# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

NICOLE BENTLEY RUSSELL \* CIVIL ACTION:

AND ANDY RUSSELL

**Petitioners** \*

\*

v. \* JUDGE

\*

MAG. JUDGE

General Electric Co., GE Healthcare, Inc.,\*

GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences Corp.,

Bayer Corp., Bayer Healthcare

Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Tyco Healthcare, \*

Tyco Healthcare, Ltd., Mallinckrodt, Inc. \*

Bracco Diagnostics, Inc.

Defendants

.

#### PETITION FOR DAMAGES

Petitioners, Nicole Bentley Russell, though undersigned counsel, bring this Petition for Damages and allege as follows:

#### **NATURE OF THE ACTION**

1.

This is an action for personal injuries and economic damages suffered by Petitioners as a direct and proximate result of the Defendants' negligent and wrongful conduct in connection with the design, development, manufacturing, testing, packaging, promoting, marketing, distribution, labeling and/or sale of gadolinium-based contrast agents (GBCAs) known as

Magnevist, Gadavist, MultiHance, Omniscan, Dotarem, ProHance, OptiMARK, Eovist and Ablavar.

#### **PARTIES**

2.

Petitioners, Nicole Bentley Russell and Andy Russell are of the full age of majority and residents of and domiciled in the Parish of St. Bernard, State of Louisiana.

3.

Defendants, General Electric Co., GE Healthcare, Inc., GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences Corp., Bayer Corp., Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Tyco Healthcare, Tyco Healthcare, Ltd., Mallinckrodt, Inc. and Bracco Diagnostics, Inc. (collectively referred to as the "Manufacturing Defendants"), are corporations doing business within the State of Louisiana and within the jurisdiction of this Honorable Court.

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

4.

This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1332(a)(1) because this case is a civil action where the matter in controversy exceeds the sum or value of \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs, and is between citizens of different States.

5.

Venue is properly set in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) since Defendants transacts business within this judicial district. Likewise, a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claim occurred within this judicial district.

6.

This court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants pursuant to and consistent with the

Constitutional requirements of Due Process in that Defendants, acting through their agents or apparent agents, committed one or more of the following:

- a. The transaction of any business within the state;
- b. The making of any contract within the state;
- c. The commission of a tortious act within this state; and
- d. The ownership, use, or possession of any real estate situated within this state.

7.

Requiring Defendants to litigate these claims in the State of Louisiana, Petitioners' home state does not offend traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice and is permitted by the United States Constitution. All of Petitioners' claims arise in part from conduct Defendants purposefully directed to Petitioners' home state.

8.

Defendants regularly conduct or solicit business and derive substantial revenue from goods used or consumed in, inter alia, the State of Louisiana, Petitioners' home state.

9.

At all relevant times Defendants transacted, solicited, and conducted business in Louisiana, Petitioners' home state and derived substantial revenue from such business.

10.

At all times relevant hereto Defendants expected or should have expected that its acts would have consequences within the United States of America, and in the State of Louisiana, Petitioners' home state in particular.

At all relevant times, Defendants placed its product used by Petitioner into the stream of interstate commerce.

12.

Defendants named herein is conclusively presumed to have been doing business in this state and are subject to Louisiana's long armjurisdiction.

13.

At all relevant times, Defendants expected or should have expected that their acts and omissions would have consequences within the United States and Louisiana.

14.

Petitioners' damages in this matter accrued in Louisiana.

#### FACTUAL CLAIMS OF PETITION FOR DAMAGES

15.

Defendants, are indebted unto Petitioners jointly and *in solido* for all sums as are reasonable, together with legal interest from the date of judicial demand until paid, for all costs of these proceedings, and for all other general and equitable relief as may be afforded by this Honorable Court, for the following reasons:

16.

On or about May 30, 2017, Petitioner was admitted to Ochsner Health Systems d/b/a Ochsner Hospital – Kenner to undergo a brain MRI, which was performed by Dr. Todd Layman. Prior to the procedure, Petitioner was given an IV of various medications, including Gadavist/ Gadolinium, which is an IV dye. Dr. Todd Layman ordered and administered the medication.

17.

After being administered the IV, plaintiff began suffering from an allergic reaction. Plaintiff has been re-admitted to the hospital several times due to ongoing complications from the IV dye.

18.

Petitioner, Nicole Bentley Russell, alleges that GBCAs caused her severe, disabling, and disfiguring injuries to her entire body.

19.

Upon information and belief, the GBCAs produced by Manufacturing Defendants and used by Petitioner, is defective in its design or formulation in that it is not reasonably fit, suitable, or safe for its intended purpose and/or its foreseeable risks exceeded the benefits associated with its design and formulation.

20.

Upon information and belief, the GBCAs produced by Manufacturing Defendants and used by Petitioner was defective and unreasonably dangerous when it left the possession of Defendants in that it contained warnings insufficient to alert Petitioners and/or Petitioner's healthcare providers of the dangerous risks and reactions associated with the subject product.

21.

Upon information and belief, the GBCAs produced by Manufacturing Defendants and used by Petitioner was not fit for its intended purpose, was not of merchantable quality, did not

conform to Defendants' express representations of its intended use because the GBCA was not safe, caused Petitioner serious side effects, and caused Petitioner severe and permanent injuries.

22.

Defendants, are also liable to Petitioners in redhibition inasmuch it sold a product to Petitioners containing a hidden defect which rendered the product unfit for ordinary use and so inconvenient that Petitioners would not have purchased the product had she known of the defect.

23.

Defendants, are also liable to Petitioners for breach of warranty of fitness of ordinary use inasmuch it sold a product to Petitioners that was contaminated and/or defective, and not reasonably fit for its ordinary use

24.

In addition to the above, and in the alternative thereto, the injuries, offenses, and damages suffered by Petitioner were caused by acts or omissions of Defendants which may be beyond proof by Petitioners herein; however, because the product was, at all times pertinent, within the exclusive control of the Defendants, there is no other possible conclusion than that Petitioners' damages resulted from the negligence of Defendants. Accordingly, Petitioner pleads the doctrine of *res ipsa loguitor*, and/or that Defendants is strictly liable for Petitioners' injuries and damages.

#### **DAMAGES**

25.

The conduct of Defendants directly and/or proximately caused Petitioner, Nicole Bentley Russell, to suffer severe and painful personal injuries and damages, which presently include, but are not limited to:

- a. otherwise unnecessary medical treatment and all risks associated therewith;
- b. keen mental anguish, embarrassment, humiliation, and emotional distress;
- c. the need for medical monitoring;
- d. future medical problems not yet known or realized;
- e. past medical expenses;
- f. future medical expenses;
- g. loss of enjoyment of life;
- h. loss of earnings and/or earning capacity;
- i. attorneys' fees; and
- j. all other elements of damages and injuries, as may be shown at the trial of this matter.

26.

The conduct of Defendants directly and/or proximately caused Petitioner, Andy Russell, to suffer severe and painful personal injuries and damages, which presently include, but are not limited to:

- a. loss of consortium; and,
- b. all other elements of damages and injuries, as may be shown at the trial of this matter.

### JURY TRIAL DEMAND

27.

Petitioner is entitled to, and request, a trial by jury.

WHEREFORE, Petitioners, Nicole Bentley Russell and Andy Russell, pray that Defendants, General Electric Co., GE Healthcare, Inc., GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences Corp., Bayer Corp., Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Tyco Healthcare, Tyco Healthcare, Ltd., Mallinckrodt, Inc. and Bracco Diagnostics, Inc., be served with a copy of this Petition for

#### Case 2:18-cv-05473 Document 1 Filed 05/30/18 Page 8 of 8

Damages, and that, after due proceedings, there be judgment herein in favor of Petitioners and against Defendants, for all damages as are reasonable, together with legal interest thereon from the date of judicial demand until paid, for all costs of these proceedings, including attorneys' fees, for all general and equitable relief, and for trial by jury.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ John D. Sileo

JOHN D. SILEO (LA. BAR NO.: 17797) CASEY W. MOLL (LA BAR NO.: 35925) 320 North Carrollton Avenue, Suite 101 New Orleans, Louisiana 70119 504-486-4343 (telephone) 504-297-1249 (facsimile) jack@johnsileolaw.com casey@johnsileolaw.com

## 

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil d	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF T	HIS FORM.)			
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANT	DEFENDANTS		
NICOLE BENTLEY RUS	SELL AND ANDY RUS	SSELL	GENERAL ELECTRIC CO., ET AL			
<b>(b)</b> County of Residence (E	of First Listed Plaintiff S XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	ST. BERNARD	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.			
JOHN Attorneys (Firm Amer Address and Telephone Number) 320 N. CARROLLTON AVE. #101 NEW ORLEANS, LA 504-486-4343 - JACK@JOHNSILEOLAW.CO			Attorneys (If Known)  OM			
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in O	One Box Only)	I. CITIZENSHIP OF  (For Diversity Cases Only		(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintif and One Box for Defendant)	
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)			PTF DEF  1 1 Incorporated or Poor Business In '	PTF DEF	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	✓ 4 Diversity     (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citizen of Another State	□ 2 □ 2 Incorporated and of Business In		
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	□ 3 □ 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		nly) ORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY		of Suit Code Descriptions. OTHER STATUTES	
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise    REAL PROPERTY   □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY  ☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability ☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJURY  365 Personal Injury - Product Liability  367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability  368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability  368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability  PERSONAL PROPERTY  370 Other Fraud  371 Truth in Lending  380 Other Personal Property Damage  711 Pruth in Lending  385 Property Damage  712 Product Liability  PRISONER PETITIONS  Habeas Corpus:  463 Alien Detainee  510 Motions to Vacate Sentence  530 General  535 Death Penalty  Other:  540 Mandamus & Other  550 Civil Rights  555 Prison Condition  560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 ☐ 690 Other	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157  PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application □ 840 Trademark  SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g))  FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	☐ 375 False Claims Act ☐ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC ☐ 3729(a)) ☐ 400 State Reapportionment ☐ 410 Antitrust ☐ 430 Banks and Banking ☐ 450 Commerce ☐ 460 Deportation ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and ☐ Corrupt Organizations ☐ 480 Consumer Credit ☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV ☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/   Exchange ☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions ☐ 891 Agricultural Acts ☐ 893 Environmental Matters ☐ 895 Freedom of Information   Act ☐ 896 Arbitration ☐ 899 Administrative Procedure   Act/Review or Appeal of   Agency Decision ☐ 950 Constitutionality of   State Statutes	
	moved from 3 ate Court  Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	Appellate Court				
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:    Plaintiff sustained injuries due to a definition of cause.   P		I injuries due to a defe	ective product.  DEMAND \$	DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:		
VIII. RELATED CASI		<u>·</u>			e: ⊠ Yes □No	
DATE	JUDGEDOCKET NUMBER SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD					
05/30/2018 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		/s/John D. Sileo	TALL OF RECORD			
RECEIPT # Al	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUI	DGE	