UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION

IN RE: ETHICON PHYSIOMESH		MDL DOCKET NO. 2782
FLEXIBLE COMPOSITE		CIVIL ACTION NO.
HERNIA MESH PRODUCTS		1:17-MD-02782-RWS
LIABILITY LITIGATION		
This document relates to:		
Jim B. Crumbley and Diane Crumbley v. Johnson and Johnson and Ethicon, Inc.	:	Civil Action No.:

SHORT FORM COMPLAINT

Come now the Plaintiff(s) named below, and for their Complaint against the Defendants named below, incorporate the Master Complaint in MDL No. 2782 by reference. Plaintiff(s) further show the court as follows:

1.	Plaintiff Implanted with Physiomesh
	Jim B. Crumbley
2.	Plaintiff's Spouse (if applicable)
	Diane Crumbley
3.	Other Plaintiff and capacity (i.e., administrator, executor, guardian, conservator)

4.	a repr	of Residence and Citizenship of each Plaintiff (including any Plaintiff in resentative capacity) at time of filing of Initial Complaint gia and the United States	
5.	State	of Residence and Citizenship at the Time of Implantation	
	Georgi	a and the United States	
6.	. District Court and Division in which personal jurisdiction and venue would be proper absent direct filing.		
	United	States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia	
7.	Defen	dants (Check Defendants against whom Complaint is made):	
	\square	A. Ethicon, Inc.	
	\square	B. Johnson & Johnson	
8.	Basis (of Jurisdiction	
		Diversity of Citizenship (28 U.S.C. § 1332(a))	
		Other:	
	A. Pa	ragraphs in Master Complaint upon which venue and jurisdiction lie:	
		Paragraphs 3-13	

B. Other allegations of jurisdiction and venue:				
	gations of j	gations of jurisdiction	gations of jurisdiction and venue:	gations of jurisdiction and venue:

9.

Implanted with Physiomesh (list date of each implant surgery, City	Iospital(s) where laintiff was implanted with Physiomesh (include lity and State of Iospital)	Implanting Surgeon(s)
	edmont Henry Hospital ockingbridge, GA	Manohar Nallathambi, M.D.

State Consumer Protection law(s) and state any additional facts a	 ✓ Count III — Strict Product Liability — Failure to Warn ✓ Count III — Strict Product Liability — Manufacturing Defect ✓ Count IV — Negligence ✓ Count V — Consumer Protection Laws (Please identify applicated State Consumer Protection law(s) and state any additional facts a legal basis for application of State Consumer Protection law(s) in this case) ✓ Georgia Fair Business Practices Act Ca. Code Ann. §10-1-390, et seq. ✓ Count VII — Gross Negligence ✓ Count VIII — Punitive Damages ✓ Count IX — Discovery Rule, Equitable Tolling/Estoppel (Please state any additional facts and legal basis for Discovery Rule and Tolling below) 	Counts in the Master Complaint brought by Plaintiff(s):				
 ✓ Count IV — Negligence ✓ Count V — Consumer Protection Laws (Please identify applicably State Consumer Protection law(s) and state any additional facts a legal basis for application of State Consumer Protection law(s) in this case) ✓ Georgia Fair Business Practices Act Ca. Code Ann. §10-1-390, et seq. ✓ Count VII — Loss of Consortium ✓ Count VIII — Punitive Damages ✓ Count IX — Discovery Rule, Equitable Tolling/Estoppel (Please state any additional facts and legal basis for Discovery Rule and Tolling below) 	 ✓ Count III — Strict Product Liability — Manufacturing Defect ✓ Count IV — Negligence ✓ Count V — Consumer Protection Laws (Please identify applicated State Consumer Protection law(s) and state any additional facts a legal basis for application of State Consumer Protection law(s) in this case) ✓ Georgia Fair Business Practices Act Ca. Code Ann. §10-1-390, et seq. ✓ Count VII — Coss of Consortium ✓ Count VIII — Punitive Damages ✓ Count IX — Discovery Rule, Equitable Tolling/Estoppel (Please state any additional facts and legal basis for Discovery Rule and Tolling below) 	\square	Count I — Strict Product Liability — Defective Design			
 ✓ Count IV — Negligence ✓ Count V — Consumer Protection Laws (Please identify applicable State Consumer Protection law(s) and state any additional facts at legal basis for application of State Consumer Protection law(s) in this case) ✓ Georgia Fair Business Practices Act Ca. Code Ann. §10-1-390, et seq. ✓ Count VI — Gross Negligence ✓ Count VII — Loss of Consortium ✓ Count VIII — Punitive Damages ✓ Count IX — Discovery Rule, Equitable Tolling/Estoppel (Please state any additional facts and legal basis for Discovery Rule and Tolling below) 	 ✓ Count IV — Negligence ✓ Count V — Consumer Protection Laws (Please identify applicated State Consumer Protection law(s) and state any additional facts a legal basis for application of State Consumer Protection law(s) in this case) ✓ Georgia Fair Business Practices Act Ca. Code Ann. §10-1-390, et seq. ✓ Count VI — Gross Negligence ✓ Count VII — Loss of Consortium ✓ Count VIII — Punitive Damages ✓ Count IX — Discovery Rule, Equitable Tolling/Estoppel (Please state any additional facts and legal basis for Discovery Rule and Tolling below) 	\square	Count II — Strict Product Liability — Failure to Warn			
 ✓ Count V — Consumer Protection Laws (Please identify applicable State Consumer Protection law(s) and state any additional facts at legal basis for application of State Consumer Protection law(s) in this case) ✓ Georgia Fair Business Practices Act Ca. Code Ann. §10-1-390, et seq. ✓ Count VII — Gross Negligence ✓ Count VIII — Loss of Consortium ✓ Count VIII — Punitive Damages ✓ Count IX — Discovery Rule, Equitable Tolling/Estoppel (Please state any additional facts and legal basis for Discovery Rule and Tolling below) 	 ✓ Count V — Consumer Protection Laws (Please identify applicate State Consumer Protection law(s) and state any additional facts a legal basis for application of State Consumer Protection law(s) is this case) ✓ Georgia Fair Business Practices Act Ca. Code Ann. §10-1-390, et seq. ✓ Count VII — Gross Negligence ✓ Count VIII — Loss of Consortium ✓ Count VIII — Punitive Damages ✓ Count IX — Discovery Rule, Equitable Tolling/Estoppel (Please state any additional facts and legal basis for Discovery Rule and Tolling below) 	\checkmark	Count III — Strict Product Liability — Manufacturing Defect			
State Consumer Protection law(s) and state any additional facts a legal basis for application of State Consumer Protection law(s) in this case) Georgia Fair Business Practices Act Ca. Code Ann. §10-1-390, et seq. Count VI — Gross Negligence Count VII — Loss of Consortium Count VIII — Punitive Damages Count IX — Discovery Rule, Equitable Tolling/Estoppel (Please state any additional facts and legal basis for Discovery Rule and Tolling below)	State Consumer Protection law(s) and state any additional facts a legal basis for application of State Consumer Protection law(s) is this case) Georgia Fair Business Practices Act Ca. Code Ann. §10-1-390, et seq. Count VI — Gross Negligence Count VII — Loss of Consortium Count VIII — Punitive Damages Count IX — Discovery Rule, Equitable Tolling/Estoppel (Please state any additional facts and legal basis for Discovery Rule and Tolling below)	V	Count IV — Negligence			
 ✓ Count VI — Gross Negligence ✓ Count VII — Loss of Consortium ✓ Count VIII — Punitive Damages ✓ Count IX — Discovery Rule, Equitable Tolling/Estoppel (Please state any additional facts and legal basis for Discovery Rule and Tolling below) 	 ✓ Count VI — Gross Negligence ✓ Count VII — Loss of Consortium ✓ Count VIII — Punitive Damages ✓ Count IX — Discovery Rule, Equitable Tolling/Estoppel (Please state any additional facts and legal basis for Discovery Rule and Tolling below) 	State Consumer Protection law(s) and state any additional facts and legal basis for application of State Consumer Protection law(s) in				
 ✓ Count VII — Loss of Consortium ✓ Count VIII — Punitive Damages ✓ Count IX — Discovery Rule, Equitable Tolling/Estoppel (Please state any additional facts and legal basis for Discovery Rule and Tolling below) 	 ✓ Count VIII — Loss of Consortium ✓ Count VIII — Punitive Damages ✓ Count IX — Discovery Rule, Equitable Tolling/Estoppel (Please state any additional facts and legal basis for Discovery Rule and Tolling below) 	,				
 ✓ Count VIII — Punitive Damages ✓ Count IX — Discovery Rule, Equitable Tolling/Estoppel (Please state any additional facts and legal basis for Discovery Rule and Tolling below) 	 ✓ Count VIII — Punitive Damages ✓ Count IX — Discovery Rule, Equitable Tolling/Estoppel (Please state any additional facts and legal basis for Discovery Rule and Tolling below) 	Geor	gia Fair Business Practices Act Ca. Code Ann. §10-1-390, et seq.			
state any additional facts and legal basis for Discovery Rule and Tolling below)	state any additional facts and legal basis for Discovery Rule and Tolling below)	-	Count VI — Gross Negligence			
Georgia's Statute of Limitations	Georgia's Statute of Limitations	 ☑	Count VI — Gross Negligence Count VII — Loss of Consortium			
			Count VI — Gross Negligence Count VII — Loss of Consortium Count VIII — Punitive Damages Count IX — Discovery Rule, Equitable Tolling/Estoppel (Please state any additional facts and legal basis for Discovery Rule			
	•		Count VI — Gross Negligence Count VII — Loss of Consortium Count VIII — Punitive Damages Count IX — Discovery Rule, Equitable Tolling/Estoppel (Please state any additional facts and legal basis for Discovery Rule and Tolling below)			
			Count VI — Gross Negligence Count VII — Loss of Consortium Count VIII — Punitive Damages Count IX — Discovery Rule, Equitable Tolling/Estoppel (Please state any additional facts and legal basis for Discovery Rule and Tolling below)			

	Other Count(s) (Please state factual and legal basis for other claims not included in the Master Complaint below):		
		Jury Trail Is Demanded as to All Counts	
		Jury Trial is NOT Demanded as to Any Count	
		Attorney(s) for Plaintiff	
Addr	ess, ph	one number, email address and bar information:	
Peter S			
	oadway		
New Y	ork, Ne	W YORK	
212-55	8-5547		
PSamb	oerg@w	veitzlux.com	
PS-448	37		