	Case 2:18-cv-00062-DLR Documer	nt 1 Filed 01/08/18 Page 1 of 7						
1	Daniel P. Massey #006089							
2	The Massey Law Firm, P.C.							
3	14300 N Northsight Blvd, Ste 208 Scottsdale, Arizona 85260							
4	(602) 955-0055							
5	dan@dmasseylaw.com Attorneys for Plaintiff							
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT							
	FOR THE DIS	TRICT OF ARIZONA						
7	JANET SMITH,							
8		Case No						
9	Plaintiffs, v.	Case No						
10	JOHNSON & JOHNSON SERVICES,	COMPLAINT						
11	INC., a foreign for-profit Corporation; JOHNSON & JOHNSON, INC., a foreign							
12	for-profit Corporation; JOHNSON & JOHNSON CONSUMER COMPANIES,							
13	INC., a foreign for-profit Corporation; DEPUY ORTHOPEDICS, INC., a foreign							
14	for-profit Corporation; and DOE DEFENDANTS 1-100,							
15	Defendants.							
16	COMPLAINT							
17	Plaintiff JANET SMITH, for he	r Complaint against Defendants JOHNSON &						
18	JOHNSON SERVICES, INC., JOHNSON & JOHNSON, INC., JOHNSON & JOHNSON							
19	CONSUMER COMPANIES, INC., DEPUY ORTHOPEDICS, INC., and DOE							
20	DEFENDANTS 1 through 100, allege and aver as follows:							
21	1. Plaintiff JANET SMITH is and was at all times relevant a resident of the County							
22	of Maricopa, State of Arizona.							
23	2. Upon information and belief, Defendant JOHNSON & JOHNSON SERVICES,							
24	INC., is and was at all times relevant a foreign for-profit Corporation, incorporated in New							
25	Jersey, and doing business in the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona.							
26		1						

**THE MASSEY LAW FIRM, PC** *a professional corporation* 14300 N. Northsight Blvd, Ste 208 Scottsdale, Arizona 85260 Case 2:18-cv-00062-DLR Document 1 Filed 01/08/18 Page 2 of 7

Upon information and belief, Defendant JOHNSON & JOHNSON,
 INCORPORATED, is and was at all times relevant a foreign for-profit Corporation,
 incorporated in South Carolina, and doing business in the County of Maricopa, State of
 Arizona.

4. Upon information and belief, Defendant JOHNSON & JOHNSON
CONSUMER COMPANIES, INC., is and was at all times relevant a foreign for-profit
Corporation, incorporated in New Jersey, and doing business in the County of Maricopa, State
of Arizona.

9 5. Upon information and belief, Defendant DEPUY ORTHOPEDICS, INC., is and
10 was at all times relevant a foreign for-profit Corporation, incorporated in Indiana, and doing
11 business in the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona.

6. Plaintiff is unaware of the true names and capacities of the Defendants sued 12 herein as Doe Defendants and therefore sue said Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff 13 is informed and believes and therefore alleges such fictitiously named Defendants are or may 14 be responsible in some manner for the occurrences herein alleged, and that Plaintiffs' damages, 15 16 as herein alleged, were proximately caused by their conduct. Plaintiff is informed and believes and therefore alleges that at all times herein mentioned, Defendants, and each of them, were the 17 agents, servants, and/or employees of each of the other Defendants herein, and were acting with 18 the permission and consent and within the course and scope of said agency and employment. 19

7. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391 in that
the Plaintiff and Defendants are citizens of different states and the amount in controversy
exceeds \$75,000.

8. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391 because the claims made in this
case arose in this District.

2

- 25 ||//
- 26 ///

THE MASSEY LAW FIRM, PC a professional opporation 14300 N. Northsight Blvd, Ste 208 Scottsdale, Arizona 85260

#### FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

9. On January 31, 2013, the Plaintiff underwent a total knee arthroplasty to her
 right knee. Her physician, Stuart Kozinn, MD, inserted a Depuy Sigma Femoral Posterior
 Stabilized Cemented size 4 right, a P.F.C. Sigma Tibial Tray Rotating Platform size 3, a 10mm
 Tibial Insert Rotating Platform, and a 32mm Round Domed Patella. The prostheses were
 affixed using Depuy Cement.

7 10. This surgery was medically indicated because the Plaintiff suffered from right8 knee osteoarthritis, bone-on-bone grinding, and varus deformity of the right knee.

9 11. From February 19, 2013 through March 22, 2013, Plaintiff received post10 operative physical therapy to the right knee.

11 12. Beginning on May 8, 2013, Plaintiff began to have complaints of shooting and
12 stabbing pain down her right knee which began two (2) weeks prior; she denied any injury. Dr.
13 Kozinns' assessment indicating potential patella grind syndrome and conservative, watchful
14 treatment.

15 13. The Plaintiff continued to suffer pain upon movement to her right knee16 throughout the summer of 2013.

17 14. In November, 2013, the Plaintiff again returned to Dr. Kozinn with complaints
18 of dull pain and a creaking sensation to her right knee. Again, Dr. Kozinn recommended
19 conservative treatment and watchful waiting.

20 15. On February 26, 2014, the Plaintiff again saw Dr. Kozinn and appeared to be
21 doing better.

16. The Plaintiff saw Dr. Kozinn again on February 25, 2015, at which time she had
only occasional episodes of swelling to the right knee but was otherwise free of complaint.

24 17. On September 10, 2015, Plaintiff presented to David Camarata, MD, on referral
25 from Cory Nelson, MD, with complaints of bilateral knee pain. It was at this visit that Plaintiff
26 stated she had developed an "infection" post-operatively to her total right knee arthroplasty and

1

#### Case 2:18-cv-00062-DLR Document 1 Filed 01/08/18 Page 4 of 7

required additional surgery. Dr. Camarata diagnosed failed right total knee arthroplasty
 secondary to instability and recommended revision surgery.

3 18. On November 11, 2015, Plaintiff underwent pre-operative evaluation with
4 David Camarata, MD, in preparation for revision surgery to her right total knee arthroplasty.

5 19. On November 16, 2015, Plaintiff underwent right total knee revision using
6 constrained condylar prosthesis.

7 20. Upon post-operative clinical evaluation on December 1, 2015, Plaintiff was
8 noted to have excellent alignment of prosthesis without any evidence of loosening wear or
9 significant problems.

10 21. On or about January 6, 2015, Plaintiff was informed the Defendant's product
11 failure may be due to a defect of that product.

#### **COUNT I: PRODUCTS LIABILITY**

13 22. Plaintiff reasserts and incorporates herein by reference ¶¶ 1-20 as if set forth
14 fully herein.

Defendant and each of them are in the business of selling prosthetic knee
replacement components, including but not limited to Depuy Sigma Femoral Posterior
Stabilized Cemented size 4 right, a P.F.C. Sigma Tibial Tray Rotating Platform size 3, a 10mm
Tibial Insert Rotating Platform, and a 32mm Round Domed Patella for the purpose of being
used to replace the human knee. Defendants participated in the manufacture and sale of this
product.

21 24. The prosthetic knee components used in Plaintiffs' knee replacement surgery on
22 January 31, 2013 failed to perform as designed, and such failure was caused by the defect of
23 the product.

24 25. The prosthetic components were implanted into Plaintiff without a substantial25 change in the condition in which they were sold.

26

THE MASSEY LAW FIRM, PC a professional corporation 14300 N. Northsight Blvd, Ste 208 Scottsdale, Anizona 85260

12

As a direct and proximate result of the manufacture and/or sale by Defendants
 and each of them of the Depuy Sigma Femoral Posterior Stabilized Cemented size 4 right, a
 P.F.C. Sigma Tibial Tray Rotating Platform size 3, a 10mm Tibial Insert Rotating Platform,
 and a 32mm Round Domed Patella, Plaintiff JANET SMITH suffered general and special
 damages in amounts to be shown at trial.

#### **COUNT II: BREACH OF IMPLIED WARRANTY**

7 27. Plaintiff reasserts and incorporates herein by reference ¶¶ 1-20 as if set forth
8 fully herein.

9 28. The above-stated product was sold with an implied warranty that the goods shall
10 be merchantable and fit for ordinary consumption for which the goods are used.

29. The implied warranty of merchantability in the case of the sale of the Depuy
Sigma knee prosthetic components is to provide components that can be affixed by the use of
properly prepared Depuy Cement which would permit the prosthetic to operate without
catastrophic failure. Defendants did not meet this warranty.

30. As a result of placing and the failure of the faulty tibial component into the
stream of commerce which was ultimately sold for and implanted into Plaintiffs' right knee,
Defendants and each of them breached the implied warranty of merchantability.

18 31. As a direct and proximate result of the breach of implied warranty of
19 merchantability, Plaintiff JANET SMITH suffered general and special damages in amounts to
20 be shown at trial.

#### **COUNT III: NEGLIGENCE**

32. Plaintiff reasserts and incorporates herein by reference ¶¶1-20 as if set forth
fully herein.

24 33. Upon information and belief, defendants, all of them, were aware or reasonably
25 should have been aware of the defective product.

26

21

THE MASSEY LAW FIRM, PC a professional corporation 14300 N. Northsight Blvd, Ste 208 Scottsdale, Anizona 85260 6

Case 2:18-cv-00062-DLR Document 1 Filed 01/08/18 Page 6 of 7

34. Despite full knowledge of these defects and the knowledge that their failure to
 take any steps to fully advise physicians and patients would certainly cause injury to patients,
 the Defendants negligently took no action.

4 35. Based on the acts described above, Defendants and each of them are liable to
5 Plaintiff for negligence.

6 36. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' negligence, Plaintiff JANET
7 SMITH suffered general and special damages in amounts to be shown at trial.

# 8 9

10

11

#### COUNT IV: NEGLIGENT AND INTENTIONAL

#### **INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS**

37. Plaintiff reasserts and incorporates herein by reference ¶¶1-20 as if fully set forth herein.

38. In performing the acts described herein, Defendants and each of them
negligently and/or intentionally inflicted pain which resulted in severe emotional distress to the
Plaintiff.

39. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' negligent and/or intentional
infliction of emotional distress, Plaintiff JANET SMITH has suffered general and special
damages in amounts to be shown at trial.

18

#### **COUNT V: PUNITIVE DAMAGES**

40. Plaintiff reasserts and incorporates herein by reference ¶¶1-20 as if fully set
forth herein.

41. In performing the acts described herein, Defendants and each of them acted
wantonly, oppressively, or with such malice as implies a spirit of mischief or criminal
indifference to civil obligations, and they otherwise engaged in willful misconduct with such
entire want of care so as to raise a presumption of conscious indifference to the consequences,
and, therefore, Defendants are liable to Plaintiff JANET SMITH for punitive damages in an
amount to be shown at trial.

THE MASSEY LAW FIRM, PC *a professional corporation* 14300 N. Northsight Blvd, Ste 208 Scottsdale, Arizona 85260

	Case 2:18-cv-00062-DLR Document 1 Filed 01/08/18 Page 7 of 7							
1	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays:							
2	a) For judgment in her favor and against Defendants JOHNSON & JOHNSON							
3	SERVICES, INC., JOHNSON & JOHNSON, INC., JOHNSON & JOHNSON CONSUMER							
4	COMPANIES, INC., DEPUY ORTHOPEDICS, INC., and DOE DEFENDANTS 1 through							
5	100;							
6	b) For general damages in amounts to be shown at trial;							
7	c) For special damages in amounts to be shown at trial;							
8	d) For punitive damages in amounts to be shown at trial; and							
9	e) For attorneys' fees, costs, prejudgment and post-judgment interest and for such							
10	other and further relief, both legal and equitable, as the Court deems just and proper under the							
11	circumstances.							
12	DATED this 8 <sup>th</sup> day of January, 2018.							
13	THE MASSEY LAW FIRM, PC							
14	By Daniel P. Massey, Esq. 14300 N Northsight Blvd, Str 208 Scottsdale, Arizona 85260							
15								
16								
17	Attorneys for Plaintiff							
18	<b>ORIGINAL</b> of the foregoing							
19	filed with the Clerk of Court this 8 <sup>th</sup> day of January, 2018.							
20	/s/ Donna L Fox							
21								
22								
23								
24								
1								
25								
25 26	7							

**THE MASSEY LAW FIRM, PC** *a professional corporation* 14300 N. Northsight Blvd, Ste 208 Scottsdale, Arizona 85260

### Case 2:18-cv-00062-DLR Document 1-1 Filed 01/08/18 Page 1 of 1

JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

## **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)* 

<u> </u>	1			,					
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	JANET SMITH	<b>DEFENDANTS</b> Johnson & Johnson Services, Inc., Johnson & Johnson, Inc., Johnson & Johnson Consumer Companies, Inc., Depuy Orthopedics, Inc., Doe Defendants 1-100							
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Maricopa County, A (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence	e of First List	ed Defendant	Middlesex, New Jersey		
				(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION O THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.					DF
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name The Massey Law Daniel P. Massey	Address, and Telephone Numbe	r)		Attorneys (If Known)					
14300 N Northsig	ght Blvd, Ste 208	12) 955-005	5						
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	10 10 000			TIZENSHIP OF P	PRINCIPA	L PARTIES	(Place an "X" in	One Box f	or Plaintif
□ 1 U.S. Government	□ 3 Federal Question			(For Diversity Cases Only) and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF PTF DEF					
Plaintiff	<ul> <li><i>U.S. Government Not a Party</i></li> <li><i>Uiversity</i> (<i>Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III</i>)</li> </ul>		Citize		<b>K</b> 1 🗆 1	Incorporated or Pr of Business In T		□ 4	□ 4
2 U.S. Government Defendant			Citize	Citizen of Another State		1   2   Incorporated and Principal Place   5   Image: Second sec			
				en or Subject of a C reign Country	3 🗆 3	Foreign Nation		□ 6	06
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		ily) DRTS	F	ORFEITURE/PENALTY		here for: Nature		escription STATUT	
□ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJUR		25 Drug Related Seizure		al 28 USC 158	□ 375 False C		
<ul> <li>120 Marine</li> <li>130 Miller Act</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>310 Airplane</li> <li>315 Airplane Product</li> </ul>	✗ 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability	<b>1</b> 69	of Property 21 USC 881 00 Other	423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157		376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a))		
140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability			o outer				eapportionr	ment
150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	320 Assault, Libel & Slander	Pharmaceutical Personal Injury			PROPEI □ 820 Copy	RTY RIGHTS	<ul> <li>410 Antitrus</li> <li>430 Banks a</li> </ul>		a
□ 151 Medicare Act	□ 330 Federal Employers' Product Liability Liability □ 368 Asbestos Personal □ 340 Marine Injury Product				□ 830 Pater	□ 830 Patent		erce	В
□ 152 Recovery of Defaulted			l			835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application		ation	and and
Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)	□ 345 Marine Product	Liability			□ 840 Trade		470 Rackete Corrupt	Organizati	
□ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability <b>PERSONAL PROPER</b> 350 Motor Vehicle         370 Other Fraud           355 Motor Vehicle         371 Truth in Lending           Product Liability         380 Other Personal           360 Other Personal         Property Damage           Injury         385 Property Damage           362 Personal Injury -         Product Liability			LABOR		SOCIAL SECURITY           861 HIA (1395ff)           862 Black Lung (923)           863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))		ner Credit	
of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits				0 Fair Labor Standards Act				at TV ies/Commo	dities/
190 Other Contract			□ 72	0 Labor/Management	🗖 863 DIW			nge	
<ul> <li>195 Contract Product Liability</li> <li>196 Franchise</li> </ul>						□ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g))		tatutory Ac tural Acts	ctions
E 190 Hundenise				□ 751 Family and Medical				mental Mat	
REAL PROPERTY	Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIO	79	Leave Act 0 Other Labor Litigation	FEDER	AL TAX SUITS	B 895 Freedor Act	n of Inform	nation
□ 210 Land Condemnation	□ 440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus:		1 Employee Retirement		s (U.S. Plaintiff	□ 896 Arbitrat	tion	
220 Foreclosure	□ 441 Voting	463 Alien Detainee		Income Security Act		or Defendant)		strative Pro	
<ul> <li>230 Rent Lease &amp; Ejectment</li> <li>240 Torts to Land</li> </ul>	442 Employment 443 Housing/	510 Motions to Vacate Sentence				871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609		view or App Decision	peal of
245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations	□ 530 General				50,000	950 Constitution	utionality o	f
290 All Other Real Property	□ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -			IMMIGRATION			State St	atutes	
	Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	Other: 540 Mandamus & Oth		2 Naturalization Application 5 Other Immigration	n				
	Other	550 Civil Rights		Actions					
	□ 448 Education	□ 448 Education □ 555 Prison Condition □ 560 Civil Detainee -							
		Conditions of Confinement							
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in	n One Box Onbil	Confinement							
X 1 Original □ 2 Re		Remanded from Appellate Court	□ 4 Rein Reop	pened Anoth	er District	□ 6 Multidist Litigation		Multidis Litigatio	on -
	Cite the U.S. Civil St	atute under which you a	re filing ()	(specify) Do not cite jurisdictional sta		Transfer		Direct Fi	le
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	28 U.S.C. 1391	-							
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Biler description of ca	<sup>ause:</sup> or prosthetic knee ir	molant						
VII. REQUESTED IN		IS A CLASS ACTION		EMAND \$		CHECK YES only URY DEMAND		n complain D No	
COMPLAINT: VIII. RELATED CAS					J	CALL DEMINING		2110	
IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE		1.	DOCKE	ET NUMBER			
DATE		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY	RECORD		-			
01/08/2018 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		Jul	Del	and					
RECEIPT #A	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUI	DGE		