1 2 3 4 5	Jordon Harlan, Esq. (CA #273978) HARLAN LAW, P.C. 2404 Broadway, 2 nd Floor San Diego, CA 92102 Telephone: (619) 870-0802 Fax: (619) 870-0815 Email: jordon@harlanpc.com	
6 7 8 9 10	Kenneth W. Pearson, Esq. (MN #016088X) Pro Hac Vice to be filed Adam J. Kress, Esq. (MN #0397289) Pro Hac Vice to be filed JOHNSON BECKER, PLLC 444 Cedar Street, Suite 1800 St. Paul, MN 55101 Telephone: (612) 436-1800 Fax: (612) 436-1801 Email: kpearson@johnsonbecker.com	
12 13 14	Email: akress@johnsonbecker.com Attorneys for Plaintiff Tiffany Bluitt UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
15 16 17	CENTRAL DISTRIC TIFFANY BLUITT, an individual, Plaintiff,	CT OF CALIFORNIA Case No.:
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	v. TABLETOPS UNLIMITED, INC. d/b/a TTU, a California Corporation, Defendant.	 COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL Strict Products Liability Negligent Products Liability Breach of Implied Warranty of Merchantability Breach of Implied Warranty of Fitness for a Particular Purpose
28		

 2

Plaintiff, **TIFFANY BLUITT** (hereafter referred to as "Plaintiff"), by and through her undersigned counsel, **JOHNSON BECKER**, **PLLC** and **HARLAN LAW**, **P.C.**, hereby submits the following Complaint and Demand for Jury Trial against Defendant **TABLETOPS UNLIMITED**, **INC** (hereafter referred to as "Defendant TTU" or "Defendant") alleges the following upon personal knowledge and belief, and investigation of counsel:

NATURE OF THE CASE

- 1. This is a product liability action seeking recovery for substantial personal injuries and damages suffered by Plaintiff, after she was seriously injured by a "Philippe Richard Pressure Cooker" Model Number YPC 2055C (hereafter generally referred to as "pressure cooker(s)").
- 2. Defendant TTU designs, manufactures, markets, imports, distributes and sells a wide range of consumer products, including the subject "Phillippe Richard Pressure Cooker," which specifically includes the aforementioned pressure cooker at issue in this case.
- 3. On or about July 20, 2019, Plaintiff suffered serious and substantial burn injuries as the direct and proximate result of the pressure cooker's lid suddenly and unexpectedly exploding off the pressure cooker's pot during the normal, directed use of the pressure cooker, allowing its scalding hot contents to be forcefully ejected from the pressure cooker and onto Plaintiff.
- 4. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant TTU's conduct, the Plaintiff in this case incurred significant and painful bodily injuries, medical expenses, wage loss, physical pain, mental anguish, and diminished enjoyment of life.

THE PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff was, at all relevant times, a resident of the City of Mesquite, County of Dallas, State of Texas.
- 6. Defendant TTU is a California Corporation, which has a headquarters and registered service address of 23000 Avalon Blvd., Carson, CA 90745. Defendant TTU

designs, manufacturers, markets, imports, distributes and sells a variety of consumer products including pressure cookers, cutlery, pots, and pans, amongst others.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 7. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this case pursuant to diversity jurisdiction prescribed by 28 U.S.C. § 1332 because the matter in controversy exceeds the sum or value of \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs, and there is complete diversity between the parties.
- 8. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 all or a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to this claim occurred in this district.
 - 9. Venue is also proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because Defendant is a resident and citizen of the State of California and this district; has sufficient minimum contacts with the State of California; and has intentionally availed itself of the markets within California through the promotion, sale, marketing, and distribution of its products.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 10. Defendant TTU is engaged in the business of designing, manufacturing, warranting, marketing, importing, distributing and selling the pressure cookers at issue in this litigation.
- 11. Defendant TTU warrants, markets, advertises and sell its pressure cookers as a means to cook "faster" and "healthier" allowing consumers to "preserve nutrients and flavors." ¹
- 12. According to the Owner's Manual accompanying the individual unit sold, the pressure cookers purport to be designed with an "auto-lock system," and "triple safety features" which include the misleading the consumer into believing that the pressure

¹ Attached hereto is Exhibit A is a copy of the Philippe Richard's 8 quart aluminum pressure cooker., *See*, e.g. pg. 1.

² Id.

³ Id.

cookers are reasonably safe for their normal, intended use. Said "safety systems" include, but is not limited to, the following:

 $_{28}$

- a. The PRESSURE REGULATOR (1) fits onto the STEAM VENT PIPE (2). When the proper operating pressure (12 lbs/sq. in.) is reached, the pressure regulator will rock gently and control the pressure inside the cooker. The gentle rocking motion of the pressure regulator is an indication that the proper cooking pressure is being maintained.
- b. The SAFETY LOCK (3) automatically releases air from the unit as you begin heating the pressure cooker. As pressure builds, the safety lock slides up, causing the LOCK PIN (4) to lock the lid in place. The safety lock will be in the up position when the cooker is pressurized. When the safety lock is in the down position, the unit is depressurized and safe to open.
- c. The **SEALING RING** (6) fits around the inside rim of the lid (see below) and forms a pressure-tight seal between the **LID** (7) and the **BODY** (8) of the cooker. If the vent pipe becomes clogged and excess pressure cannot be released normally, steam is automatically released by the **OVERPRESSURE PLUG** (9). This is a safety device and you should check its condition periodically.
- 13. By reason of the forgoing acts or omissions, the above-named Plaintiff and/or her family purchased the pressure cooker with the reasonable expectation that it was properly designed and manufactured, free from defects of any kind, and that it was safe for its intended, foreseeable use of cooking.
- 14. On or about July 20, 2019, Plaintiff was using the pressure cooker designed, manufactured, marketed, imported, distributed and sold by Defendant TTU for its intended and reasonably foreseeable purpose of cooking dinner.
- 15. While the pressure cooker was in use for cooking, the pressure cooker's lid unexpectedly and suddenly blew off the pot in an explosive manner. The contents of

- the pressure cooker were forcefully ejected out of the pot and onto Plaintiff, causing severe, disfiguring burns to, *inter alia*, her face, chest and all four extremities.
- 16. Plaintiff and her family used the pressure cooker for its intended purpose of preparing meals and did so in a manner that was reasonable and foreseeable by the Defendant TTU.
- 17. However, the aforementioned pressure cooker was defectively and negligently designed and manufactured by Defendant TTU in that it failed to properly function as to prevent the lid from being removed with normal force while the unit remained pressurized, despite the appearance that all the pressure had been released, during the ordinary, foreseeable and proper use of cooking food with the product; placing the Plaintiff, her family, and similar consumers in danger while using the pressure cookers.
- 18. Defendant TTU's pressure cookers possess defects that make them unreasonably dangerous for their intended use by consumers because the lid can be rotated and opened while the unit remains pressurized.
- 19. Further, Defendant TTU's representations about "safety" are not just misleading, they are flatly wrong, and put innocent consumers like Plaintiff directly in harm's way.
- 19 20. Economic, safer alternative designs were available that could have prevented the Pressure Cooker's lid from being rotated and opened while pressurized.
 - 21. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant TTU's intentional concealment of such defects, its failure to warn consumers of such defects, its negligent misrepresentations, its failure to remove a product with such defects from the stream of commerce, and its negligent design of such products, Plaintiff used an unreasonably dangerous pressure cooker, which resulted in significant and painful bodily injuries.
 - 22. Consequently, the Plaintiff in this case seeks compensatory damages resulting from the use of Defendant TTU's pressure cooker as described above, which has caused

2

3

the Plaintiff to suffer from serious bodily injuries, medical expenses, lost wages,

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

physical pain, mental anguish, diminished enjoyment of life, and other damages.

STRICT PRODUCTS LIABILITY 4 5 PLAINTIFF, FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION AGANST TABLETOPS UNLIMITED, INC., ALLEGES AS FOLLOWS: 6 Plaintiff incorporates by reference each preceding and succeeding paragraph as 7 23. 8 though set forth fully at length herein. 9 24. At the time of Plaintiff's injuries, Defendant's pressure cookers were defective and unreasonably dangerous for use by foreseeable consumers, including Plaintiff. 10 11 25. Defendant's pressure cookers were in the same or substantially similar 12 condition as when they left the possession of the Defendant. 13 26. Plaintiff and her family did not misuse or materially alter the pressure cooker. 14 27. The pressure cookers did not perform as safely as an ordinary consumer would have expected them to perform when used in a reasonably foreseeable way. 15 16 28. Further, a reasonable person would conclude that the possibility and serious of 17 harm outweighs the burden or cost of making the pressure cookers safe. Specifically: 18 a. The pressure cookers designed, manufactured, sold, and supplied by 19 Defendant were defectively designed and placed into the stream of 20 commerce in a defective and unreasonably dangerous condition for 21 consumers: 22 b. The seriousness of the potential burn injuries resulting from the product 23 drastically outweighs any benefit that could be derived from its normal, 24 intended use; Defendant failed to properly market, design, manufacture, distribute, 25supply, and sell the pressure cookers, despite having extensive knowledge 26 27 that the aforementioned injuries could and did occur; 28

- d. Defendant failed to warn and place adequate warnings and instructions on the pressure cookers;
- e. Defendant failed to adequately test the pressure cookers; and
- f. Defendant failed to market an economically feasible alternative design, despite the existence of economical, safer alternatives, that could have prevented the Plaintiff's injuries and damages.
- 29. At the time of Plaintiff's injuries, Defendants' pressure cookers were defective and unreasonably dangerous for use by foreseeable consumers, including Plaintiff.
- 30. Defendant's actions and omissions were the direct and proximate cause of the Plaintiff's injuries and damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendant for and punitive damages according to proof, together with interest, costs of suit, attorneys' fees, and all such other relief as the Court deems proper. Plaintiff reserves the right to amend the complaint to seek punitive damages if and when evidence or facts supporting such allegations are discovered.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION NEGLIGENT PRODUCTS LIABILITY

PLAINTIFF, FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION AGANST TABLETOPS UNLIMITED, INC., ALLEGES AS FOLLOWS:

- 31. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each preceding and succeeding paragraph as though set forth fully at length herein.
- 32. Defendant had a duty of reasonable care to design, manufacture, market, and sell non-defective pressure cookers that are reasonably safe for its intended uses by consumers, such as Plaintiff and her family.
- 33. Defendant failed to exercise ordinary care in the manufacture, sale, warnings, quality assurance, quality control, distribution, advertising, promotion, sale and marketing of its pressure cookers in that Defendant knew or should have known that

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendant for and punitive damages according to proof, together with interest, costs of suit, attorneys' fees, and all such other relief as the Court deems proper. Plaintiff reserves the right to amend the complaint to seek punitive damages if and when evidence or facts supporting such allegations are discovered.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

BREACH OF IMPLIED WARRANTY OF MERCHANTABILITY

PLAINTIFF, FOR A THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION AGANST TABLETOPS UNLIMITED, INC., ALLEGES AS FOLLOWS:

- 36. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each preceding and succeeding paragraph as though set forth fully at length herein.
- 37. At the time Defendant marketed, distributed and sold its pressure cookers to the Plaintiff in this case, Defendant warranted that its pressure cookers were merchantable and fit for the ordinary purposes for which they were intended.

- 1 | 38. Members of the consuming public, including consumers such as Plaintiff, were 2 | intended third-party beneficiaries of the warranty.
- 3 | 39. Plaintiff reasonably relied on Defendant's representations that its pressure 4 | cookers were a quick, effective and safe means of cooking.
 - 40. Defendant's pressure cookers were not merchantable because they had the propensity to lead to the serious personal injuries as described herein in this Complaint.
 - 41. Plaintiff used the pressure cooker with the reasonable expectation that it was properly designed and manufactured, free from defects of any kind, and that it was safe for its intended, foreseeable use of cooking.
 - 42. Defendant's breach of implied warranty of merchantability was the direct and proximate cause of Plaintiff's injury and damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendant for and punitive damages according to proof, together with interest, costs of suit, attorneys' fees, and all such other relief as the Court deems proper. Plaintiff reserves the right to amend the complaint to seek punitive damages if and when evidence or facts supporting such allegations are discovered.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

BREACH OF IMPLIED WARRANTY OF FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE

PLAINTIFF, FOR A FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION AGANST TABLETOPS UNLIMITED, INC., ALLEGES AS FOLLOWS:

- 43. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each preceding and succeeding paragraph as though set forth fully at length herein.
- 44. Defendant manufactured, supplied, and sold its pressure cookers with an implied warranty that they were fit for the particular purpose of cooking quickly, efficiently and safely.

- 45. Members of the consuming public, including consumers such as Plaintiff, were the intended third-party beneficiaries of the warranty.
 - 46. Defendant's pressure cookers were not fit for the particular purpose as a safe means of cooking, due to the unreasonable risks of bodily injury associated with its use.
- 6 47. Plaintiff reasonably relied on Defendant's representations that its pressure 7 cookers were a quick, effective and safe means of cooking.
 - 48. Defendant's breach of the implied warranty of fitness for a particular purpose was the direct and proximate cause of Plaintiff's injuries and damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendant for and punitive damages according to proof, together with interest, costs of suit, attorneys' fees, and all such other relief as the Court deems proper. Plaintiff reserves the right to amend the complaint to seek punitive damages if and when evidence or facts supporting such allegations are discovered.

INJURIES & DAMAGES

- 49. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's negligence and wrongful misconduct as described herein, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer physical and emotional injuries and damages including past, present, and future physical and emotional pain and suffering as a result of the incident on or about July 20, 2019. Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages from Defendants for these injuries in an amount which shall be proven at trial.
- 50. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's negligence and wrongful misconduct, as set forth herein, Plaintiff has incurred and will continue to incur the loss of full enjoyment of life and disfigurement as a result of the incident on or about July 20, 2019. Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages for loss of the full enjoyment of life and disfigurement from Defendants in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 51. As a direct and proximate cause of Defendant's negligence and wrongful misconduct, as set forth herein, Plaintiff has and will continue to incur expenses for

1	medical care and treatment, as well as other expenses, as a result of the severe burns	
2	she suffered as a result of the incident on or about July 20, 2019. Plaintiff is entitled	
3	to recover	damages from Defendants for her past, present and future medical and other
4	expenses	in an amount which shall be proven at trial.
5		PRAYER FOR RELIEF
6	W]	HEREFORE , Plaintiff demands judgment against the Defendant as follows:
7	A.	That Plaintiff has a trial by jury on all of the claims and issues;
8	В.	That judgment be entered in favor of the Plaintiff and against Defendant on
9		all of the aforementioned claims and issues;
10	C.	That Plaintiff recover all damages against Defendant, general damages and
11		special damages, including economic and non-economic, to compensate the
12		Plaintiff for her injuries and suffering sustained because of the use of the
13		Defendants' defective pressure cooker;
14	D.	That all costs be taxed against Defendant;
15	E.	That prejudgment interest be awarded according to proof;
16	F.	That Plaintiff be awarded attorney's fees to the extent permissible under
17		Federal and California law; and
18	G.	That this Court awards any other relief that it may deem equitable and just,
19		or that may be available under the law of another forum to the extent the
20		law of another forum is applied, including but not limited to all reliefs prayed
21		for in this Complaint and in the foregoing Prayer for Relief.
22	Detect I	aly 15, 2021 HARLAN LAW, P.C
23	Dateu. <u>ot</u>	
24		<u>/s/ Jordon Harlan, Esq</u> Jordon Harlan, Esq. (CA #273978)
25		2404 Broadway, 2nd Floor San Diego, CA 92102
26		Telephone: (619) 870-0802
27		Fax: (619) 870-0815 Email: <u>jordon@harlanpc.com</u>

1	In association with:
2	JOHNSON BECKER, PLLC.
3	Kenneth W. Pearson, Esq.
4	(MN #016088X)
5	Pro Hac Vice to be filed Adam J. Kress, Esq. (MN #0397289)
6	Pro Hac Vice to be filed 444 Cedar Street, Suite 1800
7	St. Paul, MN 55101
8	Telephone: (612) 436-1800 Fax: (612) 436-1801
9	Email: kpearson@johnsonbecker.com Email: <u>akress@johnsonbecker.com</u>
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38, Plaintiff demands a trial by jury of all the claims asserted in this Complaint so triable.

Dated: July 15, 2021 JOHNSON BECKER, PLLC

By <u>/s/ Jordon Harlan, Esq.</u> Jordon Harlan, Esq. (CA #273978)