```
David Woolf, Esquire
 1
    California Bar No. 306958
2
    Woolf Legal
 3
    14500 Roscoe Blvd. 4th Floor
4
    Van Nuys, CA 91402
5
    Telephone: (323) 804-0730
 6
    Facsimile: (310) 943-3542
7
    david@woolflegal.com
8
9
10
    Jason Turchin, Esquire
    Florida Bar No. 585300
11
    Law Offices of Jason Turchin
12
13
    2883 Executive Park Drive, Suite 103
    Weston, Florida 33331
14
    Telephone: (954) 515-5000
15
    Facsimile: (954) 659-1380
16
    Jason@victimaid.com
17
18
19
                        UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                       CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
20
21
22
    JENNIFER TRUJILLO,
                                                   DOCKET NO.
23
24
          Plaintiff,
                                                   COMPLAINT
25
    v.
26
     SENSIO COMPANY (US) INC.,
     SENSIO
                     INC.,
                                  and
     BELLAHOUSEWARES.COM
     INC.,
          Defendants.
27
28
29
          Plaintiff Jennifer Trujillo, through undersigned counsel, and pursuant to all
30
    applicable Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, hereby files this Complaint and alleges
31
    against
              Defendants,
                           Sensio
                                    Company
                                               (US)
                                                      Inc.,
                                                             Sensio
32
                                                                      Inc.,
                                                                             and
33
    Bellahousewares.com Inc., and alleges as follows.
```

1

### **General Allegations**

- 2 1. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter presented by this
- 3 Complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1332 (a)(1) because the amount in controversy
- 4 exceeds \$75,000.00, exclusive of interest and costs, and diversity of citizenship
- 5 exists between the parties.
- 6 2. Plaintiff, at all times material hereto is and was a citizen of the State of
- 7 California.

- 8 3. That at all times material hereto, Defendant Sensio Company (US) Inc.
- 9 ("Sensio US") was and is a Delaware corporation incorporated under the laws of the
- State of Delaware, with its principal place of business in New York, New York.
- 11 4. Defendant Sensio US is subject to the jurisdiction of this Court because it
- operates, conducts, engages in, or carries on a business or business venture within
- this State; caused injury to persons or property arising out of an act or omission it
- 14 committed in the State; manufactures, processes or services products or materials
- 15 which are used within this State in the ordinary course of commerce, trade, or use;
- or engages in substantial and not isolated activity within this State.
- 17 5. That at all times material hereto, Defendant Sensio Inc. ("Sensio") was and is
- 18 a Delaware corporation incorporated under the laws of Delaware with its principal
- 19 place of business in New York, New York.

- 1 6. Defendant Sensio is subject to the jurisdiction of the Court because it
- 2 operated, conducts, engages in, or carries on a business or business venture within
- 3 this State; caused injury to persons or property arising out of an act or omission it
- 4 committed in the State; manufactures, processes or services products or material
- 5 which are used within this State in the ordinary course of commerce, trade, or use;
- 6 or engages in substantial and not isolated activity within this State.
- 7 7. That at all time material hereto, Defendant Bellahousewares.com Inc.
- 8 ("Bellahousewares") was and is a foreign corporation incorporated under the laws
- 9 of Canada with its principal place of business in Montreal, Canada.
- 10 8. Defendant Bellahousewares is subject to the jurisdiction of the Court because
- it operated, conducts, engages in, or carries on a business or business venture within
- this State; caused injury to persons or property arising out of an act or omission it
- committed in the State; manufactures, processes or services products or material
- 14 which are used within this State in the ordinary course of commerce, trade, or use;
- or engages in substantial and not isolated activity within this State.
- 9. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391 in that a substantial part of the events
- or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in the State of California.

# FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 19 10. On or about December 2018, Plaintiff was gifted a Bella 6 Quart 10 on 1 Multi
- 20 Cooker electric pressure cooker ("Pressure Cooker").

- 1 11. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, Defendant Sensio US is
- 2 and was the designer, manufacturer, producer, distributor, vendor, seller of and/or
- 3 marketing entity for the Pressure Cooker.
- 4 12. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, Defendant Sensio is and
- 5 was the designer, manufacturer, producer, distributor, vendor, seller of and/or
- 6 marketing entity for the Pressure Cooker.
- 7 13. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, Defendant
- 8 Bellahousewares is and was the designer, manufacturer, producer, distributor,
- 9 vendor, seller of and/or marketing entity for the Pressure Cooker.
- 10 14. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, Defendants Sensio US
- and/or Sensio and/or Bellahousewares tested and/or inspected the Pressure Cooker
- in order to ensure that it was free from defects and safe for consumer use.
- 13 15. The Pressure Cooker is an electric kitchen appliance designed to be used for
- 14 efficient preparation of food. The product is designed to prepare meals by cooking
- 15 liquids inside a pot that produces steam, which is trapped inside of the Pressure
- 16 Cooker to create pressure. The resulting temperatures produced are expected to cook
- 17 meals more efficiently, while allegedly maintaining more nutrients than
- 18 conventional cooking methods.
- 19 16. On or about November 13, 2019, Plaintiff was using the Pressure Cooker and
- 20 followed instructions enclosed with the Pressure Cooker.

- 1 17. While using the Pressure Cooker to prepare a meal, it suddenly and without
- 2 warning exploded while Plaintiff was attempting to open it, causing scalding hot
- 3 liquid, contents, and steam to fly out of the Pressure Cooker and onto Plaintiff.
- 4 18. The Pressure Cooker had not been misused and had not been modified post-
- 5 sale before it failed.
- 6 19. As a direct and proximate result of the explosion of the Pressure Cooker and
- 7 the expulsion of scalding contents therefrom, Plaintiff suffered severely painful and
- 8 disfiguring burns to the body, and resulting pain and suffering, disability, mental
- 9 anguish, embarrassment and humiliation, loss of capacity for the enjoyment of life,
- and medical and nursing care and treatment. The injuries are either permanent or
- 11 continuing in nature, and Plaintiff will suffer the losses and impairment in the future.

# 12 <u>COUNT I – PRODUCTS/STRICT LIABILITY AGAINST SENSIO US</u>

- 13 20. Plaintiff realleges and readopts the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1-19 as
- if fully set forth herein.
- 15 21. At all relevant times, Defendant Sensio US was in the business of designing,
- manufacturing, inspecting, testing, distributing, selling and/or marketing pressure
- 17 cookers and did design, manufacture, inspect, test, distribute, sell and/or market the
- 18 Pressure Cooker giving rise to the subject Complaint.
- 19 22. The Pressure Cooker failed to perform in a manner reasonably expected in
- 20 light of its nature and intended function when it failed and caused severe injuries.

- 1 23. The Pressure Cooker had not been misused post-sale before it failed.
- 2 24. The Pressure Cooker was within its anticipated useful life when it failed.
- 3 25. The Pressure Cooker failure was such that would not have occurred in the
- 4 absence of a defect or unreasonably dangerous condition within it.
- 5 26. Specifically, the Pressure Cooker was unreasonably dangerous and/or
- 6 defective in that:
- 7 a. it was dangerous to an extent beyond that which would be contemplated by
- 8 the ordinary consumer who purchases and/or uses it, with the ordinary knowledge
- 9 common to the community as to its characteristics; and/or
- 10 b. a reasonably prudent manufacturer would not have put it on the market
- 11 assuming that manufacturer knew of its dangerous condition.
- 12 27. That unreasonably dangerous condition and/or defect proximately caused
- severely painful and disfiguring burns and other injury to Plaintiff, and resulting pain
- 14 and suffering, disability, mental anguish, embarrassment and humiliation, loss of
- capacity for the enjoyment of life, and medical and nursing care and treatment.
- 16 28. Therefore, Defendant Sensio US is liable to Plaintiff for the aforementioned
- injuries caused by the defective Pressure Cooker.
- WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Jennifer Trujillo, demands judgment against
- 19 Defendant, Sensio US, for compensatory damages, court costs, and such other and
- 20 additional relief as this Court may deem appropriate.

### <u>COUNT II – NEGLIGENCE AGAINST SENSIO US</u>

- 2 29. Plaintiff realleges and readopts the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1-19 as
- 3 if fully set forth herein.

- 4 30. Defendant Sensio US owed Plaintiff, as well as the public at large, the duty of
- 5 reasonable care in designing, manufacturing, testing, inspecting, distributing, selling
- 6 and/or marketing the Pressure Cooker, and/or to adequately warn of dangers
- 7 presented by the product's design.
- 8 31. Defendant Sensio US knew, or in the existence of ordinary care, should have
- 9 known, that the Pressure Cooker was defective and unreasonably dangerous to those
- persons likely to use the product for the purpose and in the manner for which it was
- 11 intended to be used.
- 12 32. Alternatively, Defendant Sensio US knew, or in the exercise of ordinary care
- should have known, of the means of designing, manufacturing and/or marketing the
- 14 Pressure Cooker such that the type of incident and resulting injuries and damages as
- described herein would have been prevented.
- 16 33. Alternatively, Defendant Sensio US had actual or constructive knowledge of
- 17 the means of designing a pressure cooker that would not be inadequate and
- dangerous, and notwithstanding this knowledge, Defendant Sensio US failed to
- 19 adequately design, equip and/or manufacture the Pressure Cooker.

- 1 34. Alternatively, Defendant Sensio US negligently failed to give adequate or
- 2 proper warnings or instructions, and/or failed to make appropriate post-marketing
- 3 efforts to prevent known incidents, such as the one included herein.
- 4 35. Alternatively, Defendant Sensio US failed to prudently design, manufacture,
- 5 test, inspect, market and/or sell the Pressure Cooker, and/or failed to include a
- 6 reasonable and safer alternative to the subject defective condition.
- 7 36. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant Sensio US' negligence, Plaintiff
- 8 suffered severely painful and disfiguring burns and other injury to Plaintiff's body,
- 9 and resulting pain and suffering, disability, mental anguish, embarrassment and
- 10 humiliation, loss of capacity for the enjoyment of life, and medical and nursing care
- and treatment. The injuries are either permanent or continuing in nature, and Plaintiff
- will suffer the losses and impairment in the future.
- WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Jennifer Trujillo, demands judgment against
- 14 Defendant, Sensio US, for compensatory damages, court costs, and such other and
- 15 additional relief as this Court may deem appropriate.

# 16 COUNT III – BREACH OF EXPRESS WARRANTY AGAINST SENSIO US

- 17 37. Plaintiff realleges and readopts the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1-19 as
- if fully set forth herein.
- 19 38. Defendant Sensio US designed, manufactured, assembled, distributed,
- 20 inspected, tested and/or sold the Pressure Cooker.

- 1 39. Defendant Sensio US expressly warranted that the Pressure Cooker was safe
- 2 for ordinary use when used in compliance with the instructions provided.
- 3 40. Defendant Sensio US' affirmations regarding the safety of its product formed
- 4 a basis of the bargain for Plaintiff without which Plaintiff would not have purchased
- 5 and/or used the Pressure Cooker.
- 6 41. The Pressure Cooker did not conform to Defendant Sensio US' affirmations
- 7 regarding safety.
- 8 42. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant Sensio US' breach of express
- 9 warranties, Plaintiff suffered severely painful and disfiguring burns and other injury
- to Plaintiff's body, and resulting pain and suffering, disability, mental anguish,
- embarrassment and humiliation, loss of capacity for the enjoyment of life, and
- 12 medical and nursing care and treatment. The injuries are either permanent or
- continuing in nature, and Plaintiff will suffer the losses and impairment in the future.
- 14 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Jennifer Trujillo, demands judgment against
- 15 Defendant, Sensio US, for compensatory damages, court costs, and such other and
- additional relief as this Court may deem appropriate.

# 17 <u>COUNT IV – BREACH OF IMPLIED WARRANTY OF</u> 18 <u>MERCHANTABILITY AGAINST SENSIO US</u>

- 20 43. Plaintiff realleges and readopts the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1-19 as
- 21 if fully set forth herein.

- 1 44. Defendant Sensio US at all relevant times designed, manufactured,
- 2 assembled, tested, inspected, distributed, marketed and/or sold the Pressure Cooker.
- 3 45. Defendant Sensio US impliedly warranted that the Pressure Cooker was
- 4 merchantable, fit for the ordinary purpose for which it was sold or used, was of fair
- 5 average quality as to pass without objection in the trade, and conformed to
- 6 Defendant's own affirmations regarding the Pressure Cooker's safety features and
- 7 overall safe condition.
- 8 46. Defendant Sensio US breached their implied warranty of merchantability, as
- 9 the product did not conform to Defendant's affirmations regarding the safety
- 10 features and overall safe condition of the Pressure Cooker, the Pressure Cooker was
- 11 not fit for the ordinary purpose for which it was sold or used, and/or was not of fair
- 12 average quality so as to pass without objection in the trade.
- 13 47. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant Sensio US' breach of the
- 14 implied warranty of merchantability, Plaintiff suffered severely painful and
- 15 disfiguring burns and other injury to Plaintiff's body, and resulting pain and
- suffering, disability, mental anguish, embarrassment and humiliation, loss of
- capacity for the enjoyment of life, and medical and nursing care and treatment. The
- injuries are either permanent or continuing in nature, and Plaintiff will suffer the
- 19 losses and impairment in the future.

- WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Jennifer Trujillo, demands judgment against
- 2 Defendant, Sensio US, for compensatory damages, court costs, and such other and
- 3 additional relief as this Court may deem appropriate.

# 4 <u>COUNT V – BREACH OF IMPLIED WARRANTY OF FITNESS FOR A</u> 5 <u>PARTICULAR PURPOSE AGAINST SENSIO US</u>

- 7 48. Plaintiff realleges and readopts the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1-19 as
- 8 if fully set forth herein.

- 9 49. Defendant Sensio US designed, manufactured, assembled, tested, inspected,
- distributed, marketed and/or sold the Pressure Cooker.
- 11 50. In selling the Pressure Cooker to Plaintiff, Defendant Sensio US, through its
- agents, servants, employees, and apparent agents, acting within the scope of their
- employment, authority, or apparent authority, made representations and promotions
- concerning the particular purpose to which Plaintiff would put the Pressure Cooker
- to use and knew or should have known of the particular purpose to which Plaintiff
- would put the product to use. Defendant impliedly warranted that the product would
- be fit for such particular purpose.
- 18 51. Defendant Sensio US breached its implied warranty of fitness for a particular
- 19 purpose, as the Pressure Cooker did not conform to Defendant Sensio US'
- 20 affirmations regarding its product being fit for such particular purpose. The Pressure

- 1 Cooker's malfunctioning safety features and overall unsafe condition rendered it
- 2 unfit for that purpose.
- 3 52. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant Sensio US' breach of the
- 4 implied warranty of fitness for a particular purpose, Plaintiff suffered severely
- 5 painful and disfiguring burns and other injury to Plaintiff's body, and resulting pain
- 6 and suffering, disability, mental anguish, embarrassment and humiliation, loss of
- 7 capacity for the enjoyment of life, and medical and nursing care and treatment. The
- 8 injuries are either permanent or continuing in nature, and Plaintiff will suffer the
- 9 losses and impairment in the future.
- WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Jennifer Trujillo, demands judgment against
- Defendant, Sensio US, for compensatory damages, court costs, and such other and
- 12 additional relief as this Court may deem appropriate.

# COUNT VI – FAILURE TO WARN AGAINST SENSIO US

- 14 53. Plaintiff realleges and readopts the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1-19 as
- if fully set forth herein.

- 16 54. Defendant Sensio US designed, manufactured, assembled, tested, inspected,
- 17 distributed, marketed and/or sold the Pressure Cooker.
- 18 55. On or about November 13, 2019, Plaintiff used the Pressure Cooker in the
- 19 manner intended and/or foreseeably intended, when the Pressure Cooker failed,
- 20 exploded and/or otherwise caused injury to Plaintiff.

- 1 56. Upon information and belief, the Pressure Cooker was manufactured in a
- 2 defective manner, was defectively designed, failed to have adequate and proper
- 3 warnings or instructions, was not safe to be used for the purposes intended, and/or
- 4 was inherently and/or unreasonably dangerous.
- 5 57. Defendant Sensio US knew or should have known of the dangerous nature of
- 6 the Pressure Cooker by virtue of its business, and/or knew or should have known of
- 7 the need to provide adequate warnings concerning the use of the Pressure Cooker.
- 8 58. Defendant Sensio US had a duty to provide reasonable warning of the danger
- 9 involved in the use of the Pressure Cooker and failed to provide the public, including
- 10 Plaintiff, notice of the danger involved.
- 11 59. As a direct and proximate result of the foregoing, Plaintiff suffered severely
- painful and disfiguring burns and other injury to Plaintiff's body, and resulting pain
- and suffering, disability, mental anguish, embarrassment and humiliation, loss of
- capacity for the enjoyment of life, and medical and nursing care and treatment. The
- injuries are either permanent or continuing in nature, and Plaintiff will suffer the
- losses and impairment in the future.

20

- 17 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Jennifer Trujillo, demands judgment against
- 18 Defendant, Sensio US, for compensatory damages, court costs, and such other and
- 19 additional relief as this Court may deem appropriate.

# <u>COUNT VII – PRODUCTS/STRICT LIABILITY AGAINST SENSIO</u>

- 1 60. Plaintiff realleges and readopts the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1-19 as
- 2 if fully set forth herein.
- 3 61. At all relevant times, Defendant Sensio was in the business of designing,
- 4 manufacturing, inspecting, testing, distributing, selling and/or marketing pressure
- 5 cookers and did design, manufacture, inspect, test, distribute, sell and/or market the
- 6 Pressure Cooker giving rise to the subject Complaint.
- 7 62. The Pressure Cooker failed to perform in a manner reasonably expected in
- 8 light of its nature and intended function when it failed and caused severe injuries.
- 9 63. The Pressure Cooker had not been misused post-sale before it failed.
- 10 64. The Pressure Cooker was within its anticipated useful life when it failed.
- 11 65. The Pressure Cooker failure was such that would not have occurred in the
- absence of a defect or unreasonably dangerous condition within it.
- 13 66. Specifically, the Pressure Cooker was unreasonably dangerous and/or
- 14 defective in that:
- 15 a. it was dangerous to an extent beyond that which would be contemplated by
- the ordinary consumer who purchases and/or uses it, with the ordinary knowledge
- 17 common to the community as to its characteristics; and/or
- 18 b. a reasonably prudent manufacturer would not have put it on the market
- 19 assuming that manufacturer knew of its dangerous condition.

- 1 67. That unreasonably dangerous condition and/or defect proximately caused
- 2 severely painful and disfiguring burns and other injury to Plaintiff, and resulting pain
- and suffering, disability, mental anguish, embarrassment and humiliation, loss of
- 4 capacity for the enjoyment of life, and medical and nursing care and treatment.
- 5 68. Therefore, Defendant Sensio is liable to Plaintiff for the aforementioned
- 6 injuries caused by the defective Pressure Cooker.
- WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Jennifer Trujillo, demands judgment against
- 8 Defendant, Sensio, for compensatory damages, court costs, and such other and
- 9 additional relief as this Court may deem appropriate.

# 10 <u>COUNT VIII – NEGLIGENCE AGAINST SENSIO</u>

- 11 69. Plaintiff realleges and readopts the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1-19 as
- if fully set forth herein.
- 13 70. Defendant Sensio owed Plaintiff, as well as the public at large, the duty of
- reasonable care in designing, manufacturing, testing, inspecting, distributing, selling
- and/or marketing the Pressure Cooker, and/or to adequately warn of dangers
- presented by the product's design.
- 17 71. Defendant Sensio knew, or in the existence of ordinary care, should have
- 18 known, that the Pressure Cooker was defective and unreasonably dangerous to those
- 19 persons likely to use the product for the purpose and in the manner for which it was
- 20 intended to be used.

- 1 72. Alternatively, Defendant Sensio knew, or in the exercise of ordinary care
- 2 should have known, of the means of designing, manufacturing and/or marketing the
- 3 Pressure Cooker such that the type of incident and resulting injuries and damages as
- 4 described herein would have been prevented.
- 5 73. Alternatively, Defendant Sensio had actual or constructive knowledge of the
- 6 means of designing a pressure cooker that would not be inadequate and dangerous,
- 7 and notwithstanding this knowledge, Defendant Sensio failed to adequately design,
- 8 equip and/or manufacture the Pressure Cooker.
- 9 74. Alternatively, Defendant Sensio negligently failed to give adequate or proper
- warnings or instructions, and/or failed to make appropriate post-marketing efforts to
- prevent known incidents, such as the one included herein.
- 12 75. Alternatively, Defendant Sensio failed to prudently design, manufacture, test,
- inspect, market and/or sell the Pressure Cooker, and/or failed to include a reasonable
- 14 and safer alternative to the subject defective condition.
- 15 76. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant Sensio's negligence, Plaintiff
- suffered severely painful and disfiguring burns and other injury to Plaintiff's body,
- 17 and resulting pain and suffering, disability, mental anguish, embarrassment and
- humiliation, loss of capacity for the enjoyment of life, and medical and nursing care
- and treatment. The injuries are either permanent or continuing in nature, and Plaintiff
- 20 will suffer the losses and impairment in the future.

- 1 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Jennifer Trujillo, demands judgment against
- 2 Defendant, Sensio, for compensatory damages, court costs, and such other and
- 3 additional relief as this Court may deem appropriate.

### 4 <u>COUNT IX – BREACH OF EXPRESS WARRANTY AGAINST SENSIO</u>

- 5 77. Plaintiff realleges and readopts the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1-19 as
- 6 if fully set forth herein.
- 7 78. Defendant Sensio designed, manufactured, assembled, distributed, inspected,
- 8 tested and/or sold the Pressure Cooker.
- 9 79. Defendant Sensio expressly warranted that the Pressure Cooker was safe for
- ordinary use when used in compliance with the instructions provided.
- 11 80. Defendant Sensio's affirmations regarding the safety of its product formed a
- basis of the bargain for Plaintiff without which Plaintiff would not have purchased
- and/or used the Pressure Cooker.
- 14 81. The Pressure Cooker did not conform to Defendant Sensio's affirmations
- 15 regarding safety.
- 16 82. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant Sensio's breach of express
- warranties, Plaintiff suffered severely painful and disfiguring burns and other injury
- to Plaintiff's body, and resulting pain and suffering, disability, mental anguish,
- 19 embarrassment and humiliation, loss of capacity for the enjoyment of life, and

- 1 medical and nursing care and treatment. The injuries are either permanent or
- 2 continuing in nature, and Plaintiff will suffer the losses and impairment in the future.
- 3 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Jennifer Trujillo, demands judgment against
- 4 Defendant, Sensio, for compensatory damages, court costs, and such other and
- 5 additional relief as this Court may deem appropriate.

# 6 COUNT X – BREACH OF IMPLIED WARRANTY OF

7 <u>MERCHANTABILITY AGAINST SENSIO</u>

- 9 83. Plaintiff realleges and readopts the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1-19 as
- if fully set forth herein.

- 11 84. Defendant Sensio at all relevant times designed, manufactured, assembled,
- tested, inspected, distributed, marketed and/or sold the Pressure Cooker.
- 13 85. Defendant Sensio impliedly warranted that the Pressure Cooker was
- merchantable, fit for the ordinary purpose for which it was sold or used, was of fair
- 15 average quality as to pass without objection in the trade, and conformed to
- 16 Defendant's own affirmations regarding the Pressure Cooker's safety features and
- 17 overall safe condition.
- 18 86. Defendant Sensio breached their implied warranty of merchantability, as the
- 19 product did not conform to Defendant's affirmations regarding the safety features
- and overall safe condition of the Pressure Cooker, the Pressure Cooker was not fit

- for the ordinary purpose for which it was sold or used, and/or was not of fair average
- 2 quality so as to pass without objection in the trade.
- 3 87. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant Sensio's breach of the implied
- 4 warranty of merchantability, Plaintiff suffered severely painful and disfiguring burns
- 5 and other injury to Plaintiff's body, and resulting pain and suffering, disability,
- 6 mental anguish, embarrassment and humiliation, loss of capacity for the enjoyment
- of life, and medical and nursing care and treatment. The injuries are either permanent
- 8 or continuing in nature, and Plaintiff will suffer the losses and impairment in the
- 9 future.

15

- WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Jennifer Trujillo, demands judgment against
- 11 Defendant, Sensio, for compensatory damages, court costs, and such other and
- 12 additional relief as this Court may deem appropriate.

# 13 <u>COUNT XI – BREACH OF IMPLIED WARRANTY OF FITNESS FOR A</u> 14 <u>PARTICULAR PURPOSE AGAINST SENSIO</u>

- 16 88. Plaintiff realleges and readopts the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1-19 as
- if fully set forth herein.
- 18 89. Defendant Sensio designed, manufactured, assembled, tested, inspected,
- 19 distributed, marketed and/or sold the Pressure Cooker.
- 20 90. In selling the Pressure Cooker to Plaintiff, Defendant Sensio, through its
- 21 agents, servants, employees, and apparent agents, acting within the scope of their

1 employment, authority, or apparent authority, made representations and promotions

2 concerning the particular purpose to which Plaintiff would put the Pressure Cooker

3 to use and knew or should have known of the particular purpose to which Plaintiff

4 would put the product to use. Defendant impliedly warranted that the product would

5 be fit for such particular purpose.

6 91. Defendant Sensio breached its implied warranty of fitness for a particular

purpose, as the Pressure Cooker did not conform to Defendant Sensio's affirmations

regarding its product being fit for such particular purpose. The Pressure Cooker's

malfunctioning safety features and overall unsafe condition rendered it unfit for that

10 purpose.

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

11 92. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant Sensio's breach of the implied

warranty of fitness for a particular purpose, Plaintiff suffered severely painful and

disfiguring burns and other injury to Plaintiff's body, and resulting pain and

suffering, disability, mental anguish, embarrassment and humiliation, loss of

capacity for the enjoyment of life, and medical and nursing care and treatment. The

injuries are either permanent or continuing in nature, and Plaintiff will suffer the

losses and impairment in the future.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Jennifer Trujillo, demands judgment against

Defendant, Sensio, for compensatory damages, court costs, and such other and

additional relief as this Court may deem appropriate.

### **COUNT XII- FAILURE TO WARN AGAINST SENSIO**

- 2 93. Plaintiff realleges and readopts the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1-19 as
- 3 if fully set forth herein.

- 4 94. Defendant Sensio designed, manufactured, assembled, tested, inspected,
- 5 distributed, marketed and/or sold the Pressure Cooker.
- 6 95. On or about November 13, 2019, Plaintiff used the Pressure Cooker in the
- 7 manner intended and/or foreseeably intended, when the Pressure Cooker failed,
- 8 exploded and/or otherwise caused injury to Plaintiff.
- 9 96. Upon information and belief, the Pressure Cooker was manufactured in a
- defective manner, was defectively designed, failed to have adequate and proper
- warnings or instructions, was not safe to be used for the purposes intended, and/or
- was inherently and/or unreasonably dangerous.
- 13 97. Defendant Sensio knew or should have known of the dangerous nature of the
- 14 Pressure Cooker by virtue of its business, and/or knew or should have known of the
- 15 need to provide adequate warnings concerning the use of the Pressure Cooker.
- 16 98. Defendant Sensio had a duty to provide reasonable warning of the danger
- involved in the use of the Pressure Cooker and failed to provide the public, including
- 18 Plaintiff, notice of the danger involved.
- 19 99. As a direct and proximate result of the foregoing, Plaintiff suffered severely
- 20 painful and disfiguring burns and other injury to Plaintiff's body, and resulting pain

- and suffering, disability, mental anguish, embarrassment and humiliation, loss of
- 2 capacity for the enjoyment of life, and medical and nursing care and treatment. The
- 3 injuries are either permanent or continuing in nature, and Plaintiff will suffer the
- 4 losses and impairment in the future.
- 5 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Jennifer Trujillo, demands judgment against
- 6 Defendant, Sensio, for compensatory damages, court costs, and such other and
- 7 additional relief as this Court may deem appropriate.

# 8 <u>COUNT XIII – PRODUCTS/STRICT LIABILITY AGAINST</u> 9 <u>BELLAHOUSEWARES</u>

- 100. Plaintiff realleges and readopts the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1-19 as
- if fully set forth herein.

- 13 101. At all relevant times, Defendant Bellahousewares was in the business of
- designing, manufacturing, inspecting, testing, distributing, selling and/or marketing
- pressure cookers and did design, manufacture, inspect, test, distribute, sell and/or
- 16 market the Pressure Cooker giving rise to the subject Complaint.
- 17 102. The Pressure Cooker failed to perform in a manner reasonably expected in
- light of its nature and intended function when it failed and caused severe injuries.
- 19 103. The Pressure Cooker had not been misused post-sale before it failed.
- 20 104. The Pressure Cooker was within its anticipated useful life when it failed.
- 21 105. The Pressure Cooker failure was such that would not have occurred in the
- 22 absence of a defect or unreasonably dangerous condition within it.

- 1 106. Specifically, the Pressure Cooker was unreasonably dangerous and/or
- 2 defective in that:
- 3 a. it was dangerous to an extent beyond that which would be contemplated by
- 4 the ordinary consumer who purchases and/or uses it, with the ordinary knowledge
- 5 common to the community as to its characteristics; and/or
- 6 b. a reasonably prudent manufacturer would not have put it on the market
- 7 assuming that manufacturer knew of its dangerous condition.
- 8 107. That unreasonably dangerous condition and/or defect proximately caused
- 9 severely painful and disfiguring burns and other injury to Plaintiff, and resulting pain
- and suffering, disability, mental anguish, embarrassment and humiliation, loss of
- capacity for the enjoyment of life, and medical and nursing care and treatment.
- 12 108. Therefore, Defendant Bellahousewares is liable to Plaintiff for the
- aforementioned injuries caused by the defective Pressure Cooker.
- WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Jennifer Trujillo, demands judgment against
- 15 Defendant, Bellahousewares, for compensatory damages, court costs, and such other
- and additional relief as this Court may deem appropriate.

# COUNT XIV – NEGLIGENCE AGAINST BELLAHOUSEWARES

- 18 109. Plaintiff realleges and readopts the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1-19 as
- if fully set forth herein.

- 1 110. Defendant Bellahousewares owed Plaintiff, as well as the public at large, the
- 2 duty of reasonable care in designing, manufacturing, testing, inspecting, distributing,
- 3 selling and/or marketing the Pressure Cooker, and/or to adequately warn of dangers
- 4 presented by the product's design.
- 5 111. Defendant Bellahousewares knew, or in the existence of ordinary care, should
- 6 have known, that the Pressure Cooker was defective and unreasonably dangerous to
- 7 those persons likely to use the product for the purpose and in the manner for which
- 8 it was intended to be used.
- 9 112. Alternatively, Defendant Bellahousewares knew, or in the exercise of
- ordinary care should have known, of the means of designing, manufacturing and/or
- marketing the Pressure Cooker such that the type of incident and resulting injuries
- and damages as described herein would have been prevented.
- 13 113. Alternatively, Defendant Bellahousewares had actual or constructive
- 14 knowledge of the means of designing a pressure cooker that would not be inadequate
- and dangerous, and notwithstanding this knowledge, Defendant Bellahousewares
- 16 failed to adequately design, equip and/or manufacture the Pressure Cooker.
- 17 114. Alternatively, Defendant Bellahousewares negligently failed to give adequate
- or proper warnings or instructions, and/or failed to make appropriate post-marketing
- 19 efforts to prevent known incidents, such as the one included herein.

- 1 115. Alternatively, Defendant Bellahousewares failed to prudently design,
- 2 manufacture, test, inspect, market and/or sell the Pressure Cooker, and/or failed to
- 3 include a reasonable and safer alternative to the subject defective condition.
- 4 116. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant Bellahousewares' negligence,
- 5 Plaintiff suffered severely painful and disfiguring burns and other injury to
- 6 Plaintiff's body, and resulting pain and suffering, disability, mental anguish,
- 7 embarrassment and humiliation, loss of capacity for the enjoyment of life, and
- 8 medical and nursing care and treatment. The injuries are either permanent or
- 9 continuing in nature, and Plaintiff will suffer the losses and impairment in the future.
- WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Jennifer Trujillo, demands judgment against
- Defendant, Bellahousewares, for compensatory damages, court costs, and such other
- 12 and additional relief as this Court may deem appropriate.

# 13 <u>COUNT XV – BREACH OF EXPRESS WARRANTY AGAINST</u> 14 <u>BELLAHOUSEWARES</u>

- 16 117. Plaintiff realleges and readopts the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1-19 as
- if fully set forth herein.

- 18 118. Defendant Bellahousewares designed, manufactured, assembled, distributed,
- inspected, tested and/or sold the Pressure Cooker.
- 20 119. Defendant Bellahousewares expressly warranted that the Pressure Cooker was
- safe for ordinary use when used in compliance with the instructions provided.

- 1 120. Defendant Bellahousewares's affirmations regarding the safety of its product
- 2 formed a basis of the bargain for Plaintiff without which Plaintiff would not have
- 3 purchased and/or used the Pressure Cooker.
- 4 121. The Pressure Cooker did not conform to Defendant Bellahousewares'
- 5 affirmations regarding safety.
- 6 122. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant Bellahousewares' breach of
- 7 express warranties, Plaintiff suffered severely painful and disfiguring burns and
- 8 other injury to Plaintiff's body, and resulting pain and suffering, disability, mental
- 9 anguish, embarrassment and humiliation, loss of capacity for the enjoyment of life,
- and medical and nursing care and treatment. The injuries are either permanent or
- 11 continuing in nature, and Plaintiff will suffer the losses and impairment in the future.
- WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Jennifer Trujillo, demands judgment against
- 13 Defendant, Bellahousewares, for compensatory damages, court costs, and such other
- and additional relief as this Court may deem appropriate.

# 15 <u>COUNT XVI – BREACH OF IMPLIED WARRANTY OF</u> 16 <u>MERCHANTABILITY AGAINST BELLAHOUSEWARES</u>

- 18 123. Plaintiff realleges and readopts the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1-19 as
- if fully set forth herein.

- 20 124. Defendant Bellahousewares at all relevant times designed, manufactured,
- 21 assembled, tested, inspected, distributed, marketed and/or sold the Pressure Cooker.

125. Defendant Bellahousewares impliedly warranted that the Pressure Cooker 1 was merchantable, fit for the ordinary purpose for which it was sold or used, was of 2 fair average quality as to pass without objection in the trade, and conformed to 3 Defendant's own affirmations regarding the Pressure Cooker's safety features and 4 overall safe condition. 5 6 126. Defendant Bellahousewares breached their implied warranty of merchantability, as the product did not conform to Defendant's affirmations 7 regarding the safety features and overall safe condition of the Pressure Cooker, the 8 Pressure Cooker was not fit for the ordinary purpose for which it was sold or used, 9 and/or was not of fair average quality so as to pass without objection in the trade. 10 127. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant Bellahousewares' breach of the 11 implied warranty of merchantability, Plaintiff suffered severely painful and 12 disfiguring burns and other injury to Plaintiff's body, and resulting pain and 13 suffering, disability, mental anguish, embarrassment and humiliation, loss of 14 capacity for the enjoyment of life, and medical and nursing care and treatment. The 15 injuries are either permanent or continuing in nature, and Plaintiff will suffer the 16 losses and impairment in the future. 17 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Jennifer Trujillo, demands judgment against 18 Defendant, Bellahousewares, for compensatory damages, court costs, and such other 19 and additional relief as this Court may deem appropriate. 20

# COUNT XVII – BREACH OF IMPLIED WARRANTY OF FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE AGAINST BELLAHOUSEWARES

4 128. Plaintiff realleges and readopts the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1-19 as

5 if fully set forth herein.

1

- 6 129. Defendant Bellahousewares designed, manufactured, assembled, tested,
- 7 inspected, distributed, marketed and/or sold the Pressure Cooker.
- 8 130. In selling the Pressure Cooker to Plaintiff, Defendant Bellahousewares,
- 9 through its agents, servants, employees, and apparent agents, acting within the scope
- of their employment, authority, or apparent authority, made representations and
- 11 promotions concerning the particular purpose to which Plaintiff would put the
- 12 Pressure Cooker to use and knew or should have known of the particular purpose to
- which Plaintiff would put the product to use. Defendant impliedly warranted that
- 14 the product would be fit for such particular purpose.
- 15 131. Defendant Bellahousewares breached its implied warranty of fitness for a
- 16 particular purpose, as the Pressure Cooker did not conform to Defendant
- 17 Bellahousewares' affirmations regarding its product being fit for such particular
- purpose. The Pressure Cooker's malfunctioning safety features and overall unsafe
- 19 condition rendered it unfit for that purpose.
- 20 132. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant Bellahousewares' breach of the
- 21 implied warranty of fitness for a particular purpose, Plaintiff suffered severely

- painful and disfiguring burns and other injury to Plaintiff's body, and resulting pain
- 2 and suffering, disability, mental anguish, embarrassment and humiliation, loss of
- 3 capacity for the enjoyment of life, and medical and nursing care and treatment. The
- 4 injuries are either permanent or continuing in nature, and Plaintiff will suffer the
- 5 losses and impairment in the future.
- 6 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Jennifer Trujillo, demands judgment against
- 7 Defendant, Bellahousewares, for compensatory damages, court costs, and such other
- 8 and additional relief as this Court may deem appropriate.

# 9 <u>COUNT XVIII – FAILURE TO WARN AGAINST BELLAHOUSEWARES</u>

- 10 133. Plaintiff realleges and readopts the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1-19 as
- if fully set forth herein.
- 12 134. Defendant Bellahousewares designed, manufactured, assembled, tested,
- inspected, distributed, marketed and/or sold the Pressure Cooker.
- 14 135. On or about November 13, 2019, Plaintiff used the Pressure Cooker in the
- 15 manner intended and/or foreseeably intended, when the Pressure Cooker failed,
- 16 exploded and/or otherwise caused injury to Plaintiff.
- 17 136. Upon information and belief, the Pressure Cooker was manufactured in a
- 18 defective manner, was defectively designed, failed to have adequate and proper
- 19 warnings or instructions, was not safe to be used for the purposes intended, and/or
- 20 was inherently and/or unreasonably dangerous.

- 1 137. Defendant Bellahousewares knew or should have known of the dangerous
- 2 nature of the Pressure Cooker by virtue of its business, and/or knew or should have
- 3 known of the need to provide adequate warnings concerning the use of the Pressure
- 4 Cooker.

- 5 138. Defendant Bellahousewares had a duty to provide reasonable warning of the
- 6 danger involved in the use of the Pressure Cooker and failed to provide the public,
- 7 including Plaintiff, notice of the danger involved.
- 8 139. As a direct and proximate result of the foregoing, Plaintiff suffered severely
- 9 painful and disfiguring burns and other injury to Plaintiff's body, and resulting pain
- and suffering, disability, mental anguish, embarrassment and humiliation, loss of
- capacity for the enjoyment of life, and medical and nursing care and treatment. The
- injuries are either permanent or continuing in nature, and Plaintiff will suffer the
- losses and impairment in the future.
- WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Jennifer Trujillo, demands judgment against
- 15 Defendant, Bellahousewares, for compensatory damages, court costs, and such other
- and additional relief as this Court may deem appropriate.

#### **DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY** 1 Plaintiff demands a jury trial for all issues so triable. 2 Signed on October 11, 2021. 3 **WOOLF LEGAL** 4 Attorney for Plaintiff 5 14500 Roscoe Blvd. 4th Floor 6 Van Nuys, CA 91402 7 Telephone: (323) 804-0730 8 Facsimile: (310) 943-3542 9 10 By: /s/ David Woolf, Esq. 11 DAVID WOOLF, ESQUIRE 12 California Bar No. 306958 13 14 LAW OFFICES OF JASON TURCHIN 15 Attorney for Plaintiff 16 2883 Executive Park Drive 17 18 Suite 103 Weston, Florida 33331 19 Telephone: (954) 515-5000 20 Facsimile: (954) 659-1380 21 22 By: /s/ Jason Turchin, Esq. 23 JASON TURCHIN, ESQUIRE 24 Florida Bar No. 585300 25 26 27