UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA PENSACOLA DIVISION

BRANDON ADKINS,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 7:20cv12-MCR-GRJ

3M COMPANY, 3M OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY LLC, AEARO HOLDING LLC, AEARO INTERMEDIATE LLC, AEARO LLC, and AEARO TECHNOLOGIES LLC,

Defendants.

VERDICT FORM

We, the jury, in the above entitled and numbered case, unanimously find as follows on Plaintiff Brandon Adkins's claims and 3M's affirmative defenses, based on the Court's instructions on the law and evidence:

TLED USDC FLND PN OCT 1'21 PMG:22 BR

I.	Plaintiff's Claims:
(1)	Strict Liability—Design Defect Not Proven
(2)	Strict Liability—Failure to Warn and/or Instruct YerovenNot Proven
(3)	Negligent Failure to Warn and/or Instruct After Manufacture Not Proven
(4)	Fraudulent Misrepresentation Y ProvenNot Proven
(5)	Fraudulent Concealment ProvenNot Proven
	If you have found any claim proven—claims (1), (2) and (3) by a onderance of the evidence and claims (4) and (5) by clear, cogent, and ncing evidence—continue to the next page.

If you have not found any claim above proven, your work is complete, and you should have the Foreperson sign and date the Verdict Form.

II.	<u>3M's</u>	Affirmative Defenses:
(1)	Statu	te of Limitations
	P	roven as to strict liability and negligence claims X Not Proven
	P	roven as to fraud claimsNot Proven
	nce for	u have found affirmative defense (1) proven by a preponderance of the rall claims, your work is complete and your verdict will be in favor of laims. You should have the Foreperson sign and date the Verdict Form.
has p verdi Dam	gence proven oct on ages (1	u found affirmative defense (1) proven as to the strict liability and claims but not proven as to the fraud claims, and if you found Plaintiff either claim (4) or claim (5)—the fraud claims [regardless of your claims (1), (2) and (3)], proceed to the next page, Compensatory Section III), to decide the total amount of damages due, then your work and you should have the Foreperson sign and date the Verdict Form.
[rego	gence ardless	u found affirmative defense (1) not proven as to the strict liability and claims, and if you found Plaintiff has proven claims (1), (2) or (3) s of your verdict on claims (4) and (5)], you must first address affirmative on apportionment of fault.
(2)		ortionment Defense et liability and negligence claims only)
	(a)	Mr. Adkins
		Proven Not Proven
	(b)	United States Army
		ProvenNot Proven

If you have found affirmative defense (2)(a) or (2)(b) proven by a preponderance of the evidence, you should proceed to the next page to decide the total amount of Compensatory Damages in Section III, and the percentage of fault attributable to each party found to be at fault, as instructed in Section IV,

Apportionment of Fault. In determining compensatory damages in Section III, you should not make any reduction in the amount of damages you award based on the fault, if any, of Mr. Adkins and/or the United States Army. The Court in entering judgment will take into account your allocation of fault among all persons or entities who you find contributed to Mr. Adkins's damages.

III. Compensatory Damages:

If you have found in favor of Mr. Adkins on any of his claims, state the total damages, if any, you find for: Past and future noneconomic damages (pain and suffering, mental and physical)

IV. Apportionment of Fault:

If you have found any of the persons or entities listed below was at fault and thereby caused or contributed to Mr. Adkins's injuries, then it is necessary for you to determine the percentage of fault that should be apportioned to each. If you find no fault, then you should place a "0" by that name. Your allocation of fault must equal 100%.

Once you have answered this question, your deliberations are complete, and

the Foreperson should sign and date this Verdict Form.

SO SAY WE ALL, this _______, day of _______, 2021.

Foreperson's Signature