# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA

AARON KAPPLER, individually and behalf of similarly situated individuals,

Plaintiff.

Plaintill

v.

FCA US LLC,

Defendant.

Case No.

2:21-CV-204-RWS

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

## **DEFENDANT FCA US LLC'S NOTICE OF REMOVAL**

Defendant FCA US LLC ("FCA US"), pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332, 1441, 1446 and 1453, hereby removes this case to this Court. As set forth below, this Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2).

#### I. BACKGROUND

1. On August 19, 2021, Aaron Kappler ("Plaintiff") filed a Class Action Complaint in the Superior Court of Forsyth County in the State of Georgia, where it is known and numbered as *Aaron Kappler v. FCA US LLC*, Case No. 21CV-1367-3 ("the State Court Action").

2. FCA US was served with process and a copy of the Complaint in the State Court Action on August 20, 2021. No other pleadings, process, or orders have been served or entered. A copy of the Complaint and the entire process served on FCA US is attached as Exhibit A.

### A. Allegations in The Complaint.

- 3. Plaintiff, who resides in Georgia, seeks to bring claims on behalf of "[a]ll individuals in the United States of America" who purchased or leased any Jeep Wrangler 4xe vehicle ("Class Vehicles"). *See* Complaint ("Comp."), ¶¶ 1, 42.
- 4. According to Plaintiff, the Class Vehicles have a "defect" which causes them to shut down without warning while being driven. Id. at  $\P 3$ .
- 5. Plaintiff avers that: (i) the alleged defect "is incredibly dangerous to drivers, passengers, and other vehicles in the roadway"; (ii) it "severely diminishes the value of the Class Vehicles"; and (iii) the failure to disclose the alleged defect has caused consumers to purchase or lease vehicles "they would not have ... had they known the truth of the defect." *Id.* at ¶¶ 2-3, 5.
- 6. Plaintiff seeks to represent a class of "[a]ll individuals in the United States of America who purchased or leased any Jeep Wrangler 4xe." *Id.* at ¶ 42.
- 7. On behalf of himself and members of the putative class, Plaintiff seeks, inter alia, the following: (i) damages for "lost benefit of the bargain, diminished

value of the Class Vehicles, emotional distress, and other damages"; (ii) "punitive damages"; (iii) attorney's fees and expenses; and (iv) entry of an order enjoining FCA US "from continuing to sell the Class Vehicles" and requiring FCA US "to immediately recall the Class Vehicles and remove them from the roadways." *See id.* at ¶ 57, 59, 61, 65, 66.

8. Plaintiff pleads claims for: fraudulent concealment (Count I); punitive damages (Count II); attorney's fees and expenses (Count III); and injunction (Count IV). *Id.* at ¶¶ 50-65.

# B. Facts Related to The Number of Putative Class Members and The Amount in Controversy.

- 9. FCA US's records reflect that there have been over 18,900 sales or leases of Jeep Wrangler 4xe vehicles in the United States.
- 10. The Class Vehicles have an MSRP ranging from \$51,025 (Sahara) to \$56,220 (Rubicon).
- 11. Plaintiff alleges that if the alleged defect had been disclosed, "[he] and class members would not have bought or leased their vehicles." *Id.* at ¶ 54.

#### II. GROUNDS FOR REMOVAL

12. This Court has jurisdiction of this case under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2), which is commonly referred to as the Class Action Fairness Act ("CAFA").

- 13. Pursuant to CAFA, when the number of putative class members exceeds 100, this Court has original jurisdiction over "any civil action in which the matter in controversy exceeds the sum or value of \$5,000,000, exclusive of interest and costs, and is a class action in which ... any member of a class of plaintiffs is a citizen of a State different from any defendant." 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2).
- 14. Under CAFA, "[a] defendant's notice of removal need include only a plausible allegation that the amount in controversy exceeds the jurisdictional threshold." *Dart Cherokee Basin Operating Co., LLC v. Owens*, 574 U.S. 81, 135 S.Ct. 547, 551 (2014).
- 15. Plaintiff is a citizen of the state of Georgia, and the putative class members include individuals who are citizens of all fifty states. *See* Comp., ¶¶ 6-9, 42. FCA US, a limited liability company, is a citizen of the State of Delaware under whose laws it was organized and the State of Michigan where its principal place of business is located.¹ Thus, the minimal diversity requirements of CAFA are satisfied. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2)(A).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>FCA US is a Delaware limited liability company with its principal place of business in Michigan. It has one member, FCA North America Holdings LLC, which is a Delaware limited liability company with its principal place of business in Michigan. FCA North America Holdings LLC has one member, FCA Holdco B.V., which is organized and existing under the laws of, and with its principal place of

- 16. There are more than 100 putative class members. The putative class is defined in the Complaint to include "[a]ll individuals in the United States of America who purchased or leased any Jeep Wrangler 4xe," (Comp., ¶ 42), and there have been more than 18,900 Class Vehicles sold.
- 17. The amount put into controversy by Plaintiff vastly exceeds the sum or value of \$5,000,000, exclusive of interest or costs. Plaintiff alleges he and each of the 18,900+ putative class members purchased or leased vehicles with a defect that renders them "incredibly dangerous" and "severely diminishes" their value, and would not have purchased or leased such vehicles if they had known of the alleged defect. *See id.* at ¶¶ 1-3, 5. Measuring the amount-in-controversy by the cost of the vehicles for which Plaintiff alleges he and class members would not have purchased at all but for the defect, the amount-in-controversy is at least \$964 million (\$51,025 x 18,900 vehicles = \$964,372,500). Even measuring the amount-in-controversy based on the relief Plaintiff seeks for the "severely diminish[ed]" value of the Class Vehicles would yield an amount well in excess of \$5,000,000 because with 18,900+ vehicles at issue, the amount per vehicle would only have to be \$265 per vehicle

business in, the Netherlands. FCA Holdco B.V. is wholly owned by Stellantis N.V. (f/k/a Fiat Chrysler Automobiles N.V.), a publicly traded corporation organized and existing under the laws of, and with its principal place of business in, the Netherlands.

(18,900 vehicles x \$265 = \$5,008,500), or only 25% of the purchase price to reach that threshold. And, of course, neither computation includes Plaintiff's demands for additional damages based on "emotional distress" or punitive damages, nor does it include the monumental costs which FCA US would incur if Plaintiff's requests for injunctive relief (*i.e.*, an order barring FCA US "from continuing to sell the Class Vehicles" and requiring it "to immediately recall the Class Vehicles and remove them from the roadways") was granted. *See id.* at ¶¶ 57, 59, 61, 65, 66. Thus, there can be no doubt that the requisite amount in controversy is easily satisfied here.

- 18. Because there is minimal diversity, greater than 100 putative class members, and the matter in controversy exceeds the sum of \$5,000,000, this Court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2).<sup>2</sup>
  - 19. No statutory exception to CAFA jurisdiction applies in this case.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>In *Dart Cherokee*, the Supreme Court made clear that a defendant who removes a case to federal court does not have to submit evidence proving that the amount-in-controversy requisite of CAFA jurisdiction is satisfied until and unless the plaintiff or the court challenges the defendant's position, at which point "both sides submit proof and the court decides, by a preponderance of the evidence, whether the amount-in-controversy requirement has been satisfied." 135 S.Ct. at 554. Here, FCA US stands ready and willing to provide declaratory proof of the amount in controversy if the Court deems it necessary.

#### III. REMOVAL IS PROPER AND TIMELY

- 20. This Notice of Removal is filed within thirty days of August 20, 2021, the date on which FCA US was first served with a summons and the Complaint. Thus, it is timely filed. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 1446(b)(1).
- 21. FCA US will promptly file a copy of this Notice of Removal with the clerk of the Superior Court of Forsyth County in the State of Georgia, and provide written notice of the removal to all counsel of record.
- 22. The United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia embraces the county and court in which Plaintiffs filed this case. 28 U.S.C. § 84. Therefore, this action is properly removed to this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a).

WHEREFORE, the above described action now pending against FCA US LLC in the Superior Court of Forsyth County in the State of Georgia is removed to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia.

This 16th day of September, 2021.

Respectfully submitted,

## **CAROTHERS & MITCHELL, LLC**

/s/ Thomas M. Mitchell
Thomas M. Mitchell
Georgia Bar No. 513597
thomas.mitchell@carmitch.com
1809 Buford Highway
Buford, Georgia 30518
(770) 932-3552
(770) 932-6348 FAX

Attorneys for Defendant FCA US LLC

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA

AARON KAPPLER, individually and behalf of similarly situated individuals,

Case No.

Plaintiff,

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED** 

٧.

FCA US LLC,

Defendant.

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on September 16, 2021 I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system, and sent a copy by regular U.S. Mail to the following:

J. Patrick O'Brien
THOMPSON, O'BRIEN, KAPPLER & NASUTI, P.C.
2 Sun Court, Suite 400
Peachtree Corners, Georgia 30092

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

## **CAROTHERS & MITCHELL, LLC**

/s/ Thomas M. Mitchell
Thomas M. Mitchell
Georgia Bar No. 513597
thomas.mitchell@carmitch.com
1809 Buford Highway
Buford, Georgia 30518
(770) 932-3552
(770) 932-6348 FAX

Attorneys for Defendant FCA US LLC



#### IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FORSYTH COUNTY STATE OF GEORGIA

© EFILED IN OFFICE CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT FORSYTH COUNTY, GEORGIA 21CV-1367-3 Judge Philip C. Smith AUG 19, 2021 04:30 PM

Greg G. Allen, Cler Forseth County Georgi

AARON KAPPLER, individually and behalf of similarly situated individuals,

Plaintiff,

**CLASS ACTION** 

CIVIL ACTION FILE NO.

FCA US LLC,

V.

Defendant.

#### **CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT**

COMES NOW Plaintiff Aaron Kappler ("Kappler"), on behalf of himself and all similarly situated individuals who purchased or leased a Jeep Wrangler 4xe, and hereby files this Class Action Complaint against FCA US LLC ("FCA") and shows the Court as follows:

#### INTRODUCTION

- FCA is the manufacturer and seller, through its licensed dealerships, of the Jeep Wrangler 4xe. The Jeep Wrangler 4xe is a plug-in hybrid electric vehicle (the "Class Vehicles") first released in 2021.
- 2. Car manufacturers owe a duty to ensure that the vehicles they manufacturer and sell to consumers are safe. That duty is violated when it sells vehicles that, unbeknownst to drivers contain faulty electronic and/or computer systems that cause the vehicles to suddenly, and without warning, shut down.
- 3. It should go without saying that a vehicle while using the roads and highways suddenly, and without warning, shutting down is incredibly dangerous to drivers, passengers, and other vehicles in the roadway. Yet, that is exactly what happens with the Class Vehicles.

- 4. FCA knows of the defect, but has stubbornly refused to a) disclose it to consumers; b) notify existing owners and lessees; c) recall the Class Vehicles; and d) take all other steps necessary to protect consumers from the danger.
- 5. The defect, causing the Class Vehicles to suddenly shut down, threatens every driver and passenger in a Class Vehicle, threatens every other person on a roadway near a Class Vehicle, and severely diminishes the value of the Class Vehicles. Consumers who purchased or leased Class Vehicles have been harmed by purchases or leases they would not have made had they known the truth of the defect.

#### PARTIES, JURISDICTION, AND VENUE

- 6. FCA is a Delaware limited liability subject to the jurisdiction of this Court. FCA may be served by serving its registered agent, The Corporation Company, at 106 Colony Park Drive, Suite 800-B, Cumming, Forsyth County, Georgia 30040.
  - 7. Venue is proper in this Court.

#### **BACKGROUND STATEMENT OF FACTS**

- 8. Kappler is the lessee of a new 2021 Jeep Wrangler 4xe, VIN # 1C4JJXP69\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* (the "Jeep").
- 9. On July 22, 2021, Kappler was driving the Jeep with his wife and two minor children.
- 10. While driving on a highway and at highway speeds, the Jeep's electronic display suddenly displayed an error screen, with a reference to the electric hybrid system, and warned Kappler to immediately pull over and place the vehicle in park.
- 11. The Jeep's entire system shut down, including its engine, air conditioning system, navigation screen, and all other systems.

- 12. Kappler was able to successfully pull the Jeep over and stop on the shoulder of the highway.
- 13. Kappler placed the Jeep in park and the Jeep turned off completely. After a few moments, the screens restarted and indicated that the Jeep could be turned back on. At that time, the Jeep restarted.
- 14. The Jeep's system screen indicated normal functioning. Kappler called a licensed Jeep dealership and scheduled an appointment for August 2, 2021.
- 15. At the time of the call, no person at the dealership warned Kappler to cease driving the Jeep.
- 16. There were no bulletins, service announcements, warnings, or other information from Jeep indicating the dangerous conditions associated with the Jeep or the Class Vehicles.
  - 17. Thereafter, Kappler resumed driving the Jeep to his destination.
- 18. On July 31, 2021, two days before the scheduled service appointment, Kappler was again driving the Jeep with his wife and two minor children.
- 19. Prior to beginning to drive, the Jeep's system screen indicated normal functioning.
- 20. There were no bulletins, service announcements, warnings, or other information from Jeep indicating the dangerous conditions associated with the Jeep or the Class Vehicles.
- 21. On July 31, 2021, Kappler and his family were driving in the second left lane of a multi-lane interstate in Atlanta, Georgia.
- 22. The electronic display in the Jeep again suddenly flashed an electrical system error and instructed Kappler to immediately pull the Jeep over.

- 23. All systems on the Jeep suddenly stopped working, including, but not limited to, the engine, air conditioning, displays, and all other systems.
- 24. Kappler narrowly avoided being rear-ended by other vehicles on the interstate as he attempted to cross three lanes of highway speed traffic.
  - 25. Upon coming to a stop, the Jeep completely shut down.
- 26. Kappler caused the Jeep to be towed to the closest licensed Jeep dealer. From July 31, 2021 through August 11, 2021, neither FCA, Jeep, nor the licensed dealer inspected or otherwise serviced the Jeep.
- 27. As a result, Kappler caused and incurred the expense to tow the Jeep to a different dealership.
- 28. The Jeep has been out of service since July 31, 2021. FCA has not provided any material update or information concerning the condition of the Jeep and has not provided a loaner or temporary vehicle.
- 29. Kappler has incurred significant expense, trouble, emotional distress, and other damages as a result of FCA's defective manufacture of the Jeep.

# FCA KNOWS OF THE DEFECT BUT HAS INTENTIONALLY FAILED TO NOTIFY THE PUBLIC

- 30. Kappler's situation is, unfortunately, not unique.
- 31. As detailed on reports filed with the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, numerous consumers across the United States have suffered similarly.
  - 32. For example, on June 7, 2021, a consumer reported:

This is about the new Jeep Rubicon 4XE Hybrid Wrangler. We were traveling slowly on a dirt road in our new Jeep. Suddenly it began to slow down and it stopped. It would not move any further.

33. On June 25, 2021, a consumer reported:

A charging system error caused engine to shut down. I was driving down the road at about 30-40 mph and the vehicle just shut down. I was stopped in the right lane of traffic.

34. On July 11, 2021, a consumer reported:

The vehicle gave a Service Hybrid Electric System error and disabled the engine at approximately 60 mph when traveling in E-Save mode... After navigating the vehicle to the side of the road, it came to a hard stop at about 5-8 mph.

35. On August 2, 2021, a consumer reported:

I was driving at night on a 4-lane highway at approximately 55 mph southbound in the left land. My hybrid vehicle had less than 1% of battery power and was running using the gas engine. With no warning the vehicle suddenly experienced a sudden deceleration to less than 10 mph . . .

36. On August 3, 2021, a consumer reported:

I was driving vehicle on HWY 610 in Houston, Texas at approximately 55-60 MPH. Suddenly, vehicle simply stopped working in the middle of driving . . .

- 37. The above reports are just a sampling of the reports made to NHTSA.

  Unfortunately, not all such incidents have been reported as this defect is endemic with the Class

  Vehicle with many consumers being put in harm's way.
- 38. In addition to reports to NHTSA, Kappler, and numerous others, have made reports directly to FCA.
- 39. Despite those reports, FCA has failed and refused to notify the public the danger and risk, failed and refused to issue bulletins, warnings, or other notifications to owners and lessees of the Class Vehicles.
  - 40. FCA's refusal is intentional.

- 41. While refusing to notify the public of the dangers, FCA has instead:
  - a) advertised the Class Vehicles by having the President of the United States drive one during an electric vehicle event;
  - b) increased the MSRP of the Class Vehicles;
  - c) boasted and advertised the safety and engineering marvel of the Class Vehicles;
  - d) bragged about its profitability on Twitter; and
  - e) bragged about the Class Vehicles being the bestselling hybrid electric vehicle of the second quarter of 2021.

#### **CLASS ALLEGATIONS**

42. The proposed Class is defined as:

All individuals in the United States of America who purchased or leased any Jeep Wrangler 4xe.

- 43. Excluded from the Class are: 1) Defendant, or any entity or division of Defendant in which Defendant has a controlling interest, and their legal representatives, officers, directors, assigns, and successors; 2) the judge to whom this case is assigned and the judge's staff; 3) any judge, and such judge's staff, who may otherwise hear or consider any aspect of this case, including appeal; 4) those persons who have suffered personal injuries as a result of the facts alleged herein.
- 44. There is a well-defined community of interest in this litigation and such Class is readily ascertainable.
- 45. <u>Numerosity</u>: although the exact number of uncertain and can only be ascertained through appropriate discovery, the number is great enough such that joinder is impracticable. The disposition of class members' claims in a single action will provide substantial benefits to all

parties and to the Court. Class members are readily identifiable from information and records in Defendant's possession and records.

- 46. Typicality: Kappler's claims are typical of the claims of all class members in that Kappler and class members purchased and/or leased a Class Vehicle that was designed, manufactured, and distributed by FCA. Kappler, like all class members, has been damaged by FCA's misconduct. Furthermore, the factual bases of FCA's misconduct are common to all class members and represent a common threat resulting in injury to all class members.
- 47. <u>Commonality/Predominance</u>: Common questions of law and fact to Kappler and class members predominate over any question affecting individual class members.
- 48. Adequate Representation: Kappler will fairly and adequately protect class members' interests. Kappler has retained attorneys experienced in complex commercial litigation, including product liability actions, and Kappler intends to prosecute this action vigorously.
- 49. <u>Superiority</u>: Kappler and class members have all suffered and will continue to suffer harm and damages as a result of FCA's wrongful and unlawful conduct. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy. Absent a class action, most class members would likely find the cost of litigation prohibitively high and would therefore have no effective remedy at law. Class treatment of common questions of law and fact would also be a superior method to multiple individual actions or piecemeal litigation.

#### COUNT I – FRAUDULENT CONCEALMENT

50. Kappler incorporates the allegations contained in Paragraph 1 through 49 as if set forth verbatim herein.

- 51. FCA intentionally misrepresented and actively concealed the above-described material safety information, or acted with reckless disregard for the truth, and denied Plaintiff and class members information that is highly relevant to their purchasing decisions.
- 52. The Class Vehicles purchased or leased by Kappler and class members were defective, unsafe, and unreliable, because, among other reasons, they were subject to sudden and immediate stalling or shutting down even while the vehicle was operating at normal driving speeds.
- 53. FCA had a duty to disclose this material safety information to Kappler and members of the class because of the safety hazards posed by the alleged defects and based on its representations to the contrary.
- 54. FCA's concealment was material because if it had been disclosed, Kappler and class members would not have bought or leased the Class Vehicles.
- 55. FCA intentionally engaged in deception in order to sell the Class Vehicles, and despite actual knowledge of their defects, has *raised* the price of the Class Vehicles, heavily advertised the Class Vehicles, and boasted about its sales and profitability.
- 56. Kappler and class members relied on FCA's reputation as an automaker, and FCA's intentional omission of the defects in the Class Vehicles and FCA's affirmative assurance that its vehicles were safe and reliable, and other similar statements, when they purchased or leased the Class Vehicles.
- 57. As a result of their reliance, Kappler and class members have been injured in an amount to be proven at trial, including but not limited to, their lost benefit of the bargain, diminished value of the Class Vehicles, emotional distress, and other damages caused by FCA's intentional fraud.

#### **COUNT II – PUNITIVE DAMAGES**

- 58. Kappler incorporates the allegations contained in Paragraph 1 through 49 as if set forth verbatim herein.
- 59. FCA's conduct as described herein was knowing, intentional, with malice, demonstrated a complete lack of care, and was in reckless disregard to the rights of Kappler and class members. Kappler is therefore entitled to an award of punitive damages.

#### **COUNT III – ATTORNEYS' FEES AND EXPENSES**

- 60. Kappler incorporates the allegations contained in Paragraph 1 through 49 as if set forth verbatim herein.
- 61. FCA's conduct as described herein was intentional, in bad faith, and stubbornly litigious. Accordingly, Kappler is entitled to an award of attorneys' fees and expenses pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 13-6-11.

#### **COUNT IV - INJUNCTION**

- 62. Kappler incorporates the allegations contained in Paragraph 1 through 49 as if set forth verbatim herein
- 63. The Class Vehicles pose an immediate risk of physical harm to Kappler and the class members, all passengers of the Class Vehicles, and all other members of the public on the roadways.
- 64. An injunction would prevent future harm that is predictable, immediate, and severe, and prevent FCA's continuing torts. There is not otherwise a complete and adequate remedy at law.

Page 9 of 10

65. Accordingly, this Court should order FCA to immediately recall the Class Vehicles and remove them the roadways until such time as FCA corrects the defects with the Class Vehicles.

#### **JURY DEMAND**

66. Plaintiff demands trial by jury.

WHEREFORE, Kappler requests this Court:

- a) issue summons and process as provided by law;
- b) certify a class as requested herein;
- c) grant damages and all other relief that is just and proper against FCA;
- d) enjoin FCA from continuing to sell the Class Vehicles and order FCA to recall the Class Vehicles.

Respectfully submitted this 19th day of August 2021.

/s/ J. Patrick O'Brien
J. PATRICK. O'BRIEN
Georgia State Bar No. 548612

For the Firm of THOMPSON, O'BRIEN, KAPPLER & NASUTI, P.C. 2 Sun Court, Suite 400 Peachtree Corners, Georgia 30092 (770) 925-0111 aobrien@tokn.com
Attorneys for Plaintiff and Putative Class

# **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Jeep Wrangler 4xe Hybrid Prone to Sudden Shutdowns Due to 'Faulty' Electronic Systems, Class Action Alleges</u>