force and effect as if more
15 fully set forth herein.

16 83. Pfizer had a duty to exercise reasonable care and comply with existing laws in the
17 testing, designing, researching, developing, manufacturing, packaging, promoting, labeling,
18 advertising, marketing, selling and/or distribution of Viagra® into the stream of commerce including
19 a duty to ensure that the product would not cause users to suffer unreasonable and dangerous side
20 effects.
21

22 84. Pfizer failed to exercise ordinary care and failed to comply with existing laws in the
23 testing, designing, researching, developing, manufacturing, packaging, promoting, labeling,
24 advertising, marketing, selling and/or distribution of Viagra® into interstate commerce in that Pfizer
25
26
27
28

1 knew or should have known that using Viagra® created an unreasonable risk of melanoma as well as
2 other severe personal injuries which are permanent and lasting in nature, physical pain and mental
3 anguish, including diminished enjoyment of life as well as the need for lifelong medical treatment,
4 monitoring, medications and/or death.

5
6 85. Pfizer, its agents, servants and/or employees failed to exercise ordinary care and
7 violated 21 U.S.C. § 331, 352; 42 U.S.C. § 1320a-7b; and 21 C.F.R. §§ 201.57, 201.128 in particular.

8 86. The laws violated by Pfizer were designed to protect Plaintiff and similarly situated
9 persons against the risks and hazards that have occurred in this case. Therefore, Defendant's conduct
10 constitutes negligence *per se*.

11
12 87. Despite the fact that Pfizer knew or should have known that Viagra® significantly
13 increased the risk of melanoma and/or the exacerbation of melanoma, it continues to negligently
14 market through false and misleading promotion and communication, manufacture, distribute and/or
15 sell Viagra® to consumers including Plaintiff, Gerald F. Grey.

16 88. Pfizer knew or should have known that consumers such as Plaintiff would foreseeably
17 suffer injury as a result of its failure to exercise ordinary care as set forth above.

18
19 89. Pfizer's negligence was the proximate cause of Plaintiff injuries, harm and economic
20 loss which Plaintiff suffered and/or will continue to suffer.

21 90. Had Plaintiff, Gerald F. Grey, not taken Viagra®, he would not have suffered those
22 injuries and damages as described herein.

23
24 91. As a result of the foregoing acts and omissions, Gerald F. Grey was caused to suffer
25 injuries from melanoma that are permanent and lasting in nature, physical pain and mental anguish
26 including diminished enjoyment of life, as well as the need for lifelong medical treatment, monitoring
27 and/or medication.
28

1 92. Plaintiff, Gerald F. Grey, has also sustained severe emotional distress and suffering as
2 a result of Pfizer's wrongful conduct and his injuries.

3 93. As a result of the foregoing acts and omissions, Gerald F. Grey has required and will
4 require future medical care for which he has incurred medical, health, incidental and related
5 expenses. Plaintiff, Gerald F. Grey, believes and further alleges that he will in the future be required
6 to obtain further medical and/or hospital care, attention and services.
7

8 94. By reason of the foregoing, Plaintiff has been damaged by Pfizer's wrongful conduct.
9 Pfizer's conduct was willful, wanton, reckless and, at the very least, arose to the level of gross
10 negligence so as to indicate a complete disregard of the rights and safety of Plaintiff and others
11 justifying an award of punitive damages.
12

13 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment in his favor for
14 compensatory and punitive damages together with interest, costs herein, attorneys' fees and all such
15 other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper. Plaintiff also demands that the issues
16 herein contained be tried by a jury.
17

18 **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**
19 **STRICT PRODUCTS LIABILITY**
20 **(Failure to Warn/Design Defect)**

21 95. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation of this Complaint
22 contained in each of the foregoing paragraphs inclusive, with the same force and effect as if more
23 fully set forth herein.

24 96. Viagra® was tested, designed, researched, developed, manufactured, packaged,
25 promoted, labeled, advertised, marketed, sold, distributed and/or placed into the stream of commerce
26 by Pfizer and was defective at the time it left Pfizer's control in that, and not by way of limitation, the
27 drug labeling failed to include adequate warnings, instructions and directions relating to the
28

1 dangerous risks associated with the use of Viagra® to treat erectile dysfunction. Viagra® was also
2 defective in its design because the foreseeable risks of harm posed by the product could have been
3 reduced or avoided by the adoption of a reasonable alternative design. Safe and effective products
4 were available for the purpose for which Pfizer marketed Viagra® for use in men with erectile
5 dysfunction and neither the safety nor the efficacy of Viagra® for that purpose had been established.
6

7 97. Pfizer failed to provide adequate warnings to healthcare providers and consumers,
8 including Plaintiff, Gerald F. Grey, and his treating healthcare providers of the increased risk and/or
9 exacerbation of melanoma associated with Viagra® and aggressively promoted the product to
10 healthcare providers, hospitals and directly to consumers.
11

12 98. Prescribing physicians, healthcare providers and men neither knew nor had reason to
13 know of the existence of the aforementioned melanoma at the time of prescribing and/or ingesting of
14 Viagra®. Healthcare providers and/or consumers would not have recognized the potential risks or
15 side effects for which Pfizer failed to include appropriate warnings and which it masked through the
16 unbalanced promotion of Viagra® specifically for treatment in men with erectile dysfunction.
17

18 99. At all times herein mentioned, due to Pfizer's marketing of Viagra®, the drug was
19 prescribed and used as intended by Plaintiff, Gerald F. Grey, and in a manner reasonably foreseeable
20 to Pfizer.

21 100. Pfizer is liable to Plaintiff for the negligent and/or willful failure to provide adequate
22 warnings and other clinically relevant information and data regarding the appropriate use of Viagra®
23 to Plaintiff, Gerald F. Grey, and his healthcare providers.
24
25
26
27
28

101. Pfizer, as a manufacturer of pharmaceutical drugs, is held to the level of knowledge of an expert in the field. Further, Pfizer knew or should have known that the warnings and other clinically relevant information and data which they distributed, omitting the risks of developing and/or exacerbating melanoma, associated with the use of Viagra® were inadequate.

102. Pfizer had a continuing duty to provide consumers including Plaintiff, Gerald F. Grey, and his healthcare providers with warnings and other clinically relevant information and data regarding the risks and dangers associated with Viagra® as it became or could have become available to Pfizer.

103. Despite the fact that Pfizer knew or should have known that Viagra® caused and/or exacerbated melanoma, it continued to manufacture, package, promote, label, advertise, distribute and sell Viagra® without stating that there existed safer and more equally effective alternative drug products and/or providing adequate clinically relevant information, warnings and data.

104. Pfizer knew or should have known that consumers and Plaintiff specifically would foreseeably and needlessly suffer injury as a result of Pfizer's failures.

105. Pfizer breached its duty to provide timely and adequate warnings, instructions and information in the following particulars:

- a. failing to ensure Viagra® warnings to the healthcare community, physicians, Gerald F. Grey's healthcare providers and Plaintiff were accurate and adequate despite having extensive knowledge of the risks associated with Viagra®;
- b. failing in obligation to provide the healthcare community, physicians, Gerald F. Grey's healthcare providers and Plaintiff with adequate clinically relevant information, data and warnings regarding the adverse health risks associated with exposure to Viagra® and/or that there existed safer and more or equally effective alternative drug products;
- c. failing to conduct post-market safety surveillance and report that information to the healthcare community, Gerald F. Grey's healthcare providers and Plaintiff;

- d. failing to include adequate warnings and/or providing adequate and clinically relevant information and data that would alert the healthcare community, Gerald F. Grey's healthcare providers and Plaintiff to the dangerous risks of Viagra® including among other things the increased risk of melanoma;
- e. failing to continually monitor, test and analyze data regarding safety, efficacy and prescribing practices of their marketed drugs including Viagra®;
- f. failing to review all adverse drug event information (AER) and to report any information bearing upon the adequacy and/or accuracy of its warnings, efficacy or safety including the risks and/or prevalence of side effects caused by Viagra® to the healthcare community, Gerald F. Grey's healthcare providers and Plaintiff;
- g. failing to provide adequate post-marketing warnings and instructions after Pfizer knew or should have known of the significant risks of, among other things, melanoma of Viagra®;
- h. failing to periodically review all medical literature regarding Viagra® and failing to report data, regardless of the degree of significance, regarding the adequacy and/or accuracy of their warnings, efficacy or safety of Viagra®;
- i. failing to disclose the results of the testing and other information in Pfizer's possession regarding Viagra® and the increased risk of melanoma and/or exacerbation of melanoma; and
- j. failing to warn adequately the healthcare community, the general public and Plaintiff of the dangers of using Viagra® for erectile dysfunction including the risk of melanoma and/or representing that Viagra® was safe for erectile dysfunction when in fact Pfizer knew or should have known that Viagra® was unsafe for this use and that Viagra® increased the risk of melanoma and/or exacerbation of melanoma.

106. As a direct and proximate result of the defective nature of Viagra®, Gerald F. Grey was caused to suffer injuries from melanoma that are permanent and lasting in nature, physical pain and mental anguish including diminished enjoyment of life, as well as the need for lifelong medical treatment, monitoring and/or medication.

107. Plaintiff, Gerald F. Grey, has also sustained severe emotional distress and suffering as a result of Pfizer's wrongful conduct resulting in his injuries.

1 113. Pfizer implicitly warranted the safety of Viagra® through a multimedia advertising
2 campaign conducted over a span of several years, as Viagra® had been on the market for many years
3 prior to the time when Plaintiff was first prescribed Viagra®.

4 114. Pfizer implicitly warranted the merchantable quality of Viagra® by opting to mass-
5 produce and promote the prescription and sale of Viagra®.
6

7 115. Pfizer implicitly warranted that Viagra® was fit for the use for which it was intended
8 by offering assertions through multimedia advertisements that the drug was used for the treatment of
9 erectile dysfunction.

10 116. Plaintiff was and is unskilled in the research, design and manufacture of erectile
11 dysfunction medications and therefore reasonably relied entirely on the skill, judgment and implied
12 warranty of Pfizer in deciding to use Viagra®.
13

14 117. Plaintiff's physicians would not have had the same level of access to the research and
15 development conducted by Pfizer prior to its decision to manufacture Viagra® for general use.

16 118. Viagra® was neither safe for its intended use nor of merchantable quality, as had been
17 implicitly warranted by Pfizer, in that Viagra®'s mechanism of action – the inhibition of PDE5 –
18 inherently presented a significant increased risk of developing and/or exacerbating melanoma.
19

20 119. As a direct and proximate result of the falsity of the warranties implicated by Pfizer's
21 actions and omissions, Plaintiff suffered significant pain, suffering, invasive procedures and
22 economic damages incurred for the treatment of melanoma caused by Viagra® use.
23

24 120. By reason of the foregoing, Plaintiff has been damaged by Pfizer's wrongful conduct.
25 Pfizer's conduct was willful, wanton, reckless and, at the very least, arose to the level of gross
26 negligence so as to indicate a complete disregard of the rights and safety of Plaintiff and others
27 justifying an award of punitive damages.
28

1 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment in his favor for
2 compensatory and punitive damages together with interest, costs herein, attorneys' fees and all such
3 other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper. Plaintiff also demands that the issues
4 herein contained be tried by a jury.

5
6 **FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION**
BREACH OF EXPRESS WARRANTY

7 121. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation of this Complaint
8 contained in each of the foregoing paragraphs inclusive, with the same force and effect as if more
9 fully set forth herein.
10

11 122. At all times relevant hereto, Pfizer expressly represented and warranted to Plaintiff
12 and his healthcare providers, by and through statements made by Pfizer or their authorized agents or
13 sales representatives, orally and in publications, package inserts and other written materials intended
14 for physicians, medical patients and the general public, that Viagra® was safe, effective and proper
15 for its intended use.
16

17 123. These representations include, but are not limited to, the information disseminated in
18 Pfizer's patient information and prescribing information publications, Pfizer's website and on the
19 FDA's website, since the drug entered the market.
20

21 124. The warranties expressly made by Pfizer through its marketing and labeling were false
22 as Viagra® is unsafe.

23 125. Specifically, Viagra® is unsafe in that its mechanism of action, the inhibition of the
24 PDE5 enzyme, also increases the risk of the development and proliferation of melanocytic cells in the
25 user's body.
26
27
28

1 126. Plaintiff's physicians acted as reasonable physicians in relying on what they believed
2 to be the superior knowledge, judgment and access to research information possessed by Pfizer in
3 choosing to prescribe Viagra® to Plaintiff.

4 127. Plaintiff acted as a reasonable consumer, relied on what he believed to be the superior
5 skill, judgment, representations and express warranties of Pfizer in deciding to purchase and use
6 Viagra®.

7 128. In direct reliance upon the warranties made by Pfizer that Viagra® was safe to use in
8 treating erectile dysfunction, Plaintiff's physicians prescribed and Plaintiff ingested Viagra® and
9 ultimately developed melanoma as a result.

10 129. As a direct and proximate result of the breach of warranty committed by Pfizer,
11 Plaintiff suffered significant pain, suffering, invasive procedures and economic damages incurred for
12 the treatment of melanoma caused by Viagra® use.

13 130. By reason of the foregoing, Plaintiff has been damaged by Pfizer's wrongful conduct.
14 Pfizer's conduct was willful, wanton, reckless and, at the very least, arose to the level of gross
15 negligence so as to indicate a complete disregard of the rights and safety of Plaintiff and others
16 justifying an award of punitive damages.

17 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment in his favor for
18 compensatory and punitive damages together with interest, costs herein, attorneys' fees and all such
19 other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper. Plaintiff also demands that the issues
20 herein contained be tried by a jury.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION
FRAUDULENT MISREPRESENTATION

131. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation of this Complaint contained in each of the foregoing paragraphs inclusive, with the same force and effect as if more fully set forth herein.

132. Pfizer falsely and fraudulently represented to men suffering with erectile dysfunction and the healthcare community, including Plaintiff, Gerald F. Grey's healthcare providers that:

- a. Viagra® was safe and effective for treating erectile dysfunction;
- b. Viagra® had been adequately tested and studied in men with erectile dysfunction;
- c. Viagra® use was safe by omitting knowledge of an increased risk of melanoma; and
- d. Viagra®'s designation established the safety and efficacy of Viagra® for treating erectile dysfunction.

133. These representations made by Pfizer were material, false and misleading.

134. When Pfizer made these representations, it knew they were false.

135. Pfizer made these representations with the intent of defrauding and deceiving the public in general, and the healthcare community in particular, and were made with the intent of inducing the public in general, and the healthcare community in particular, including Plaintiff, Gerald F. Grey's healthcare providers, to recommend, prescribe, dispense and/or purchase Viagra® to treat erectile dysfunction, all of which evidenced a callous, reckless willful, depraved indifference to the health, safety and welfare of Plaintiff herein.

136. At the time the aforesaid representations were made by Pfizer and at the time Plaintiff, Gerald F. Grey, was prescribed and ingested Viagra® to treat erectile dysfunction, he was unaware of the falsity of said representations and reasonably believed them to be true.

1 137. In reliance upon said representations, Gerald F. Grey's prescriber was induced to
2 prescribe Viagra® to Plaintiff and Plaintiff, Gerald F. Grey, was induced to and did ingest Viagra®
3 to treat erectile dysfunction.

4 138. Pfizer knew that Viagra® had not been sufficiently tested for erectile dysfunction and
5 that it lacked adequate warnings.
6

7 139. Pfizer knew or should have known that Viagra® increases the risk of melanoma
8 and/or the exacerbation of melanoma.

9 140. As a result of the foregoing acts and omissions, Gerald F. Grey was caused to suffer
10 injuries from melanoma that are permanent and lasting in nature, physical pain and mental anguish
11 including diminished enjoyment of life, invasive procedures, as well as the need for lifelong medical
12 treatment, monitoring and/or medication.
13

14 141. Plaintiff, Gerald F. Grey, has also sustained severe emotional distress and suffering as
15 a result of Pfizer's wrongful conduct and the injuries from melanoma.

16 142. As a result of the foregoing acts and omissions, Gerald F. Grey has required and will
17 require future medical care for which he has incurred medical, health, incidental and related
18 expenses. Plaintiff, Gerald F. Grey, believes and further alleges that he will in the future be required
19 to obtain further medical and/or hospital care, attention and services.
20

21 143. By reason of the foregoing, Plaintiff has been damaged by Pfizer's wrongful conduct.
22 Pfizer's conduct was willful, wanton, reckless and, at the very least, arose to the level of gross
23 negligence so as to indicate a complete disregard of the rights and safety of Plaintiff and others
24 justifying an award of punitive damages.
25
26
27
28

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment in his favor for compensatory and punitive damages together with interest, costs herein, attorneys' fees and all such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper. Plaintiff also demands that the issues herein contained be tried by a jury.

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION
FRAUDULENT CONCEALMENT

144. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation of this Complaint contained in each of the foregoing paragraphs inclusive, with the same force and effect as if more fully set forth herein.

145. In representations to Plaintiff, Gerald F. Grey's healthcare providers, men with erectile dysfunction (including Plaintiff, Gerald F. Grey) and the FDA, Pfizer fraudulently concealed and intentionally omitted the following material facts:

- a. Pfizer was illegally paying and offering to pay doctors remuneration to promote and prescribe Viagra®;
- b. Viagra® use increases the risk of developing melanoma and/or exacerbates melanoma;
- c. the risks of melanoma associated with the consumption of Viagra® by men with erectile dysfunction were not adequately tested prior to Pfizer's marketing of Viagra®;
- d. the safety and efficacy of Viagra® for treating erectile dysfunction had not been established;
- e. Viagra® is not safe and effective for treating erectile dysfunction; and
- f. Pfizer's internal data and information associated Viagra® with melanoma.

146. Pfizer's concealment and omissions of material facts concerning, among other things, the safety and efficacy of Viagra® for erectile dysfunction was made purposefully, willfully, wantonly and/or recklessly to mislead physicians, hospital, healthcare providers and men with

1 erectile dysfunction including Plaintiff, Gerald F. Grey, into reliance, continued use of Viagra® and
2 to cause them to promote, purchase, prescribe and/or dispense Viagra®.

3 147. Pfizer knew that physicians, hospitals, healthcare providers and men with erectile
4 dysfunction such as Plaintiff, Gerald F. Grey, had no way to determine the truth behind Pfizer's
5 concealment and material omissions of facts surrounding Viagra® as set forth herein.
6

7 148. Plaintiff, Gerald F. Grey, and his healthcare providers reasonably relied on Pfizer's
8 promotional statements concerning the asserted safety and efficacy of Viagra ® for men with erectile
9 dysfunction from which Pfizer negligently, fraudulently and/or purposefully omitted material facts.
10

11 149. As a result of the foregoing acts and omissions, Gerald F. Grey was caused to suffer
12 injuries from melanoma that are permanent and lasting in nature, physical pain and mental anguish
13 including diminished enjoyment of life, invasive procedures, as well as the need for lifelong medical
14 treatment, monitoring and/or medication.

15 150. Plaintiff, Gerald F. Grey, has also sustained severe emotional distress and suffering as
16 a result of Pfizer's wrongful conduct and the injuries from melanoma.
17

18 151. As a result of the foregoing acts and omissions, Gerald F. Grey has required and will
19 require future medical care for which he has incurred medical, health, incidental and related
20 expenses. Plaintiff, Gerald F. Grey, believes and further alleges that he will in the future be required
21 to obtain further medical and/or hospital care, attention and services.

22 152. By reason of the foregoing, Plaintiff has been damaged by Pfizer's wrongful conduct.
23 Pfizer's conduct was willful, wanton, reckless and, at the very least, arose to the level of gross
24 negligence so as to indicate a complete disregard of the rights and safety of Plaintiff and others
25 justifying an award of punitive damages.
26
27
28

1 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment in his favor for
2 compensatory and punitive damages together with interest, costs herein, attorneys' fees and all such
3 other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper. Plaintiff also demands that the issues
4 herein contained be tried by a jury.

5
6 **EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION**
NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION

7
8 153. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation of this Complaint
9 contained in each of the foregoing paragraphs inclusive, with the same force and effect as if more
10 fully set forth herein.

11 154. Pfizer falsely and negligently represented to the healthcare community and men with
12 erectile dysfunction, including Plaintiff, Gerald F. Grey, and his healthcare providers that:

- 13 a. Viagra® was safe and effective for treating erectile dysfunction;
14 b. Viagra® had been adequately tested and studied in men with erectile
15 dysfunction;
16 c. Viagra® use pursuant to Pfizer's labeling was safe; and
17 d. Viagra®'s designation established the safety and efficacy of Viagra® for
18 treating erectile dysfunction.

19 155. These representations made by Pfizer were, in fact, false and misleading.

20
21 156. As a result of the foregoing acts and omissions, Gerald F. Grey was caused to suffer
22 injuries from melanoma that are permanent and lasting in nature, physical pain and mental anguish
23 including diminished enjoyment of life, invasive procedures, as well as the need for lifelong medical
24 treatment, monitoring and/or medication.

25 157. Plaintiff, Gerald F. Grey, has also sustained severe emotional distress and suffering as
26 a result of Pfizer's wrongful conduct and his injuries.
27
28

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully request that this Court enter judgment in his favor for compensatory and punitive damages together with interest, costs herein, attorneys' fees and all such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper. Plaintiff also demands that the issues herein contained be tried by a jury.

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Seventh Amendment of the United States Constitution.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendant on each of the above-referenced claims and causes of action and as follows:

- 31 -
COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

- 1 g. For punitive damages in an amount in excess of any jurisdictional minimum of
2 this Court in an amount sufficient to deter similar conduct in the future and
3 h. For attorneys' fees and costs of this action; and
4 i. For equitable relief and such other and further relief as this Court deems
5 necessary, just and proper.

6 Dated: May 12, 2016

7 /s/ Kimberly D. Barone Baden

8 Kimberly D. Barone Baden (CA SBN 207731)

9 Ann E. Rice Ervin

10 Motley Rice LLP

11 28 Bridgeside Boulevard

12 Mount Pleasant, SC 29464

13 (843) 216-9265 (Phone)

14 (843) 216-9450 (Facsimile)

15 Email: kbarone@motleyrice.com

16 Email: ariceervin@motleyrice.com

17 Attorneys for Plaintiff

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS

GERALD F. GREY

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Washoe, NV

(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)

Motley Rice LLP

28 Bridgeside Boulevard

Mount Pleasant, SC 843-216-9265

DEFENDANTS

PFIZER INC.

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant NY

(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys (If Known)

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- ☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff
- ☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant
- ☐ 3 Federal Question
(U.S. Government Not a Party)
- ☒ 4 Diversity
(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

- | | PTF | DEF | | PTF | DEF |
|---|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Citizen of This State | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State | <input type="checkbox"/> 4 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4 |
| Citizen of Another State | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2 | Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State | <input type="checkbox"/> 5 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 |
| Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 | Foreign Nation | <input type="checkbox"/> 6 | <input type="checkbox"/> 6 |

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

CONTRACT	TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
<input type="checkbox"/> 110 Insurance <input type="checkbox"/> 120 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 130 Miller Act <input type="checkbox"/> 140 Negotiable Instrument <input type="checkbox"/> 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> 151 Medicare Act <input type="checkbox"/> 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) <input type="checkbox"/> 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits <input type="checkbox"/> 160 Stockholders' Suits <input type="checkbox"/> 190 Other Contract <input type="checkbox"/> 195 Contract Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 196 Franchise	PERSONAL INJURY <input type="checkbox"/> 310 Airplane <input type="checkbox"/> 315 Airplane Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 320 Assault, Libel & Slander <input type="checkbox"/> 330 Federal Employers' Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 340 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 345 Marine Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 350 Motor Vehicle <input type="checkbox"/> 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 360 Other Personal Injury <input type="checkbox"/> 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	PERSONAL INJURY <input type="checkbox"/> 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 367 Health Care/Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY <input type="checkbox"/> 370 Other Fraud <input type="checkbox"/> 371 Truth in Lending <input type="checkbox"/> 380 Other Personal Property Damage <input type="checkbox"/> 385 Property Damage Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 <input type="checkbox"/> 690 Other LABOR <input type="checkbox"/> 710 Fair Labor Standards Act <input type="checkbox"/> 720 Labor/Management Relations <input type="checkbox"/> 740 Railway Labor Act <input type="checkbox"/> 751 Family and Medical Leave Act <input type="checkbox"/> 790 Other Labor Litigation <input type="checkbox"/> 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION <input type="checkbox"/> 462 Naturalization Application <input type="checkbox"/> 465 Other Immigration Actions	<input type="checkbox"/> 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 <input type="checkbox"/> 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS <input type="checkbox"/> 820 Copyrights <input type="checkbox"/> 830 Patent <input type="checkbox"/> 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY <input type="checkbox"/> 861 HIA (1395ff) <input type="checkbox"/> 862 Black Lung (923) <input type="checkbox"/> 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) <input type="checkbox"/> 864 SSID Title XVI <input type="checkbox"/> 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS <input type="checkbox"/> 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) <input type="checkbox"/> 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	<input type="checkbox"/> 375 False Claims Act <input type="checkbox"/> 400 State Reapportionment <input type="checkbox"/> 410 Antitrust <input type="checkbox"/> 430 Banks and Banking <input type="checkbox"/> 450 Commerce <input type="checkbox"/> 460 Deportation <input type="checkbox"/> 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations <input type="checkbox"/> 480 Consumer Credit <input type="checkbox"/> 490 Cable/Sat TV <input type="checkbox"/> 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange <input type="checkbox"/> 890 Other Statutory Actions <input type="checkbox"/> 891 Agricultural Acts <input type="checkbox"/> 893 Environmental Matters <input type="checkbox"/> 895 Freedom of Information Act <input type="checkbox"/> 896 Arbitration <input type="checkbox"/> 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision <input type="checkbox"/> 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
REAL PROPERTY <input type="checkbox"/> 210 Land Condemnation <input type="checkbox"/> 220 Foreclosure <input type="checkbox"/> 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment <input type="checkbox"/> 240 Torts to Land <input type="checkbox"/> 245 Tort Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 290 All Other Real Property	CIVIL RIGHTS <input type="checkbox"/> 440 Other Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 441 Voting <input type="checkbox"/> 442 Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 443 Housing/Accommodations <input type="checkbox"/> 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other <input type="checkbox"/> 448 Education	PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: <input type="checkbox"/> 463 Alien Detainee <input type="checkbox"/> 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence <input type="checkbox"/> 530 General <input type="checkbox"/> 535 Death Penalty Other: <input type="checkbox"/> 540 Mandamus & Other <input type="checkbox"/> 550 Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 555 Prison Condition <input type="checkbox"/> 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement			

V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- ☒ 1 Original Proceeding
- ☐ 2 Removed from State Court
- ☐ 3 Remanded from Appellate Court
- ☐ 4 Reinstated or Reopened
- ☐ 5 Transferred from Another District (specify)
- ☐ 6 Multidistrict Litigation

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):

28 U.S.C. 1332

Brief description of cause:

Product Liability

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:☐ CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.

DEMAND \$

Exceeds \$75,000.00

CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:

JURY DEMAND: ☒ Yes ☐ No**VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY**

(See instructions):

JUDGE The Honorable Richard SeeborgDOCKET NUMBER MDL 2691

DATE

05/12/2016

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

/s/ Kimberly D. Barone Baden

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT #

AMOUNT

APPLYING IFP

JUDGE

MAG. JUDGE

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
 - (b) County of Residence.** For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
 - (c) Attorneys.** Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction.** The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.
- United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.
- United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.
- Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.
- Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)
- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit.** Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerk(s) in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin.** Place an "X" in one of the six boxes.
- Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.
- Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.
- Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.
- Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.
- Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.
- Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.
- VI. Cause of Action.** Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. **Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.** Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint.** Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.
- Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction.
- Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases.** This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

Northern District of California

Defendant(s)

Civil Action No.

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Civil Action No. _____

PROOF OF SERVICE*(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))*

This summons for *(name of individual and title, if any)* _____
 was received by me on *(date)* _____.

☐ I personally served the summons on the individual at *(place)* _____
 _____ on *(date)* _____; or

☐ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with *(name)* _____
 _____, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,
 on *(date)* _____, and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or

☐ I served the summons on *(name of individual)* _____, who is
 designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of *(name of organization)* _____
 _____ on *(date)* _____; or

☐ I returned the summons unexecuted because _____; or

☐ Other *(specify)*: _____

My fees are \$ _____ for travel and \$ _____ for services, for a total of \$ 0.00.

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: _____

Server's signature

Printed name and title

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc: