

March 27, 2018

VIA ECF AND FEDERAL EXPRESS

Honorable Judge Claire C. Cecchi United States District Court District of New Jersey Martin Luther King Building & U.S. Courthouse 50 Walnut Street Newark, NJ 07101

In Re: Proton-Pump Inhibitor Products Liability Litigation 2:17-md-2789 (CCC)(MF) (MDL 2789)

Dear Judge Cecchi:

Attached is a proposed Case Management Order that has been drafted to alleviate costs and expenses for Plaintiff's counsel and provide Defendants with evidence of PPI use and evidence of a kidney injury.

The Proposed Temporary Bundling Order would allow Plaintiffs to temporarily place up to 300 Plaintiffs living in the same State in one complaint. The current bundling proposal is vastly different than what was discussed at the outset of this litigation. The bundled complaints would not need to be served on or responded to by the named Defendants nor severed by the Court. However, within nine months of filing, all Plaintiffs on the bundled complaint must either be severed and re-filed with the purchase of an individual docket number as an individual complaint or have their case dismissed by Plaintiffs' counsel; neither the Court's staff nor Defendants will need to address the bundled complaints over this nine-month period. Each Plaintiff severed from the bundled complaint would be placed on an accelerated schedule for providing evidence of PPI use and a kidney injury. Thus, within nine months of filing a bundled complaint, each Plaintiff will have either been dismissed without any motion practice or Defendants will have received evidence of Plaintiff's claims prior to having to serve an Answer.¹ Of note, any severed case will relate back, for statute of limitations purposes, to the original date of the bundled complaint.

¹ Plaintiffs that provide evidence of PPI use and a kidney injury will be subject to the current Plaintiff Fact Sheet process as outlined in the proposed Temporary Bundling CMO.

2

Over the past year, Plaintiffs' counsel have come to better understand that the rampant use of PPIs over the past 30 years has resulted in thousands of potential claims and that obtaining medical and pharmacy records that span decades is an arduous and time-consuming task. This is further complicated by the fact that the medical providers record retention policies tend to expire after seven years. This temporary bundling CMO serves as a tolling mechanism for these cases so that they can be adequately vetted. By contrast, individual filings at this time for each Plaintiff will cause needless and likely massive expenses for Plaintiffs' counsel and for the Defendants that will be required to Answer each complaint.

Over the past month, the PSC has conferred with AstraZeneca's counsel regarding the possibility and mechanism of a tolling or temporary bundling agreement for cases to be filed in the MDL as well as the thousands of Plaintiffs whose cases could not be filed in the MDL due to the lack of diversity and subject matter jurisdiction in Federal Court. During that time, the PSC was under the impression that our proposals were generally being shared with all defense counsel.² Unable to reach agreement, the PSC decided that the issue was ripe for Your Honor to rule on and circulated the attached CMO to all Defendants on March 19, 2018. To our surprise, the other Defendants advised us that they were unaware of our request for a tolling and temporary bundling agreement, and needed more time to consider our proposal. The parties discussed the proposed CMO on March 22, 2018, where each Defendant asked for more time to discuss the details with their client. Plaintiffs agreed and the parties scheduled another call on March 26, 2018, wherein Plaintiffs were told that no Defendant is willing to agree to any sort of Temporary Bundling Order due to its inconsistency with the Direct Filing Order that currently allows for only individually-filed Complaints.

The PSC acknowledges that the proposed Temporary Bundling CMO does not conform to the Direct Filing Order at the time of its filing, however, upon severance of each Plaintiff's case, counsel must adhere to the current Direct Filing Order in its entirety. Moreover, it is routine in these types of MDLs that CMOs evolve as the litigation moves forward. For example, the Court recently received a proposed CMO pertaining to certain AstraZeneca Defendants and an Amended Short Form Complaint that was agreed to by the PSC in order to accommodate AstraZeneca's request to more efficiently Answer Complaints in the MDL, thereby saving the AstraZeneca Defendants both time and money. The PSC expects that other Defendants will follow suit with similar requests and the PSC will be willing to accommodate such requests rather than impose an unnecessary obstacle to an adversary when there is no prejudice to our clients. Similarly, we are

² Furthermore, on March 8, 2018, the PSC emailed counsel for AstraZeneca, Takeda and Pfizer/Wyeth regarding filings that will inevitably need to be made in State Court due to the lack of diversity and subject matter jurisdiction in the MDL. Plaintiffs proposed a limited tolling agreement for these State Court filings so the parties can move forward efficiently in the MDL, without the distractions of at least five separate litigations in State Court. Defendants rejected this proposal.

3

surprised that Defendants would take such a position with our proposal. The temporary bundling process causes no prejudice to Defendants. In fact, it accelerates discovery that they have requested and saves them time and money in not having to Answer complaints that may later be dismissed.

In light of Defendants' position, the PSC respectfully requests that the attached Temporary Bundling Order be entered in its current form or that a conference on this issue be scheduled as soon as possible. Plaintiffs' counsel are available at the Court's convenience.

Respectfully submitted,

<u>/s/ Christopher A. Seeger</u> Christopher A. Seeger SEEGER WEISS LLP 55 Challenger Road 6th Floor Ridgefield Park, NJ 212-584-0700 212-584-0799 (fax) <u>cseeger@seegerweiss.com</u>

/s/ Stephanie O'Connor Stephanie O'Connor DOUGLAS & LONDON, P.C. 59 Maiden Lane, 6th Fl. New York, NY 10038 212-566-7500 212-566-7501 (fax) soconnor@douglasandlondon.com

Plaintiffs' Co-Lead Counsel

cc: All Counsel of Record (via ECF)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

IN RE: PROTON-PUMP INHIBITOR PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION (No. II)

1:17-MD-2789 (CCC)(MF) (MDL 2789)

Judge Claire C. Cecchi

This Document Relates to: ALL ACTIONS

[PROPOSED] CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER #___

CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER NO.

- A. In an effort to minimize the expenses of all parties, and to promote judicial efficiency, this Court has developed a system that enables claims of more than one plaintiff to be filed in a single Complaint. This process shall henceforth be referred to as "temporary bundling" or the filing of "Bundled Complaints."
- B. Plaintiffs' counsel shall be permitted to file a Bundled Complaint pursuant to CMO 7, the Direct Filing Order entered February 5, 2018.
- C. By December 3, 2018, plaintiffs on a Bundled Complaint shall be severed from the Bundled Complaint and shall file a new case ("Severed Complaint") pursuant to CMO 7, and the requirements thereof and the MDL Master and Short Form Complaint.
- D. For the purposes of Statute of Limitations, the filing of the Severed Complaint shall relate back to the date of the filing of a Bundled Complaint.
- E. The entire Bundled Complaint shall be dismissed on December 17, 2018 by the Court in accordance with this Order, absent agreement by the parties otherwise, and, therefore, the claims of any Plaintiff who is not severed and who has not filed a Severed Complaint on or before December 3, 2018, will be dismissed when the Bundled Complaint is dismissed.

- F. No Bundled Complaint shall include more than 300 individually named Plaintiffs, excluding Loss of Consortium and Survivorship actions, and shall be domiciliaries of the same state at the time of filing.
- G. All Service and Answer requirements pursuant to previous Orders entered by this Court and pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure do not apply to a Bundled Complaint.
- H. To facilitate the filing, Exhibit A of this Order, entitled "Plaintiff Specific Allegations," provides the format for a spread sheet that must be attached to the Bundled Complaint.
 Each individual plaintiff shall be listed in consecutively numbered rows and each cell shall contain case-specific allegations and information for each plaintiff.
- I. Within 21 days of filing a Severed Complaint, that Plaintiff in the formerly Bundled Complaint shall serve upon each named Defendant a medical record with evidence of his or her claimed kidney injury and evidence of use as defined in CMO 9.¹
 - upon completion of Paragraph I, such Plaintiffs and named Defendants are subject to the requirements of Service and Answer of Complaints under CMO 7 and Fact Sheets under CMO 9
 - b. Should a Plaintiff fail to satisfy the requirement of Paragraph I:
 - i. Defendants obligation to serve a Short Form Answer is due 60 days following completion of Paragraph I.
 - ii. Plaintiff's Fact Sheet is due within 30 days of filing the Short Form Complaint regardless of Defendants' lack of serving an Answer.

¹ However, for purposes of accompanying a Severed Complaint, evidence of PPI use may be satisfied by an Affidavit executed by the Plaintiff.

- iii. Should a Plaintiff fail to provide evidence of his or her injury pursuant to Paragraph I, Defendants may file a motion to dismiss. Defendants shall not be permitted to challenge the level or scope of injury.
- iv. Should such a Plaintiff fail to provide evidence of PPI use of a named Defendant, pursuant to Paragraph I, such Defendant(s) may file a motion to dismiss.

SO ORDERED:

Dated: Newark, New Jersey March ____, 2018

> CLAIRE C. CECCHI United States District Judge

EXHIBIT A

Case 2:17-md-02789-CCC-MF Document 167-1 Filed 03/27/18 Page 5 of 14 PageID: 4093 EXHIBIT A

First Name	Last Name	DOB	State of Residence at time of Injury	Loss of Consortium	Drug	Injury	Defendants
					2.49		

Case 2:17-md-02789-CCC-MF Document 167-1 Filed 03/27/18 Page 6 of 14 PageID: 4094 EXHIBIT A

First Name	Last Name	DOB	State of Residence at time of Injury	Loss of Consortium	Drug	Injury	Defendants
					2.49		

Case 2:17-md-02789-CCC-MF Document 167-1 Filed 03/27/18 Page 7 of 14 PageID: 4095

First Name	Last Name	DOB	State of Residence at time of Injury	Loss of Consortium	Drug	Injury	Defendants
					2.49		

Case 2:17-md-02789-CCC-MF Document 167-1 Filed 03/27/18 Page 8 of 14 PageID: 4096 EXHIBIT A

First Name	Last Name	DOB	State of Residence at time of Injury	Loss of Consortium	Drug	Injury	Defendants
					2.49		

Case 2:17-md-02789-CCC-MF Document 167-1 Filed 03/27/18 Page 9 of 14 PageID: 4097 EXHIBIT A

First Name	Last Name	DOB	State of Residence at time of Injury	Loss of Consortium	Drug	Injury	Defendants
					2.49		

Case 2:17-md-02789-CCC-MF Document 167-1 Filed 03/27/18 Page 10 of 14 PageID: 4098 EXHIBIT A

First Name	Last Name	DOB	State of Residence at time of Injury	Loss of Consortium	Drug	Injury	Defendants
T II St Maine	Last Name	DOB	iiijui y	Consolition	Diug	inju y	Derendants

Case 2:17-md-02789-CCC-MF Document 167-1 Filed 03/27/18 Page 11 of 14 PageID: 4099 EXHIBIT A

First Name	Last Name	DOB	State of Residence at time of Injury	Loss of Consortium	Drug	Injury	Defendants
Thorname	Last Name	DOD	injury	Consortium	Diug	inju y	Derendants

Case 2:17-md-02789-CCC-MF Document 167-1 Filed 03/27/18 Page 12 of 14 PageID: 4100 EXHIBIT A

First Name	Last Name	DOB	State of Residence at time of Injury	Loss of Consortium	Drug	Injury	Defendants
Thorname	Last Name	DOD	injury	Consortium	Diug	inju y	Derendants

Case 2:17-md-02789-CCC-MF Document 167-1 Filed 03/27/18 Page 13 of 14 PageID: 4101 EXHIBIT A

First Name	Last Name	DOB	State of Residence at time of Injury	Loss of Consortium	Drug	Injury	Defendants
Thorname	Last Name	DOD	injury	Consortium	Diug	inju y	Derendants

Case 2:17-md-02789-CCC-MF Document 167-1 Filed 03/27/18 Page 14 of 14 PageID: 4102 EXHIBIT A

First Name	Last Name	DOB	State of Residence at time of Injury	Loss of Consortium	Drug	Injury	Defendants
Thot Nume	Lust Hume	202	injur y	Consortium	Bidg	injury	Derendanto