Date: \_\_\_\_\_

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action	
UNITED STAT	TES DISTRICT COURT
	District of
Plaintiff(s) V.  Defendant(s)	) ) ) ) (- ) ) Civil Action No. ) ) ) )
SHMMONS	S IN A CIVIL ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address)	
are the United States or a United States agency, or an or P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an	on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. n answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of notion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will You also must file your answer or motion with the cou	Il be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.  11.
	CLERK OF COURT

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

## PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (na.	me of individual and title, if an	ny)				
was rec	ceived by me on (date)		·				
	☐ I personally served	I the summons on the ind	ividual at (place)				
	on (date) ; on						
	☐ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (name)						
	, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there, on (date), and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or						
	$\square$ I served the summons on (name of individual) , who						
	designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of (name of organization)						
	on (date) ; or						
	☐ I returned the summons unexecuted because						
	☐ Other (specify):						
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$				
	I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.						
Date:							
		_	Server's signature				
		_	Printed name and title				
		_	Server's address				

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

# $_{ m JS~44~(Rev.}$ Gase 9:22-cv-80485-XXXX Document 1 Governd Docket 03/28/2022 Page 3 of 15

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil do	ocket sileet. (SEE INSTRUC	TIONS ON NEAT PAGE C	r inisrc							
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDAN	NTS					
DENISA JANCU			SUNBEAM PRODUCTS, INC.							
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff ORANGE, CA  (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)		County of Residence of First Listed Defendant PALM BEACH, FL  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)								
				THE TR	RACT OF	EMNATIO LAND IN	ON CASES, USE TH VOLVED.	IE LOCATION	OF	
	Address, and Telephone Number			Attorneys (If Kno	own)					
	, PLLC, 444 Cedar 55101 (612) 436-180	,	),							
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OI (For Diversity Cases C		NCIPA		Place an "X" in nd One Box for l		r Plaintiff
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	U.S. Government	Not a Party)	Citize	n of This State	<b>PTF</b> ☐ 1	DEF X 1	Incorporated or Pri of Business In T		<b>PTF</b>	DEF 4
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citize	n of Another State	<b>x</b> 2	_ 2	Incorporated and P of Business In A		5	5
				n or Subject of a eign Country	3	3	Foreign Nation		<u> </u>	6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT							for: Nature of S			
CONTRACT		DRTS		RFEITURE/PENALT			KRUPTCY		STATUT	ES
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability	PERSONAL INJURY  365 Personal Injury - Product Liability  367 Health Care/		5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 8 0 Other		423 Witl 28 U	eal 28 USC 158 ndrawal JSC 157 LLECTUAL	375 False C 376 Qui Ta 3729(a 400 State R	m (31 USC ı))	
150 Recovery of Overpayment	320 Assault, Libel &	Pharmaceutical					RTY RIGHTS	410 Antitru	st	
& Enforcement of Judgment	Slander 330 Federal Employers'	Personal Injury Product Liability				820 Cop		430 Banks 450 Comm		ng
152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	368 Asbestos Personal			⊢	830 Pate 835 Pate	nt nt - Abbreviated	460 Deport		
Student Loans	340 Marine	Injury Product				New	Drug Application	470 Racket		
(Excludes Veterans)  153 Recovery of Overpayment	345 Marine Product Liability	Liability PERSONAL PROPER	гу 📙	LABOR	_	840 Trac		480 Consui	t Organizat ner Credit	tions
of Veteran's Benefits	350 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud		Fair Labor Standards	-		end Trade Secrets of 2016	_	C 1681 or	1692)
160 Stockholders' Suits	355 Motor Vehicle	371 Truth in Lending		Act	┕			485 Teleph		mer
190 Other Contract	Product Liability	380 Other Personal	☐ <sup>72</sup>	Dabor/Management			L SECURITY	<b>L</b>	tion Act	
195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise	360 Other Personal Injury	Property Damage 385 Property Damage	□   74	Relations O Railway Labor Act	⊢		. (1395ff) ek Lung (923)	490 Cable/3 850 Securit		odities/
	362 Personal Injury -	Product Liability	_	1 Family and Medical		863 DIW	/C/DIWW (405(g))	Excha	nge	
DEAL DROBERTY	Medical Malpractice	DDICONED DETITION	70	Leave Act	-	1	O Title XVI	890 Other S	-	ctions
210 Land Condemnation	440 Other Civil Rights	PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus:		Other Labor Litigatio Employee Retiremen		865 RSI	(405(g))	891 Agricu 893 Enviro		atters
220 Foreclosure	441 Voting	463 Alien Detainee	H"	Income Security Act	_	FEDERA	AL TAX SUITS	895 Freedo		
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	442 Employment	510 Motions to Vacate				1	es (U.S. Plaintiff	Act		
240 Torts to Land	443 Housing/ Accommodations	Sentence			<u> </u>	1	Defendant) —Third Party	896 Arbitra		oooduro
245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	530 General 535 Death Penalty		IMMIGRATION			USC 7609	899 Admin Act/Re	view or Ap	
	Employment	Other:	46	2 Naturalization Applic	cation				Decision	r
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	540 Mandamus & Othe	er46:	5 Other Immigration				950 Constit		of
	Other 448 Education	550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition		Actions				State S	tatutes	
	The Education	560 Civil Detainee -								
		Conditions of								
V ODICIN (D) (SV2)	0 0 0 1)	Confinement								
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)    1 Original										
				, ,	ecify)		Transfer		Direct F	ile
		atute under which you ar	e filing (L	o not cite jurisdiction	al statute.	s unless di	versity):			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	DN 28 U.S.C. § 1332 Brief description of ca	211021								
		efective pressure cooker								
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 23, F.R.Cv.P.	l Di	EMAND \$			HECK YES only i	if demanded in	complair	nt:
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE				DOCK	ET NUMBER			
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATT	ORNEV	F RECORD						
Mar 28, 2022		/s/ Lisa Groshe, Esq.	OKINE I C	A RECORD						
		isi Lisa Giusile, Esq.								
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY										
RECEIPT # AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDG	3E		MAG. JUD	OGE		

#### INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

  United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box. Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

  Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)
- **III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.
  - Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.

Multidistrict Litigation – Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket.

PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7. Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statute.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. **Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.** Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service.
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

  Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction.

  Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

DENISA JANCU,	
Plaintiff,	Case No.
v.	
SUNBEAM PRODUCTS, INC.,	
Defendant.	

#### **COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff, by and through her attorneys, **JOHNSON BECKER**, **PLLC**, upon information and belief, at all times hereinafter mentioned, alleges as follows:

#### **NATURE OF THE CASE**

- 1. Defendant Sunbeam Products. Inc. (hereinafter generally referred to as "Defendant Sunbeam") designs, manufactures, markets, imports, distributes and sells a wide-range of consumer products, including the subject "Crock-Pot Express Crock Multicooker," which specifically includes the Model Number SCCPPC 600-V1 (referred to hereafter as "Pressure Cooker(s)").
- 2. Defendant Sunbeam touts that its Pressure Cookers are designed with "safety in mind," which include supposed "safety measures" such as "safety sensors" that purport to keep the lid from being opened while the unit is under pressure.
- 3. Despite Defendant Sunbeam's claims of "safety," it designed, manufactured, marketed, imported, distributed and sold, both directly and through third-party retailers, a product

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Sunbeam Products, Inc. Crock-Pot Express Crock Multicooker Owner's Manual, pg. 10, attached hereto as Exhibit A

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> *Id*.

that suffers from serious and dangerous defects. Said defects cause significant risk of bodily harm and injury to its consumers.

- 4. Specifically, said defects manifest themselves when, despite Defendant Sunbeam's statements, the lid of the Pressure Cooker is removable with built-up pressure, heat and steam still inside the unit. When the lid is removed under such circumstances, the pressure trapped within the unit causes the scalding hot contents to be projected from the unit and into the surrounding area, including onto the unsuspecting consumers, their families and other bystanders. The Plaintiff in this case was able to remove the lid while the Pressure Cooker retained pressure, causing her serious and substantial bodily injuries and damages.
- 5. On November 24, 2020, the Consumer Products Safety Commission ("CPSC") announced a recall of more than 900,000 of Defendant Sunbeam's SCCPPC600-V1 pressure cookers, which includes the subject pressure cooker, after receiving "119 reports of lid detachment, resulting in 99 burn injuries ranging in severity from first-degree to third-degree burns."
- 6. Defendant Sunbeam knew or should have known of these defects but has nevertheless put profit ahead of safety by continuing to sell its Pressure Cookers to consumers, failing to warn said consumers of the serious risks posed by the defects, and failing to timely recall the dangerously defective Pressure Cookers regardless of the risk of significant injuries to Plaintiff and consumers like her.
- 7. Defendant Sunbeam ignored and/or concealed its knowledge of these defects in its Pressure Cookers from the Plaintiff in this case, as well as the public in general, in order to continue

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> *See* the CPSC Recall notice from November 24, 2020 (https://www.cpsc.gov/Recalls/2020/crock-pot-6-quart-express-crock-multi-cookers-recalled-by-sunbeam-products-due-to-burn#), a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

generating a profit from the sale of said Pressure Cookers, demonstrating a callous, reckless, willful, deprayed indifference to the health, safety and welfare of Plaintiff and consumers like her.

8. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant Sunbeam's conduct, the Plaintiff in this case incurred significant and painful bodily injuries, medical expenses, physical pain, mental anguish, and diminished enjoyment of life.

## **PLAINTIFF DENISA JANCU**

- 9. Plaintiff is a resident and citizen of the city of Fullerton, County of Orange, State of California.
- 10. On or about April 9, 2020, Plaintiff suffered serious and substantial burn injuries as the direct and proximate result of the Pressure Cooker's lid being able to be rotated and opened while the Pressure Cooker was still under pressure, during the normal, directed use of the Pressure Cooker, allowing its scalding hot contents to be forcefully ejected from the Pressure Cooker and onto Plaintiff. The incident occurred as a result of the failure of the Pressure Cooker's supposed "safety measures," which purport to keep the consumer safe while using the Pressure Cooker. In addition, the incident occurred as the result of Defendant Sunbeam's failure to redesign the Pressure Cooker, despite the existence of economical, safer alternative designs.

#### DEFENDANT SUNBEAM PRODUCTS, INC.

11. Defendant Sunbeam designs, manufacturers, markets, imports, distributes and sells a variety of consumer products<sup>5</sup> including pressure cookers, toasters, panini makers, and mixers, amongst others.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See generally, <a href="https://www.sunbeam.com/">https://www.sunbeam.com/</a> (last accessed March 28, 2022).

- 12. Defendant Sunbeam claims that through its "cutting-edge innovation and intelligent design" it has been "simplifying the lives of everyday people" for "over 100 years". 8
- 13. Defendant Sunbeam is a Delaware Corporation with its registered place of business at 1293 North University Drive, #322 City of Coral Springs, Broward County, Florida 33071, and its principal place of business located at 2381 Executive Center Drive, Boca Raton, Florida 33431.

## **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 14. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this case pursuant to diversity jurisdiction prescribed by 28 U.S.C. § 1332 because the matter in controversy exceeds the sum or value of \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs, and there is complete diversity between the parties.
- 15. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because Defendant is a resident and citizen of this district.
- 16. Venue is also proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because Defendant has sufficient minimum contacts with the Florida and intentionally availed itself of the markets within Florida through the promotion, sale, marketing, and distribution of its products.

#### **FACTUAL BACKGROUND**

17. Defendant Sunbeam is engaged in the business of designing, manufacturing, warranting, marketing, importing, distributing and selling the Pressure Cookers at issue in this litigation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> See, https://www.newellbrands.com/our-brands/sunbeam (last accessed March 28, 2022).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> *Id*.

- 18. Defendant Sunbeam aggressively warrants, markets, advertises and sells its Pressure Cookers as "an all-in-one appliance that's always ready when you are," allowing consumers to cook "instant, healthy, home-cooked dish in under an hour." 10
- 19. According to the Owner's Manual<sup>11</sup> accompanying each individual unit sold, the Pressure Cookers purport to be designed with "safety in mind and has various safety measures."<sup>12</sup>
- 20. For instances, the Defendant Sunbeam claims that it's pressure cookers include "safety sensors" to keep the lid from being opened while the unit is under pressure; that "[p]ressure will not build if the Lid is not shut correctly and has not sealed" and that "[o]nce the pressure increases, the Lid cannot be opened." 15
- 21. In addition to the "safety measures" listed in the manual, Defendant Sunbeam's Crock-Pot website claims that consumers can "cook with confidence" because the "airtight locking lid remains locked while pressure is inside the unit." <sup>16</sup>
- 22. On November 24, 2020, the Consumer Products Safety Commission ("CPSC") announced a recall of more than 900,000 of Defendant Sunbeam's SCCPPC600-V1 pressure cookers, which includes the subject pressure cooker, after receiving "119 reports of lid"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> See <a href="https://www.crock-pot.com/multi-cookers/express-crock/crock-pot-6-quart-express-crock-multi-cooker/SCCPPC600-V1.html">https://www.crock-pot.com/multi-cookers/express-crock/crock-pot-6-quart-express-crock-multi-cooker/SCCPPC600-V1.html</a> (last accessed March 28, 2022).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>See Sunbeam Products, Inc. Crock-Pot Express Crock Multicooker Owner's Manual ("Exhibit A"), pg. 10.

 $<sup>^{12}</sup>$  *Id.* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> See <a href="https://www.crock-pot.com/multi-cookers/express-crock/crock-pot-6-quart-express-crock-multi-cooker/SCCPPC600-V1.html">https://www.crock-pot.com/multi-cookers/express-crock/crock-pot-6-quart-express-crock-multi-cooker/SCCPPC600-V1.html</a> (last accessed March 28, 2022).

detachment, resulting in 99 burn injuries ranging in severity from first-degree to third-degree burns."<sup>17</sup>

23. By reason of the forgoing acts or omissions, the above-named Plaintiff and/or her family purchased their Pressure Cooker with the reasonable expectation that it was properly designed and manufactured, free from defects of any kind, and that it was safe for its intended, foreseeable use of cooking.

24. Plaintiff used her Pressure Cooker for its intended purpose of preparing meals for herself and/or family and did so in a manner that was reasonable and foreseeable by Defendant Sunbeam.

25. However, the aforementioned Pressure Cooker was defectively designed and manufactured by Defendant Sunbeam in that it failed to properly function as to prevent the lid from being removed with normal force while the unit remained pressurized, despite the appearance that all the pressure had been released, during the ordinary, foreseeable and proper use of cooking food with the product; placing the Plaintiff, her family, and similar consumers in danger while using the Pressure Cookers.

- 26. Defendant Sunbeam's Pressure Cookers possess defects that make them unreasonably dangerous for their intended use by consumers because the lid can be rotated and opened while the unit remains pressurized.
- 27. Further, Defendant Sunbeam's representations about "safety" are not just misleading, they are flatly wrong, and put innocent consumers like Plaintiff directly in harm's way.

(https://www.cpsc.gov/Recalls/2020/crock-pot-6-quart-express-crock-multi-cookers-recalled-by-sunbeam-products-due-to-burn#), a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> See the CPSC Recall notice from November 24, 2020

- 28. Economic, safer alternative designs were available that could have prevented the Pressure Cooker's lid from being rotated and opened while pressurized.
- 29. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant Sunbeam's intentional concealment of such defects, its failure to warn consumers of such defects, its negligent misrepresentations, its failure to remove a product with such defects from the stream of commerce, and its negligent design of such products, Plaintiff used an unreasonably dangerous Pressure Cooker, which resulted in significant and painful bodily injuries upon Plaintiff's simple removal of the lid of the Pressure Cooker.
- 30. Consequently, the Plaintiff in this case seeks damages resulting from the use of Defendant Sunbeam's Pressure Cooker as described above, which has caused the Plaintiff to suffer from serious bodily injuries, medical expenses, physical pain, mental anguish, diminished enjoyment of life, and other damages.

#### **CLAIMS FOR RELIEF**

# COUNT I STRICT LIABILITY

- 31. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each preceding and succeeding paragraph as though set forth fully at length herein.
- 32. At the time of Plaintiff's injuries, Defendant Sunbeam's Pressure Cookers were defective and unreasonably dangerous for use by foreseeable consumers, including Plaintiffs.
- 33. Defendant Sunbeam's Pressure Cookers were in the same or substantially similar condition as when they left the possession of Defendant Sunbeam.
  - 34. Plaintiffs did not misuse or materially alter the Pressure Cooker.
- 35. The Pressure Cookers did not perform as safely as an ordinary consumer would have expected them to perform when used in a reasonably foreseeable way.

- 36. Further, a reasonable person would conclude that the possibility and serious of harm outweighs the burden or cost of making the Pressure Cookers safe. Specifically:
  - a. The Pressure Cookers designed, manufactured, sold, and supplied by Defendant Sunbeam were defectively designed and placed into the stream of commerce in a defective and unreasonably dangerous condition for consumers;
  - b. The seriousness of the potential burn injuries resulting from the product drastically outweighs any benefit that could be derived from its normal, intended use;
  - c. Defendant Sunbeam failed to properly market, design, manufacture, distribute, supply, and sell the Pressure Cookers, despite having extensive knowledge that the aforementioned injuries could and did occur;
  - d. Defendant Sunbeam failed to warn and place adequate warnings and instructions on the Pressure Cookers;
  - e. Defendant Sunbeam failed to adequately test the Pressure Cookers; and
  - f. Defendant Sunbeam failed to market an economically feasible alternative design, despite the existence of the aforementioned economical, safer alternatives, that could have prevented the Plaintiff' injuries and damages.
- 37. Defendant Sunbeam's actions and omissions were the direct and proximate cause of the Plaintiff's injuries and damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendant Sunbeam for damages, together with interest, costs of suit, and all such other relief as the Court deems proper. Plaintiff reserves the right to amend this Complaint to include a claim for punitive damages according to proof.

## COUNT II NEGLIGENCE

38. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each preceding and succeeding paragraph as though set forth fully at length herein.

- 39. Defendant Sunbeam has a duty of reasonable care to design, manufacture, market, and sell non-defective Pressure Cookers that are reasonably safe for their intended uses by consumers, such as Plaintiffs and their family.
- 40. Defendant Sunbeam failed to exercise ordinary care in the manufacture, sale, warnings, quality assurance, quality control, distribution, advertising, promotion, sale and marketing of its Pressure Cookers in that Defendant Sunbeam knew or should have known that said Pressure Cookers created a high risk of unreasonable harm to the Plaintiffs and consumers alike.
- 41. Defendant Sunbeam was negligent in the design, manufacture, advertising, warning, marketing and sale of its Pressure Cookers in that, among other things, it:
  - a. Failed to use due care in designing and manufacturing the Pressure Cookers to avoid the aforementioned risks to individuals;
  - b. Placed an unsafe product into the stream of commerce;
  - c. Aggressively over-promoted and marketed its Pressure Cookers through television, social media, and other advertising outlets; and
  - d. Were otherwise careless or negligent.
- 42. Despite the fact that Defendant Sunbeam knew or should have known that consumers were able to remove the lid while the Pressure Cookers were still pressurized, Defendant Sunbeam continued to market (and continues to do so) its Pressure Cookers to the general public.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendant Sunbeam for damages, together with interest, costs of suit, and all such other relief as the Court deems proper. Plaintiff reserves the right to amend this Complaint to include a claim for punitive damages according to proof.

#### **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff demands that all issues of fact of this case be tried to a properly impaneled jury to the extent permitted under the law.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against the Defendant Sunbeam for damages, including punitive damages if applicable, to which she is entitled by law, as well as all costs of this action and interest, to the full extent of the law, whether arising under the common law and/or statutory law, including:

- a. judgment for Plaintiff and against Defendant Sunbeam;
- b. damages to compensate Plaintiff for his injuries, economic losses and pain and suffering sustained as a result of the use of the Defendant Sunbeam's Pressure Cookers;
- c. pre and post judgment interest at the lawful rate;
- d. a trial by jury on all issues of the case; and
- e. for any other relief as this Court may deem equitable and just, or that may be available under the law of another forum to the extent the law of another forum is applied, including but not limited to all reliefs prayed for in this Complaint and in the foregoing Prayer for Relief.

Respectfully submitted,

# **JOHNSON BECKER, PLLC**

Date: March 28, 2022

/s/ Lisa A. Gorshe, Esq.
Lisa A. Gorshe, Esq. (FL #122180)

Adam J. Kress, Esq. (MN #0397289)

Pro Hac Vice to be filed

444 Cedar Street, Suite 1800

(612) 436-1800 / (612) 436-1801 (fax)

lgorshe@johnsonbecker.com akress@johnsonbecker.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff