

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
PENSACOLA DIVISION**

IN RE: 3M COMBAT ARMS) Case No. 3:19-md-2885
EARPLUG PRODUCTS))
LIABILITY LITIGATION) Judge M. Casey Rodgers
))
) Magistrate Judge Hope T. Cannon
This Document Relates to All Cases)
)

**DEFENDANT 3M COMPANY'S RESPONSE TO
THE NOVEMBER 1, 2022 ORDER (DKT. 3571)**

RESPONSE TO ORDER

The core protections of the Due Process Clause are fair notice and an opportunity to be heard. *Hernandez v. Acosta Tractors Inc.*, 898 F.3d 1301, 1306 (11th Cir. 2018). A request for an inherent power sanction that identifies broad categories of conduct—with seven double-spaced pages to respond—provides neither. Nor would any sanction here respect the Supreme Court’s caution that a court’s “inherent powers must be exercised with restraint and discretion.” *Chambers v. NASCO, Inc.*, 501 U.S. 32, 46 (1991). Plaintiffs seek to obtain via an inherent power “sanction of waiver” what they cannot otherwise establish as a legal matter. Imposing such relief would be contrary to this MDL’s purpose, which is to facilitate litigation—not preterm it via a sanction. Plaintiffs have not come close to satisfying the standard for a waiver in any case, let alone across tens of thousands of actions.

The core of Plaintiffs’ argument is “that, for years, 3M indicated that it had full and independent liability for any proven CAEv2-related injuries. . . .” (Reply at 7-8.) As a matter of due process, 3M cannot meaningfully address in seven double-spaced pages (in 14-point font) *all* of the conduct—spanning over three years—described in the materials cited in the November 1 Order. But in general, the conduct is hardly notable. It is common for a parent to, by way of example, sit “at the helm” in meetings or present a “united front” with its subsidiaries in individual bellwether trials in which they are all defendants. That is not the same as assuming “full and

independent” liability in thousands of future actions. Nor is it close to sanctionable, even if issues are litigated in later actions that were not the focus previously.

Under Eleventh Circuit precedent, to impose a sanction under its inherent power, a court must properly find “subjective bad faith.” *Purchasing Power, LLC v. Bluestem Brands, Inc.*, 851 F.3d 1218, 1223-25 (11th Cir. 2017). 3M here has engaged in no conduct, made no statement, and taken no legal position that could support a proper finding of subjective bad faith. Typically, bad faith involves: (a) repeated violations of an order; (b) a groundless claim or defense; or (c) perpetrating a “fraud on the court” through “egregious” conduct such as bribing a juror or fabricating evidence. Here, 3M has violated no order, let alone repeatedly. 3M’s successorship position is far from groundless. And the conduct at issue is far afield of the extraordinary scenarios in which courts have properly imposed sanctions.

Finally, Plaintiffs have not requested any less drastic remedy to address their claimed prejudice from 3M’s position on successorship. This is independently dispositive of Plaintiffs’ arguments under Eleventh Circuit law. *See Eagle Hosp. Physicians, LLC v. SRG Consulting, Inc.*, 561 F.3d 1298, 1306 (11th Cir. 2009).

I. Imposing A Sanction Of Waiver Would Constitute Legal Error

The “key to unlocking a court’s inherent power” is “subjective bad faith.” *Purchasing Power*, 851 F.3d at 1223-25; *In re Mroz*, 65 F.3d 1567, 1576 (11th Cir. 1995) (invoking inherent power “requires a finding of bad faith”). The authorized

sanctions have a common theme—to “rectify[] disobedience.” *Purchasing Power*, 851 F.3d at 1225; *People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals, Inc., v. Dade City’s Wild Things, Inc.*, 2020 WL 897988, at *7 (M.D. Fla. Feb. 25, 2020). There can be no proper finding of bad faith here and there is no disobedience to rectify.

Plaintiffs cite a number of broad categories “through” which they contend 3M “indicated that it had full and independent liability” in this MDL, including “explicit statements” to Plaintiffs and the Court (with examples prefaced by “*e.g.*”) as well as “the 16 bellwether trials” as a category itself. (Reply at 7-8.) The Court’s August 14, 2022 Order is also broad. To the extent *all* of this conduct is at issue, 3M cannot “meaningful[ly]” respond, *Mathews v. Eldridge*, 424 U.S. 319, 333 (1976), in “seven pages (double-spaced, 14-pt. font)” (Dkt. 3571). If an unidentified subset of conduct is at issue, 3M does not have “fair notice” of what “conduct may warrant sanctions and the reasons why” as “[d]ue process requires.” *In re Mroz*, 65 F.3d at 1576.

By way of example, the cited materials reference 3M sitting “at the helm” during meetings or presenting a “united front” with Aearo in trial. That is far from 3M assuming “full and independent liability” in all cases. Indeed, defendants routinely engage in similar conduct, including under joint defense or common interest agreements, even with no corporate relationship. Similarly, there is nothing unusual about a parent coordinating discovery, or producing a witness in response to a 30(b)(6) notice directed to the parent. (*See* Opp’n at 18-20.) The same is true of

a parent taking out an appeal bond, particularly when the parent and its subsidiaries consolidated their balance sheets. (*See* Ex. 1, July 2022 10-Q at 45-46.)

The other examples fare no better. Asking a jury to determine collective fault is not the same as one defendant assuming “full and independent liability.” Parties may use a bellwether process, which is not intended to “resolv[e] every issue in every action,” to evaluate the crux of a matter rather than an allocation of fault among entities. (*See* Opp’n at 15, 18-22.) Plaintiffs’ arguments about “the *Wayman* and *Vaughn* post-trial briefs” miss the mark factually (*id.*), and would not support sanctions even under the extreme assumption that they were false and reckless. *See Meunier Carlin & Curfman, LLC v. Scidera, Inc.*, 813 F. App’x. 368, 375 (11th Cir. 2020) (“False statements [alone]—even those recklessly made—cannot justify sanctions grounded in the court’s inherent authority”). The same is true of Plaintiffs’ referenced “judicial admissions,” even assuming they applied in the wave cases. (*Cf.* Dkt. 3506-5 (exemplar wave answer incorporating Master Answer “to the extent not inconsistent with this Answer,” and stating that 3M did not sell, market, or manufacture the CAEv2 before 2008).) Whatever the ultimate evidentiary effect of such claimed admissions, they do not support sanctions. *See Com. Long Trading Corp. v. Scottsdale Ins. Co.*, 2013 WL 1100063, at *8 (S.D. Fla. Mar. 15, 2013).

Plaintiffs also reference a meet-and-confer “regarding Rule 26 exchanges,” during which they claim “counsel for Plaintiffs were informed that the Aearo

acquisition by 3M Company was a stock purchase agreement, so no argument would be raised.” (Dkt. 3361-1 ¶ 5.) One 3M counsel who was in attendance has previously addressed this issue and stated he has no recollection of the claimed statements. (Ex. 2, 8/11/2022 Tr. at 75:19-76:15.) Plaintiffs cite no writing reflecting the statement. It is not in the Rule 26(f) report, and the Master Answer filed three months later did not admit to successor liability. (Dkts. 434, 800 ¶ 21.) Plaintiffs also deposed a former Aearo executive about the 2008 purchase (*e.g.*, Ex. 3) and issued related document requests (*e.g.*, Ex. 4) to which 3M responded. Further, a statement in a meet-and-confer would not give rise to the requested sanctions in any event. *See Tran v. Geico Gen. Ins. Co.*, 2021 WL 4690700, at *2 (M.D. Fla. Oct. 7, 2021) (collecting authority holding that conduct between parties is not fraud on the court).

None of the foregoing shows “subjective bad faith.” No one has claimed a “willful” and “repeated[]” violation of an order. *See, e.g., Wimbush v. Georgia*, 673 F. App’x 965, 966-67 (11th Cir. 2016); *Zocaras v. Castro*, 465 F.3d 479, 483 (11th Cir. 2006). Nor is 3M’s position “so lacking in arguable merit as to be groundless or without foundation.” *See, e.g., Terry v. Carnival Corp.*, 2014 WL 11798518, at *4 (S.D. Fla. Jan. 15, 2014) (collecting authority).¹ There has been no “fraud on the

¹ 3M’s position is far from groundless, including—for example—under Texas law. (Opp’n at 8-10, 23-31.) Indeed, it is Plaintiffs who now attempt to support their successor liability claims under Texas law by citing a 1990 case under Texas (not federal) procedure and by ignoring more recent Texas cases holding that plaintiffs bear the burden of pleading—and proving—successor liability. *See* Opp’n at 8-10

court” and no one has “demonstrated, clearly and convincingly” that 3M “sentiently set in motion some unconscionable scheme calculated to interfere with the judicial system’s ability impartially to adjudicate a matter by improperly influencing the trier of fact or unfairly hampering the presentation of [another] party’s claim or defense.” *Bender v. Tropic Star Seafood, Inc.*, 2008 WL 4097602, at *2-3 (N.D. Fla. Sept. 3, 2008) (quoting *Aoude v. Mobil Oil Corp.*, 892 F.2d 1115, 1118 (1st Cir. 1989)). Nor could they, as generally “only the most egregious misconduct, such as bribery of a judge or members of a jury, or the fabrication of evidence . . . will constitute a fraud on the court.” *Id.* (quoting *Rozier v. Ford Motor Co.*, 573 F.2d 1332, 1338 (5th Cir. 1978) (pre-*Bonner*)). At most, Plaintiffs contend that a legal concept is now being raised that was not the focus in prior actions—a far cry from a factual record of subjective bad faith. *See Grant v. Regal Auto. Grp., Inc.*, 2020 WL 8224608, at *3 (M.D. Fla. Aug. 3, 2020) (an “alter[ation in] defense strategy” is not itself bad faith).

Plaintiffs’ cited cases are inapposite. Three involved Rule 37 discovery sanctions, which do not typically require bad faith. *See In re Skanska USA Civ. Southeast*, 2021 WL 4953239, at *2 (N.D. Fla. Aug. 5, 2021). Two (*Merryfield* and *SunTrust*) did not involve bad faith. The third (*Ocello*) did, but for a party that concealed witnesses’ contact information and engaged in discovery misconduct.

(collecting authority); *see also Moore v. Panini Am. Inc.*, 2016 WL 7163899, at *6 (Tex. App. Nov. 7, 2016).

Plaintiffs' fourth cite (a "*cf.*" to *Jacksonville*) involved a party stating in writing it was a successor (with an insurance payout) but not engaging in related discovery.

II. Plaintiffs Have Not Even Sought Less Drastic Remedies

Plaintiffs liken their request to "preclud[ing] a party from asserting a successor liability defense or from defending against successor liability as a claim." (Reply at 9.) But "[t]he dismissal of a party's complaint or answer, or striking its defenses, as a sanction is a heavy punishment, appropriate only as a last resort, when less drastic sanctions would not ensure compliance with the court's orders." *Eagle Hosp.*, 561 F.3d at 1306 (alteration adopted, quotations omitted). Such a sanction requires clear and convincing evidence. *Bardfield v. Chisholm Props. Cir. Events*, 2010 WL 2278461, at *4 (N.D. Fla. May 4, 2010). Plaintiffs argue that but for 3M's conduct they "would have" pursued additional general discovery and "may have" approached choice of law or expert reports differently. (Reply at 8-9.) But only one Plaintiff—with a February 2023 trial date—has sought further discovery, which the Court initially granted. (*George Dkts.* 57, 59, 63.) If other Plaintiffs believe they require further discovery, they could request it, particularly with no other trial dates set. Likewise, no Wave 1 Plaintiff has sought to disclose an expert on successor issues. Finally, a different potential strategy for choice of law does not justify a sanction.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, sanctions are neither warranted nor appropriate.

Dated: November 8, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Jay Bhimani

Jay Bhimani
Kimberly Branscome
Allison K. Ozurovich
Dechert LLP
US Bank Tower
633 West 5th Street
Suite 4900
Los Angeles, CA, 90071
Telephone: (213) 808-5700
jay.bhimani@dechert.com
kimberly.branscome@dechert.com
allie.ozurovich@dechert.com

Jonathan Tam
Dechert LLP
One Bush Street
San Francisco, CA 94104
Telephone: (415) 262-4518
jonathan.tam@dechert.com

Matthew P. Steinberg
Dechert LLP
1095 Ave. of the Americas
New York, NY 10036
Telephone: (212) 698-3500
matthew.steinberg@dechert.com

Craig J. Castiglia
Dechert LLP
2929 Arch St.
Philadelphia, PA 19104
Telephone: (215) 994-2000
craig.castiglia@dechert.com

Admitted Pro Hac Vice

Counsel for Defendant 3M Company

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY this 8th day of November 2022, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was electronically filed via the Court's CM/ECF system, which will automatically serve notice of this filing via e-mail to all registered counsel of record.

Dated: November 8, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Jay Bhimani

Jay Bhimani

EXHIBIT 1

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2022

Commission file number: 1-3285

3M COMPANY

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

<p align="center">Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation)</p> <p align="center">3M Center, St. Paul, Minnesota (Address of Principal Executive Offices)</p>	<p align="center">41-0417775 (IRS Employer Identification No.)</p> <p align="center">55144-1000 (Zip Code)</p>
---	--

(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code) (651) 733-1110

Not Applicable

(Former Name or Former Address, if Changed Since Last Report)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class	Trading Symbol(s)	Name of each exchange on which registered
Common Stock, Par Value \$.01 Per Share	MMM	New York Stock Exchange
	MMM	Chicago Stock Exchange, Inc.
0.950% Notes due 2023	MMM23	New York Stock Exchange
1.500% Notes due 2026	MMM26	New York Stock Exchange
1.750% Notes due 2030	MMM30	New York Stock Exchange
1.500% Notes due 2031	MMM31	New York Stock Exchange

Note: The common stock of the Registrant is also traded on the SWX Swiss Exchange.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically every Interactive Data File required to be submitted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, a smaller reporting company, or an emerging growth company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," "smaller reporting company," and "emerging growth company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.:

Large accelerated filer	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Accelerated filer	<input type="checkbox"/>
Non-accelerated filer	<input type="checkbox"/>	Smaller reporting company	<input type="checkbox"/>
		Emerging growth company	<input type="checkbox"/>

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Class	Outstanding at June 30, 2022
Common Stock, \$0.01 par value per share	569,603,928 shares

3M COMPANY
Form 10-Q for the Quarterly Period Ended June 30, 2022

TABLE OF CONTENTS		BEGINNING PAGE
<u>PART I</u>	<u>FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	
<u>ITEM 1.</u>	<u>Financial Statements</u>	3
	Index to Financial Statements:	
	<u>Consolidated Statement of Income</u>	3
	<u>Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income (Loss)</u>	4
	<u>Consolidated Balance Sheet</u>	5
	<u>Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows</u>	6
	<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	7
	<u> Note 1. Significant Accounting Policies</u>	7
	<u> Note 2. Revenue</u>	8
	<u> Note 3. Acquisitions and Divestitures</u>	10
	<u> Note 4. Goodwill and Intangible Assets</u>	11
	<u> Note 5. Restructuring Actions</u>	12
	<u> Note 6. Supplemental Income Statement Information</u>	13
	<u> Note 7. Supplemental Equity and Comprehensive Income Information</u>	13
	<u> Note 8. Income Taxes</u>	17
	<u> Note 9. Marketable Securities</u>	18
	<u> Note 10. Long-Term Debt and Short-Term Borrowings</u>	18
	<u> Note 11. Pension and Postretirement Benefit Plans</u>	19
	<u> Note 12. Derivatives</u>	20
	<u> Note 13. Fair Value Measurements</u>	24
	<u> Note 14. Commitments and Contingencies</u>	26
	<u> Note 15. Stock-Based Compensation</u>	49
	<u> Note 16. Business Segments</u>	51
<u>ITEM 2.</u>	<u>Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	53
	Index to Management’s Discussion and Analysis:	
	<u>Overview</u>	53
	<u>Results of Operations</u>	60
	<u>Performance by Business Segment</u>	62
	<u>Financial Condition and Liquidity</u>	68
	<u>Cautionary Note Concerning Factors That May Affect Future Results</u>	74
<u>ITEM 3.</u>	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	75
<u>ITEM 4.</u>	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	75
<u>PART II</u>	<u>OTHER INFORMATION</u>	
<u>ITEM 1.</u>	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	76
<u>ITEM 1A.</u>	<u>Risk Factors</u>	76
<u>ITEM 2.</u>	<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	83
<u>ITEM 3.</u>	<u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	83
<u>ITEM 4.</u>	<u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	83
<u>ITEM 5.</u>	<u>Other Information</u>	83
<u>ITEM 6.</u>	<u>Exhibits</u>	84

3M COMPANY
FORM 10-Q
For the Quarterly Period Ended June 30, 2022
PART I. Financial Information

Item 1. Financial Statements.

3M Company and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statement of Income
(Unaudited)

(Millions, except per share amounts)	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2022	2021	2022	2021
Net sales	\$ 8,702	\$ 8,950	\$ 17,531	\$ 17,801
Operating expenses				
Cost of sales	5,093	4,719	9,919	9,244
Selling, general and administrative expenses	3,023	1,746	4,905	3,554
Research, development and related expenses	476	514	956	1,038
Total operating expenses	8,592	6,979	15,780	13,836
Operating income	110	1,971	1,751	3,965
Other expense (income), net	50	33	88	82
Income before income taxes	60	1,938	1,663	3,883
Provision for income taxes	(23)	415	279	734
Income of consolidated group	83	1,523	1,384	3,149
Income (loss) from unconsolidated subsidiaries, net of taxes	(1)	2	1	3
Net income including noncontrolling interest	82	1,525	1,385	3,152
Less: Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interest	4	1	8	4
Net income attributable to 3M	\$ 78	\$ 1,524	\$ 1,377	\$ 3,148
Weighted average 3M common shares outstanding — basic	571.0	581.0	571.6	580.7
Earnings per share attributable to 3M common shareholders — basic	\$ 0.14	\$ 2.62	\$ 2.41	\$ 5.42
Weighted average 3M common shares outstanding — diluted	572.7	588.6	573.8	587.4
Earnings per share attributable to 3M common shareholders — diluted	\$ 0.14	\$ 2.59	\$ 2.40	\$ 5.36

The accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of this statement.

3M Company and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income (Loss)
(Unaudited)

(Millions)	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2022	2021	2022	2021
Net income including noncontrolling interest	\$ 82	\$ 1,525	\$ 1,385	\$ 3,152
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:				
Cumulative translation adjustment	(705)	170	(876)	(52)
Defined benefit pension and postretirement plans adjustment	85	121	172	240
Cash flow hedging instruments	88	(11)	87	47
Total other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	(532)	280	(617)	235
Comprehensive income (loss) including noncontrolling interest	(450)	1,805	768	3,387
Comprehensive (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interest	—	—	(3)	(4)
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to 3M	\$ (450)	\$ 1,805	\$ 765	\$ 3,383

The accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of this statement.

3M Company and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Balance Sheet
(Unaudited)

(Dollars in millions, except per share amount)	June 30, 2022	December 31, 2021
Assets		
Current assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 2,722	\$ 4,564
Marketable securities — current	262	201
Accounts receivable — net of allowances of \$196 and \$189	4,914	4,660
Inventories		
Finished goods	2,446	2,196
Work in process	1,788	1,577
Raw materials and supplies	1,411	1,212
Total inventories	5,645	4,985
Prepays	588	654
Other current assets	383	339
Total current assets	14,514	15,403
Property, plant and equipment	27,013	27,213
Less: Accumulated depreciation	(17,694)	(17,784)
Property, plant and equipment — net	9,319	9,429
Operating lease right of use assets	835	858
Goodwill	13,064	13,486
Intangible assets — net	4,993	5,288
Other assets	2,909	2,608
Total assets	\$ 45,634	\$ 47,072
Liabilities		
Current liabilities		
Short-term borrowings and current portion of long-term debt	\$ 2,257	\$ 1,307
Accounts payable	3,273	2,994
Accrued payroll	704	1,020
Accrued income taxes	228	260
Operating lease liabilities — current	256	263
Other current liabilities	3,178	3,191
Total current liabilities	9,896	9,035
Long-term debt	14,019	16,056
Pension and postretirement benefits	2,638	2,870
Operating lease liabilities	584	591
Other liabilities	4,681	3,403
Total liabilities	31,818	31,955
Commitments and contingencies (Note 14)		
Equity		
3M Company shareholders' equity:		
Common stock par value, \$.01 par value; 944,033,056 shares issued	9	9
Shares outstanding - June 30, 2022: 569,603,928		
Shares outstanding - December 31, 2021: 571,845,478		
Additional paid-in capital	6,607	6,429
Retained earnings	45,269	45,821
Treasury stock, at cost:	(30,781)	(30,463)
Shares at June 30, 2022: 374,429,128		
Shares at December 31, 2021: 372,187,578		
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(7,362)	(6,750)
Total 3M Company shareholders' equity	13,742	15,046
Noncontrolling interest	74	71
Total equity	13,816	15,117
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 45,634	\$ 47,072

The accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of this statement.

3M Company and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows
(Unaudited)

(Millions)	Six months ended June 30,	
	2022	2021
Cash Flows from Operating Activities		
Net income including noncontrolling interest	\$ 1,385	\$ 3,152
Adjustments to reconcile net income including noncontrolling interest to net cash provided by operating activities		
Depreciation and amortization	921	932
Company pension and postretirement contributions	(80)	(85)
Company pension and postretirement expense	83	92
Stock-based compensation expense	182	184
Deferred income taxes	(451)	91
Changes in assets and liabilities		
Accounts receivable	(457)	(337)
Inventories	(837)	(644)
Accounts payable	401	411
Accrued income taxes (current and long-term)	(9)	(141)
Other — net	1,000	(80)
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	<u>2,138</u>	<u>3,575</u>
Cash Flows from Investing Activities		
Purchases of property, plant and equipment (PP&E)	(808)	(704)
Proceeds from sale of PP&E and other assets	56	43
Purchases of marketable securities and investments	(518)	(1,188)
Proceeds from maturities and sale of marketable securities and investments	456	786
Proceeds from sale of businesses, net of cash sold	13	—
Other — net	(13)	20
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	<u>(814)</u>	<u>(1,043)</u>
Cash Flows from Financing Activities		
Change in short-term debt — net	344	4
Repayment of debt (maturities greater than 90 days)	(1,179)	(450)
Proceeds from debt (maturities greater than 90 days)	1	1
Purchases of treasury stock	(773)	(734)
Proceeds from issuance of treasury stock pursuant to stock option and benefit plans	227	480
Dividends paid to shareholders	(1,700)	(1,716)
Other — net	(22)	(19)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	<u>(3,102)</u>	<u>(2,434)</u>
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(64)	(37)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	<u>(1,842)</u>	<u>61</u>
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	4,564	4,634
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	<u>\$ 2,722</u>	<u>\$ 4,695</u>

The accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of this statement.

3M Company and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

NOTE 1. Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation

The interim consolidated financial statements are unaudited but, in the opinion of management, reflect all adjustments necessary for a fair statement of the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the periods presented. These adjustments consist of normal, recurring items. The results of operations for any interim period are not necessarily indicative of results for the full year. The interim consolidated financial statements and notes are presented as permitted by the requirements for Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q. This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q should be read in conjunction with the Company's consolidated financial statements and notes included in its Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 26, 2022 (which updated 3M's 2021 Annual Report on Form 10-K).

Effective in the first quarter of 2022, 3M made changes in the measure of segment operating performance used by 3M's chief operating decision maker—impacting 3M's disclosed measure of segment profit/loss (business segment operating income). See additional information in Note 16. 3M's disclosed disaggregated revenue was also updated as a result of the changes in segment reporting. See additional information in Note 2. Information provided herein reflects the impact of these changes for all periods presented.

Consolidation and foreign currency translation

Local currencies generally are considered the functional currencies outside the United States. Exceptions include 3M's subsidiaries in Argentina and, beginning in the second quarter of 2022, in Turkey, the economy of which also became highly inflationary. The operating income and balances of underlying net monetary assets denominated in Turkish lira are not material to 3M. The financial statements of these subsidiaries are remeasured as if their functional currency is that of their parent. Assets and liabilities for operations in local-currency environments are translated at month-end exchange rates of the period reported. Income and expense items are translated at average monthly currency exchange rates in effect during the period. Cumulative translation adjustments are recorded as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) in shareholders' equity.

Earnings Per Share

The difference in the weighted average 3M shares outstanding for calculating basic and diluted earnings per share attributable to 3M common shareholders is a result of the dilution associated with the Company's stock-based compensation plans. Certain options outstanding under these stock-based compensation plans were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share attributable to 3M common shareholders because they would have had an anti-dilutive effect of 31.9 million and 27.5 million average options for the three and six months ended June 30, 2022, respectively, and 6.3 million and 7.5 million average options for the three and six months ended June 30, 2021, respectively. The computations for basic and diluted earnings per share follow:

Earnings Per Share Computations

(Amounts in millions, except per share amounts)	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2022	2021	2022	2021
Numerator:				
Net income attributable to 3M	\$ 78	\$ 1,524	\$ 1,377	\$ 3,148
Denominator:				
Denominator for weighted average 3M common shares outstanding – basic	571.0	581.0	571.6	580.7
Dilution associated with the Company's stock-based compensation plans	1.7	7.6	2.2	6.7
Denominator for weighted average 3M common shares outstanding – diluted	572.7	588.6	573.8	587.4
Earnings per share attributable to 3M common shareholders – basic	\$ 0.14	\$ 2.62	\$ 2.41	\$ 5.42
Earnings per share attributable to 3M common shareholders – diluted	\$ 0.14	\$ 2.59	\$ 2.40	\$ 5.36

New Accounting Pronouncements

Refer to Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in 3M's Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 26, 2022 (which updated 3M's 2021 Annual Report on Form 10-K) for a discussion of applicable standards issued and not yet adopted by 3M.

NOTE 2. Revenue

Contract Balances:

Deferred revenue primarily relates to revenue that is recognized over time for one-year software license contracts. Deferred revenue (current portion) as of June 30, 2022 and December 31, 2021 was \$503 million and \$529 million, respectively. Approximately \$140 million and \$340 million of the December 31, 2021 balance was recognized as revenue during the three and six months ended June 30, 2022, respectively, while approximately \$140 million and \$320 million of the December 31, 2020 balance was recognized as revenue during the three and six months ended June 30, 2021, respectively.

Operating Lease Revenue:

Net sales includes rental revenue from durable medical devices as part of operating lease arrangements (reported within the Medical Solutions Division), which was \$148 million and \$284 million during the three and six months ended June 30, 2022, respectively, and \$145 million and \$285 million during the three and six months ended June 30, 2021, respectively.

Disaggregated revenue information:

The Company views the following disaggregated disclosures as useful to understanding the composition of revenue recognized during the respective reporting periods:

Net Sales (Millions)	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2022	2021	2022	2021
Abrasives	\$ 346	\$ 326	\$ 675	\$ 649
Automotive Aftermarket	289	294	584	588
Closure and Masking Systems	270	254	528	497
Electrical Markets	336	313	645	614
Industrial Adhesives and Tapes	586	599	1,207	1,197
Personal Safety	972	1,125	2,099	2,354
Roofing Granules	125	121	237	229
Other Safety and Industrial	—	(3)	—	—
Total Safety and Industrial Business Segment	2,924	3,029	5,975	6,128
Advanced Materials	307	302	612	617
Automotive and Aerospace	428	443	888	931
Commercial Solutions	448	441	902	868
Electronics	863	913	1,786	1,864
Transportation Safety	222	257	420	472
Other Transportation and Electronics	—	(1)	—	(1)
Total Transportation and Electronics Business Segment	2,268	2,355	4,608	4,751
Food Safety	89	94	181	181
Health Information Systems	309	299	609	588
Medical Solutions	1,169	1,166	2,297	2,259
Oral Care	357	363	711	723
Separation and Purification Sciences	255	247	505	487
Other Health Care	—	(4)	—	(4)
Total Health Care Business Group	2,179	2,165	4,303	4,234
Consumer Health and Safety	145	157	306	301
Home Care	261	268	535	540
Home Improvement	582	645	1,191	1,249
Stationery and Office	342	332	611	600
Other Consumer	—	(2)	—	(1)
Total Consumer Business Group	1,330	1,400	2,643	2,689
Corporate and Unallocated	1	1	2	(1)
Total Company	\$ 8,702	\$ 8,950	\$ 17,531	\$ 17,801

Net Sales (Millions)	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2022	2021	2022	2021
Americas	\$ 4,751	\$ 4,582	\$ 9,189	\$ 8,910
Asia Pacific	2,447	2,655	5,217	5,424
Europe, Middle East and Africa	1,504	1,714	3,125	3,469
Other Unallocated	—	(1)	—	(2)
Worldwide	\$ 8,702	\$ 8,950	\$ 17,531	\$ 17,801

Americas included United States net sales to customers of \$3.9 billion and \$7.5 billion for the three and six months ended June 30, 2022, respectively, and \$3.8 billion and \$7.4 billion for the three and six months ended June 30, 2021, respectively.

NOTE 3. Acquisitions and Divestitures

Refer to Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in 3M's Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 26, 2022 (which updated 3M's 2021 Annual Report on Form 10-K) for more information on relevant pre-2022 acquisitions and divestitures.

Acquisitions:

3M makes acquisitions of certain businesses from time to time that are aligned with its strategic intent with respect to, among other factors, growth markets and adjacent product lines or technologies. Goodwill resulting from business combinations is largely attributable to the existing workforce of the acquired businesses and synergies expected to arise after 3M's acquisition of these businesses.

2022 acquisitions:

There were no acquisitions that closed during the six months ended June 30, 2022.

Divestitures:

3M may divest certain businesses from time to time based upon review of the Company's portfolio considering, among other items, factors relative to the extent of strategic and technological alignment and optimization of capital deployment, in addition to considering if selling the businesses results in the greatest value creation for the Company and for shareholders. As discussed in Note 16 (Business Segments), gains/losses on sale of businesses are reflected in Corporate and Unallocated.

2022 divestitures and previously announced divestitures:

In March 2022, 3M completed the sale of its floor products business in Western Europe, formerly part of the Consumer business, for immaterial proceeds that approximated the business's book value.

In December 2021, 3M entered into agreements with Neogen Corporation pursuant to which 3M will separate its Food Safety Division business (part of the Health Care business) and combine it with Neogen in a transaction that is intended to be tax-efficient to 3M and its shareholders for U.S. federal income tax purposes. Under the terms of the agreements, which involve a tax-free Reverse Morris Trust, the Food Safety business would be either spun off or split off to 3M shareholders and simultaneously merged with Neogen. Existing Neogen shareholders will continue to own approximately 49.9% of the combined company and 3M shareholders will receive approximately 50.1% of the combined company. In connection with the transaction, the Food Safety business will incur new debt and fund to 3M consideration valued at approximately \$1.0 billion, subject to closing and other adjustments. In late July 2022, 3M announced it intends to complete the transaction through a split-off with a closing date of September 1, 2022, subject to approval by Neogen shareholders, receipt of required regulatory approvals and the satisfaction of other customary closing conditions. Net sales information relative to the Food Safety Division is included in Note 2. Due to factors such as the nature of the transaction and underlying approvals, the Food Safety business is not considered held for sale as of June 30, 2022.

In July 2022, 3M announced its intention to spin off the Health Care business as a separate public company. 3M expects to initially retain an ownership position of 19.9% in the business, which 3M intends to monetize over time. The Company expects to complete the transaction, which is intended to be tax-free for U.S. federal income tax purposes, by year-end 2023. Because the intended transaction is a spin-off, the Health Care business will not be classified as held for sale.

Operating income and held for sale amounts:

Operating income information of the Health Care business, inclusive of the Food Safety Division, is included in Note 16. The amounts of major assets and liabilities associated with disposal groups classified as held-for-sale as December 31, 2021 were not material.

NOTE 4. Goodwill and Intangible Assets

There was no goodwill recorded from acquisitions during the first six months of 2022. The amounts in the “Translation and other” row in the following table primarily relate to changes in foreign currency exchange rates. The goodwill balance by business segment as of December 31, 2021 and June 30, 2022, follow:

Goodwill

(Millions)	Safety and Industrial	Transportation and Electronics	Health Care	Consumer	Total Company
Balance as of December 31, 2021	\$ 4,622	\$ 1,825	\$ 6,786	\$ 253	\$ 13,486
Translation and other	(86)	(50)	(269)	(17)	(422)
Balance as of June 30, 2022	\$ 4,536	\$ 1,775	\$ 6,517	\$ 236	\$ 13,064

Accounting standards require that goodwill be tested for impairment annually and between annual tests in certain circumstances such as a change in reporting units or the testing of recoverability of a significant asset group within a reporting unit. At 3M, reporting units correspond to a division. 3M will continue to monitor its reporting units and asset groups in 2022 for any triggering events or other indicators of impairment.

Acquired Intangible Assets

The carrying amount and accumulated amortization of acquired finite-lived intangible assets, in addition to the balance of non-amortizable intangible assets, as of June 30, 2022, and December 31, 2021, follow:

(Millions)	June 30, 2022	December 31, 2021
Customer related intangible assets	\$ 4,122	\$ 4,216
Patents	504	513
Other technology-based intangible assets	2,111	2,111
Definite-lived tradenames	1,169	1,171
Other amortizable intangible assets	90	105
Total gross carrying amount	7,996	8,116
Accumulated amortization — customer related	(1,679)	(1,616)
Accumulated amortization — patents	(496)	(500)
Accumulated amortization — other technology-based	(932)	(839)
Accumulated amortization — definite-lived tradenames	(478)	(447)
Accumulated amortization — other	(66)	(79)
Total accumulated amortization	(3,651)	(3,481)
Total finite-lived intangible assets — net	4,345	4,635
Non-amortizable intangible assets (primarily tradenames)	648	653
Total intangible assets — net	\$ 4,993	\$ 5,288

Certain tradenames acquired by 3M are not amortized because they have been in existence for over 60 years, have a history of leading-market share positions, have been and are intended to be continuously renewed, and the associated products of which are expected to generate cash flows for 3M for an indefinite period of time.

Amortization expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2022 and 2021 follows:

(Millions)	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2022	2021	2022	2021
Amortization expense	\$ 129	\$ 134	\$ 260	\$ 267

Expected amortization expense for acquired amortizable intangible assets recorded as of June 30, 2022:

(Millions)	Remainder of 2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	After 2027
Amortization expense	\$ 250	\$ 481	\$ 453	\$ 423	\$ 416	\$ 391	\$ 1,931

The preceding expected amortization expense is an estimate. Actual amounts of amortization expense may differ from estimated amounts due to additional intangible asset acquisitions, changes in foreign currency exchange rates, impairment of intangible assets, accelerated amortization of intangible assets and other events. 3M expenses the costs incurred to renew or extend the term of intangible assets.

NOTE 5. Restructuring Actions

2022 and 2021 Restructuring Actions:

Operational/Marketing Capability Restructuring:

As described in Note 5 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in 3M's Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 26, 2022 (which updated 3M's 2021 Annual Report on Form 10-K), in late 2020, 3M announced it would undertake certain actions beginning in the fourth quarter of 2020 to further enhance its operations and marketing capabilities to take advantage of certain global market trends while de-prioritizing investments in slower-growth end markets. In 2021, management approved and committed to undertake additional actions under this initiative resulting in a 2021 pre-tax charge of \$124 million. In the first quarter of 2022, management approved and committed to undertake the remaining actions under this initiative resulting in a pre-tax charge of \$18 million. This initiative, begun in 2020 and ending with committed first quarter 2022 actions, impacted approximately 3,100 positions worldwide with a pre-tax charge of approximately \$280 million over that period. The related restructuring charges for periods presented were recorded in the income statement as follows:

(Millions)	Six months ended June 30,	
	2022	2021
Cost of sales	\$ —	\$ 12
Selling, general and administrative expenses	12	32
Research, development and related expenses	6	13
Total operating income impact	\$ 18	\$ 57

The business segment operating income impact of these restructuring charges is summarized as follows:

(Millions)	Six months ended June 30,	
	Employee-Related	
	2022	2021
Safety and Industrial	\$ 2	\$ 9
Transportation and Electronics	4	12
Health Care	2	8
Consumer	2	4
Corporate and Unallocated	8	24
Total Operating Expense	\$ 18	\$ 57

Restructuring actions, including cash and non-cash impacts, follow:

(Millions)	Employee-Related
Accrued restructuring action balance as of December 31, 2021	\$ 87
Incremental expense incurred in the first quarter of 2022	18
Cash payments	(84)
Adjustments	(9)
Accrued restructuring action balances as of June 30, 2022	<u>\$ 12</u>

Remaining activities related to this restructuring are expected to be largely completed through the third quarter of 2022.

NOTE 6. Supplemental Income Statement Information

Other expense (income), net consists of the following:

(Millions)	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2022	2021	2022	2021
Interest expense	\$ 128	\$ 121	\$ 241	\$ 253
Interest income	(11)	(8)	(19)	(12)
Pension and postretirement net periodic benefit cost (benefit)	(67)	(80)	(134)	(159)
Total	<u>\$ 50</u>	<u>\$ 33</u>	<u>\$ 88</u>	<u>\$ 82</u>

Interest expense includes an early debt extinguishment pre-tax charge of approximately \$11 million in the first quarter of 2021.

Pension and postretirement net periodic benefit costs described in the table above include all components of defined benefit plan net periodic benefit costs except service cost, which is reported in various operating expense lines. Refer to Note 11 for additional details on the components of pension and postretirement net periodic benefit costs.

NOTE 7. Supplemental Equity and Comprehensive Income Information

Cash dividends declared and paid totaled \$1.49 and \$1.48 per share for the first and second quarters of 2022 and 2021, respectively, or \$2.98 and \$2.96 per share for the first six months of 2022 and 2021, respectively.

Consolidated Changes in Equity

Three months ended June 30, 2022

(Millions)	Total	3M Company Shareholders				
		Common Stock and Additional Paid-in Capital	Retained Earnings	Treasury Stock	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Non- controlling Interest
Balance at March 31, 2022	\$ 15,004	\$ 6,568	\$ 46,056	\$ (30,860)	\$ (6,834)	\$ 74
Net income	82		78			4
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:						
Cumulative translation adjustment	(705)				(701)	(4)
Defined benefit pension and post-retirement plans adjustment	85				85	
Cash flow hedging instruments	88				88	
Total other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	(532)					
Dividends declared	(848)		(848)			
Stock-based compensation	48	48				
Reacquired stock	—			—		
Issuances pursuant to stock option and benefit plans	62		(17)	79		
Balance at June 30, 2022	\$ 13,816	\$ 6,616	\$ 45,269	\$ (30,781)	\$ (7,362)	\$ 74

Six months ended June 30, 2022

(Millions)	3M Company Shareholders					
	Total	Common Stock and Additional Paid-in Capital	Retained Earnings	Treasury Stock	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Non-controlling Interest
Balance at December 31, 2021	\$ 15,117	\$ 6,438	\$ 45,821	\$ (30,463)	\$ (6,750)	\$ 71
Net income	1,385		1,377			8
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:						
Cumulative translation adjustment	(876)				(871)	(5)
Defined benefit pension and post-retirement plans adjustment	172				172	
Cash flow hedging instruments	87				87	
Total other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	(617)					
Dividends declared	(1,700)		(1,700)			
Stock-based compensation	178	178				
Reacquired stock	(773)			(773)		
Issuances pursuant to stock option and benefit plans	226		(229)	455		
Balance at June 30, 2022	\$ 13,816	\$ 6,616	\$ 45,269	\$ (30,781)	\$ (7,362)	\$ 74

Three months ended June 30, 2021

(Millions)	3M Company Shareholders					
	Total	Common Stock and Additional Paid-in Capital	Retained Earnings	Treasury Stock	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Non-controlling Interest
Balance at March 31, 2021	\$ 13,828	\$ 6,292	\$ 44,255	\$ (29,020)	\$ (7,767)	\$ 68
Net income	1,525		1,524			1
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:						
Cumulative translation adjustment	170				171	(1)
Defined benefit pension and post-retirement plans adjustment	121				121	
Cash flow hedging instruments	(11)				(11)	
Total other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	280					
Dividends declared	(858)		(858)			
Stock-based compensation	54	54				
Reacquired stock	(499)			(499)		
Issuances pursuant to stock option and benefit plans	186		(97)	283		
Balance at June 30, 2021	\$ 14,516	\$ 6,346	\$ 44,824	\$ (29,236)	\$ (7,486)	\$ 68

Six months ended June 30, 2021

(Millions)	3M Company Shareholders					
	Total	Common Stock and Additional Paid-in Capital	Retained Earnings	Treasury Stock	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Non-controlling Interest
Balance at December 31, 2020	\$ 12,931	\$ 6,171	\$ 43,821	\$ (29,404)	\$ (7,721)	\$ 64
Net income	3,152		3,148			4
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:						
Cumulative translation adjustment	(52)				(52)	—
Defined benefit pension and post-retirement plans adjustment	240				240	
Cash flow hedging instruments	47				47	
Total other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	235					
Dividends declared	(1,716)		(1,716)			
Stock-based compensation	175	175				
Reacquired stock	(742)			(742)		
Issuances pursuant to stock option and benefit plans	481		(429)	910		
Balance at June 30, 2021	\$ 14,516	\$ 6,346	\$ 44,824	\$ (29,236)	\$ (7,486)	\$ 68

Changes in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) Attributable to 3M by Component

Three months ended June 30, 2022

(Millions)	Cumulative Translation Adjustment	Defined Benefit Pension and Postretirement Plans Adjustment	Cash Flow Hedging Instruments, Unrealized Gain (Loss)	Total Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)
Balance at March 31, 2022, net of tax:	\$ (2,113)	\$ (4,666)	\$ (55)	\$ (6,834)
Other comprehensive income (loss), before tax:				
Amounts before reclassifications	(664)	—	128	(536)
Amounts reclassified out	—	112	(15)	97
Total other comprehensive income (loss), before tax	(664)	112	113	(439)
Tax effect	(37)	(27)	(25)	(89)
Total other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	(701)	85	88	(528)
Balance at June 30, 2022, net of tax:	\$ (2,814)	\$ (4,581)	\$ 33	\$ (7,362)

Six months ended June 30, 2022

(Millions)	Cumulative Translation Adjustment	Defined Benefit Pension and Postretirement Plans Adjustment	Cash Flow Hedging Instruments, Unrealized Gain (Loss)	Total Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)
Balance at December 31, 2021, net of tax:	\$ (1,943)	\$ (4,753)	\$ (54)	\$ (6,750)
Other comprehensive income (loss), before tax:				
Amounts before reclassifications	(814)	—	134	(680)
Amounts reclassified out	—	227	(22)	205
Total other comprehensive income (loss), before tax	(814)	227	112	(475)
Tax effect	(57)	(55)	(25)	(137)
Total other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	(871)	172	87	(612)
Balance at June 30, 2022, net of tax:	\$ (2,814)	\$ (4,581)	\$ 33	\$ (7,362)

Three months ended June 30, 2021

(Millions)	Cumulative Translation Adjustment	Defined Benefit Pension and Postretirement Plans Adjustment	Cash Flow Hedging Instruments, Unrealized Gain (Loss)	Total Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)
Balance at March 31, 2021, net of tax:	\$ (1,673)	\$ (5,979)	\$ (115)	\$ (7,767)
Other comprehensive income (loss), before tax:				
Amounts before reclassifications	159	—	(26)	133
Amounts reclassified out	—	160	12	172
Total other comprehensive income (loss), before tax	159	160	(14)	305
Tax effect	12	(39)	3	(24)
Total other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	171	121	(11)	281
Balance at June 30, 2021, net of tax:	\$ (1,502)	\$ (5,858)	\$ (126)	\$ (7,486)

Six months ended June 30, 2021

(Millions)	Cumulative Translation Adjustment	Defined Benefit Pension and Postretirement Plans Adjustment	Cash Flow Hedging Instruments, Unrealized Gain (Loss)	Total Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)
Balance at December 31, 2020, net of tax:	\$ (1,450)	\$ (6,098)	\$ (173)	\$ (7,721)
Other comprehensive income (loss), before tax:				
Amounts before reclassifications	(17)	—	40	23
Amounts reclassified out	—	319	21	340
Total other comprehensive income (loss), before tax	(17)	319	61	363
Tax effect	(35)	(79)	(14)	(128)
Total other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	(52)	240	47	235
Balance at June 30, 2021, net of tax:	\$ (1,502)	\$ (5,858)	\$ (126)	\$ (7,486)

Income taxes are not provided for foreign translation relating to permanent investments in international subsidiaries, but tax effects within cumulative translation do include impacts from items such as net investment hedge transactions. Reclassification adjustments are made to avoid double counting in comprehensive income items that are subsequently recorded as part of net income.

Reclassifications out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income Attributable to 3M

Details about Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income Components (Millions)	Amount Reclassified from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income				Location on Income Statement
	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,		
	2022	2021	2022	2021	
Defined benefit pension and postretirement plans adjustments					
Gains (losses) associated with defined benefit pension and postretirement plans amortization					
Transition asset	\$ (1)	\$ (1)	(1)	(1)	Other (expense) income, net
Prior service benefit	15	15	28	30	Other (expense) income, net
Net actuarial loss	(125)	(173)	(252)	(346)	Other (expense) income, net
Curtailments/Settlements	(1)	(1)	(2)	(2)	Other (expense) income, net
Total before tax	(112)	(160)	(227)	(319)	
Tax effect	27	39	55	79	Provision for income taxes
Net of tax	(85)	(121)	(172)	(240)	
Cash flow hedging instruments gains (losses)					
Foreign currency forward/option contracts	17	(10)	26	(17)	Cost of sales
Interest rate contracts	(2)	(2)	(4)	(4)	Interest expense
Total before tax	15	(12)	22	(21)	
Tax effect	(3)	3	(5)	5	Provision for income taxes
Net of tax	12	(9)	17	(16)	
Total reclassifications for the period, net of tax	\$ (73)	\$ (130)	(155)	(256)	

NOTE 8. Income Taxes

The effective tax rate for the second quarter of 2022 was (38.3) percent, a decrease from 21.5 percent in the prior year. The effective tax rate for the first six months of 2022 was 16.8 percent, as compared to 18.9 percent in the prior year. The primary factor that decreased the Company's effective tax rate for both periods was the tax impact associated with the second quarter 2022 charge related to steps toward resolving Combat Arms Earplugs litigation (discussed in Note 14).

The total amounts of unrecognized tax benefits that, if recognized, would affect the effective tax rate as of June 30, 2022 and December 31, 2021 are \$1,149 million and \$1,112 million, respectively. It is reasonably possible that the amount of unrecognized tax benefits could significantly change within the next 12 months. At this time, the Company is not able to estimate the range by which these potential events could impact 3M's unrecognized tax benefits in the next 12 months.

As of June 30, 2022 and December 31, 2021, the Company had valuation allowances of \$140 million and \$142 million on its deferred tax assets, respectively.

NOTE 9. Marketable Securities

The Company invests in asset-backed securities, certificates of deposit/time deposits, commercial paper, and other securities. The following is a summary of amounts recorded on the Consolidated Balance Sheet for marketable securities (current and non-current).

(Millions)	June 30, 2022	December 31, 2021
Commercial paper	\$ 245	\$ 109
Certificates of deposit/time deposits	14	14
U.S. treasury securities	—	75
U.S. municipal securities	3	3
Current marketable securities	262	201
U.S. municipal securities	27	27
Non-current marketable securities	27	27
Total marketable securities	\$ 289	\$ 228

At June 30, 2022 and December 31, 2021, gross unrealized, gross realized, and net realized gains and/or losses (pre-tax) were not material.

The balances at June 30, 2022 for marketable securities by contractual maturity are shown below. Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities because the issuers of the securities may have the right to prepay obligations without prepayment penalties.

(Millions)	June 30, 2022
Due in one year or less	\$ 262
Due after one year through five years	15
Due after five years through ten years	12
Total marketable securities	\$ 289

NOTE 10. Long-Term Debt and Short-Term Borrowings

In February 2022, 3M repaid 500 million euros aggregate principal amount of fixed-rate medium-term notes that matured. In June 2022, 3M repaid \$600 million aggregate principal amount of fixed-rate medium-term notes that matured.

2021 issuances, maturities, and extinguishments of short- and long-term debt are described in Note 5 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in 3M's Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 26, 2022 (which updated 3M's 2021 Annual Report on Form 10-K).

The Company had \$350 million and no commercial paper outstanding at June 30, 2022 and December 31, 2021, respectively.

In June 2022, 3M entered into a debt financing facility providing a commitment for term loans of \$650 million related to the intended Food Safety Division split-off transaction (discussed in Note 3). The term loan commitment reduces the previous December 2021 \$1 billion debt financing commitment down to \$350 million of remaining potential bridge financing for the Food Safety business's payment of approximately \$1 billion of consideration, subject to closing and other adjustments, to 3M under the terms of the transaction. Amounts outstanding under the term loan commitment are payable over five years following the closing date while those under the remaining \$350 million bridge financing facility have a term of 364 days following the borrowing date and are required to be repaid when certain conditions are met, including upon completion of permanent financing. The June 2022 debt commitment also included a \$150 million revolving credit facility intended for the Food Safety business. These commitments were undrawn at June 30, 2022. Upon the close of the split-off transaction, outstanding obligations under the commitments (including the \$150 million revolving credit facility) transfer with the Food Safety business and become those of the separate newly combined company.

Future Maturities of Long-term Debt

Maturities of long-term debt in the table below reflect the impact of put provisions associated with certain debt instruments and are net of the unamortized debt issue costs such that total maturities equal the carrying value of long-term debt as of June 30, 2022. The maturities of long-term debt for the periods subsequent to June 30, 2022 are as follows (in millions):

Remainder of 2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	After 2027	Total
\$ 124	\$ 1,874	\$ 1,100	\$ 1,793	\$ 1,426	\$ 845	\$ 8,759	\$ 15,921

NOTE 11. Pension and Postretirement Benefit Plans

The service cost component of defined benefit net periodic benefit cost is recorded in cost of sales; selling, general and administrative expenses; and research, development and related expenses. The other components of net periodic benefit cost are reflected in other expense (income), net. Components of net periodic benefit cost and other supplemental information for the three and six months ended June 30, 2022 and 2021 follow:

Benefit Plan Information

(Millions)	Three months ended June 30,					
	Qualified and Non-qualified Pension Benefits				Postretirement Benefits	
	United States		International			
	2022	2021	2022	2021	2022	2021
Net periodic benefit cost (benefit)						
Operating expense						
Service cost	\$ 64	\$ 72	\$ 33	\$ 42	\$ 10	\$ 11
Non-operating expense						
Interest cost	104	90	32	25	13	11
Expected return on plan assets	(241)	(264)	(70)	(82)	(17)	(20)
Amortization of transition asset	—	—	1	1	—	—
Amortization of prior service benefit	(6)	(6)	—	(1)	(9)	(8)
Amortization of net actuarial loss	106	132	9	27	10	14
Settlements, curtailments, special termination benefits and other	—	—	—	—	1	1
Total non-operating expense (benefit)	(37)	(48)	(28)	(30)	(2)	(2)
Total net periodic benefit cost (benefit)	\$ 27	\$ 24	\$ 5	\$ 12	\$ 8	\$ 9

(Millions)	Six months ended June 30,					
	Qualified and Non-qualified Pension Benefits				Postretirement Benefits	
	United States		International			
	2022	2021	2022	2021	2022	2021
Net periodic benefit cost (benefit)						
Operating expense						
Service cost	\$ 128	\$ 144	\$ 68	\$ 84	\$ 21	\$ 23
Non-operating expense						
Interest cost	208	180	64	50	26	22
Expected return on plan assets	(482)	(528)	(142)	(163)	(35)	(39)
Amortization of transition asset	—	—	1	1	—	—
Amortization of prior service benefit	(12)	(12)	—	(2)	(16)	(16)
Amortization of net actuarial loss	212	264	20	54	20	28
Settlements, curtailments, special termination benefits and other	—	—	—	—	2	2
Total non-operating expense (benefit)	(74)	(96)	(57)	(60)	(3)	(3)
Total net periodic benefit cost (benefit)	\$ 54	\$ 48	\$ 11	\$ 24	\$ 18	\$ 20

For the six months ended June 30, 2022 contributions totaling \$78 million were made to the Company's U.S. and international pension plans and \$2 million to its postretirement plans. For total year 2022, the Company expects to contribute in the range of \$100 million to \$200 million of cash to its global defined benefit pension and postretirement plans. The Company does not have a required minimum cash pension contribution obligation for its U.S. plans in 2022. Future contributions will depend on market conditions, interest rates and other factors. 3M's annual measurement date for pension and postretirement assets and liabilities is December 31 each year, which is also the date used for the related annual measurement assumptions.

NOTE 12. Derivatives

The Company uses interest rate swaps, currency swaps, and forward and option contracts to manage risks generally associated with foreign exchange rate, interest rate and commodity price fluctuations. Note 14 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in 3M's Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 26, 2022 (which updated 3M's 2021 Annual Report on Form 10-K) explains the types of derivatives and financial instruments used by 3M, how and why 3M uses such instruments, and how such instruments are accounted for. It also contains information regarding previously initiated contracts or instruments.

Additional information with respect to derivatives is included elsewhere as follows:

- Impact on other comprehensive income of nonderivative hedging and derivative instruments is included in Note 7.
- Fair value of derivative instruments is included in Note 13.
- Derivatives and/or hedging instruments associated with the Company's long-term debt are described in Note 12 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in 3M's Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 26, 2022 (which updated 3M's 2021 Annual Report on Form 10-K).

Refer to the section below titled *Statement of Income Location and Impact of Cash Flow and Fair Value Derivative Instruments and Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments* for details on the location within the consolidated statements of income for amounts of gains and losses related to derivative instruments designated as cash flow or fair value hedges (along with similar information relative to the hedged items) and derivatives not designated as hedging instruments. Additional information relative to cash flow hedges, fair value hedges, net investment hedges and derivatives not designated as hedging instruments is included below as applicable.

Cash Flow Hedges:

As of June 30, 2022, the Company had a balance of \$33 million associated with the after-tax net unrealized loss associated with cash flow hedging instruments recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income. This includes a remaining balance of \$97 million (after-tax loss) related to the forward starting interest rate swap and treasury rate lock contracts, which will be amortized over the respective lives of the notes. Based on exchange rates as of June 30, 2022, of the total after-tax net unrealized balance as of June 30, 2022, 3M expects to reclassify approximately \$83 million after-tax net unrealized gain over the next 12 months (with the impact offset by earnings/losses from underlying hedged items).

The amount of pretax gain (loss) recognized in other comprehensive income related to derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges is provided in the following table.

(Millions)	Pretax Gain (Loss) Recognized in Other Comprehensive Income on Derivative			
	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2022	2021	2022	2021
Foreign currency forward/option contracts	\$ 128	\$ (26)	\$ 134	\$ 40
Interest rate contracts	—	—	—	—
Total	\$ 128	\$ (26)	\$ 134	\$ 40

Fair Value Hedges:

The following amounts were recorded on the consolidated balance sheet related to cumulative basis adjustments for fair value hedges:

(Millions)	Carrying Value of the Hedged Liabilities		Cumulative Amount of Fair Value Hedging Adjustment Included in the Carrying Value of the Hedged Liabilities	
	June 30, 2022	December 31, 2021	June 30, 2022	December 31, 2021
	Location on the Consolidated Balance Sheet			
Short-term borrowings and current portion of long-term debt	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Long-term debt	926	997	(75)	(4)
Total	\$ 926	\$ 997	\$ (75)	\$ (4)

Net Investment Hedges:

At June 30, 2022, the total notional amount of foreign exchange forward contracts designated in net investment hedges was approximately 150 million euros, along with a principal amount of long-term debt instruments designated in net investment hedges totaling 2.4 billion euros. The maturity dates of these derivative and nonderivative instruments designated in net investment hedges range from 2023 to 2031.

The amount of gain (loss) excluded from effectiveness testing recognized in income relative to instruments designated in net investment hedge relationships is not material. The amount of pretax gain (loss) recognized in other comprehensive income related to derivative and nonderivative instruments designated as net investment hedges are as follows.

(Millions)	Pretax Gain (Loss) Recognized as Cumulative Translation within Other Comprehensive Income			
	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2022	2021	2022	2021
Foreign currency denominated debt	\$ 133	\$ (55)	\$ 192	\$ 112
Foreign currency forward contracts	9	(1)	11	1
Total	\$ 142	\$ (56)	\$ 203	\$ 113

Statement of Income Location and Impact of Cash Flow and Fair Value Derivative Instruments and Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments

The location in the consolidated statement of income and pre-tax amounts recognized in income related to derivative instruments designated in cash flow or fair value hedging relationships and for derivatives not designated as hedging instruments are as follows:

(Millions)	Location and Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income							
	Three months ended June 30,				Six months ended June 30,			
	Cost of sales		Other expense (income), net		Cost of sales		Other expense (income), net	
	2022	2021	2022	2021	2022	2021	2022	2021
Information regarding cash flow and fair value hedging relationships:								
Total amounts of income and expense line items presented in the consolidated statement of income in which the effects of derivatives are recorded								
	\$ 5,093	\$ 4,719	\$ 50	\$ 33	\$ 9,919	\$ 9,244	\$ 88	\$ 82
Gain or (loss) on cash flow hedging relationships:								
Foreign currency forward/option contracts:								
Amount of gain or (loss) reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income into income	17	(10)	—	—	26	(17)	—	—
Interest rate contracts:								
Amount of gain or (loss) reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income into income	—	—	(2)	(2)	—	—	(4)	(4)
Gain or (loss) on fair value hedging relationships:								
Interest rate contracts:								
Hedged items	—	—	23	(2)	—	—	71	—
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments	—	—	(23)	2	—	—	(71)	—
Information regarding derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:								
Gain or (loss) on derivatives not designated as instruments:								
Foreign currency forward/option contracts	(46)	—	(1)	6	(66)	—	24	28

Location, Fair Value, and Gross Notional Amounts of Derivative Instruments

The following tables summarize the fair value of 3M’s derivative instruments, excluding nonderivative instruments used as hedging instruments, and their location in the consolidated balance sheet. Notional amounts below are presented at period end foreign exchange rates, except for certain interest rate swaps, which are presented using the inception date’s foreign exchange rate.

(Millions)	Gross Notional Amount		Location	Assets		Location	Liabilities	
	June 30, 2022	December 31, 2021		Fair Value Amount			Fair Value Amount	
				June 30, 2022	December 31, 2021		June 30, 2022	December 31, 2021
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments								
Foreign currency forward/option contracts	2,041	1,768	Other current assets	\$ 110	\$ 54	Other current liabilities	\$ 3	\$ 19
Foreign currency forward/option contracts	809	800	Other assets	70	41	Other liabilities	1	1
Interest rate contracts	800	800	Other assets	—	—	Other liabilities	80	9
Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments				180	95		84	29
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments								
Foreign currency forward/option contracts	4,749	3,731	Other current assets	5	24	Other current liabilities	41	4
Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments				5	24		41	4
Total derivative instruments				\$ 185	\$ 119		\$ 125	\$ 33

Credit Risk and Offsetting of Assets and Liabilities of Derivative Instruments

The Company is exposed to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by counterparties in interest rate swaps, currency swaps, and forward and option contracts. However, the Company’s risk is limited to the fair value of the instruments. The Company actively monitors its exposure to credit risk through the use of credit approvals and credit limits, and by selecting major international banks and financial institutions as counterparties. 3M enters into master netting arrangements with counterparties when possible to mitigate credit risk in derivative transactions. A master netting arrangement may allow each counterparty to net settle amounts owed between a 3M entity and the counterparty as a result of multiple, separate derivative transactions. The Company does not anticipate nonperformance by any of these counterparties.

3M has elected to present the fair value of derivative assets and liabilities within the Company’s consolidated balance sheet on a gross basis even when derivative transactions are subject to master netting arrangements and may otherwise qualify for net presentation. However, the following tables provide information as if the Company had elected to offset the asset and liability balances of derivative instruments, netted in accordance with various criteria in the event of default or termination as stipulated by the terms of netting arrangements with each of the counterparties. For each counterparty, if netted, the Company would offset the asset and liability balances of all derivatives at the end of the reporting period based on the 3M entity that is a party to the transactions. Derivatives not subject to master netting agreements are not eligible for net presentation.

Offsetting of Financial Assets under Master Netting Agreements with Derivative Counterparties

(Millions)	Gross Amount of Derivative Assets Presented in the Consolidated Balance Sheet		Gross Amounts not Offset in the Consolidated Balance Sheet that are Subject to Master Netting Agreements					
			Gross Amount of Eligible Offsetting Recognized Derivative Liabilities		Cash Collateral Received		Net Amount of Derivative Assets	
	June 30, 2022	December 31, 2021	June 30, 2022	December 31, 2021	June 30, 2022	December 31, 2021	June 30, 2022	December 31, 2021
Derivatives subject to master netting agreements	\$ 185	\$ 119	\$ 39	\$ 25	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 146	\$ 94
Derivatives not subject to master netting agreements	—	—					—	—
Total	\$ 185	\$ 119					\$ 146	\$ 94

Offsetting of Financial Liabilities under Master Netting Agreements with Derivative Counterparties

(Millions)	Gross Amount of Derivative Liabilities Presented in the Consolidated Balance Sheet		Gross Amounts not Offset in the Consolidated Balance Sheet that are Subject to Master Netting Agreements					
			Gross Amount of Eligible Offsetting Recognized Derivative Assets		Cash Collateral Received		Net Amount of Derivative Liabilities	
	June 30, 2022	December 31, 2021	June 30, 2022	December 31, 2021	June 30, 2022	December 31, 2021	June 30, 2022	December 31, 2021
Derivatives subject to master netting agreements	\$ 124	\$ 33	\$ 39	\$ 25	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 85	\$ 8
Derivatives not subject to master netting agreements	1	—					1	—
Total	\$ 125	\$ 33					\$ 86	\$ 8

Currency Effects

3M estimates that year-on-year foreign currency transaction effects, including hedging impacts, increased pre-tax income by approximately \$10 million and \$27 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2022, respectively, and decreased pre-tax income by approximately \$48 million and \$58 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2021, respectively. These estimates include transaction gains and losses, including derivative instruments designed to reduce foreign currency exchange rate risks.

NOTE 13. Fair Value Measurements

3M follows ASC 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, with respect to assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis and nonrecurring basis.

In addition to the information above, refer to Note 15 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in 3M's Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 26, 2022 (which updated 3M's 2021 Annual Report on Form 10-K) for a qualitative discussion of the assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring and nonrecurring basis, a description of the valuation methodologies used by 3M, and categorization within the valuation framework of ASC 820.

The following tables provide information by level for assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis.

Description (Millions)	Fair Value Measurements Using Inputs Considered as							
	Fair Value at		Level 1		Level 2		Level 3	
	June 30, 2022	December 31, 2021	June 30, 2022	December 31, 2021	June 30, 2022	December 31, 2021	June 30, 2022	December 31, 2021
Assets:								
Available-for-sale:								
Marketable securities:								
Commercial paper	245	109	—	—	245	109	—	—
Certificates of deposit/time deposits	14	14	—	—	14	14	—	—
U.S. treasury securities	—	75	—	75	—	—	—	—
U.S. municipal securities	30	30	—	—	—	—	30	30
Derivative instruments — assets:								
Foreign currency forward/option contracts	185	119	—	—	185	119	—	—
Liabilities:								
Derivative instruments — liabilities:								
Foreign currency forward/option contracts	45	24	—	—	45	24	—	—
Interest rate contracts	80	9	—	—	80	9	—	—

The following table provides a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances of items measured at fair value on a recurring basis in the table above that used significant unobservable inputs (level 3).

Marketable securities — certain U.S. municipal securities only (Millions)	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2022	2021	2022	2021
	Beginning balance	\$ 30	\$ 34	\$ 30
Total gains or losses:				
Included in earnings	—	—	—	—
Included in other comprehensive income	—	—	—	—
Purchases and issuances	—	—	—	—
Sales and settlements	—	—	—	—
Transfers in and/or out of level 3	—	—	—	—
Ending balance	\$ 30	\$ 34	\$ 30	\$ 34
Change in unrealized gains or losses for the period included in earnings for securities held at the end of the reporting period	—	—	—	—

In addition, the plan assets of 3M's pension and postretirement benefit plans are measured at fair value on a recurring basis (at least annually). Refer to Note 13 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in 3M's Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 26, 2022 (which updated 3M's 2021 Annual Report on Form 10-K).

Assets and Liabilities that are Measured at Fair Value on a Nonrecurring Basis:

Disclosures are required for certain assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value, but are recognized and disclosed at fair value on a nonrecurring basis in periods subsequent to initial recognition. For 3M, such measurements of fair value relate primarily to indefinite-lived and long-lived asset impairments, goodwill impairments, and adjustment in carrying value of equity securities for which the measurement alternative of cost less impairment plus or minus observable price changes is used. There were no material impairments of assets or adjustments to equity securities using the measurement alternative for the three and six months ended June 30, 2022 and 2021.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments:

The Company’s financial instruments include cash and cash equivalents, marketable securities, accounts receivable, certain investments, accounts payable, borrowings, and derivative contracts. The fair values of cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable, and short-term borrowings and current portion of long-term debt approximated carrying values because of the short-term nature of these instruments. Available-for-sale marketable securities, in addition to certain derivative instruments, are recorded at fair values as indicated in the preceding disclosures. To estimate fair values (classified as level 2) for its long-term debt, the Company utilized third-party quotes, which are derived all or in part from model prices, external sources, market prices, or the third-party’s internal records. Information with respect to the carrying amounts and estimated fair values of these financial instruments follow:

(Millions)	June 30, 2022		December 31, 2021	
	Carrying Value	Fair Value	Carrying Value	Fair Value
Long-term debt, excluding current portion	\$ 14,019	\$ 14,304	\$ 16,056	\$ 17,601

The fair values reflected above consider the terms of the related debt absent the impacts of derivative/hedging activity. The carrying amount of long-term debt referenced above is impacted by certain fixed-to-floating interest rate swaps that are designated as fair value hedges and by the designation of certain fixed rate Eurobond securities issued by the Company as hedging instruments of the Company’s net investment in its European subsidiaries.

NOTE 14. Commitments and Contingencies

Legal Proceedings:

The Company and some of its subsidiaries are involved in numerous claims and lawsuits, principally in the United States, and regulatory proceedings worldwide. These claims, lawsuits and proceedings include, but are not limited to, products liability (involving products that the Company now or formerly manufactured and sold), intellectual property, commercial, antitrust, federal healthcare program related laws and regulations, such as the False Claims Act and anti-kickback laws, securities, and environmental laws in the United States and other jurisdictions. Unless otherwise stated, the Company is vigorously defending all such litigation and proceedings. From time to time, the Company also receives subpoenas, investigative demands or requests for information from various government agencies in the United States and foreign countries. The Company generally responds in a cooperative, thorough and timely manner. These responses sometimes require time and effort and can result in considerable costs being incurred by the Company. Such requests can also lead to the assertion of claims or the commencement of administrative, civil, or criminal legal proceedings against the Company and others, as well as to settlements. The outcomes of legal proceedings and regulatory matters are often difficult to predict. Any determination that the Company’s operations or activities are not, or were not, in compliance with applicable laws or regulations could result in the imposition of fines, civil or criminal penalties, and equitable remedies, including disgorgement, suspension or debarment or injunctive relief. Additional information about the Company’s process for disclosure and recording of liabilities and insurance receivables related to legal proceedings can be found in Note 16 “Commitments and Contingencies” to the Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company’s Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 26, 2022 (which updates the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2021).

The following sections first describe the significant legal proceedings in which the Company is involved, and then describe the liabilities and associated insurance receivables the Company has accrued relating to its significant legal proceedings.

Respirator Mask/Asbestos Litigation

As of June 30, 2022, the Company is a named defendant, with multiple co-defendants, in numerous lawsuits in various courts that purport to represent approximately 4,131 individual claimants, compared to approximately 3,876 individual claimants with actions pending December 31, 2021.

The vast majority of the lawsuits and claims resolved by and currently pending against the Company allege use of some of the Company's mask and respirator products and seek damages from the Company and other defendants for alleged personal injury from workplace exposures to asbestos, silica, coal mine dust or other occupational dusts found in products manufactured by other defendants or generally in the workplace. A minority of the lawsuits and claims resolved by and currently pending against the Company generally allege personal injury from occupational exposure to asbestos from products previously manufactured by the Company, which are often unspecified, as well as products manufactured by other defendants, or occasionally at Company premises.

The Company's current volume of new and pending matters is substantially lower than it experienced at the peak of filings in 2003. The Company expects that filing of claims by unimpaired claimants in the future will continue to be at much lower levels than in the past. Accordingly, the number of claims alleging more serious injuries, including mesothelioma, other malignancies, and black lung disease, will represent a greater percentage of total claims than in the past. Over the past twenty plus years, the Company has prevailed in fifteen of the sixteen cases tried to a jury (including the lawsuits in 2018 described below). In 2018, 3M received a jury verdict in its favor in two lawsuits – one in California state court in February and the other in Massachusetts state court in December – both involving allegations that 3M respirators were defective and failed to protect the plaintiffs against asbestos fibers. In April 2018, a jury in state court in Kentucky found 3M's 8710 respirators failed to protect two coal miners from coal mine dust and awarded compensatory damages of approximately \$2 million and punitive damages totaling \$63 million. In August 2018, the trial court entered judgment and the Company appealed. During March and April 2019, the Company agreed in principle to settle a substantial majority of the then-pending coal mine dust lawsuits in Kentucky and West Virginia for \$340 million, including the jury verdict in April 2018 in the Kentucky case mentioned above. That settlement was completed in 2019, and the appeal has been dismissed. In October 2020, 3M defended a respirator case before a jury in King County, Washington, involving a former shipyard worker who alleged 3M's 8710 respirator was defective and that 3M acted negligently in failing to protect him against asbestos fibers. The jury delivered a complete defense verdict in favor of 3M, concluding that the 8710 respirator was not defective in design or warnings and any conduct by 3M was not a cause of plaintiff's mesothelioma. The plaintiff appealed the verdict. In May 2022, the First Division intermediate appellate court in Washington affirmed in part and reversed in part 3M's trial victory, concluding that the trial court misapplied Washington law in instructing the jury about factual causation. 3M will seek review by the Washington Supreme Court.

The Company has demonstrated in these past trial proceedings that its respiratory protection products are effective as claimed when used in the intended manner and in the intended circumstances. Consequently, the Company believes that claimants are unable to establish that their medical conditions, even if significant, are attributable to the Company's respiratory protection products. Nonetheless, the Company's litigation experience indicates that claims of persons alleging more serious injuries, including mesothelioma, other malignancies, and black lung disease, are costlier to resolve than the claims of unimpaired persons, and it therefore believes the average cost of resolving pending and future claims on a per-claim basis will continue to be higher than it experienced in prior periods when the vast majority of claims were asserted by medically unimpaired claimants. Since the second half of 2020, the Company has experienced an increase in the number of cases filed that allege injuries from exposures to coal mine dust; that increase represents the substantial majority of the growth in case numbers referred to above.

As previously reported, the State of West Virginia, through its Attorney General, filed a complaint in 2003 against the Company and two other manufacturers of respiratory protection products in the Circuit Court of Lincoln County, West Virginia, and amended its complaint in 2005. The amended complaint seeks substantial, but unspecified, compensatory damages primarily for reimbursement of the costs allegedly incurred by the State for worker's compensation and healthcare benefits provided to all workers with occupational pneumoconiosis and unspecified punitive damages. In October 2019, the court granted the State's motion to sever its unfair trade practices claim. In January 2020, the manufacturers filed a petition with the West Virginia Supreme Court, challenging the trial court's rulings; that petition was denied in November 2020. Trial for the unfair trade practices claims has been set for November 2022. No liability has been recorded for this matter because the Company believes that liability is not probable and reasonably estimable at this time. In addition, the Company is not able to estimate a possible loss or range of loss given the lack of any meaningful discovery responses by the State of West Virginia, the otherwise minimal activity in this case, and the assertions of claims against two other manufacturers where a defendant's share of liability may turn on the law of joint and several liability and by the amount of fault, if any, a jury may allocate to each defendant if the case were ultimately tried.

Respirator Mask/Asbestos Liabilities and Insurance Receivables

The Company regularly conducts a comprehensive legal review of its respirator mask/asbestos liabilities. The Company reviews recent and historical claims data, including without limitation, (i) the number of pending claims filed against the Company, (ii) the nature and mix of those claims (i.e., the proportion of claims asserting usage of the Company's mask or respirator products and alleging exposure to each of asbestos, silica, coal or other occupational dusts, and claims pleading use of asbestos-containing products allegedly manufactured by the Company), (iii) the costs to defend and resolve pending claims, and (iv) trends in filing rates and in costs to defend and resolve claims, (collectively, the "Claims Data"). As part of its comprehensive legal review, the Company regularly provides the Claims Data to a third party with expertise in determining the impact of Claims Data on future filing trends and costs. The third party assists the Company in estimating the costs to defend and resolve pending and future claims. The Company uses these estimates to develop its best estimate of probable liability.

Developments may occur that could affect the Company's estimate of its liabilities. These developments include, but are not limited to, significant changes in (i) the key assumptions underlying the Company's accrual, including, the number of future claims, the nature and mix of those claims, the average cost of defending and resolving claims, and in maintaining trial readiness (ii) trial and appellate outcomes, (iii) the law and procedure applicable to these claims, and (iv) the financial viability of other co-defendants and insurers.

As a result of its review of its respirator mask/asbestos liabilities, of pending and expected lawsuits and of the cost of resolving claims of persons who claim more serious injuries, including mesothelioma, other malignancies, and black lung disease, the Company increased its accruals in the first six months of 2022 for respirator mask/asbestos liabilities by \$38 million. In the first six months of 2022, the Company made payments for legal defense costs and settlements of \$45 million related to the respirator mask/asbestos litigation. As of June 30, 2022, the Company had an accrual for respirator mask/asbestos liabilities (excluding Aearo accruals) of \$633 million. This accrual represents the Company's best estimate of probable loss and reflects an estimation period for future claims that may be filed against the Company approaching the year 2050. The Company cannot estimate the amount or upper end of the range of amounts by which the liability may exceed the accrual the Company has established because of the (i) inherent difficulty in projecting the number of claims that have not yet been asserted or the time period in which future claims may be asserted, (ii) the complaints nearly always assert claims against multiple defendants where the damages alleged are typically not attributed to individual defendants so that a defendant's share of liability may turn on the law of joint and several liability, which can vary by state, (iii) the multiple factors described above that the Company considers in estimating its liabilities, and (iv) the several possible developments described above that may occur that could affect the Company's estimate of liabilities.

As of June 30, 2022, the Company's receivable for insurance recoveries related to the respirator mask/asbestos litigation was \$4 million. The Company continues to seek coverage under the policies of certain insolvent and other insurers. Once those claims for coverage are resolved, the Company will have collected substantially all of its remaining insurance coverage for respirator mask/asbestos claims.

Respirator Mask/Asbestos Litigation — Aearo Technologies

On April 1, 2008, a subsidiary of the Company acquired the stock of Aearo Holding Corp., the parent of Aearo Technologies ("Aearo"). Aearo manufactured and sold various products, including personal protection equipment, such as eye, ear, head, face, fall and certain respiratory protection products. Aearo and/or other companies that previously owned and operated Aearo's respirator business (American Optical Corporation, Warner-Lambert LLC, AO Corp. and Cabot Corporation ("Cabot")) are named defendants, with multiple co-defendants, including the Company, in numerous lawsuits in various courts in which plaintiffs allege use of mask and respirator products and seek damages from Aearo and other defendants for alleged personal injury from workplace exposures to asbestos, silica-related, coal mine dust, or other occupational dusts found in products manufactured by other defendants or generally in the workplace. In July 2022, Aearo Technologies and certain of its related entities (collectively, the "Aearo Entities") voluntarily initiated chapter 11 proceedings under the U.S. Bankruptcy Code seeking court supervision to establish a trust, funded by the Company, to efficiently and equitably satisfy all claims determined to be entitled to compensation (including the Aearo respirator mask./asbestos matters). This represents a change in strategy for managing the Combat Arms Version 2 earplugs and Aearo respirator mask/asbestos alleged litigation liabilities. As a result, 3M's accrual relative to the commitments associated with that trust includes Aearo respirator mask/asbestos matters. For additional information, see the discussion within the section "Product Liability Litigation" with respect to Aearo Technologies Dual-Ended Combat Arms Earplugs.

Preceding respirator mask/asbestos — Aearo Technologies matters/information:

Prior to the voluntary chapter 11 proceedings and as previously disclosed, as of December 31, 2021, the Company, through its Aearo subsidiary, had accruals of \$46 million for product liabilities and defense costs related to current and future Aearo-related asbestos, silica-related and coal mine dust claims. Responsibility for legal costs, as well as for settlements and judgments, is shared in an informal arrangement among Aearo, Cabot, American Optical Corporation and a subsidiary of Warner Lambert and their respective insurers (the “Payor Group”). Liability is allocated among the parties based on the number of years each company sold respiratory products under the “AO Safety” brand and/or owned the AO Safety Division of American Optical Corporation and the alleged years of exposure of the individual plaintiff. Aearo’s share of the contingent liability is further limited by an agreement entered into between Aearo and Cabot on July 11, 1995. This agreement provides that, so long as Aearo pays to Cabot a quarterly fee of \$100,000, Cabot will retain responsibility and liability for, and indemnify Aearo against, any product liability claims involving exposure to asbestos, silica, or silica products for respirators sold prior to July 11, 1995. Because of the difficulty in determining how long a particular respirator remains in the stream of commerce after being sold, Aearo and Cabot have applied the agreement to claims arising out of the alleged use of respirators involving exposure to asbestos, silica or silica products prior to January 1, 1997. With these arrangements in place, Aearo’s potential liability is limited to exposures alleged to have arisen from the use of respirators involving exposure to asbestos, silica, or silica products on or after January 1, 1997. To date, Aearo has elected to pay the quarterly fee. Aearo could potentially be exposed to additional claims for some part of the pre-July 11, 1995 period covered by its agreement with Cabot if Aearo elects to discontinue its participation in this arrangement, or if Cabot is no longer able to meet its obligations in these matters.

Developments may occur that could affect the estimate of Aearo’s liabilities. These developments include, but are not limited to: (i) significant changes in the number of future claims, (ii) significant changes in the average cost of resolving claims, (iii) significant changes in the legal costs of defending these claims, (iv) significant changes in the mix and nature of claims received, (v) trial and appellate outcomes, (vi) significant changes in the law and procedure applicable to these claims, (vii) significant changes in the liability allocation among the co-defendants, (viii) the financial viability of members of the Payor Group including exhaustion of available insurance coverage limits, and/or (ix) a determination that the interpretation of the contractual obligations on which Aearo has estimated its share of liability is inaccurate. The Company cannot determine the impact of these potential developments on its current estimate of Aearo’s share of liability for these existing and future claims. If any of the developments described above were to occur, the actual amount of these liabilities for existing and future claims could be significantly larger than the amount accrued. Because of the inherent difficulty in projecting the number of claims that have not yet been asserted, the complexity of allocating responsibility for future claims among the Payor Group, and the several possible developments that may occur that could affect the estimate of Aearo’s liabilities, the Company cannot estimate the amount or range of amounts by which Aearo’s liability may exceed the accrual the Company has established.

Environmental Matters and Litigation

The Company’s operations are subject to environmental laws and regulations including those pertaining to air emissions, wastewater discharges, toxic substances, and the handling and disposal of solid and hazardous wastes enforceable by national, state, and local authorities around the world, and private parties in the United States and abroad. These laws and regulations provide, under certain circumstances, a basis for the remediation of contamination, for capital investment in pollution control equipment, for restoration of or compensation for damages to natural resources, and for personal injury and property damage claims. The Company has incurred, and will continue to incur, costs and capital expenditures in complying with these laws and regulations, defending personal injury and property damage claims, and modifying its business operations in light of its environmental responsibilities. In its effort to satisfy its environmental responsibilities and comply with environmental laws and regulations, the Company has established, and periodically updates, policies relating to environmental standards of performance for its operations worldwide.

Under certain environmental laws, including the United States Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA) and similar state laws, the Company may be jointly and severally liable, typically with other companies, for the costs of remediation of environmental contamination at current or former facilities and at off-site locations. The Company has identified numerous locations, most of which are in the United States, at which it may have some liability. Please refer to the section entitled “*Environmental Liabilities and Insurance Receivables*” that follows for information on the amount of the accrual for such liabilities.

Environmental Matters

As previously reported, the Company has been voluntarily cooperating with ongoing reviews by local, state, federal (primarily the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)), and international agencies of possible environmental and health effects of various perfluorinated compounds, including perfluorooctanoate (PFOA), perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS), perfluorohexane sulfonate (PFHxS), or other per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (collectively PFAS). As a result of its phase-out decision in May 2000, the Company no longer manufactures certain PFAS compounds including PFOA, PFOS, PFHxS, and their pre-cursor compounds. The Company ceased manufacturing and using the vast majority of these compounds within approximately two years of the phase-out announcement and ceased all manufacturing and the last significant use of this chemistry by the end of 2008. The Company continues to manufacture a variety of shorter chain length PFAS compounds, including, but not limited to, pre-cursor compounds to perfluorobutane sulfonate (PFBS). These compounds are used as input materials to a variety of products, including engineered fluorinated fluids, fluoropolymers and fluorelastomers, as well as surfactants, additives, and coatings. Through its ongoing life cycle management and its raw material composition identification processes associated with the Company's policies covering the use of all persistent and bio-accumulative materials, the Company continues to review, control or eliminate the presence of certain PFAS in purchased materials or as byproducts in some of 3M's current fluorochemical manufacturing processes, products, and waste streams.

PFAS Regulatory Activity

Regulatory activities concerning PFAS continue in the United States, Europe and elsewhere, and before certain international bodies. These activities include gathering of exposure and use information, risk assessment, and consideration of regulatory approaches. In the European Union, where 3M has manufacturing facilities in countries such as Germany and Belgium, recent regulatory activities have included both preliminary and on-going work on various restrictions under the Regulation concerning the Registration, Evaluation, Authorization and Restriction of Chemicals (REACH), including the restriction of PFAS in certain usages and a broader restriction of PFAS as a class. In March 2022, the European Chemicals Agency (ECHA) introduced a proposal for an EU-wide restriction on all PFAS substances in firefighting foams. A six-month consultation period is ongoing.

As of the second half of 2020, PFOA is subject to broad restrictions under the EU's Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs) Regulation. Dyneon, a 3M subsidiary that operates a facility at Gendorf, Germany, has a recycling process for a critical emulsifier from which small amounts of PFOA are present after recycling, as an unintended and unavoidable byproduct of certain earlier process steps. The recycling process removes and concentrates the PFOA for incineration in accordance with applicable waste law. With respect to the applicability of the recently enacted POPs, Dyneon proactively consulted with the relevant German regulatory authority regarding process improvements underway that are designed to ensure compliance with the PFOA limits in the recycled material. In October 2021, Dyneon also discussed with the authority technical complexities it had recently discovered in achieving PFOA reductions. The engagement is ongoing.

3M Belgium, a subsidiary of the Company, has been working with the Public Flemish Waste Agency (OVAM) for several years to investigate and remediate historical PFAS contaminations at and near the 3M Belgium facility in Zwijndrecht, Antwerp, Belgium. In connection with a ring road construction project (the Oosterweel Project) in Antwerp that involved extensive soil work, an investigative committee with judicial investigatory powers was formed in June 2021 by the Flemish Parliament to investigate PFAS found in the soil and groundwater near the Zwijndrecht facility. The Company testified at Flemish parliamentary committee hearings in June and September 2021 on PFAS-related matters. The Flemish Parliament, the Minister of the Environment, and regulatory authorities initiated investigations and demands for information related to the release of PFAS from the Zwijndrecht facility. The Company has cooperated with the authorities in the investigations and information requests and is working with the authorities on an ongoing basis, as they continue to maintain oversight of 3M Belgium's operations at the Zwijndrecht facility, as further discussed below. Separately, as previously disclosed, the Company is aware that certain residents of Zwijndrecht and non-governmental organizations filed a criminal complaint with an Antwerp investigatory judge against 3M Belgium, alleging it had unlawfully abandoned waste in violation of its environmental care obligations. 3M Belgium has not been served with any such complaint.

Safety measures – wastewater discharge. In August 2021, the Flemish Government served 3M Belgium with a safety measure requiring the capture of certain process wastewaters to prevent their entry into the site wastewater treatment plant. While 3M Belgium appealed the Safety Measure due to the belief it lacked adequate legal and factual foundation, 3M Belgium promptly implemented the required actions. Separately, the permitting authority initiated a unilateral process to tighten the wastewater discharge limits immediately. In October 2021, the Province of Antwerp adopted lower discharge limits for the nine PFAS compounds specifically identified in the water discharge permit and added a special condition that essentially prohibits discharge of any PFAS chemistry without a specific limit in the permit. 3M Belgium appealed certain aspects of that permit revision as inconsistent with applicable law. The unilaterally modified permit was effective through June 30, 2022. 3M Belgium has received a new two-year permit effective July 1, 2022 and has reached an understanding with the competent authorities on implementation that allows resumption of PFAS-related production, with strict new limits for 24 different PFAS. 3M Belgium believes that the recently installed additional control systems will enable it to meet the new permit requirements. Although the authorities have approved the restart of key production processes, a negative development in their ongoing oversight review, or inability to fully restart all production processes, could have a significant adverse impact on 3M Belgium's normal operations and the Company's businesses that receive products and other materials from the facility, some of which may not be available in similar quantities from other 3M facilities, which could in turn impact these businesses' ability to fulfill supply obligations to their customers.

Safety measure – air emissions. As previously disclosed, in October 2021, the Flemish environmental agency issued a new safety measure that prohibits all emissions of all forms of PFAS from the facility unless and until specifically approved on a process-by-process basis. 3M Belgium thereupon commenced an appeal process to the Council of States, seeking, among other things, urgent suspension of the safety measure during the pendency of the appeal process. At the same time, 3M Belgium complied with the safety measure by idling the affected production at the facility. The Council of States declined to grant urgent suspension of the safety measure. 3M Belgium established a regular cadence of meetings with the relevant authorities to review restart of specific PFAS-related production processes.

3M Belgium first identified third-party experts to review restart proposals and provide opinions to the authorities on the acceptability of restart under the terms of the safety measure. The proposed experts were accepted by the authorities and the process of review was begun. As of July 2022, the authorities have approved the restart of key production processes and 3M Belgium continues to conduct required monitoring and reporting activities. Belgian government authorities continue to maintain oversight of 3M Belgium's operations and compliance with applicable requirements at the Zwijndrecht facility. Although the authorities have approved the restart of key production processes, a negative development in their ongoing oversight review, or inability to fully restart all production processes, could have a significant adverse impact on 3M Belgium's normal operations and the Company's businesses that receive products and other materials from the facility, some of which may not be available in similar quantities from other 3M facilities, which could in turn impact these businesses' ability to fulfill supply obligations to their customers.

Administrative measure – soil piles. In September 2021, the Flemish Government served 3M Belgium with a notice of intent to impose an administrative measure related to the removal and potential remediation of soil piles on the Zwijndrecht site. 3M Belgium appealed the measure, contesting both the legal basis and the feasibility of meeting the deadline imposed. In response to information provided by 3M Belgium regarding the limitations on regional capacity to accept the soil and other logistical matters, the Government extended the deadline for removal of the piles. 3M Belgium currently believes that it can meet the new deadline.

Notice of default – environmental law compliance. Also in September 2021, the Flemish Region issued a notice of default alleging violations of environmental laws and seeking PFAS-related information, indemnity and a remediation plan for soil and water impacts due to PFAS originating from the Zwijndrecht facility. In September 2021, 3M responded to the notice of default and announced a plan to invest up to 125 million euros in the next three years in actions related to the Zwijndrecht community, including support for local commercial farmers impacted by restrictions on sale of agricultural products, and enhancements to site discharge control technologies. 3M is also committed to payment for ongoing off-site descriptive soil investigation and appropriate soil remediation. In March 2022, the Company announced an investment of 150 million euros to advance remedial actions to address legacy PFAS previously produced at the Zwijndrecht facility. An accredited third-party soil remediation expert has progressed towards a remedial action plan based on a descriptive soil investigation that would help inform 3M Belgium's remedial actions onsite and in certain surrounding areas. 3M Belgium representatives continue to have discussions with the relevant authorities regarding further remedial actions.

In July 2022, 3M Belgium and the Flemish Government announced an agreement in connection with the Zwijndrecht facility. Pursuant to the agreement, 3M Belgium, among other things, committed an aggregate of 571 million euros, which includes the previous commitments described above. In aggregate, the commitment includes enhancements to site discharge control technologies, support for qualifying local farmers, amounts to address certain identified priority remedial actions (which may include supporting additional actions as required under the Flemish Soil Decree which requires both public authorities and private parties to remediate contaminated soil and groundwater in Flanders), funds to be used by the Flemish Government in its sole discretion in connection with PFAS emissions from the Zwijndrecht facility, and support for the Oosterweel Project in cash and support services. The agreement contains certain provisions ending current litigation and providing certain releases of liability for 3M, while recognizing that the Flemish Government retains its authority to act in the future to protect its citizenry. In connection with these actions, the Company recorded a pre-tax charge of approximately \$500 million in the first half of 2022, with approximately \$355 million in the second quarter of 2022.

In the United States, the EPA has developed human health effects documents summarizing the available data studies of both PFOA and PFOS. In May 2016, the EPA announced lifetime health advisory levels for PFOA and PFOS, separate or together, at 70 parts per trillion (ppt) (superseding the provisional levels established by the EPA in 2009 of 400 ppt for PFOA and 200 ppt for PFOS). In June 2022, EPA released new final lifetime health advisory levels for PFBS (2,000 ppt) and HFPO-DA and its salts (“GenX”) (4 ppt), and new interim lifetime health advisory levels for PFOA (.004 ppt) and PFOS (.02 ppt). Lifetime health advisories, which are non-enforceable and non-regulatory, are intended to provide information about concentrations of drinking water contaminants at which adverse health effects are not expected to occur over the specified exposure duration.

The U.S. Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) within the Department of Health and Human Services released a draft Toxicological Profile for PFAS for public review and comment in June 2018. In the draft report, ATSDR proposed draft minimal risk levels (MRLs) for PFOS, PFOA and several other PFAS. An MRL is an estimate of the daily human exposure to a hazardous substance that is likely to be without appreciable risk of adverse non-cancer health effects over a specified duration of exposure. MRLs establish a screening level and are not intended to define cleanup or action levels for ATSDR or other agencies. In May 2021, ATSDR released a final toxicological profile for certain PFAS that preserved the draft MRLs. Earlier, in April 2021, EPA released a final toxicity assessment for PFBS. As periodically required under the Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA), the EPA published in May 2012 a list of unregulated substances, including six PFAS chemicals, required to be monitored during the period 2013-2015 by public water system suppliers to determine the extent of their occurrence. Through January 2017, the EPA reported results for 4,920 public water supplies nationwide. Based on the 2016 lifetime health advisory, 13 public water supplies exceeded the level for PFOA and 46 exceeded the level for PFOS (unchanged from the July 2016 EPA summary). These results are based on one or more samples collected during the period 2012-2015 and do not necessarily reflect current conditions of these public water supplies. EPA reporting does not identify the sources of the PFOA and PFOS in the public water supplies. In December 2021, EPA published the fifth version of the unregulated contaminant monitoring rule, which requires monitoring for 29 PFAS compounds between 2023 and 2025.

With respect to PFOA and PFOS in groundwater, EPA issued interim recommendations in December 2019, providing guidance for screening levels and preliminary remediation goals for groundwater that is a current or potential drinking water source, to inform final clean-up levels of contaminated sites. In May 2022, EPA added five PFAS substances – GenX, PFOS, PFOA perfluorononanoic acid (PFNA), and perfluorohexanesulfonic acid (PFHxS) -- to its list of Regional Screening and Removal Management Levels based on the May 2021 MRLs. EPA had previously added PFBS to both lists in 2014. Regional Screening Levels are used to identify contaminated media that may require further investigation, while Regional Removal Management Levels are used by EPA to support certain actions under CERCLA.

In October 2021, EPA released its “PFAS Strategic Roadmap: EPA's Commitments to Action 2021-2024,” which presents EPA’s approach to PFAS, including investing in research to increase an understanding of PFAS, pursuing a comprehensive approach to proactively control PFAS exposures to humans and the environment, and broadening and accelerating the scope of clean-up of PFAS in the environment. The 2021-2024 Roadmap sets timelines by which EPA plans to take specific actions, including, among other items, publishing a national PFAS testing strategy, proposing to designate PFOA and PFOS as CERCLA hazardous substances, restricting PFAS discharges from industrial sources through Effluent Limitations Guidelines, publishing the final toxicity assessment for five additional PFAS compounds, requiring water systems to test for 29 PFAS compounds under the SDWA, and publishing improved analytical methods in eight different environmental matrices to monitor 40 PFAS compounds present in wastewater and stormwater discharges.

EPA previously published its intention to initiate a process to develop a national primary drinking water regulation for PFOA and PFOS; the process is expected to take several years and will include further analyses, scientific review and opportunities for public comment. EPA initiated the first step in the process in November 2021 by referring its proposed approach to developing a Maximum Contaminant Level Goal to the Science Advisory Board and soliciting public comment. The Company submitted initial comments in December 2021 and supplemental comments in January and February 2022. In April 2022, the Science Advisory Board published a draft report on its analysis of EPA's proposed approach to developing a Maximum Contaminant Level Goal. The Science Advisory Board will hold public hearings in July 2022 before finalizing its report to EPA. EPA has stated that it intends to publish a proposed national primary drinking water regulation for PFOA and PFOS in the fall of 2022.

In October 2021, in response to a petition by New Mexico, EPA announced it will initiate a rulemaking to designate four PFAS compounds as hazardous constituents under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). Further, in January 2022, EPA formally submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) its plan to designate PFOA and PFOS as hazardous substances under CERCLA. That proposal continues to undergo OMB review.

EPA has also taken several actions to increase reporting and restrictions regarding PFAS under the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) and the Toxics Release Inventory (TRI), which is a part of the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act. EPA has added more than 170 PFAS compounds to the list of substances that must be included in TRI reports as of July 2021. In June 2021, EPA published a proposed rule under TSCA that, if adopted, would require certain persons that manufacture (including import) or have manufactured PFAS in any year since 2011 to report information regarding PFAS uses, production volumes, disposal, exposures, and hazards. The Company submitted comments on the proposed rule during the public comment period, which ended in September 2021.

In April 2022, EPA released draft Aquatic Life Criteria for PFOA and PFOS. These criteria, once finalized, may be used by states in developing water quality standards for protection of aquatic life under the Clean Water Act. 3M submitted comments on the draft criteria in July 2022. Several state legislatures and state agencies have been evaluating or have taken actions related to cleanup standards, groundwater values or drinking water values for PFOS, PFOA, and other PFAS, and 3M has submitted various responsive comments. States with finalized standards include the following:

- Minnesota Department of Health in May 2017 stated that Health Based Values (HBVs) “are designed to reduce long-term health risks across the population and are based on multiple safety factors to protect the most vulnerable citizens, which makes them overprotective for most of the residents in our state.” As of 2021, the current HBVs are 35 ppt for PFOA, 15 ppt for PFOS, 47 ppt for PFHxS and 2 ppb for PFBS. In February 2018, the MDH published reports finding no unusual rates of certain cancers or adverse birth outcomes (low birth rates or premature births) among residents of Washington and Dakota Counties in Minnesota.
- Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) and three other state agencies published “Minnesota’s PFAS Blueprint” in February 2021. The Blueprint outlines the State’s plans to manage, investigate, monitor, research and regulate PFAS discharges or releases in Minnesota. MPCA also published the final version of its PFAS Monitoring Plan in March 2022. Four 3M facilities - Cottage Grove, Maplewood, Hutchinson, and Woodbury - are among the 137 Minnesota facilities that are preliminarily scoped to be within the Monitoring Plan.
- California finalized drinking water response levels for PFOA and PFOS in February 2020.
- Vermont finalized drinking water standards for a combination of PFOA, PFOS and three other PFAS compounds in March 2020.
- New Jersey finalized drinking water standards and designated PFOA and PFOS as hazardous substances in June 2020.
- New York established drinking water standards for PFOA and PFOS in July 2020.
- New Hampshire established drinking water standards by legislation for certain PFAS compounds, including PFOS and PFOA, in July 2020.
- Michigan implemented final drinking water standards for certain PFAS compounds, including PFOS and PFOA, in August 2020.
- Massachusetts published final regulations establishing a drinking water standard relating to six combined PFAS compounds in October 2020.

Some other states have also been evaluating or have taken actions relating to PFOA, PFOS and other PFAS compounds in products such as food packaging, carpets and other products. For example, in October 2021, two bills were signed into law in California that prohibit the use of PFAS in children's products and in food packaging. Additionally, in 2021 and 2022, California finalized its listing of PFOS (and its salts and transformation and degradation precursors) and PFOA as carcinogens, and PFNA as a reproductive toxicant under its Proposition 65 law. California has also proposed listing PFDA, PFHxS, and PFUNDA as reproductive toxicants under Proposition 65. In August 2021, Maine became the first state to ban all PFAS compounds in all products, except where use is unavoidable. The ban becomes effective in 2030. Maine also has passed legislation requiring a reporting obligation for all products sold into Maine containing intentionally added PFAS starting in January 2023. That same legislation bans the sale of most products containing intentionally added PFAS in Maine by 2030. In addition, in June 2022, Colorado enacted a law which restricts the sale of certain consumer products, including carpets and furniture, fabric treatments, food packaging, and children's products, that contain intentionally added PFAS.

In October 2020, 3M and several other parties filed notices of appeal in the appellate division of the Superior Court of New Jersey to challenge the validity of the New Jersey PFOS and PFOA regulations. In January 2021, the appellate division of the court denied the group's motion to stay the regulations. The parties completed briefing on the merits in October 2021. In March 2021, 3M filed a lawsuit against the New York State Department of Health, on the grounds that drinking water levels set by the agency for PFOS and PFOA should be vacated because they are arbitrary and did not comply with statutorily required processes. An oral argument on the merits was held in December 2021. In June 2022, the court issued a decision denying and dismissing the Company's lawsuit on standing grounds. In April 2021, 3M also filed a lawsuit against the Michigan Department of Environment, Great Lakes, and Energy (EGLE) to invalidate the drinking water standards EGLE promulgated under an accelerated timeline. EGLE moved to dismiss that lawsuit. In September 2021, the court denied EGLE's motion in part, the parties have briefed the merits of the remaining claims and the court heard oral argument in June 2022.

The Company cannot predict what additional regulatory actions in the United States, Europe and elsewhere arising from the foregoing or other proceedings and activities, if any, may be taken regarding such compounds or the consequences of any such actions to the Company.

Litigation Related to Historical PFAS Manufacturing Operations in Alabama

As previously reported, a former employee filed a putative class action lawsuit against 3M, BFI Waste Management Systems of Alabama, and others in the Circuit Court of Morgan County, Alabama (the "St. John" case), seeking property damage from exposure to certain perfluorochemicals at or near the Company's Decatur, Alabama, manufacturing facility. The parties have agreed to repeated stays of the St. John case, to permit ongoing mediation between the parties involved in this case and another case discussed below. Two additional putative class actions filed in the same court by certain residents in the vicinity of the Decatur plant seeking relief on similar grounds (the Chandler case and the Stover case, respectively) were stayed pending the resolution of class certification issues in the St. John case.

In June 2016, the Tennessee Riverkeeper, Inc. (Riverkeeper), a non-profit corporation, filed a lawsuit in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Alabama against 3M; BFI Waste Systems of Alabama; the City of Decatur, Alabama; and the Municipal Utilities Board of Decatur, Morgan County, Alabama. This case was also stayed, pending ongoing mediation and discussions between the parties in conjunction with the St. John case.

In October 2021, 3M reached agreements in principle to resolve litigation with the Tennessee Riverkeeper organization, as well as the plaintiffs in the *St. John* (including *Stover*, *Owens* and *Chandler*) matters. The agreements, as finalized and approved by the court, complements the Interim Consent Order that 3M entered with the Alabama Department of Environmental Management (ADEM) in 2020, as described below. Key provisions of these agreements include 3M's continued environmental characterization, including sampling of environmental media, such as soil, ground water, and sediment, regarding the potential presence of PFAS at the 3M Decatur facility and legacy disposal sites, as well as supporting the execution of appropriate remedial actions. In December 2021, the court in the St. John action granted preliminary approval of the class settlement, and in April 2022, the court granted the final approval of the class settlement. In June 2022, the court dismissed the Tennessee Riverkeeper case with prejudice.

In October 2015, West Morgan-East Lawrence Water & Sewer Authority (Water Authority) filed a complaint against 3M Company, Dyneon, L.L.C, and Daikin America, Inc., in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Alabama. The complaint also included representative plaintiffs who brought the complaint on behalf of themselves, and a class of all owners and possessors of property who use water provided by the Water Authority and five local water works to which the Water Authority supplies water. In April 2019, 3M and the Water Authority settled the lawsuit for \$35 million, which will fund a new water filtration system, with 3M indemnifying the Water Authority from liability resulting from the resolution of currently pending and future lawsuits against the Water Authority alleging liability or damages related to 3M PFAS. In October 2021, with respect to the putative class claims brought by the representative plaintiffs who were supplied drinking water by the Water Authority (the “Lindsey” case), the parties reached an agreement in principle to resolve the claims for an immaterial amount. In March 2022, the court issued a final order approving the class settlement.

In August 2016, a group of over 200 plaintiffs filed a putative class action against West Morgan-East Lawrence Water and Sewer Authority (Water Authority), 3M, Dyneon, Daikin, BFI, and the City of Decatur in state court in Lawrence County, Alabama (the “Billings” case). Plaintiffs were residents of Lawrence, Morgan and other counties who are or have been customers of the Water Authority. They contended defendants had released PFAS that contaminate the Tennessee River and, in turn, their drinking water, causing damage to their health and properties. In January 2017, the court in the St. John case, discussed above, stayed this litigation pending resolution of the St. John case. Plaintiffs in the Billings case have amended their complaint numerous times to add additional plaintiffs. There were approximately 4,900 named plaintiffs. The parties entered into a settlement agreement and resolved the litigation in March 2022.

In January 2017, several hundred plaintiffs sued 3M, Dyneon and Daikin America in Lawrence and Morgan Counties, Alabama (the “Owens” case). The plaintiffs are owners of property, residents, and holders of property interests who receive their water from the Water Authority. They asserted common law claims for negligence, nuisance, trespass, wantonness, and battery, and they sought injunctive relief and punitive damages. The plaintiffs contend that the defendants own and operate manufacturing and disposal facilities in Decatur that have released and continue to release PFOA, PFOS and related chemicals into the groundwater and surface water of their sites, resulting in discharges into the Tennessee River. The plaintiffs contend that, as a result of the alleged discharges, the water supplied by the Water Authority to the plaintiffs was, and is, contaminated with PFOA, PFOS and related chemicals at a level dangerous to humans. The court denied a motion by co-defendant Daikin to stay this case pending resolution of the St. John case. The parties entered into a settlement agreement to resolve the litigation and the case has been dismissed.

In November 2017, a putative class action (the “King” case) was filed against 3M, Dyneon, Daikin America and the Water Authority in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Alabama. The plaintiffs are residents of Lawrence and Morgan County, Alabama who receive their water from the Water Authority and seek injunctive relief, attorneys’ fees, compensatory and punitive damages for their alleged personal injuries. The plaintiffs contended that the defendants own and operate manufacturing and disposal facilities in Decatur, Alabama that have released and continue to release PFOA, PFOS and related chemicals into the groundwater and surface water of their sites, resulting in discharges into the Tennessee River. The plaintiffs contend that, as a result of the alleged discharges, the water supplied by the Water Authority to the plaintiffs was, and is, contaminated with PFOA, PFOS and related chemicals at a level dangerous to humans. In November 2019, the King plaintiffs amended their complaint to withdraw all class allegations. Since then, the complaint has been amended several times to add or dismiss plaintiffs, and the case currently involves 37 plaintiffs. The case is scheduled for trial in July 2023, and the parties recently filed a joint motion to extend scheduling deadlines that, if granted, will result in a December 2023 trial date. Discovery in this case is proceeding, and a mediation is scheduled for August 2022.

In July 2019, 3M announced that it had initiated an investigation into the possible presence of PFAS in three closed municipal landfills in Decatur that accepted waste from 3M’s Decatur plant and other companies in the 1960s through the 1980s. 3M has worked with the City of Decatur and other local and state entities such as Morgan County and Decatur Utilities as it has conducted its investigation. In November 2021, 3M and the City of Decatur, Decatur Utilities and Morgan County executed a collaborative agreement under which the Company agreed to contribute approximately \$99 million and also to continue to address certain PFAS-related matters in the area. The contribution relates to initiatives to improve the quality of life and overall environment in Decatur, including community redevelopment and recreation projects by the City, County and Decatur Utilities. It also includes addressing PFAS matters at the Morgan County landfill and reimbursement of costs previously incurred related to PFAS remediation. In addition to the contribution, 3M will continue to address PFAS at certain other closed municipal sites at which the Company historically disposed waste and continue environmental characterization in the area. This work will complement the Interim Consent Order that 3M entered with ADEM in 2020 and includes sampling of environmental media, such as ground water, regarding the potential presence of PFAS at the 3M Decatur facility and legacy disposal sites, as well as supporting the execution of appropriate remedial actions.

3M is also defending or has received notice of potential lawsuits in state and federal court brought by individual property owners who claim damages related to historical PFAS disposal at former area landfills near their Decatur-area properties. 3M continues to negotiate with property owners and has resolved for an immaterial amount some of the claims brought by them.

In September 2020, the City of Guin Water Works and Sewer Board (Guin WWSB) brought a lawsuit against 3M in Alabama state court alleging that PFAS contamination in the Guin water system stems from manufacturing operations at 3M's Guin facility and disposal activity at a nearby landfill. In this same month, Guin WWSB dismissed its lawsuit without prejudice worked with 3M to further investigate the presence of chemicals in the area. In December 2021, the parties reached a settlement under which 3M agreed to contribute \$30 million that will be used on a new treatment system for Guin's drinking water and a new wastewater treatment facility. In March 2022, a new putative class action was filed in the Northern District of Alabama on behalf of Guin WWSB ratepayers. Defendants include 3M, the Guin landfill, the Guin WWSB, and some waste transporters. The plaintiffs allege that their water supply has been contaminated with PFAS, which has caused them property damage and unspecified damage to health interests. The Company has filed a motion to dismiss this case.

State Attorneys General Litigation related to PFAS

Minnesota. In December 2010, the State of Minnesota, by its Attorney General, filed a lawsuit in Hennepin County District Court against 3M seeking damages and injunctive relief with respect to the presence of PFAS in the groundwater, surface water, fish or other aquatic life, and sediments in the state of Minnesota (the "NRD Lawsuit"). In February 2018, 3M and the State of Minnesota reached a resolution of the NRD Lawsuit. Under the terms of the settlement, 3M agreed to provide an \$850 million grant to the State for a special "3M Water Quality and Sustainability Fund." This Fund, which is administered by the State, will enable projects that support water sustainability in the Twin Cities East Metro region, such as continued delivery of water to residents and enhancing groundwater recharge to support sustainable growth. Other purposes of the grant include habitat and recreation improvements, such as fishing piers, trails, and open space preservation. 3M recorded a pre-tax charge of \$897 million, inclusive of legal fees and other related obligations, in the first quarter of 2018 associated with the resolution of this matter.

In connection with the above referenced settlement, the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency and the Department of Natural Resources, as co-trustees of the Fund, released in September 2020 a conceptual drinking water supply plan for the communities in the East Metro area, seeking public comment on three recommended options for utilizing the Fund. In December 2020, 3M submitted preliminary comments on the co-trustees' draft conceptual drinking water supply plan to address legal and technical aspects of the draft plan. The Company and the State continue to discuss those aspects of the draft plan.

New York. The State of New York, by its Attorney General, has filed four lawsuits (in June 2018, February 2019, July 2019, and November 2019) against 3M and other defendants seeking to recover the costs incurred in responding to PFAS contamination allegedly caused by Aqueous Film Forming Foam (AFFF) manufactured by 3M and others. Each of the four suits was filed in Albany County Supreme Court before being removed to federal court, and each has been transferred to the multi-district litigation (MDL) proceeding for AFFF cases, which is discussed further below. The state is seeking compensatory and punitive damages, and injunctive and equitable relief in the form of a monetary fund for the State's reasonably expected future damages, and/or requiring defendants to perform investigative and remedial work.

Ohio. In December 2018, the State of Ohio, by its Attorney General, filed a lawsuit in the Common Pleas Court of Lucas County, Ohio against 3M, Tyco Fire Products LP, Chemguard, Inc., Buckeye Fire Equipment Co., National Foam, Inc., and Angus Fire Armour Corp., seeking injunctive relief and compensatory and punitive damages for remediation costs and alleged injury to Ohio natural resources from AFFF manufacturers. This case was removed to federal court and transferred to the MDL.

New Jersey. In March 2019, the New Jersey Attorney General filed two actions against 3M, DuPont, and Chemours on behalf of the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (NJDEP), the NJDEP's commissioner, and the New Jersey Spill Compensation Fund regarding alleged discharges at two DuPont facilities in Pennsville, New Jersey (Salem County) and Parlin, New Jersey (Middlesex County). 3M is included as a defendant in both cases because it allegedly supplied PFOA to DuPont for use at the facilities at issue. Both cases expressly seek to have the defendants pay all costs necessary to investigate, remediate, assess, and restore the affected natural resources of New Jersey. DuPont removed these cases to federal court. In June 2020, the court consolidated the two actions, along with two others brought by the NJDEP relating to the DuPont facilities, for case management and pretrial purposes. In December 2021, the court denied various motions to dismiss that the defendants had filed, including 3M's motions. In March 2022, 3M answered the complaints. The parties are conducting discovery.

In May 2019, the New Jersey Attorney General and NJDEP filed a lawsuit against 3M, DuPont, and six other companies, alleging natural resource damages from AFFF products and seeking damages, including punitive damages, and associated fees. This case was removed to federal court and transferred to the AFFF MDL.

New Hampshire. In May 2019, the New Hampshire Attorney General filed two lawsuits alleging contamination of the state's drinking water supplies and other natural resources by PFAS chemicals. The first lawsuit was filed against 3M and seven co-defendants, alleging PFAS contamination resulting from the use of AFFF products at several sites around the state. This case was removed to federal court and transferred to the AFFF MDL. The second suit asserts PFAS contamination from non-AFFF sources and names 3M, DuPont, and Chemours as defendants. In its June 2020 ruling on defendants' motions to dismiss, the court dismissed the state's trespass claim, but allowed several claims to proceed. In October 2020, the state amended its complaint to add a state commission as plaintiff and make a claim related to the state's drinking water and groundwater trust fund statute. In July 2021, the court granted defendants' motions to dismiss these amendments. In September 2021 the state filed its second amended complaint, which 3M answered in October 2021. The Company has removed the case to federal court, and the U.S. Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation (JPML) issued a conditional transfer order which, if finalized, would send the case to the AFFF MDL. The state has moved to remand the case back to state court and vacate the conditional transfer order. The state's motions remain pending.

Vermont. In June 2019, the Vermont Attorney General filed two lawsuits alleging contamination of the state's drinking water supplies and other natural resources by PFAS chemicals. The first lawsuit was filed against 3M and ten co-defendants, alleging PFAS contamination resulting from the use of AFFF products at several sites around the state. This case was removed to federal court and transferred to the AFFF MDL. The second suit asserts PFAS contamination from non-AFFF sources and names 3M and several entities related to DuPont and Chemours as defendants. This suit is proceeding in state court. In May 2020, the court denied the defendants' motion to dismiss, but dismissed the state's trespass claim as to property the state does not own. The parties are now engaged in discovery and the court has set a trial-ready date in October 2023.

Michigan. In January 2020, the Michigan Attorney General filed a lawsuit in state court against 3M, Dyneon, DuPont, Chemours and others seeking injunctive and equitable relief and damages for alleged injury to Michigan public natural resources and its residents related to PFAS, excluding AFFF. The case was removed to federal court in March 2021 and subsequently transferred to the AFFF MDL. The state has filed a motion to remand the case to state court. In addition, in August 2020, the Michigan Attorney General filed two lawsuits against numerous AFFF manufacturers and distributors, and suppliers of PFAS to AFFF manufacturers. 3M is named a defendant in one of the lawsuits, filed in federal court, and the case has been transferred to the AFFF MDL, where it remains in early stages of litigation.

Guam. In September 2019, the Attorney General of Guam filed a lawsuit against 3M and other defendants relating to contamination of the territory's drinking water supplies and other natural resources by PFAS, allegedly resulting from the use of AFFF products at several sites around the island. This lawsuit has been removed to federal court and transferred to the AFFF MDL.

Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands. In December 2019, the Attorney General of the Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands, a U.S. territory, filed a lawsuit against 3M and other defendants relating to contamination of the territory's drinking water supplies and other natural resources by PFAS, allegedly resulting from the use of AFFF products. This lawsuit has been removed to federal court and transferred to the AFFF MDL.

Mississippi. In December 2020, the Mississippi Attorney General filed an AFFF-related PFAS lawsuit against 3M and other defendants directly with the AFFF MDL court in South Carolina. The lawsuit alleges injuries to the State's property and natural resources purportedly caused by PFAS contamination from AFFF use and seeks both compensatory and punitive damages.

Alaska. In April 2021, the State of Alaska filed a lawsuit against 3M and other defendants, alleging damages from the release of PFAS into the environment from a variety of products, including AFFF. This lawsuit was removed to federal court and transferred to the AFFF MDL in August 2021. In addition, in July 2021, the State of Alaska named 3M as a third-party defendant in two cases originally brought against the state by plaintiffs alleging property damage from AFFF use. Both of these cases were also removed to federal court and transferred to the AFFF MDL.

North Carolina. In November 2021, the State of North Carolina filed four lawsuits against 3M and other defendants, alleging damages from the release of PFAS into the environment from AFFF use at certain air force bases and a fire training academy. These cases have been removed to federal court and have been transferred to the AFFF MDL.

Illinois. In March 2022, the Illinois Attorney General filed a lawsuit in Illinois state court against 3M alleging contamination of the state's natural resources by PFAS compounds disposed of by, or discharged, or emitted from 3M's Cordova plant. The complaint requests monetary damages, injunctive relief, civil penalties, a testing program, and a public outreach and information sharing program. The case was removed to federal court and 3M moved to transfer it to the AFFF MDL. The state has moved to remand the case back to state court and has opposed transfer to the MDL.

Massachusetts. In May 2022, the Massachusetts Attorney General filed an AFFF-related lawsuit against 13 defendants, including 3M, directly with the AFFF MDL federal court in South Carolina. The lawsuit alleges damages to natural resources and harms to public health in Massachusetts purportedly caused by PFAS contamination.

Wisconsin. In July 2022, the Wisconsin Attorney General filed a lawsuit in state court against 18 defendants, including the Company, alleging environmental contamination and public health impacts due to the PFAS chemicals and seeking punitive damages and reimbursement for the costs of investigations, cleanup and remediation.

In addition to the above state attorneys general actions, several other states and the District of Columbia, through their attorneys general, have announced selection processes to retain outside law firms to bring PFAS-related lawsuits against certain manufacturers including the Company. In addition, the Company is in discussions with several state attorneys general and agencies, responding to information and other requests relating to PFAS matters and exploring potential resolution of some of the matters raised.

Aqueous Film Forming Foam (AFFF) Environmental Litigation

3M manufactured and marketed AFFF for use in firefighting at airports and military bases from approximately 1963 to 2002. As of June 30, 2022, 2,632 lawsuits (including 33 putative class actions) alleging injuries or damages by AFFF use have been filed against 3M (along with other defendants) in various state and federal courts. As further described below, a vast majority of these pending cases are in a federal Multi-District Litigation (MDL) court in South Carolina. Additional AFFF cases continue to be filed in or transferred to the MDL. The Company also continues to defend certain AFFF cases that remain in state court and is in discussions with pre-suit claimants for possible resolutions where appropriate.

In December 2018, the JPML granted motions to transfer and consolidate all AFFF cases pending in federal courts to the U.S. District Court for the District of South Carolina to be managed in an MDL proceeding to centralize pre-trial proceedings. The parties in the MDL are currently in the process of conducting discovery. An initial pool of ten water supplier cases was selected in February 2021 for case-specific fact discovery as potential bellwether cases. In October 2021, the parties and the MDL court selected three of these cases for additional fact and expert discovery and for potential trial as bellwether cases. The MDL court in August 2021 issued a scheduling order and subsequently set the first bellwether cases to begin trial on or after March 1, 2023. The MDL court has encouraged the parties to negotiate to resolve cases in the MDL. In November 2021, the defendants filed an omnibus motion regarding their government contractor defense. Following an initial round of briefing on defendants' motion completed in February 2022, the Court requested supplemental briefing on the issue, which was completed in July 2022. Oral argument on the motion is set for August 2022.

In June 2019, several subsidiaries of Valero Energy Corporation, an independent petroleum refiner, filed eight AFFF cases against 3M and other defendants, including DuPont/Chemours, National Foam, Buckeye Fire Equipment, and Kidde-Fenwal, in various state courts. Plaintiffs seek damages that allegedly have been or will be incurred in investigating and remediating PFAS contamination at their properties and replacing or disposing of AFFF products containing long-chain PFAS compounds. Two of these cases have been removed to federal court and transferred to the AFFF MDL. Five cases remain pending in state courts where they are in early stages of litigation, after Valero dismissed its Ohio state court action without prejudice in October 2019. The parties in the state court cases have agreed to stay all five cases until at least September 2022.

As of June 30, 2022, the Company is aware of 13 other AFFF suits originally filed in various state courts in which the Company has been named a defendant. 3M was added as a defendant in at least two of these state court actions alleging personal injury, one brought by a coal miner in Illinois in May 2022 and another brought by a firefighter in Arizona in June 2022. Nine of these cases have been removed to federal court, where defendants have sought transfer to the AFFF MDL.

Two subsidiaries of Husky Energy filed suit in April 2020 against 3M and other AFFF manufacturers in Wisconsin state court relating to alleged PFAS contamination from AFFF use at Husky facilities in Superior, Wisconsin and Lima, Ohio. The parties have entered into a tolling agreement deferring further action on the plaintiffs' claims. The plaintiffs filed a notice of dismissal without prejudice in September 2020.

Separately, the Company is aware of pre-suit claims or demands by other parties related to the use and disposal of AFFF, one of which purports to represent a large group of firefighters. The Company had discussions with certain potential pre-suit claimants and, as a result of such discussions, reached a negotiated resolution for an immaterial amount with the City of Bemidji in March 2021.

Other PFAS-related Product and Environmental Litigation

3M manufactured and sold various products containing PFOA and PFOS, including Scotchgard, for several decades. Starting in 2017, 3M has been served with individual and putative class action complaints in various state and federal courts alleging, among other things, that 3M's customers' improper disposal of PFOA and PFOS resulted in the contamination of groundwater or surface water. The plaintiffs in these cases generally allege that 3M failed to warn its customers about the hazards of improper disposal of the product. They also generally allege that contaminated groundwater has caused various injuries, including personal injury, loss of use and enjoyment of their properties, diminished property values, investigation costs, and remediation costs. Several companies have been sued along with 3M, including Saint-Gobain Performance Plastics Corp., Honeywell International Inc. f/k/a Allied-Signal Inc. and/or AlliedSignal Laminate Systems, Inc., Wolverine World Wide Inc., Georgia-Pacific LLC, E.I. DuPont De Nemours and Co., Chemours Co., and various carpet manufacturers.

In New York, 3M is defending 39 individual cases and one putative class action filed in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of New York and five additional individual cases filed in New York state court against 3M, Saint-Gobain Performance Plastics Corp. (Saint-Gobain), Honeywell International Inc. and E.I. DuPont De Nemours and Co. (DuPont). Tonaga, Inc. (Taconic) is also a defendant in the state court actions. Plaintiffs allege that PFOA discharged from fabric coating facilities operated by non-3M entities (that allegedly had used PFOA-containing materials from 3M, among others) contaminated the drinking water in the Village of Hoosick Falls, the Town of Hoosick and Petersburg, New York. Plaintiffs in both the federal and state individual cases assert various tort claims for personal injury and property damage and in some cases request medical monitoring. 3M has answered the operative complaints in these individual cases, which are now proceeding through discovery. In the federal court individual cases, the parties selected 24 claimants in May 2021 for a discovery pool, which was further narrowed to eight claimants in July 2022 for expert discovery. In the putative class action, certain parties, including 3M, reached an agreement to resolve litigation among the settling parties. In February 2022, the district court issued an order granting final approval of the settlement. Under the agreement, 3M, Saint-Gobain and Honeywell will collectively contribute to a fixed total amount of approximately \$65 million to resolve the plaintiffs' claims and those of the proposed classes. 3M's contribution is not considered material 3M is also defending 13 cases in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of New York filed by various drinking water providers. The plaintiffs in these cases allege that products manufactured by 3M, DuPont, and additional unnamed defendants contaminated plaintiffs' water supply sources with various PFAS compounds. DuPont's motion to transfer these cases to the AFFF MDL was denied in March 2020. 3M has filed answers in these cases and discovery is ongoing.

In Michigan, one consolidated putative class action is pending in the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Michigan against 3M and Wolverine World Wide (Wolverine). The action arises from Wolverine's allegedly improper disposal of materials and wastes, including 3M Scotchgard, related to Wolverine's shoe manufacturing operations. Plaintiffs allege Wolverine used 3M Scotchgard in its manufacturing process and that chemicals from 3M's product contaminated the environment and drinking water sources after disposal. In June 2021, the court partially denied the defendants' motions to dismiss, by granting the motions to dismiss the negligence claim only insofar as the plaintiffs seek damages for personal injuries, as opposed to property damage. In September 2021, the plaintiffs filed a motion to amend the complaint, including to add four new named plaintiffs and putative class representatives. 3M and Wolverine filed a motion to strike the plaintiffs' motion for class certification and opposed plaintiffs' motion to amend the complaint. The parties also filed several dispositive and expert witness-related Daubert motions in November 2021, and the parties have engaged in productive mediation sessions. The court has set a trial date in August 2022. In addition to the consolidated federal court putative class action, as of June 30, 2022, 3M had been a defendant in approximately 275 private individual actions in Michigan state court based on similar allegations. Five of these cases were selected over time for bellwether trials, all of which were dismissed or settled. Regarding the remaining cases, in October 2021, 3M and Wolverine reached a settlement in principle with counsel representing all but three of the remaining private individual actions. 3M and Wolverine have finalized settlement agreements to resolve two more of the remaining cases (on behalf of seven plaintiff families). 3M and Wolverine's motion to dismiss the lone remaining individual Michigan state court case was granted without prejudice in June 2022.

In Alabama and Georgia, 3M, together with multiple co-defendants, is defending three state court cases brought by municipal water utilities, relating to 3M's sale of PFAS-containing products to carpet manufacturers in Georgia. The plaintiffs in these cases allege that the carpet manufacturers improperly discharged PFAS into the surface water and groundwater, contaminating drinking water supplies of cities located downstream along the Coosa River, including Rome, Georgia and Centre and Gadsden, Alabama. The three water utility cases are proceeding through discovery. In the Gadsden case, mediation has been ordered and is ongoing, and trial has been set for October 2022. Another case originally filed in Georgia state court was brought by individuals asserting PFAS contamination by the Georgia carpet manufacturers and seeking economic damages and injunctive relief on behalf of a putative class of Rome and Floyd County water subscribers. This case has been removed to federal court, where 3M filed a motion to dismiss a series of amended complaints, resulting in the dismissal of plaintiffs' negligence claim against 3M. This case is proceeding through discovery. 3M, together with co-defendants, is also defending another putative class action in federal court in Georgia, in which plaintiffs seek relief on behalf of a class of individual ratepayers in Summerville, Georgia who allege their water supply was contaminated by PFAS discharged from a textile mill. In May 2021, the City of Summerville filed a motion to intervene in the lawsuit, which was granted in March 2022. 3M's motion to dismiss the case was denied in March 2022. This case remains in early stages of litigation.

In California, 3M, Decra Roofing and certain DuPont-related entities were named as defendants in an action brought in state court by the Orange County Water District and ten additional local water providers in December 2020, alleging PFAS contamination of the plaintiffs' water sources and also referring to 3M's industrial minerals facility in Corona, California as a potential source of contamination. The plaintiffs filed an amended complaint, and 3M filed a demurrer to the amended complaint in March 2021. In April 2021, the court denied 3M's demurrer. In May 2021, the Orange County plaintiffs filed a second amended complaint. In June 2021, the case was removed to the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California where the plaintiffs moved to remand the case back to state court. The court granted plaintiffs' motion to remand. 3M appealed the remand decision to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, which in March 2022 reversed the district court's remand order and ordered the case be returned to federal court. In June 2022, the JPML ordered that the case be transferred to the AFFF MDL court. In February 2021, the City of Corona and a local utility authority filed a lawsuit in California state court against 3M and other defendants, alleging PFAS contamination from 3M products generally as well as from 3M's Corona facility and roofing granules products. Plaintiffs filed an amended complaint in June 2021. In October 2021, 3M filed a demurrer to the amended complaint in state court. The demurrer was denied in January 2022 and 3M answered the complaint in February 2022. In June 2022, the Sacramento Suburban Water District filed a lawsuit in California federal court against 3M and certain other defendants, alleging PFAS contamination from 3M products generally. 3M has not yet responded to the complaint in that action.

In Delaware, 3M, together with several co-defendants, is defending one putative class action brought by individuals alleging PFAS contamination of their water supply resulting from the operations of local metal plating facilities. Plaintiffs allege that 3M supplied PFAS to the metal plating facilities. DuPont, Chemours, and the metal platers have also been named as defendants. This case has been removed from state court to federal court, and plaintiffs have withdrawn its motion to remand to state court and filed an amended complaint. 3M has filed a motion to dismiss the amended complaint. In February 2021, the court raised the question whether subject matter jurisdiction under the Class Action Fairness Act was proper, issued an order requiring the parties to brief the issue and denied defendants' motions to dismiss with leave to renew pending the court's ruling on jurisdiction. An oral argument was held in September 2021. In December 2021, the court issued an order retaining jurisdiction over the case and 3M renewed its previous motion to dismiss, which remains pending.

In New Jersey, 3M is a defendant in an action brought in federal court by Middlesex Water Company, alleging PFAS contamination of its water wells. 3M's motion to transfer the case to the AFFF MDL was denied. 3M has answered the complaint, and discovery closed in September 2021. The parties engaged in mediation. 3M filed its motion for summary judgement in March 2022. In September 2020, 3M was named a defendant in a similar lawsuit brought by the Borough of Hopatcong. In December 2020, 3M filed a motion to dismiss the Hopatcong matter. In January 2021, 3M was named a defendant in another similar lawsuit brought by the Pequannock Township. In March 2021, 3M filed a motion to dismiss the Pequannock matter. Discovery is ongoing in both the Hopatcong and Pequannock matters. 3M, together with several co-defendants, is also defending seventeen cases in New Jersey federal court brought by individuals with private drinking water wells near certain DuPont and Solvay facilities that were allegedly supplied with PFAS by 3M. These cases have all been coordinated for discovery, which is ongoing. Plaintiffs in ten of these cases seek medical monitoring and property damages. 3M's motion to dismiss the earliest filed of these cases was largely denied in February 2021, and 3M has since filed answers in eight of these cases. Plaintiffs in the seven remaining individual cases in federal court allege personal injuries to themselves or their disabled adult children. 3M has moved to dismiss five of these cases and stipulated to apply the motions in the other cases. In February 2022, 3M's motion to dismiss was largely denied. In December 2021, plaintiffs filed four additional cases in New Jersey state court similar to the personal injury actions filed in federal court. These cases have been removed to federal court, and plaintiffs recently moved to remand the cases to state court. Since then, Plaintiffs have filed five additional complaints in state court, two of which have been removed to federal court. Finally, 3M is also defending a putative class action filed in New Jersey federal court in November 2021 by individuals who received drinking water from Middlesex Water Company that was allegedly contaminated with PFAS in excess of state regulatory levels. Middlesex Water Company is also named as a defendant in this action. With respect to 3M, the suit asserts claims for negligence, nuisance, and trespass. Plaintiffs seek an injunction to include bottled water and home treatment systems and alleged damages for diminution-in-property value, among other relief. 3M filed a motion to dismiss in March 2022. This case remains in early stages of litigation. In May 2022, Middlesex Water Company filed a third-party complaint against the Company in New Jersey state court in a putative class action of the state residents who are customers of the water company, seeking indemnity from the Company. In June 2022, 3M moved to dismiss and/or stay the third-party complaint in that action. Middlesex Water Company subsequently removed the case to federal court in July 2022.

In South Carolina, a putative class action lawsuit was filed in South Carolina state court against 3M, DuPont and DuPont related entities in March 2022. The lawsuit alleges property damage and personal injuries from contamination from PFAS compounds used and disposed of at the textile plant known as the Galey & Lord plant from 1966 until 2016. The complaint seeks remedies including damages, punitive damages, and medical monitoring. The case has been removed to federal court.

In October 2018, 3M and other defendants, including DuPont and Chemours, were named in a putative class action in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Ohio brought by the named plaintiff, a firefighter allegedly exposed to PFAS chemicals through his use of firefighting foam, purporting to represent a putative class of all U.S. individuals with detectable levels of PFAS in their blood. The plaintiff brings claims for negligence, battery, and conspiracy and seeks injunctive relief, including an order "establishing an independent panel of scientists" to evaluate PFAS. 3M and other entities jointly filed a motion to dismiss in February 2019. In September 2019, the court denied the defendants' motion to dismiss. In February 2020, the court denied 3M's motion to transfer the case to the AFFF MDL. In March 2022, the court certified a class of "[i]ndividuals subject to the laws of Ohio, who have 0.05 [ppt] of PFOA (C-8) and at least 0.05 ppt of any other PFAS in their blood serum." The judge ordered additional briefing to permit defendants to narrow the proposed nationwide class by "show[ing] what states do not recognize the type of claim for relief filed by" the plaintiff. The defendants have filed a petition for permission to file an interlocutory appeal of the certification order with the Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals.

Other PFAS-related Matters

In July 2019, the Company received a written request from the Subcommittee on Environment of the Committee on Oversight and Reform, U.S. House of Representatives, seeking certain documents and information relating to the Company's manufacturing and distribution of PFAS products. In September 2019, a 3M representative testified before and responded to questions from the Subcommittee on Environment with respect to PFAS and the Company's environmental stewardship initiatives. The Company continues to cooperate with the Subcommittee.

The Company continues to make progress in its work, under the supervision of state regulators, to remediate historic disposal of PFAS-containing waste associated with manufacturing operations at its Decatur, Alabama; Cottage Grove, Minnesota; and Cordova, Illinois plants.

As previously reported, the Illinois EPA in August 2014 approved a request by the Company to establish a groundwater management zone at its manufacturing facility in Cordova, Illinois, which includes ongoing pumping of impacted site groundwater, groundwater monitoring and routine reporting of results. In May 2022, the Company responded to Illinois EPA's request expressing the Company's intent to continue voluntary remedial actions pursuant to the voluntary May 2000 Site Remediation Agreement, including anticipated completion schedules, ongoing operation and expansion of groundwater management activities, and new regional sampling and on-site activities at the Cordova facility. In June 2022, the Illinois EPA provided notice of the termination of the Cordova May 2000 Site Remediation Agreement. The Company continues to perform pumping of impacted site groundwater, groundwater monitoring and routine reporting of results to Illinois EPA.

In Minnesota, the Company continues to work with the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) pursuant to the terms of the previously disclosed May 2007 Settlement Agreement and Consent Order to address the presence of certain PFAS compounds in the soil and groundwater at former disposal sites in Washington County, Minnesota (Oakdale and Woodbury) and at the Company's manufacturing facility at Cottage Grove, Minnesota. Under this agreement, the Company's principal obligations include (i) evaluating releases of certain PFAS compounds from these sites and proposing response actions; (ii) providing treatment or alternative drinking water upon identifying any level exceeding a HBV or Health Risk Limit (HRL) (i.e., the amount of a chemical in drinking water determined by the Minnesota Department of Health (MDH) to be safe for human consumption over a lifetime) for certain PFAS compounds for which a HBV and/or HRL exists as a result of contamination from these sites; (iii) remediating identified sources of other PFAS compounds at these sites that are not controlled by actions to remediate PFOA and PFOS; and (iv) sharing information with the MPCA about certain perfluorinated compounds. During 2008, the MPCA issued formal decisions adopting remedial options for the former disposal sites in Washington County, Minnesota (Oakdale and Woodbury). In August 2009, the MPCA issued a formal decision adopting remedial options for the Company's Cottage Grove manufacturing facility. During the spring and summer of 2010, 3M began implementing the agreed upon remedial options at the Cottage Grove and Woodbury sites. 3M commenced the remedial option at the Oakdale site in late 2010. At each location the remedial options were recommended by the Company and approved by the MPCA. The Company has completed remediation work and continues with operational and maintenance activities at the Oakdale and Woodbury sites. Remediation work has been substantially completed at the Cottage Grove site, with operational and maintenance activities ongoing.

In Alabama, as previously reported, the Company entered into a voluntary remedial action agreement with ADEM to remediate the presence of PFAS in the soil and groundwater at the Company's manufacturing facility in Decatur, Alabama associated with the historic (1978-1998) incorporation of wastewater treatment plant sludge. With ADEM's agreement, 3M substantially completed installation of a multilayer cap on the former sludge incorporation areas. Further remediation activities, including certain on-site and off-site investigations and studies, will be conducted in accordance with the July 2020 Interim Consent Order described below.

The Company operates under a 2009 consent order issued under the federal Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) (the "2009 TSCA consent order") for the manufacture and use of two perfluorinated materials (FBSA and FBSEE) at its Decatur, Alabama site that does not permit release of these materials into "the waters of the United States." In March 2019, the Company halted the manufacture, processing, and use of these materials at the site upon learning that these materials may have been released from certain specified processes at the Decatur site into the Tennessee River. In April 2019, the Company voluntarily disclosed the releases to the U.S. EPA and ADEM. During June and July 2019, the Company took steps to fully control the aforementioned processes by capturing all wastewater produced by the processes and by treating all air emissions. These processes have been back on-line and in operation since July 2019. The Company continues to cooperate with the EPA and ADEM in their investigations and will work with the regulatory authorities to demonstrate compliance with the release restrictions.

The Company is authorized to discharge wastewater from its Decatur plant pursuant to the terms of a Clean Water Act National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit issued by ADEM. The NPDES permit requires the Company to report on a monthly and quarterly basis the quality and quantity of pollutants discharged to the Tennessee River. In June 2019, as previously reported, the Company voluntarily disclosed to the EPA and ADEM that it had included incorrect values in certain of its monthly and quarterly reports. The Company has submitted the corrected values to both the EPA and ADEM.

As previously reported, as part of ongoing work with the EPA and ADEM to address compliance matters at the Decatur facility, the Company discovered it had not fully characterized its PFAS discharge in its NPDES permit. In September 2019, the Company disclosed the matter to the EPA and ADEM and announced that it had elected to temporarily idle certain other manufacturing processes at 3M Decatur. The Company is reviewing its operations at the plant, has installed wastewater treatment controls and has restarted idled processes.

As a result of the Company's discussions with ADEM to address these and other related matters in the state of Alabama, as previously reported, 3M and ADEM agreed to the terms of an interim Consent Order in July 2020 to cover all PFAS-related wastewater discharges and air emissions from the Company's Decatur facility. Under the interim Consent Order, the Company's principal obligations include commitments related to (i) future ongoing site operations such as (a) providing certain notices or reports and performing various analytical and characterization studies and (b) future capital improvements; and (ii) remediation activities, including certain on-site and off-site investigations and studies. Obligations related to ongoing future site operations under the Consent Order will involve additional operating costs and capital expenditures over multiple years. As offsite investigation activities continue, additional remediation amounts may become probable and reasonably estimable in the future.

As previously reported, in December 2019, the Company received a grand jury subpoena from the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Northern District of Alabama for documents related to, among other matters, the Company's compliance with the 2009 TSCA consent order and unpermitted discharges to the Tennessee River. The Company is cooperating with this and other inquiries and requests regarding its manufacturing facilities and is producing documents in response to the inquiries.

In addition, as previously reported, as part of its ongoing evaluation of regulatory compliance at its Cordova, Illinois facility, the Company discovered it had not fully characterized its PFAS discharge in its NPDES permit for the Cordova facility. In November 2019, the Company disclosed this matter to the EPA, and in January 2020 disclosed this matter to the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (IEPA). The Company continues to work with the EPA and IEPA to address these issues from the Cordova facility, including the nature and scope of a draft EPA SDWA Administrative Consent Order received in December 2021 proposing that the Company survey and sample proposed private and public drinking water wells within the vicinity of the Cordova facility and provide alternate drinking water as appropriate. In April 2022, the Company received an information request from EPA seeking information related to the operation of specific PFAS-related processes, and the Company is cooperating with this inquiry and is producing documents and information. In May 2022, the Company received a notice of potential violation and opportunity to confer and a notice of intent to file a complaint from EPA alleging violations of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) related to the use of emergency spill containment units associated with certain chemical processes at the Cordova facility.

The Company is also reviewing operations at its other plants with similar manufacturing processes, such as the plant in Cottage Grove, Minnesota, to ensure those operations are in compliance with applicable environmental regulatory requirements and Company policies and procedures. As a result of these reviews, as previously reported, the Company discovered it had not fully characterized its PFAS discharge in its NPDES permit for the Cottage Grove facility. In March 2020, the Company disclosed this matter to the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) and the EPA. In July 2020, the Company received an information request from MPCA for documents and information related to, among other matters, the Company's compliance with the Clean Water Act at its Cottage Grove facility. The Company is cooperating with this inquiry and is producing documents and information in response to the request for information. The Company continues to work with the MPCA and EPA to address the discharges from the Cottage Grove facility.

Separately, as previously reported, in June 2020, the Company reported to EPA and MPCA that it had not fully complied with elements of the inspection, characterization and waste stream profile verification process of the Waste and Feedstream Analysis Plan (WAP/FAP) of its RCRA permit for its Cottage Grove incinerator. In July 2020, the Company received an information request from MPCA related to the June 2020 disclosure, to which the Company responded in September 2020. The Company continues to work with the MPCA to address WAP/FAP implementation issues disclosed in June 2020. In January 2021, the Company received a notice of violation (NOV) from MPCA related to, among other matters, the above-described Clean Water Act and RCRA issues. The Company has cooperated with MPCA to address the issues that are the subject of the NOV and signed a stipulation agreement in May 2022 with MPCA to pay a penalty and settle the waste violations cited in the NOV. In October 2021, the Company received information requests from MPCA seeking additional toxicological and other information related to certain PFAS compounds. The Company is cooperating with these inquires and is producing documents and information in response to the requests. In June 2022, MPCA directed that the Company address the presence of PFAS in its stormwater discharge from the Cottage Grove facility. The Company is working with MPCA regarding its proposed schedule of compliance.

In February 2020, as previously reported, the Company received an information request from EPA for documents and information related to, among other matters, the Company's compliance with the Clean Water Act at its facilities that manufacture, process, and use PFAS, including the Decatur, Cordova, and Cottage Grove facilities. The Company is cooperating with this inquiry and is producing documents and information in response to the request for information.

The Company continues to work with relevant federal and state agencies (including EPA, the U.S. Department of Justice, state environmental agencies and state attorneys general) as it conducts these reviews and responds to information, inspection, and other requests from the agencies. The Company cannot predict at this time the outcomes of resolving these compliance matters, what actions may be taken by the regulatory agencies or the potential consequences to the Company.

Other Environmental Litigation

In July 2018, the Company, along with more than 120 other companies, was served with a complaint seeking cost recovery and contribution towards the cleaning up of approximately eight miles of the Lower Passaic River in New Jersey. The plaintiff, Occidental Chemical Corporation, alleges that it agreed to design and pay the estimated \$165 million cost to remove and cap sediment containing eight chemicals of concern, including PCBs and dioxins. The complaint seeks to spread those costs among the defendants, including the Company. The Company's involvement in the case relates to its past use of two commercial drum conditioning facilities in New Jersey. Whether, and to what extent, the Company may be required to contribute to the costs at issue in the case remains to be determined.

For environmental matters and litigation described above, unless otherwise described below, no liability has been recorded as the Company believes liability in those matters is not probable and reasonably estimable and the Company is not able to estimate a possible loss or range of possible loss at this time. The Company's environmental liabilities and insurance receivables are described below.

Environmental Liabilities and Insurance Receivables

The Company periodically examines whether the contingent liabilities related to the environmental matters and litigation described above are probable and reasonably estimable based on experience and ongoing developments in those matters, including discussions regarding negotiated resolutions. During the first six months of 2022, as a result of recent developments in ongoing environmental matters and litigation, the Company increased its accrual for PFAS-related other environmental liabilities by \$529 million since December 31, 2021 and made related payments of \$187 million. As of June 30, 2022, the Company had recorded liabilities of \$754 million for "other environmental liabilities." The accruals represent the Company's best estimate of the probable loss in connection with the environmental matters and PFAS-related matters and litigation described above. The Company is not able to estimate a possible loss or range of possible loss in excess of the established accruals at this time.

As of June 30, 2022, the Company had recorded liabilities of \$26 million for estimated non-PFAS related "environmental remediation" costs to clean up, treat, or remove hazardous substances at current or former 3M manufacturing or third-party sites. The Company evaluates available facts with respect to each individual site each quarter and records liabilities for remediation costs on an undiscounted basis when they are probable and reasonably estimable, generally no later than the completion of feasibility studies or the Company's commitment to a plan of action. Liabilities for estimated costs of environmental remediation, depending on the site, are based primarily upon internal or third-party environmental studies, and estimates as to the number, participation level and financial viability of any other potentially responsible parties, the extent of the contamination and the nature of required remedial actions. The Company adjusts recorded liabilities as further information develops or circumstances change. The Company expects that it will pay the amounts recorded over the periods of remediation for the applicable sites, currently ranging up to 20 years.

It is difficult to estimate the cost of environmental compliance and remediation given the uncertainties regarding the interpretation and enforcement of applicable environmental laws and regulations, the extent of environmental contamination and the existence of alternative cleanup methods. Developments may occur that could affect the Company's current assessment, including, but not limited to: (i) changes in the information available regarding the environmental impact of the Company's operations and products; (ii) changes in environmental regulations, changes in permissible levels of specific compounds in drinking water sources, or changes in enforcement theories and policies, including efforts to recover natural resource damages; (iii) new and evolving analytical and remediation techniques; (iv) success in allocating liability to other potentially responsible parties; and (v) the financial viability of other potentially responsible parties and third-party indemnitors. For sites included in both "environmental remediation liabilities" and "other environmental liabilities," at which remediation activity is largely complete and remaining activity relates primarily to operation and maintenance of the remedy, including required post-remediation monitoring, the Company believes the exposure to loss in excess of the amount accrued would not be material to the Company's consolidated results of operations or financial condition. However, for locations at which remediation activity is largely ongoing, the Company cannot estimate a possible loss or range of possible loss in excess of the associated established accruals for the reasons described above.

The Company has both pre-1986 general and product liability occurrence coverage and post-1985 occurrence reported product liability and other environmental coverage for environmental matters and litigation. As of June 30, 2022, the Company's receivable for insurance recoveries related to the environmental matters and litigation was \$8 million. Various factors could affect the timing and amount of recovery of this and future expected increases in the receivable, including (i) delays in or avoidance of payment by insurers; (ii) the extent to which insurers may become insolvent in the future, (iii) the outcome of negotiations with insurers, and (iv) the scope of the insurers' purported defenses and exclusions to avoid coverage.

Product Liability Litigation

Aearo Technologies sold Dual-Ended Combat Arms – Version 2 earplugs starting in about 2003. 3M acquired Aearo Technologies in 2008 and sold these earplugs from 2008 through 2015, when the product was discontinued. 3M and Aearo Technologies believe the Combat Arms Earplugs were effective and safe when used properly, but nevertheless, as discussed below, face litigation from approximately 235,000 claimants. As noted in the "Respirator Mask/Asbestos Litigation — Aearo Technologies" section above, in July 2022, the Aearo Entities voluntarily initiated chapter 11 proceedings under the U.S. Bankruptcy Code seeking court supervision to establish a trust, funded by the Company, to efficiently and equitably satisfy all claims determined to be entitled to compensation associated with these matters and those described in the earlier section "Respirator Mask/Asbestos Litigation — Aearo Technologies". 3M entered into an agreement with the Aearo Entities to fund this trust and to support the Aearo Entities as they continue to operate during the chapter 11 proceedings. 3M has committed \$1.0 billion to fund this trust and has committed an additional \$0.2 billion to fund projected related case expenses. Under the terms of the agreement, the Company will provide additional funding if required by the Aearo Entities. Related to these actions, which represent a change in strategy for managing the Combat Arms Version 2 earplugs and Aearo respirator mask/asbestos alleged litigation liabilities, 3M reflected a pre-tax charge of \$1.2 billion (within selling, general and administrative expenses), inclusive of fees and net of related existing accruals, in the second quarter of 2022. The accrued liability balance is largely reflected within other liabilities on 3M's consolidated balance sheet. The Company will deconsolidate Aearo Entities and certain other related entities in the third quarter of 2022, the impact of which is not expected to be material to 3M.

Upon the filings in late July 2022 in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of Indiana, all litigation against Aearo Entities that filed chapter 11 cases is automatically stayed. The Aearo Entities have also requested that the Bankruptcy Court confirm that Combat Arms Earplugs litigation against the Company is also stayed or order it enjoined. Further hearings on these matters are expected in the third quarter of 2022.

Preceding Combat Arms Earplugs matters:

In December 2018, a military veteran filed an individual lawsuit against 3M in the San Bernardino Superior Court in California alleging that he sustained personal injuries while serving in the military caused by 3M's Dual-Ended Combat Arms earplugs – Version 2. The plaintiff asserts claims of product liability and fraudulent misrepresentation and concealment. The plaintiff seeks various damages, including medical and related expenses, loss of income, and punitive damages.

As of June 30, 2022, the Company is a named defendant in lawsuits (including 14 putative class actions) in various state and federal courts that purport to represent approximately 115,300 individual claimants making similar allegations. The significant increase from year-end 2021 in the number of claimants is largely due to the number of claims moved from the administrative docket to the active docket as the result of the transition orders the multi-district litigation (MDL) judge began issuing at the end of 2021 (as more fully described below), in addition to claims filed directly on the active docket during the first and second quarters of 2022. In April 2019, the U.S. Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation granted motions to transfer and consolidate all cases pending in federal courts to the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Florida to be managed in an MDL proceeding to centralize pre-trial proceedings. The plaintiffs and 3M filed preliminary summary judgment motions on the government contractor defense. In July 2020, the MDL court granted the plaintiffs' summary judgment motion and denied the defendants' summary judgment motion, ruling that plaintiffs' claims are not barred by the government contractor defense. The court denied the Company's request to immediately certify the summary judgment ruling for appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit. In December 2020, the court granted the plaintiffs' motion to consolidate three plaintiffs for the first bellwether trial, which began in March 2021.

In April 2021, 3M received an adverse jury verdict in the first bellwether trial. The jury awarded the three plaintiffs less than \$1 million in compensatory damages and \$6 million in punitive damages for a total of \$7 million. 3M appealed the verdicts, challenging, among other rulings, the MDL court's denial of 3M's motion to assert the government contractor defense. The next two bellwether trials occurred in May and June of 2021. In May 2021, 3M received a verdict in its favor in the second bellwether trial, in which a jury rejected claims that 3M knowingly sold earplugs with design defects. In June 2021, 3M received an adverse verdict in the third bellwether trial. The jury found 3M liable for strict liability failure to warn, but found 3M not liable for design defect or fraud. The jury apportioned fault 62 percent to 3M and 38 percent to the plaintiff for a total damage award of approximately \$1 million. 3M appealed the verdict. In October 2021, 3M received an adverse verdict in the fourth bellwether trial, in which a jury awarded \$8 million to the plaintiff. 3M received verdicts in its favor in the fifth and sixth bellwether trials. 3M received an adverse verdict in the seventh and eighth bellwether trials, in which the juries awarded the plaintiffs \$13 million and \$23 million, respectively. 3M prevailed in the ninth and tenth bellwether cases but received adverse verdicts in the eleventh bellwether case in which the jury awarded each of the two plaintiffs \$15 million in compensatory and \$40 million in punitive damages. 3M received adverse verdicts in the twelfth and thirteenth bellwether cases in which the jury awarded one plaintiff with \$50 million and another with \$8 million in compensatory damages. 3M prevailed in the fourteenth bellwether trial. Plaintiff in the fourteenth bellwether trial has filed a notice of appeal. In April 2022, a jury returned a plaintiff's verdict in the fifteenth bellwether trial, awarding \$2.2 million in compensatory damages and declining to award punitive damages. In May 2022, a jury returned a plaintiff's verdict in the last scheduled federal bellwether trial. The jury awarded \$5 million in compensatory damages and \$72 million in punitive damages. These trials have not included several bellwether cases that plaintiffs' counsel dismissed with prejudice either during discovery or after being set for trial. While the Company intends to appeal these adverse verdicts, pending the Bankruptcy Court's decision on the hearings referenced above, the Court may stay any action on appeal.

An administrative docket of approximately 119,900 unfiled and unverified claims has also been maintained at the MDL court. The MDL court in August 2021 provided notice of an intent to issue forthcoming transition orders requiring all claims be moved off the administrative docket to the active docket on a rolling basis over 12 months. The orders will provide that any case not moved to the active docket will be dismissed without prejudice, and the administrative docket will then be closed. The MDL court also ordered the parties to prepare for trial 1,500 cases in three waves of 500 cases over the next 14 months. After the preparation of these cases is completed, the cases will be remanded to the federal district courts where the cases were originally filed. In November 2021, the judge issued the first wave order of the first 500 cases over the next eight months, and in February 2022, the judge issued the second wave order of an additional 500 cases. In May 2022, the judge issued the third wave order of an additional 500 cases. The judge ordered a three-day mediation in July 2022. Also in July 2022, the judge set the date for a single plaintiff trial for October 2022. Following conclusion of the bellwether trial process and unsuccessful settlement discussions, and with another 1,500 cases being prepared for trial while the Company's appeals are still pending, the Aearo Entities and the Company adopted a change in strategy for managing these alleged litigation liabilities that led to the Aearo Entities initiating the chapter 11 proceedings as discussed above.

3M is also defending lawsuits brought primarily by non-military plaintiffs in state court in Hennepin County, Minnesota. 3M removed these actions to federal court, and the federal court remanded them to state court in March 2020. On appeal, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit ruled in October 2021 that the cases brought by non-military plaintiffs were properly remanded to state court, whereas the cases brought by military contractor plaintiffs who had received the Combat Arms Earplugs from the military should have remained in federal court. In November 2021, the Eighth Circuit granted 3M's unopposed motion to vacate the remand orders in the remaining appeals of military service member cases. The military service member cases are expected to be remanded to federal court and transferred to the MDL. There are approximately 40 lawsuits involving approximately 1,100 plaintiffs pending in the state court. The state court cases are subject to a bellwether case selection process. The Company has filed a motion to compel plaintiffs to produce medical records. The first trial in Hennepin County is scheduled for no earlier than August 2022.

As of June 30, 2022, the Company was a named defendant in approximately 5,258 lawsuits in the United States and one Canadian putative class action with a single named plaintiff, alleging that the Bair Hugger™ patient warming system caused a surgical site infection.

As previously disclosed, 3M is a named defendant in lawsuits in federal courts involving over 5,000 plaintiffs alleging that they underwent various joint arthroplasty, cardiovascular, and other surgeries and later developed surgical site infections due to the use of the Bair Hugger™ patient warming system. The plaintiffs seek damages and other relief based on theories of strict liability, negligence, breach of express and implied warranties, failure to warn, design and manufacturing defect, fraudulent and/or negligent misrepresentation/concealment, unjust enrichment, and violations of various state consumer fraud, deceptive or unlawful trade practices and/or false advertising acts.

The U.S. Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation (JPML) consolidated all cases pending in federal courts to the U.S. District Court for the District of Minnesota to be managed in a multi-district litigation (MDL) proceeding. In July 2019, the court excluded several of the plaintiffs' causation experts, and granted summary judgment for 3M in all cases pending at that time in the MDL. Plaintiffs appealed that decision to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit. Plaintiffs also appealed a 2018 jury verdict in favor of 3M in the first bellwether trial in the MDL and appealed the dismissal of another bellwether case. A panel of the appellate court in August 2021 reversed the district court's exclusion of the plaintiffs' causation experts and the grant of summary judgment for 3M. The Company sought further appellate *en banc* review by the full Eighth Circuit court. In November 2021, the Eighth Circuit court denied 3M's petition for rehearing *en banc*. In February 2022, the Company filed a petition for a writ of certiorari in the U.S. Supreme Court. In May 2022, the U.S. Supreme Court declined 3M's request to review the Eighth Circuit court's decision. The MDL court has not yet issued a new case management order. In February 2022, the MDL court ordered the parties to engage in any mediation sessions that a court-appointed mediator deems appropriate, and initial sessions took place in May 2022. Additional sessions will take place in August 2022. Also, in August 2021, the Eighth Circuit court separately affirmed the 2018 jury verdict in 3M's favor in the only bellwether trial in the MDL.

In addition to the federal cases, there are five state court cases. Three are pending in Missouri state court and combine Bair Hugger product liability claims with medical malpractice claims. Two of the Missouri cases are set for trial; one in September 2022 and one in April 2023. There is also one case in Hidalgo County, Texas that combines Bair Hugger product liability claims with medical malpractice claims, and a similar case in Etowah County, Alabama. In August 2019, the MDL court enjoined the individual plaintiff from pursuing his claims in Texas state court because he had previously filed and dismissed a claim in the MDL. That plaintiff has appealed the order to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit, which heard oral argument on this appeal in March 2021. In May 2021, the Court of Appeals lifted the MDL court's injunction that barred plaintiff from litigating the Texas state court case. The court has set a trial date in December 2022.

As previously disclosed, 3M had been named a defendant in 61 cases in Minnesota state court. In January 2018, the Minnesota state court excluded plaintiffs' experts and granted 3M's motion for summary judgment on general causation. The Minnesota Court of Appeals affirmed the state court orders in their entirety and the Minnesota Supreme Court denied plaintiffs' petition for review and entered the final dismissal in 2019, effectively ending the Minnesota state court cases.

In June 2016, the Company was served with a putative class action filed in the Ontario Superior Court of Justice for all Canadian residents who underwent various joint arthroplasty, cardiovascular, and other surgeries and later developed surgical site infections that the representative plaintiff claims were due to the use of the Bair Hugger™ patient warming system. The representative plaintiff seeks relief (including punitive damages) under Canadian law based on theories similar to those asserted in the MDL.

No liability has been recorded for the Bair Hugger™ litigation because the Company believes that any such liability is not probable and reasonably estimable at this time.

For product liability litigation matters described in this section for which a liability has been recorded, the Company is not able to estimate a possible loss or range of possible loss in excess of the established accruals at this time.

Securities and Shareholder Litigation

In July 2019, Heavy & General Laborers' Locals 472 & 172 Welfare Fund filed a putative securities class action against 3M Company, its former Chairman and CEO, current Chairman and CEO, and former CFO in the U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey. In August 2019, an individual plaintiff filed a similar putative securities class action in the same district. Plaintiffs allege that defendants made false and misleading statements regarding 3M's exposure to liability associated with PFAS and bring claims for damages under Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and SEC Rule 10b-5 against all defendants, and under Section 20(a) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 against the individual defendants. In October 2019, the court consolidated the securities class actions and appointed a group of lead plaintiffs. In January 2020, the defendants filed a motion to transfer venue to the U.S. District Court for the District of Minnesota. In August 2020, the court denied the motion to transfer venue, and in September 2020, the defendants filed a petition for writ of mandamus to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit. In November 2020, the federal Court of Appeals granted 3M's petition for a writ of mandamus and directed the New Jersey federal court to transfer the action to the Minnesota federal court. The defendants filed a motion to dismiss the action in January 2021, and in September 2021, the Minnesota federal court granted 3M's motion to dismiss the securities class action, which judgment is now final.

In October 2019, a stockholder derivative lawsuit was filed in the U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey against 3M and several of its current and former executives and directors. In November and December 2019, two additional derivative lawsuits were filed in a Minnesota state court. The derivative lawsuits rely on similar factual allegations as the putative securities class action discussed above. The Minnesota state court cases were consolidated and stayed pending a decision on the motion to dismiss in the securities class action, and the Minnesota state plaintiffs have agreed to further stay their action pending a decision on the motion to dismiss the federal derivative lawsuit discussed below. In October 2020, the derivative action pending in the U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey was dismissed, without prejudice, for failure to serve the complaint within the required time period.

In August 2020, a stockholder who had previously submitted a books and records demand filed an additional follow-on derivative lawsuit in the U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey against 3M and several of its current and former executives and directors. This derivative lawsuit, having been transferred to Minnesota federal court, also relies on similar factual allegations as the putative securities class action discussed above. In February 2021, an additional stockholder derivative lawsuit was filed in the District of Minnesota, making similar factual allegations as the putative securities class action discussed above. The Minnesota federal court consolidated these federal derivative suits and stayed them pending and through any appeal of the securities class action dismissal. The Minnesota federal plaintiffs then filed an amended complaint in February 2022. The defendants moved to dismiss the consolidated federal derivative action in May 2022.

Federal False Claims Act / Qui Tam Litigation

In October 2019, 3M acquired Acelity, Inc. and its KCI subsidiaries, including Kinetic Concepts, Inc. and KCI USA, Inc. As previously disclosed in the SEC filings by the KCI entities, in 2009, Kinetic Concepts, Inc. received a subpoena from the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Office of Inspector General. In 2011, following the completion of the government's review and its decision declining to intervene in two qui tam actions described further below, the qui tam relator-plaintiffs' pleadings were unsealed.

The government inquiry followed two qui tam actions filed in 2008 by two former employees against Kinetic Concepts, Inc. and KCI USA, Inc. (collectively, the "KCI defendants") under seal in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California. The complaints contain allegations that the KCI Defendants violated the federal False Claims Act by submitting false or fraudulent claims to federal healthcare programs by billing for V.A.C.[®] Therapy in a manner that was not consistent with the Local Coverage Determinations issued by the Durable Medical Equipment Medicare Administrative Contractors and seek monetary damages. One complaint (the "Godecke case") also contained allegations that the KCI Defendants retaliated against the relator-plaintiff for alleged whistle-blowing behavior.

Following preliminary motions practice, two appeals, and discovery in the Godecke case, relator-plaintiff Godecke and the KCI Defendants reached a settlement in early 2022, which included a settlement payment by the KCI Defendants to relator-plaintiff of an agreed amount and a complete dismissal of all claims with prejudice by both parties and without prejudice to the United States. In January 2022, the district court entered an order dismissing the case with prejudice as to the relator-plaintiff and the KCI Defendants and without prejudice to the United States.

Separately, in June 2019, the district court in the second case (the "Hartpence case") entered summary judgment in the KCI Defendants' favor on all of the relator-plaintiff's claims. The relator-plaintiff then filed an appeal in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. Oral argument in the Hartpence case was held in July 2020. The appellate court's opinion remains pending.

For the matters described in this section for which a liability has been recorded, the amount recorded is not material to the Company's consolidated results of operations or financial condition.

Compliance Matter

The Company, through its internal processes, discovered certain travel activities and related funding and record keeping issues raising concerns, arising from marketing efforts by certain business groups based in China. The Company initiated an internal investigation to determine whether the expenditures may have violated the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (FCPA) or other potentially applicable anti-corruption laws. The Company has retained outside counsel and a forensic accounting firm to assist with the investigation. In July 2019, the Company voluntarily disclosed this investigation to both the Department of Justice and Securities and Exchange Commission and is cooperating with both agencies. The Company cannot predict at this time the outcome of its investigation or what potential actions may be taken by the Department of Justice or Securities and Exchange Commission.

NOTE 15. Stock-Based Compensation

At the May 2021 Annual Meeting, the shareholders approved the Amended and Restated 3M Company 2016 Long-Term Incentive Plan (LTIP), which included an increase of 26,633,508 in the number of shares available for issuance. Awards may be issued in the form of incentive stock options, nonqualified stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, restricted stock units, other stock awards, and performance units and performance shares. As of June 30, 2022, the remaining shares available for grant under the LTIP Program are 32 million.

The Company’s annual stock option and restricted stock unit grant is made in February to provide a strong and immediate link between the performance of individuals during the preceding year and the size of their annual stock compensation grants. The grant to eligible employees uses the closing stock price on the grant date. Accounting rules require recognition of expense under a non-substantive vesting period approach, requiring compensation expense recognition when an employee is eligible to retire. Employees are considered eligible to retire at age 55 and after having completed ten years of service. This retiree-eligible population represents 36 percent of the annual grant stock-based compensation expense; therefore, higher stock-based compensation expense is recognized in the first quarter.

In addition to the annual grants, the Company makes other minor grants of stock options, restricted stock units and other stock-based grants. The Company issues cash settled restricted stock units and stock appreciation rights in certain countries. These grants do not result in the issuance of common stock and are considered immaterial by the Company.

Amounts recognized in the financial statements with respect to stock-based compensation programs, which include stock options, restricted stock, restricted stock units, performance shares and the General Employees’ Stock Purchase Plan (GESPP), are provided in the following table. Capitalized stock-based compensation amounts were not material for the three and six months ended June 30, 2022 and 2021.

Stock-Based Compensation Expense

(Millions)	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2022	2021	2022	2021
Cost of sales	\$ 9	\$ 9	\$ 33	\$ 31
Selling, general and administrative expenses	31	37	114	121
Research, development and related expenses	7	7	35	32
Stock-based compensation expenses	47	53	182	184
Income tax benefits	(9)	(25)	(46)	(76)
Stock-based compensation expenses (benefits), net of tax	\$ 38	\$ 28	\$ 136	\$ 108

Stock Option Program

The following table summarizes stock option activity during the six months ended June 30, 2022:

(Options in thousands)	Number of Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (months)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (millions)
Under option —				
January 1	34,560	\$ 163.52		
Granted	3,776	162.39		
Exercised	(1,297)	96.86		
Forfeited	(372)	179.40		
June 30	36,667	165.61	63	\$ 72,356
Options exercisable				
June 30	29,170	\$ 165.71	51	\$ 72,356

Stock options vest over a period from one year to three years with the expiration date at 10 years from date of grant. As of June 30, 2022, there was \$72 million of compensation expense that has yet to be recognized related to non-vested stock option based awards. This expense is expected to be recognized over the remaining weighted-average vesting period of 24 months. The total intrinsic values of stock options exercised were \$90 million and \$277 million during the six months ended June 30, 2022 and 2021, respectively. Cash received from options exercised was \$123 million and \$382 million for the six months ended June 30, 2022 and 2021, respectively. The Company’s actual tax benefits realized for the tax deductions related to the exercise of employee stock options were \$18 million and \$59 million for the six months ended June 30, 2022 and 2021, respectively.

For the primary 2022 annual stock option grant, the weighted average fair value at the date of grant was calculated using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model and the assumptions that follow.

Stock Option Assumptions

	Annual 2022
Exercise price	\$ 162.41
Risk-free interest rate	1.9 %
Dividend yield	2.9 %
Expected volatility	21.8 %
Expected life (months)	83
Black-Scholes fair value	\$ 25.34

Expected volatility is a statistical measure of the amount by which a stock price is expected to fluctuate during a period. For the 2022 annual grant date, the Company estimated the expected volatility based upon the following three volatilities of 3M stock: the median of the term of the expected life rolling volatility; the median of the most recent term of the expected life volatility; and the implied volatility on the grant date. The expected term assumption is based on the weighted average of historical grants.

Restricted Stock and Restricted Stock Units

The following table summarizes restricted stock and restricted stock unit activity during the six months ended June 30, 2022:

(Shares in thousands)	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Nonvested balance —		
As of January 1	1,987	\$ 175.96
Granted	1,057	161.76
Vested	(512)	199.75
Forfeited	(62)	167.79
As of June 30	2,470	165.15

As of June 30, 2022, there was \$158 million of compensation expense that has yet to be recognized related to non-vested restricted stock and restricted stock units. This expense is expected to be recognized over the remaining weighted-average vesting period of 26 months. The total fair value of restricted stock and restricted stock units that vested during the six months ended June 30, 2022 and 2021 was \$82 million and \$79 million, respectively. The Company’s actual tax benefits realized for the tax deductions related to the vesting of restricted stock and restricted stock units was \$16 million and \$15 million for the six months ended June 30, 2022 and 2021, respectively.

Restricted stock units granted generally vest three years following the grant date assuming continued employment. Dividend equivalents equal to the dividends payable on the same number of shares of 3M common stock accrue on these restricted stock units during the vesting period, although no dividend equivalents are paid on any of these restricted stock units that are forfeited prior to the vesting date. Dividends are paid out in cash at the vest date on restricted stock units. Since the rights to dividends are forfeitable, there is no impact on basic earnings per share calculations. Weighted average restricted stock unit shares outstanding are included in the computation of diluted earnings per share.

Performance Shares

Instead of restricted stock units, the Company makes annual grants of performance shares to members of its executive management. The 2022 performance criteria for these performance shares (organic sales growth, free cash flow growth, and earnings per share growth) were selected because the Company believes that they are important drivers of long-term stockholder value. The number of shares of 3M common stock that could actually be delivered at the end of the three-year performance period may be anywhere from 0% to 200% of each performance share granted, depending on the performance of the Company during such performance period. When granted, these performance shares are awarded at 100% of the estimated number of shares at the end of the three-year performance period and are reflected under “Granted” in the table below. Non-substantive vesting requires that expense for the performance shares be recognized over one or three years depending on when each individual became a 3M executive. The performance share grants accrue dividends; therefore, the grant date fair value is equal to the closing stock price on the date of grant. Since the rights to dividends are forfeitable, there is no impact on basic earnings per share calculations. Weighted average performance shares whose performance period is complete are included in computation of diluted earnings per share.

The following table summarizes performance share activity during the six months ended June 30, 2022:

(Shares in thousands)	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Undistributed balance —		
As of January 1	481	\$ 175.12
Granted	269	144.77
Distributed	(116)	207.49
Performance change	(165)	153.90
Forfeited	(20)	160.83
As of June 30	449	157.00

As of June 30, 2022, there was \$23 million of compensation expense that has yet to be recognized related to performance shares. This expense is expected to be recognized over the remaining weighted-average earnings period of 17 months. The total fair value of performance shares that were distributed were \$21 million and \$22 million for the six months ended June 30, 2022 and 2021, respectively. The Company’s actual tax benefits realized for the tax deductions related to the distribution of performance shares were \$4 million and \$4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2022 and 2021, respectively.

NOTE 16. Business Segments

3M’s businesses are organized, managed and internally grouped into segments based on differences in markets, products, technologies and services. 3M manages its operations in four business segments: Safety and Industrial; Transportation and Electronics; Health Care; and Consumer. 3M’s four business segments bring together common or related 3M technologies, enhancing the development of innovative products and services and providing for efficient sharing of business resources. Transactions among reportable segments are recorded at cost. 3M is an integrated enterprise characterized by substantial intersegment cooperation, cost allocations and inventory transfers. Therefore, management does not represent that these segments, if operated independently, would report the operating income information shown.

3M discloses business segment operating income as its measure of segment profit/loss, reconciled to both total 3M operating income and income before taxes. Business segment operating income excludes certain expenses and income that are not allocated to business segments (as described below in “Corporate and Unallocated”).

Effective in the first quarter of 2022, the measure of segment operating performance used by 3M’s chief operating decision maker (CODM) changed and, as a result, 3M’s disclosed measure of segment profit/loss (business segment operating income (loss)) was updated. The change to business segment operating income aligns with the update to how the CODM assesses performance and allocates resources for the Company’s business segments. The changes included the items described below. The financial information presented herein reflects the impact of these business segment reporting changes for all periods presented.

Eliminating inclusion of dual credit in measure of segment operating performance

3M business segment operating performance measures were updated to no longer include dual credit to business segments for certain sales and related operating income. Management previously evaluated its business segments based on net sales and operating income performance, including dual credit reporting. 3M reflected additional (“dual”) credit to another business segment when the customer account activity (“sales district”) with respect to the particular product sold to the external customer was provided by a different business segment. For example, privacy screen protection products are primarily sold by the Display Materials and Systems Division within the Transportation and Electronics business segment; however, certain sales districts within the Consumer business segment provide the customer account activity for sales of the product to particular customers. In this example, the non-primary selling segment (Consumer) previously would also have received credit for the associated net sales initiated through its sales district and the related approximate operating income. The offset to the dual credit business segment reporting was reflected as a reconciling item entitled “Elimination of Dual Credit,” such that sales and operating income in total were unchanged.

Reflecting certain litigation-related costs in the Safety and Industrial segment's operating performance measure

3M's business segment operating performance measure with respect to its Safety and Industrial business segment was updated relative to litigation-related costs for respirator mask/asbestos litigation matters. Previously, 3M included these costs, when significant, as a special item (as further described below) within Corporate and Unallocated. 3M now includes all litigation-related costs associated with respirator mask/asbestos litigation matters within the Safety and Industrial business segment (along with other Safety and Industrial matters already included therein, such as those related to Combat Arms Earplugs).

Business Segment Information

(Millions)	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2022	2021	2022	2021
Net Sales				
Safety and Industrial	2,924	3,029	5,975	6,128
Transportation and Electronics	2,268	2,355	4,608	4,751
Health Care	2,179	2,165	4,303	4,234
Consumer	1,330	1,400	2,643	2,689
Corporate and Unallocated	1	1	2	(1)
Total Company	8,702	8,950	17,531	17,801
Operating Performance				
Safety and Industrial	(707)	662	(71)	1,414
Transportation and Electronics	476	513	972	1,069
Health Care	494	548	942	1,012
Consumer	247	290	471	559
Total business segment operating income	510	2,013	2,314	4,054
Corporate and Unallocated				
Corporate special items:				
Net costs for significant litigation	(379)	(75)	(566)	(145)
Other corporate expense - net	(21)	33	3	56
Total Corporate and Unallocated	(400)	(42)	(563)	(89)
Total Company operating income	110	1,971	1,751	3,965
Other expense/(income), net	50	33	88	82
Income before income taxes	60	1,938	1,663	3,883

Corporate and Unallocated

Corporate and Unallocated operating income includes “corporate special items” and “other corporate expense-net”. Corporate special items include net costs for significant litigation associated with PFAS-related other environmental matters (see Note 14), gain/loss on sale of businesses (see Note 3), and divestiture-related restructuring costs. Other corporate expense-net includes items such as net costs related to limited unallocated corporate staff and centrally managed material resource centers of expertise costs, corporate philanthropic activity, and other net costs that 3M may choose not to allocate directly to its business segments. Other corporate expense-net also includes costs and income from contract manufacturing, transition services and other arrangements with the acquirer of the former Drug Delivery business following its 2020 divestiture. Items classified as revenue from this activity are included in Corporate and Unallocated net sales. Because Corporate and Unallocated includes a variety of miscellaneous items, it is subject to fluctuation on a quarterly and annual basis.

Item 2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (MD&A) is designed to provide a reader of 3M’s financial statements with a narrative from the perspective of management. 3M’s MD&A is presented in the following sections:

- Overview
- Results of Operations
- Performance by Business Segment
- Financial Condition and Liquidity
- Cautionary Note Concerning Factors That May Affect Future Results

Forward-looking statements in Part I, Item 2 may involve risks and uncertainties that could cause results to differ materially from those projected (refer to the section entitled “Cautionary Note Concerning Factors That May Affect Future Results” in Part I, Item 2 and the risk factors provided in Part II, Item 1A for discussion of these risks and uncertainties).

OVERVIEW

3M is a diversified global manufacturer, technology innovator and marketer of a wide variety of products and services. Effective in the first quarter of 2022, 3M made the following changes:

- Changes in measure of segment operating performance used by 3M’s chief operating decision maker—impacting 3M’s disclosed measure of segment profit/loss (business segment operating income). See additional information in Note 16. 3M’s disclosed disaggregated revenue was also updated as a result of the changes in segment reporting. See additional information in Note 2.
- Changes to non-GAAP measures - certain amounts adjusted for special items. Refer to the *Certain amounts adjusted for special items - (non-GAAP measures)* section below for additional information.

Information provided herein reflects the impact of these changes for all periods presented.

3M manages its operations in four operating business segments: Safety and Industrial; Transportation and Electronics; Health Care; and Consumer. From a geographic perspective, any references to EMEA refer to Europe, Middle East and Africa on a combined basis.

As described in the Overview—Consideration of COVID-19 section of Part II, Item 7 of the Company’s Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 26, 2022 (which updated the Company’s 2021 Annual Report on Form 10-K), 3M continues to be impacted by the global pandemic and related effects associated with the coronavirus (COVID-19). In addition, risk factors with respect to COVID-19, can be found in Item 1A “Risk Factors” in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Given the diversity of 3M’s businesses, some of the factors described in that Overview—Consideration of COVID-19 section have increased the demand for 3M products, while others have decreased demand or made it more difficult for 3M to serve customers. Due to the speed with which the COVID-19 situation continues to develop and evolve and the uncertainty of its duration and the timing of recovery, 3M is not able at this time to predict the extent to which the COVID-19 pandemic may have a material effect on its consolidated results of operations or financial condition.

During the first six month of 2022, 3M's costs for significant litigation (see *Certain amounts adjusted for special items - (non-GAAP measures* section below) included, among things, pre-tax charges associated with steps toward resolving Combat Arms Earplugs litigation and associated with additional commitments to address PFAS-related matters at its Zwijndrecht, Belgium site (approximately \$1.2 billion and \$355 million, respectively, in the second quarter of 2022). These matters are further discussed in Note 14.

3M is experiencing interruption to a portion of the manufacturing at its site in Zwijndrecht, Belgium as more fully discussed in Note 14. 3M is also impacted by the Russia-Ukraine conflict. Relevant risk factors can be found in Item 1A “Risk Factors” in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. As discussed in Note 14, 3M received approval in June 2022 to begin the process toward restarting manufacturing operations at the Zwijndrecht facility. The process for restarting previously-idled operations at the facility is progressing according to plan. Belgian government authorities continue to maintain oversight of these operations and compliance with applicable requirements. With respect to the Russia-Ukraine conflict, the business and operational environment in Russia is impacted by, among other things, Russian laws and regulations as well as sanctions imposed by the U.S. and other governments. In light of the conflict, in March 2022, 3M suspended operations of its subsidiaries in Russia, the net sales of which was less than one percent of 3M’s consolidated net sales for 2021. If the environment were to deteriorate, such as a lack of currency exchangeability coupled with an acute degradation in the ability to make key operational decisions, a need to deconsolidate these subsidiaries' operations could arise. Additionally, the Company continues to evaluate options, some of which could lead to termination of activities of these subsidiaries and substantially their liquidation. 3M monitors factors such as its ability to access various exchange mechanisms; the impact of government regulations on the Company’s ability to manage its Russian subsidiaries' capital structure, purchasing, product pricing, and labor relations; and the current political and economic situation. Based upon a review of factors as of June 30, 2022, the Company continues to consolidate its Russian subsidiaries. As of June 30, 2022, the balance of accumulated other comprehensive loss associated with these subsidiaries was approximately \$40 million and the amount of intercompany receivables due from these subsidiaries and their total net assets was approximately \$90 million. 3M also has other operations that source certain raw materials from suppliers in Russia and have experienced related supply disruption due to the conflict. Further supply disruption could lead to downstream customer impacts. Though 3M monitors relevant factors as well as options to mitigate potential impacts, it is not able to predict the extent to which these circumstances may have a material effect on 3M’s consolidated results of operations or financial condition.

Operating income margin and earnings per share attributable to 3M common shareholders – diluted:

The following table provides the increases (decreases) in operating income margins and diluted earnings per share for the three and six months ended June 30, 2022 and 2021.

	Three months ended June 30, 2022		Six months ended June 30, 2022	
	Percent of net sales	Earnings per diluted share	Percent of net sales	Earnings per diluted share
Same period last year	22.0 %	\$ 2.59	22.3 %	\$ 5.36
Net costs for significant litigation	1.4	0.16	1.4	0.34
Same period last year, excluding special items	23.4 %	\$ 2.75	23.7 %	\$ 5.70
Increase/(decrease) due to:				
Total organic growth/productivity and other	0.8	0.12	0.2	0.12
Raw material impact	(3.1)	(0.36)	(2.7)	(0.66)
Foreign exchange impacts	(0.1)	(0.13)	—	(0.17)
Other expense (income), net	N/A	(0.02)	N/A	(0.01)
Income tax rate	N/A	0.05	N/A	0.03
Shares of common stock outstanding	N/A	0.07	N/A	0.12
Current period, excluding special items	21.0 %	\$ 2.48	21.2 %	\$ 5.13
Net costs for significant litigation	(19.7)	(2.34)	(11.2)	(2.73)
Current period	1.3 %	\$ 0.14	10.0 %	\$ 2.40

The Company refers to various "adjusted" amounts or measures on an "adjusted basis". These exclude special items. These non-GAAP measures are further described and reconciled to the most directly comparable GAAP financial measures in the *Certain amounts adjusted for special items - (non-GAAP measures)* section below.

A discussion related to the components of year-on-year changes in operating income margin and earnings per diluted share follows:

Total organic growth/productivity and other:

- For the second quarter of 2022, the following components impacted operating margins and earnings per diluted share year-on-year:
 - Declines in disposable respirator demand year-on-year negatively impacted operating margins by 0.4 percent and earnings per share by \$0.09.
 - Remaining organic growth/productivity and other impacts resulted in a net year-on-year benefit \$0.21 to earnings per share and 1.2 percent to operating margins which was impacted by the following:
 - Strong pricing, spending discipline and benefits from restructuring actions taken in 2021
 - Manufacturing headwinds from global supply chain challenges, including geopolitical impacts due to the Russia/Ukraine conflict as well as the COVID related shutdown in China
 - Second quarter of 2021 pre-tax benefit of \$91 million pre-tax (\$0.12 per share after tax) from the impact of the favorable decision of the Brazilian Supreme Court regarding the calculation of past social taxes
 - Increased investments in growth, productivity and sustainability
- For the first six months of 2022, the following components impacted operating margins and earnings per diluted share year-on-year:
 - Declines in disposable respirator demand year-on-year negatively impacted operating margins by 0.3 percent and earnings per share by \$0.12.
 - Remaining organic growth/productivity and other impacts resulted in a net year-on-year benefit \$0.24 to earnings per share and 0.5 percent to operating margins which was impacted by the following:
 - Strong pricing, spending discipline and benefits from restructuring actions taken in 2021
 - Manufacturing headwinds from global supply chain challenges, including geopolitical impacts due to the Russia/Ukraine conflict as well as the COVID related shutdown in China
 - Second quarter of 2021 benefit of \$91 million pre-tax (\$0.12 per share after tax) from the impact of the favorable decision of the Brazilian Supreme Court regarding the calculation of past social taxes
 - Increased investments in growth, productivity and sustainability

Raw material impact:

- 3M continued to experience inflationary pressures with year-on-year increases in raw material and logistics costs.

Foreign exchange impacts

- Foreign currency impacts (net of hedging) decreased operating income by approximately \$84 million (or a decrease of pre-tax earnings by approximately \$95 million) year-on-year for the second quarter of 2022 and decreased operating income by approximately \$111 million (or a decrease of pre-tax earnings by approximately \$121 million) year-on-year for the first six months of 2022 primarily the result of the strength of the U.S. dollar. These estimates include: (a) the effects of year-on-year changes in exchange rates on translating current period functional currency profits into U.S. dollars and on current period non-functional currency denominated purchases or transfers of goods between 3M operations, and (b) year-on-year changes in transaction gains and losses, including derivative instruments designed to reduce foreign currency exchange rate risks.

Other expense (income), net:

- Lower income related to non-service cost components of pension and postretirement expense increased expense year-on-year for the first three and six months of 2022.
- Interest expense (net of interest income) increased for the three months ended June 30, 2022 compared to the same period year-on-year and decreased for the six months ended June 30, 2022 compared to the same period year-on-year.

Income tax rate:

- Certain items above reflect specific income tax rates associated therewith. Overall, the effective tax rate for the second quarter of 2022 was (38.3) percent, a decrease from 21.5 percent in the prior year. The effective tax rate for the first six months of 2022 was 16.8 percent, as compared to 18.9 percent in the prior year. The primary factor that decreased the Company's effective tax rate for both periods was the tax impact associated with the second quarter 2022 charge related to steps toward resolving Combat Arms Earplugs litigation (discussed in Note 14).
- On an adjusted basis (as discussed below), the effective tax rate for the second quarter and first six months of 2022 was 19.8 percent and 18.7 percent, respectively, a decrease of 1.8 percentage points and a decrease of 0.5 percent, respectively, compared to the same period year-on-year.

Shares of common stock outstanding:

- Lower shares outstanding increased earnings per share year-on-year for the first three and six months of 2022.

Certain amounts adjusted for special items - (non-GAAP measures):

In addition to reporting financial results in accordance with U.S. GAAP, 3M also provides non-GAAP measures that adjust for the impacts of special items. For the periods presented, special items include the items described below. Operating income, segment operating income (loss), income before taxes, net income, earnings per share, and the effective tax rate are all measures for which 3M provides the reported GAAP measure and a measure adjusted for special items. The adjusted measures are not in accordance with, nor are they a substitute for, GAAP measures. While the Company includes certain items in its measure of segment operating performance, it also considers these non-GAAP measures in evaluating and managing its operations. The Company believes that discussion of results adjusted for special items is useful to investors in understanding underlying business performance, while also providing additional transparency to the special items. Special items impacting operating income are reflected in Corporate and Unallocated, except as described below with respect to net costs for significant litigation. The determination of these items may not be comparable to similarly titled measures used by other companies.

In the first quarter of 2022, the Company changed the extent of matters and charges/benefits it includes within special items with respect to net costs for significant litigation. Previously, 3M included net costs, when significant, associated with changes in accrued liabilities related to respirator mask/asbestos litigation and PFAS-related other environmental matters, along with the associated tax impacts. These non-GAAP measure changes involved including net costs for litigation related to 3M's Combat Arms Earplugs, expanding net costs to include external legal fees and insurance recoveries associated with the applicable matters in addition to changes in accrued liabilities, and to include all such net costs for the applicable matters, not just when considered significant. Information provided herein reflects the impact of these changes for all periods presented.

Special items for the periods presented include:

Net costs for significant litigation:

- These relate to 3M's respirator mask/asbestos, PFAS-related other environmental, and Combat Arms Earplugs matters (as discussed in Note 14). Net costs include the impacts of any changes in accrued liabilities, external legal fees, and insurance recoveries, along with associated tax impacts. Net costs related to respirator mask/asbestos and Combat Arms Earplugs matters are reflected as special items in the Safety and Industrial business segment while those associated with PFAS-related other environmental matters are primarily reflected as corporate special items in Corporate and Unallocated.

Operating Income (Loss)										
(Dollars in millions, except per share amounts)	Safety and Industrial	Safety and Industrial Margin	Total Company	Total Company Margin	Income Before Taxes	Provision for Income Taxes	Effective Tax Rate	Net Income Attributable to 3M	Earnings per Diluted Share	Earnings per diluted share percent change
Three months ended June 30, 2021 GAAP	\$ 662	21.8%	\$ 1,971	22.0 %	\$ 1,938	\$ 415	21.5 %	\$ 1,524	\$ 2.59	
Adjustments for special items:										
Net costs for significant litigation	52		127		127	30		97	0.16	
Three months ended June 30, 2021 adjusted amounts (non-GAAP measures)	\$ 714	23.6%	\$ 2,098	23.4 %	\$ 2,065	\$ 445	21.6 %	\$ 1,621	\$ 2.75	
Three months ended June 30, 2022 GAAP	\$ (707)	(24.2)%	\$ 110	1.3 %	\$ 60	\$ (23)	(38.3)%	\$ 78	\$ 0.14	(95)%
Adjustments for special items:										
Net costs for significant litigation	1,337		1,716		1,716	374		1,342	2.34	
Three months ended June 30, 2022 adjusted amounts (non-GAAP measures)	\$ 630	21.5%	\$ 1,826	21.0 %	\$ 1,776	\$ 351	19.8 %	\$ 1,420	\$ 2.48	(10)%

Operating Income (Loss)										
(Dollars in millions, except per share amounts)	Safety and Industrial	Safety and Industrial Margin	Total Company	Total Company Margin	Income Before Taxes	Provision for Income Taxes	Effective Tax Rate	Net Income Attributable to 3M	Earnings per Diluted Share	Earnings per diluted share percent change
Six months ended June 30, 2021 GAAP	\$ 1,414	23.1%	\$ 3,965	22.3 %	\$ 3,883	\$ 734	18.9 %	\$ 3,148	\$ 5.36	
Adjustments for special items:										
Net costs for significant litigation	117		262		262	62		200	0.34	
Six months ended June 30, 2021 adjusted amounts (non-GAAP measures)	\$ 1,531	25.0%	\$ 4,227	23.7 %	\$ 4,145	\$ 796	19.2 %	\$ 3,348	\$ 5.70	
Six months ended June 30, 2022 GAAP	\$ (71)	(1.2)%	\$ 1,751	10.0 %	\$ 1,663	\$ 279	16.8 %	\$ 1,377	\$ 2.40	(55)%
Adjustments for special items:										
Net costs for significant litigation	1,400		1,966		1,966	399		1,567	2.73	
Six months ended June 30, 2022 adjusted amounts (non-GAAP measures)	\$ 1,329	22.2%	\$ 3,717	21.2 %	\$ 3,629	\$ 678	18.7 %	\$ 2,944	\$ 5.13	(10)%

Sales and operating income (loss) by business segment:

The following tables contain sales and operating income (loss) results by business segment for the three and six months ended June 30, 2022 and 2021. Refer to the section entitled “Performance by Business Segment” later in MD&A for additional discussion concerning 2022 versus 2021 results, including Corporate and Unallocated. Refer to Note 16 for additional information on business segments.

(Dollars in millions)	Three months ended June 30,					
	2022		2021		% change	
	Net Sales	Oper. Income (Loss)	Net Sales	Oper. Income	Net Sales	Oper. Income (Loss)
Business Segments						
Safety and Industrial	\$ 2,924	\$ (707)	\$ 3,029	\$ 662	(3.4) %	(206.9) %
Transportation and Electronics	2,268	476	2,355	513	(3.7)	(7.5)
Health Care	2,179	494	2,165	548	0.6	(9.9)
Consumer	1,330	247	1,400	290	(5.0)	(14.9)
Corporate and Unallocated	1	(400)	1	(42)		
Total Company	\$ 8,702	\$ 110	\$ 8,950	\$ 1,971	(2.8) %	(94.4) %

(Dollars in millions)	Six months ended June 30,					
	2022		2021		% change	
	Net Sales	Oper. Income (Loss)	Net Sales	Oper. Income	Net Sales	Oper. Income (Loss)
Business Segments						
Safety and Industrial	\$ 5,975	\$ (71)	\$ 6,128	\$ 1,414	(2.5) %	(105.0) %
Transportation and Electronics	4,608	972	4,751	1,069	(3.0) %	(9.1) %
Health Care	4,303	942	4,234	1,012	1.6 %	(7.0) %
Consumer	2,643	471	2,689	559	(1.7) %	(15.7) %
Corporate and Unallocated	2	(563)	(1)	(89)		
Total Company	\$ 17,531	\$ 1,751	\$ 17,801	\$ 3,965	(1.5) %	(55.8) %

Worldwide Sales Change By Business Segment	Three months ended June 30, 2022					Total sales change
	Organic sales	Acquisitions	Divestitures	Translation		
Safety and Industrial	0.7 %	— %	— %	(4.1) %		(3.4) %
Transportation and Electronics	0.5	—	—	(4.2)		(3.7)
Health Care	4.4	—	—	(3.8)		0.6
Consumer	(2.5)	—	—	(2.5)		(5.0)
Total Company	1.0	—	—	(3.8)		(2.8)

Worldwide Sales Change By Business Segment	Six months ended June 30, 2022					Total sales change
	Organic sales	Acquisitions	Divestitures	Translation		
Safety and Industrial	0.6 %	— %	— %	(3.1) %		(2.5) %
Transportation and Electronics	0.1	—	—	(3.1)		(3.0)
Health Care	4.5	—	—	(2.9)		1.6
Consumer	0.3	—	—	(2.0)		(1.7)
Total Company	1.4	—	—	(2.9)		(1.5)

Sales by geographic area:

Percent change information compares the three and six months ended June 30, 2022 with the same period last year, unless otherwise indicated. Additional discussion of business segment results is provided in the Performance by Business Segment section.

	Three months ended June 30, 2022				
	Americas	Asia Pacific	Europe, Middle East & Africa	Other Unallocated	Worldwide
Net sales (millions)	\$ 4,751	\$ 2,447	\$ 1,504	\$ —	\$ 8,702
% of worldwide sales	54.6 %	28.1 %	17.3 %		100.0 %
Components of net sales change:					
Organic sales	3.9	(1.8)	(2.0)		1.0
Divestitures	—	—	—		—
Translation	(0.2)	(6.0)	(10.2)		(3.8)
Total sales change	3.7 %	(7.8) %	(12.2) %		(2.8) %

	Six months ended June 30, 2022				
	Americas	Asia Pacific	Europe, Middle East & Africa	Other Unallocated	Worldwide
Net sales (millions)	\$ 9,189	\$ 5,217	\$ 3,125	\$ —	\$ 17,531
% of worldwide sales	52.4 %	29.8 %	17.8 %		100.0 %
Components of net sales change:					
Organic sales	3.2	0.5	(2.0)		1.4
Divestitures	—	—	—		—
Translation	(0.1)	(4.3)	(7.9)		(2.9)
Total sales change	3.1 %	(3.8) %	(9.9) %		(1.5) %

Additional information beyond what is included in the preceding tables are as follows:

- For the second quarter of 2022, in the Americas geographic area, U.S. total sales increased 2 percent which included increased organic sales of 2 percent. Total sales in Mexico increased 13 percent which included increased organic sales of 13 percent. In Canada, total sales increased 13 percent which included increased organic sales of 17 percent. In Brazil, total sales increased 17 percent which included increased organic sales of 9 percent. In the Asia Pacific geographic area, China total sales decreased 11 percent which included decreased organic sales of 8 percent. In Japan, total sales decreased 11 percent which included increased organic sales of 1 percent.
- For the first six months of 2022, in the Americas geographic area, U.S. total sales increased 1 percent which included increased organic sales of 1 percent. Total sales in Mexico increased 11 percent which included increased organic sales of 12 percent. In Canada, total sales increased 17 percent which included increased organic sales of 19 percent. In Brazil, total sales increased 18 percent which included increased organic sales of 11 percent. In the Asia Pacific geographic area, China total sales decreased 6 percent which included decreased organic sales of 5 percent. In Japan, total sales decreased 8 percent which included increased organic sales of 2 percent.

Managing currency risks:

The stronger U.S. dollar had a negative impact on sales in the first three and six months of 2022 compared to the same periods last year. Net of the Company’s hedging strategy, foreign currency negatively impacted earnings in the first three and six months of 2022 compared to the same period last year. 3M utilizes a number of tools to manage currency risk related to earnings including natural hedges such as pricing, productivity, hard currency, hard currency-indexed billings, and localizing source of supply. 3M also uses financial hedges to mitigate currency risk. In the case of more liquid currencies, 3M hedges a portion of its aggregate exposure, using a 12, 24 or 36 month horizon, depending on the currency in question. For less liquid currencies, financial hedging is frequently more expensive with more limitations on tenor. Thus, this risk is largely managed via local operational actions using natural hedging tools as discussed above. In either case, 3M’s hedging approach is designed to mitigate a portion of foreign currency risk and reduce volatility, ultimately allowing time for 3M’s businesses to respond to changes in the marketplace.

Financial condition:

Refer to the section entitled “Financial Condition and Liquidity” later in MD&A for a discussion of items impacting cash flows.

In November 2018, 3M’s Board of Directors replaced the Company’s February 2016 repurchase program with a new repurchase program. This new program authorizes the repurchase of up to \$10 billion of 3M’s outstanding common stock, with no pre-established end date. In the first six months of 2022, the Company purchased \$773 million of its own stock, compared to \$734 million of stock purchases in the first six months of 2021. As of June 30, 2022, approximately \$4.8 billion remained available under the authorization. In February 2022, 3M’s Board of Directors declared a first-quarter 2022 dividend of \$1.49 per share, an increase of 1 percent. This marked the 64th consecutive year of dividend increases for 3M. In May 2022, 3M’s Board of Directors declared a second-quarter dividend of \$1.49 per share.

3M expects to contribute approximately \$200 million of cash to its global defined benefit pension and postretirement plans in 2022. The Company does not have a required minimum cash pension contribution obligation for its U.S. plans in 2022.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Net Sales:

Refer to the preceding “Overview” section and the “Performance by Business Segment” section later in MD&A for additional discussion of sales change.

Operating Expenses:

(Percent of net sales)	Three months ended June 30,			Six months ended June 30,		
	2022	2021	Change	2022	2021	Change
Cost of sales	58.5 %	52.7 %	5.8 %	56.6 %	51.9 %	4.7 %
Selling, general and administrative expenses (SG&A)	34.7	19.6	15.1	27.9	20.0	7.9
Research, development and related expenses (R&D)	5.5	5.7	(0.2)	5.5	5.8	(0.3)
Operating income margin	1.3 %	22.0 %	(20.7)%	10.0 %	22.3 %	(12.3)%

3M expects global defined benefit pension and postretirement service cost expense in 2022 to decrease by approximately \$68 million pre-tax when compared to 2021, which impacts cost of sales; selling, general and administrative expenses (SG&A); and research, development and related expenses (R&D). The year-on-year decrease in defined benefit pension and postretirement service cost expense for the second quarter and first six months of 2022 was approximately \$18 million and \$34 million.

For total year 2021, the Company recognized consolidated defined benefit pre-tax pension and postretirement service cost expense of \$503 million and a benefit of \$297 million related to all non-service pension and postretirement net benefit costs (after settlements, curtailments, special termination benefits and other) for a total consolidated defined benefit pre-tax pension and postretirement expense of \$206 million.

For total year 2022, defined benefit pension and postretirement service cost expense is anticipated to total approximately \$435 million while non-service pension and postretirement net benefit cost is anticipated to be a benefit of approximately \$250 million, for a total consolidated defined benefit pre-tax pension and postretirement expense of approximately \$185 million, a decrease in expense of approximately \$20 million compared to 2021.

The Company is continuing the ongoing deployment of an enterprise resource planning (ERP) system on a worldwide basis, with these investments impacting cost of sales, SG&A, and R&D.

Cost of Sales:

Cost of sales, measured as a percent of sales, increased in the first three and six months of 2022 when compared to the same periods last year. Increases were primarily due to 2022 special item costs for significant litigation from additional commitments to address PFAS-related matters at 3M's Zwijndrecht, Belgium site (discussed in Note 14), higher raw materials and logistics costs, manufacturing productivity headwinds which were further magnified by the combined impact of COVID-related lockdowns in China and the shutdown of certain operations in Belgium, compensation and benefit costs, and investments in growth, productivity and sustainability.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses:

SG&A, measured as a percent of sales, increased in the first three and six months of 2022 when compared to the same period last year. SG&A was impacted by increased special item costs for significant litigation primarily related to steps toward resolving Combat Arms Earplugs litigation (discussed in Note 14) resulting in a 2022 second quarter pre-tax charge of approximately \$1.2 billion, compensation and benefit costs, and continued investment on key growth initiatives. Cost increases were partially offset by restructuring benefits and ongoing general 3M cost management.

Research, Development and Related Expenses:

R&D, measured as a percent of sales, decreased in the first three and six months of 2022 when compared to the same period last year. 3M continues to invest in a range of R&D activities from application development, product and manufacturing support, product development and technology development aimed at disruptive innovations.

Other Expense (Income), Net:

See Note 6 for a detailed breakout of this line item.

Interest expense (net of interest income) increased in the second quarter of 2022 primarily driven by foreign exchange; net interest decreased in the first six months of 2022 compared to the same period year-on-year due to an early debt extinguishment pre-tax charge in the first quarter of 2021 and generation of incremental interest income.

The non-service pension and postretirement net benefit decreased approximately \$13 million and \$25 million in the first three and six months of 2022, respectively, compared to the same period year-on-year.

Provision for Income Taxes:

(Percent of pre-tax income)	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2022	2021	2022	2021
Effective tax rate	(38.3)%	21.5 %	16.8 %	18.9 %

The primary factor that decreased the Company's effective tax rate for the second quarter of 2022 and first six months of 2022 versus the same period in the prior year was the tax impact associated with the second quarter 2022 charge related to steps toward resolving Combat Arms Earplugs litigation (discussed in Note 14).

3M currently estimates its effective tax rate for 2022 to be approximately 17.5 to 18.5 percent. The tax rate can vary from quarter to quarter due to discrete items, such as the settlement of income tax audits, changes in tax laws, and employee share-based payment accounting; as well as recurring factors, such as the geographic mix of income before taxes.

Refer to Note 8 for further discussion of income taxes.

Income from Unconsolidated Subsidiaries, Net of Taxes:

(Millions)	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2022	2021	2022	2021
Income (loss) from unconsolidated subsidiaries, net of taxes	\$ (1)	\$ 2	\$ 1	\$ 3

Income (loss) from unconsolidated subsidiaries, net of taxes, is attributable to the Company’s accounting under the equity method for ownership interests in certain entities such as Kindeva following 3M’s divestiture of the drug delivery business in 2020.

Net Income (Loss) Attributable to Noncontrolling Interest:

(Millions)	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2022	2021	2022	2021
Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interest	\$ 4	\$ 1	\$ 8	\$ 4

Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interest represents the elimination of the income or loss attributable to non-3M ownership interests in 3M consolidated entities. The primary noncontrolling interest relates to 3M India Limited, of which 3M’s effective ownership is 75 percent.

Significant Accounting Policies:

Information regarding new accounting standards is included in Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

PERFORMANCE BY BUSINESS SEGMENT

Disclosures relating to 3M’s business segments are provided in Note 16. Effective in the first quarter of 2022, the measure of segment operating performance used by 3M’s chief operating decision maker (CODM) changed and, as a result, 3M’s disclosed measure of segment profit/loss (business segment operating income) was updated for all comparative periods presented. The change to business segment operating income aligns with the update to how the CODM assesses performance and allocates resources for the Company’s business segments (see Note 16 for additional details).

Information provided herein reflects the impact of these changes for all periods presented. 3M manages its operations in four business segments. The reportable segments are Safety and Industrial; Transportation and Electronics; Health Care; and Consumer.

Corporate and Unallocated:

In addition to these four business segments, 3M assigns certain costs to “Corporate and Unallocated,” which is presented separately in the preceding business segments table and in Note 16. Corporate and Unallocated operating income includes “corporate special items” and “other corporate expense-net”. Corporate special items include net costs for significant litigation associated with PFAS-related other environmental matters (see Note 14), gain/loss on sale of businesses (see Note 3), and divestiture-related restructuring costs. Other corporate expense-net includes items such as net costs related to limited unallocated corporate staff and centrally managed material resource centers of expertise costs, corporate philanthropic activity, and other net costs that 3M may choose not to allocate directly to its business segments. Other corporate expense-net also includes costs and income from contract manufacturing, transition services and other arrangements with the acquirer of the former Drug Delivery business following its 2020 divestiture. Items classified as revenue from this activity are included in Corporate and Unallocated net sales. Because Corporate and Unallocated includes a variety of miscellaneous items, it is subject to fluctuation on a quarterly and annual basis.

Corporate and Unallocated operating expenses increased in the first three and six months of 2022, when compared to the same period last year. The subsections below provide additional information.

Corporate Special Items

Refer to the *Certain amounts adjusted for special items - (non-GAAP measures)* section for additional details on the impact of net costs for significant litigation, gain/loss on sale of businesses, and divestiture-related restructuring actions. Corporate special item net costs increased in the first three and six months of 2022 year over year primarily due to additional commitments in 2022 to address PFAS-related matters at 3M's Zwijndrecht, Belgium site (discussed in Note 14),

Other Corporate Expense - Net

Other corporate operating expenses, net, increased in the first three and six months of 2022, when compared to the same period last year primarily due to a \$91 million pre-tax benefit from the impact of the favorable decision of the Brazilian Supreme Court included in the second quarter of 2021 regarding the calculation of past social taxes.

Operating Business Segments:

Information related to 3M's business segments is presented in the tables that follow with additional context in the corresponding narrative below the tables.

Refer to 3M's Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 26, 2022 (which updated 3M's 2021 Annual Report on Form 10-K), Item 1, Business, for discussion of 3M products that are included in each business segment.

Safety and Industrial Business:

	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2022	2021	2022	2021
Sales (millions)	\$ 2,924	\$ 3,029	\$ 5,975	\$ 6,128
Sales change analysis:				
Organic sales	0.7 %		0.6 %	
Translation	(4.1)		(3.1)	
Total sales change	(3.4) %		(2.5) %	
Business segment operating income (loss) (millions)	\$ (707)	\$ 662	\$ (71)	\$ 1,414
Percent change	(206.9) %		(105.0) %	
Percent of sales	(24.2) %	21.8 %	(1.2) %	23.1 %
Adjusted business segment operating income (millions) (non-GAAP measure)	\$ 630	\$ 714	\$ 1,329	\$ 1,531
Percent change	(11.8) %		(13.2) %	
Percent of sales	21.5 %	23.6 %	22.2 %	25.0 %

The preceding table also displays business segment operating income (loss) information adjusted for special items. For Safety and Industrial these adjustments include net costs for respirator mask/asbestos and Combat Arms Earplugs litigation matters. Refer to the *Certain amounts adjusted for special items - (non-GAAP measures)* section for additional details.

Second quarter 2022 results:

Sales in Safety and Industrial were down 3.4 percent in U.S. dollars.

On an organic sales basis:

- Sales increased in abrasives, electrical markets, closure and masking systems, roofing granules, automotive aftermarket, and industrial adhesives and tapes and decreased in personal safety.
- Growth from continued improving general industrial manufacturing activity and other end-market demand was partially offset by the disposable respirator sales decline within personal safety, which negatively impacted year-on-year second quarter organic growth by 5.7 percent. COVID-related lockdowns in China also negatively impacted growth.

Business segment operating income margins decreased year-on-year due to special item costs for significant litigation primarily related to steps toward resolving Combat Arms Earplugs litigation (discussed in Note 14) resulting in a 2022 second quarter pre-tax charge of approximately \$1.2 billion. Margins were also impacted by manufacturing productivity headwinds further magnified by the COVID-related lockdowns in China, partially offset by spending discipline and benefits from restructuring actions. Adjusting for special item costs for significant litigation (non-GAAP measure), business segment operating income margins decreased year-on-year as displayed above.

First six months 2022 results:

Sales in Safety and Industrial were down 2.5 percent in U.S. dollars.

On an organic sales basis:

- Sales increased in closure and masking systems, abrasives, electrical markets, industrial adhesives and tapes, roofing granules, and automotive aftermarket and decreased in personal safety.
- Growth from continued improving general industrial manufacturing activity and other end-market demand was partially offset by the disposable respirator sales decline within personal safety, which negatively impacted year-on-year organic growth by 3.6 percent.

Business segment operating income margins decreased year-on-year due to special item costs for significant litigation primarily related to steps toward resolving Combat Arms Earplugs litigation (discussed in Note 14) resulting in a 2022 second quarter pre-tax charge of approximately \$1.2 billion. Margins were also impacted by increased raw materials and logistics costs, manufacturing productivity headwinds further magnified by the COVID related lockdowns in China, partially offset by selling price actions, spending discipline and benefits from restructuring actions. Adjusting for special item costs for significant litigation (non-GAAP measure), business segment operating income margins decreased year-on-year as displayed above.

Transportation and Electronics Business:

	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2022	2021	2022	2021
Sales (millions)	\$ 2,268	\$ 2,355	\$ 4,608	\$ 4,751
Sales change analysis:				
Organic sales	0.5 %		0.1 %	
Translation	(4.2)		(3.1)	
Total sales change	(3.7) %		(3.0) %	
Business segment operating income (millions)	\$ 476	\$ 513	\$ 972	\$ 1,069
Percent change	(7.5) %		(9.1) %	
Percent of sales	21.0 %	21.8 %	21.1 %	22.5 %

Second quarter 2022 results:

Sales in Transportation and Electronics were down 3.7 percent in U.S. dollars.

On an organic sales basis:

- Sales increased in advanced materials, commercial solutions, and automotive and aerospace, and decreased in electronics and transportation safety.
- Growth was held back by the COVID-related lockdowns in China along with the ongoing impacts of the semiconductor supply chain constraints on the automotive and consumer electronics end-markets.

Business segment operating income margins decreased year-on-year due to manufacturing productivity headwinds from the combined impact of COVID-related lockdowns in China and the continued shutdown during Q2 of certain operations in Belgium, partially offset by strong spending discipline and benefits from restructuring actions.

First six months 2022 results:

Sales in Transportation and Electronics were down 3.0 percent in U.S. dollars.

On an organic sales basis:

- Sales increased in commercial solutions and advanced materials, and decreased in automotive and aerospace, electronics and transportation safety.
- Growth was held back by the ongoing impacts of the semiconductor supply chain constraints on the automotive and consumer electronics end-markets along with the COVID-related lockdowns in China.

Business segment operating income margins decreased year-on-year due to increased raw materials and logistics costs, manufacturing productivity headwinds which were further magnified by the combined impact of COVID-related lockdowns in China and the shutdown of certain operations in Belgium and investments in auto electrification, partially offset by selling price actions, strong spending discipline and benefits from restructuring actions.

Health Care Business:

	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2022	2021	2022	2021
Sales (millions)	\$ 2,179	\$ 2,165	\$ 4,303	\$ 4,234
Sales change analysis:				
Organic sales	4.4 %		4.5 %	
Translation	(3.8)		(2.9)	
Total sales change	0.6 %		1.6 %	
Business segment operating income (millions)	\$ 494	\$ 548	\$ 942	\$ 1,012
Percent change	(9.9) %		(7.0) %	
Percent of sales	22.7 %	25.3 %	21.9 %	23.9 %

Second quarter 2022 results:

Sales in Health Care were up 0.6 percent in U.S. dollars.

On an organic sales basis:

- Sales increased in separation and purification, health information systems, medical solutions, and oral care, and were flat in food safety.
- Sales increased in medical solutions and oral care, but continue to be impacted by COVID-related trends on elective procedure volumes.
- Sales increased in health information systems due to strong growth in revenue cycle management.
- Sales increased in separation and purification with sustained demand for biopharma filtration solutions for COVID-related vaccines.

Business segment operating income margins decreased year-on-year due to manufacturing productivity headwinds, investments in the business and transaction-related costs associated with the announced divestiture of the food safety business (see Note 3), partially offset by benefits from leverage on sales growth, strong spending discipline and benefits from restructuring actions.

As discussed in Note 3, in July 2022, 3M announced its intention to spin off the Health Care business as a separate public company. 3M expects to initially retain a 19.9% ownership position in the Health Care business. The Company expects to complete the transaction by year-end 2023.

First six months 2022 results:

Sales in Health Care were up 1.6 percent in U.S. dollars.

On an organic sales basis:

- Sales increased in separation and purification, medical solutions, health information systems, food safety and oral care.
- Sales increased in medical solutions and oral care, but continue to be impacted by COVID-related trends on elective procedure volumes.
- Sales increased in separation and purification with sustained demand for biopharma filtration solutions for COVID-related vaccines and therapeutics.
- Sales increased in health information systems due to strong growth in revenue cycle management and clinician solutions.

Business segment operating income margins decreased year-on-year due to increased raw materials and logistics costs along with manufacturing productivity headwinds, investments in the business and transaction-related costs associated with the announced divestiture of the food safety business (see Note 3), partially offset by sales growth (including selling price actions), strong spending discipline and benefits from restructuring actions.

Consumer Business:

	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2022	2021	2022	2021
Sales (millions)	\$ 1,330	\$ 1,400	\$ 2,643	\$ 2,689
Sales change analysis:				
Organic sales	(2.5) %		0.3 %	
Translation	(2.5)		(2.0)	
Total sales change	(5.0) %		(1.7) %	
Business segment operating income (millions)	\$ 247	\$ 290	\$ 471	\$ 559
Percent change	(14.9) %		(15.7) %	
Percent of sales	18.5 %	20.7 %	17.8 %	20.8 %

Second quarter 2022 results:

Sales in Consumer totaled were down 5.0 percent in U.S. dollars.

On an organic sales basis:

- Sales increased in stationery and office and home care, and decreased in consumer health and safety and home improvement.
- Sales decreases primarily due to soft consumer market conditions and continued product availability issues.

Business segment operating income margins decreased year-on-year as a result of ongoing supply chain constraints, along with manufacturing productivity headwinds, partially offset by strong spending discipline and benefits from restructuring actions.

First six months 2022 results:

Sales in Consumer totaled were down 1.7 percent in U.S. dollars.

On an organic sales basis:

- Sales increased in stationery and office, consumer health and safety, and home care and decreased in home improvement.
- Sales increases continue to be benefited by strength and demand in market-lead categories such as Filtrete™ air quality solutions and Command™ adhesives.

Business segment operating income margins decreased year-on-year as a result of increased raw materials, logistics and outsourced hardgoods manufacturing costs along with manufacturing productivity headwinds, partially offset by sales growth (including selling price actions), strong spending discipline and benefits from restructuring actions.

FINANCIAL CONDITION AND LIQUIDITY

The strength and stability of 3M's business model and strong free cash flow capability, together with proven capital markets access, provide financial flexibility to deploy capital in accordance with the Company's stated priorities and meet needs associated with contractual commitments and other obligations. Investing in 3M's business to drive organic growth and deliver strong returns on invested capital remains the first priority for capital deployment. This includes research and development, capital expenditures, and commercialization capability. The Company also continues to actively manage its portfolio through acquisitions and divestitures to maximize value for shareholders. 3M expects to continue returning cash to shareholders through dividends and share repurchases. To fund cash needs in the United States, the Company relies on ongoing cash flow from U.S. operations, access to capital markets and repatriation of the earnings of its foreign affiliates that are not considered to be permanently reinvested. For those international earnings still considered to be reinvested indefinitely, the Company currently has no plans or intentions to repatriate these funds for U.S. operations. See Note 10 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in 3M's Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 26, 2022 (which updated 3M's 2021 Annual Report on Form 10-K) for further information on earnings considered to be reinvested indefinitely.

3M maintains a strong liquidity profile. The Company's primary short-term liquidity needs are met through cash on hand and U.S. commercial paper issuances. 3M believes it will have continuous access to the commercial paper market. 3M's commercial paper program permits the Company to have a maximum of \$5 billion outstanding with a maximum maturity of 397 days from date of issuance. The Company had \$350 million and no commercial paper outstanding at June 30, 2022 and December 31, 2021, respectively.

Total debt:

The strength of 3M's credit profile and significant ongoing cash flows provide 3M proven access to capital markets. Additionally, the Company's debt maturity profile is staggered to help ensure refinancing needs in any given year are reasonable in proportion to the total portfolio. As of July 2022, 3M has a credit rating of A1, stable outlook from Moody's Investors Service and a credit rating of A+, CreditWatch negative from S&P Global Ratings.

The Company's total debt was lower at June 30, 2022 when compared to December 31, 2021. Decreases in debt were largely due to the repayments of 500 million euros and \$600 million aggregate principal amounts of fixed-rate medium-term notes in February 2022 and June 2022, respectively, offset by increases in commercial paper outstanding. For discussion of repayments of and proceeds from debt refer to the following "Cash Flows from Financing Activities" section.

In July 2017, the United Kingdom's Financial Conduct Authority announced that it would no longer require banks to submit rates for the London InterBank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") after 2021. In November 2020, the ICE Benchmark Administration (IBA), LIBOR's administrator, proposed extending the publication of USD LIBOR through June 2023. Subsequently, in March of 2021, IBA ceased publication of certain LIBOR rates after December 31, 2021. USD LIBOR rates that did not cease on December 31, 2021 will continue to be published through June 30, 2023. The Company has reviewed its debt securities, bank facilities, and derivative instruments and continues to evaluate commercial contracts that may utilize LIBOR as the reference rate. 3M will continue its assessment and monitor regulatory developments during the transition period.

Effective February 10, 2020, the Company updated its "well-known seasoned issuer" (WKSI) shelf registration statement, which registers an indeterminate amount of debt or equity securities for future issuance and sale. This replaced 3M's previous shelf registration dated February 24, 2017. In May 2016, in connection with the WKSI shelf, 3M entered into an amended and restated distribution agreement relating to the future issuance and sale (from time to time) of the Company's medium-term notes program (Series F), up to the aggregate principal amount of \$18 billion, which was an increase from the previous aggregate principal amount up to \$9 billion of the same Series.

As of June 30, 2022, the total amount of debt issued as part of the medium-term notes program (Series F), inclusive of debt issued in February 2019 and prior years is approximately \$17.6 billion (utilizing the foreign exchange rates applicable at the time of issuance for the euro denominated debt). Information with respect to long-term debt issuances and maturities for the periods presented is included in Note 10 of this Form 10-Q and Note 12 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in 3M's Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 26, 2022 (which updated 3M's 2021 Annual Report on Form 10-K).

3M has an amended and restated \$3.0 billion five-year revolving credit facility expiring in November 2024. The revolving credit agreement includes a provision under which 3M may request an increase of up to \$1.0 billion (at lender’s discretion), bringing the total facility up to \$4.0 billion. In addition, 3M entered into a \$1.25 billion 364-day credit facility, which was renewed in November 2021 with an expiration date of November 2022. The 364-day credit agreement includes a provision under which 3M may convert any advances outstanding on the maturity date into term loans having a maturity date one year later. These credit facilities were undrawn at June 30, 2022. Under both the \$3.0 billion and \$1.25 billion credit agreements, the Company is required to maintain its EBITDA to Interest Ratio as of the end of each fiscal quarter at not less than 3.0 to 1. This is calculated (as defined in the agreement) as the ratio of consolidated total EBITDA for the four consecutive quarters then ended to total interest expense on all funded debt for the same period. At June 30, 2022, this ratio was approximately 15 to 1. Debt covenants do not restrict the payment of dividends.

As disclosed in Note 10, 3M has financing facilities that provide commitments of \$650 million of term loans and \$350 million of bridge financing along with \$150 million of revolving credit related to the intended Food Safety Division split-off transaction. Amounts outstanding under the term loan commitment are payable over five years following the closing date while those under the bridge financing facility have a term of 364 days following the borrowing date and are required to be repaid when certain conditions are met. These commitments were undrawn at June 30, 2022.

The Company also had \$321 million in stand-alone letters of credit and bank guarantees issued and outstanding at June 30, 2022. These instruments are utilized in connection with normal business activities.

Cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities:

At June 30, 2022, 3M had \$3.0 billion of cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities, of which approximately \$2.7 billion was held by the Company’s foreign subsidiaries and approximately \$0.3 billion was held in the United States. These balances are invested in bank instruments and other high-quality fixed income securities. At December 31, 2021, 3M had \$4.8 billion of cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities, of which approximately \$3.1 billion was held by the Company’s foreign subsidiaries and \$1.7 billion was held by the United States. The decrease from December 31, 2021 primarily resulted from cash flow from operations and proceeds from commercial paper offset by ongoing dividend payments, purchases of treasury stock, capital expenditures, and the fixed-rate medium-term note maturities in the first six months of 2022.

Net Debt (non-GAAP measure):

Net debt is not defined under U.S. GAAP and may not be computed the same as similarly titled measures used by other companies. The Company defines net debt as total debt less the total of cash, cash equivalents and current and long-term marketable securities. 3M believes net debt is meaningful to investors as 3M considers net debt and its components to be important indicators of liquidity and financial position. The following table provides net debt as of June 30, 2022 and December 31, 2021.

(Millions)	June 30, 2022	December 31, 2021	Change
Total debt	\$ 16,276	\$ 17,363	\$ (1,087)
Less: Cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities	3,011	4,792	(1,781)
Net debt (non-GAAP measure)	\$ 13,265	\$ 12,571	\$ 694

Refer to the preceding “Total Debt” and “Cash, Cash Equivalents and Marketable Securities” sections for additional details.

Balance Sheet:

3M’s strong balance sheet and liquidity provide the Company with significant flexibility to fund its numerous opportunities going forward. The Company will continue to invest in its operations to drive growth, including continual review of acquisition opportunities.

The Company uses working capital measures that place emphasis and focus on certain working capital assets, such as accounts receivable and inventory activity.

Working capital (non-GAAP measure):

(Millions)	June 30, 2022	December 31, 2021	Change
Current assets	\$ 14,514	\$ 15,403	\$ (889)
Less: Current liabilities	9,896	9,035	861
Working capital (non-GAAP measure)	\$ 4,618	\$ 6,368	\$ (1,750)

Various assets and liabilities, including cash and short-term debt, can fluctuate significantly from month to month depending on short-term liquidity needs. Working capital is not defined under U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and may not be computed the same as similarly titled measures used by other companies. The Company defines working capital as current assets minus current liabilities. 3M believes working capital is meaningful to investors as a measure of operational efficiency and short-term financial health.

Working capital decreased \$1.8 billion compared with December 31, 2021. Balance changes in current assets decreased working capital by \$0.9 billion, driven largely by decreases in cash and cash equivalents. Balance changes in current liabilities decreased working capital by \$0.9 billion, primarily due to increases in short-term borrowings and current-portion of long-term debt and accounts payable.

Accounts receivable increased \$254 million and inventory increased \$660 million, respectively, from December 31, 2021, primarily as a result of increased sequential sales and related operating activity partially offset by foreign currency translation impacts. Current portion of long-term debt increased as upcoming debt maturities now considered current were partially offset by the bond maturities in the first six months of 2022, while accounts payable also increased as a result of increased sequential operating activity partially offset by foreign currency translation impacts.

Cash Flows:

Cash flows from operating, investing and financing activities are provided in the tables that follow. Individual amounts in the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows exclude the effects of acquisitions, divestitures and exchange rate impacts on cash and cash equivalents, which are presented separately in the cash flows. Thus, the amounts presented in the following operating, investing and financing activities tables reflect changes in balances from period to period adjusted for these effects.

Cash Flows from Operating Activities:

(Millions)	Six months ended June 30,	
	2022	2021
Net income including noncontrolling interest	\$ 1,385	\$ 3,152
Depreciation and amortization	921	932
Company pension and postretirement contributions	(80)	(85)
Company pension and postretirement expense	83	92
Stock-based compensation expense	182	184
Income taxes (deferred and accrued income taxes)	(460)	(50)
Accounts receivable	(457)	(337)
Inventories	(837)	(644)
Accounts payable	401	411
Other — net	1,000	(80)
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$ 2,138	\$ 3,575

Cash flows from operating activities can fluctuate significantly from period to period, as working capital movements, tax timing differences and other items can significantly impact cash flows.

In the first six months of 2022, cash flows provided by operating activities decreased \$1,437 million compared to the same period last year, with this decrease primarily due to increased variable compensation and benefits costs and increased net costs for significant litigation. The combination of accounts receivable, inventories and accounts payable decreased operating cash flow by \$893 million in the first six months of 2022, compared to an operating cash flow decrease of \$570 million in the first six months of 2021. Additional discussion on working capital changes is provided earlier in the “Financial Condition and Liquidity” section. The 2022 second quarter pre-tax charge of approximately \$1.2 billion related to steps toward resolving Combat Arms Earplugs litigation (discussed in Note 14) largely impacted the 2022 net income component above, with offsets in the other-net and deferred tax elements..

Cash Flows from Investing Activities:

(Millions)	Six months ended June 30,	
	2022	2021
Purchases of property, plant and equipment (PP&E)	\$ (808)	\$ (704)
Proceeds from sale of PP&E and other assets	56	43
Purchases and proceeds from maturities and sale of marketable securities and investments, net	(62)	(402)
Proceeds from sale of businesses, net of cash sold	13	—
Other — net	(13)	20
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	\$ (814)	\$ (1,043)

Investments in property, plant and equipment enable growth across many diverse markets, helping to meet product demand and increasing manufacturing efficiency. The Company expects 2022 capital spending to be approximately \$1.7 billion to \$2.0 billion as 3M continues to invest in growth, productivity and sustainability.

3M records capital-related government grants earned as reductions to the cost of property, plant and equipment; and associated unpaid liabilities and grant proceeds receivable are considered non-cash changes in such balances for purposes of preparation of statement of cash flows.

3M invests in renewal and maintenance programs, which pertain to cost reduction, cycle time, maintaining and renewing current capacity, eliminating pollution, and compliance. Costs related to maintenance, ordinary repairs, and certain other items are expensed. 3M also invests in growth, which adds to capacity, driven by new products, both through expansion of current facilities and new facilities. Finally, 3M also invests in other initiatives, such as information technology (IT), laboratory facilities, and a continued focus on investments in sustainability.

Refer to Note 3 for information on acquisitions and divestitures. The Company is actively considering additional acquisitions, investments and strategic alliances, and from time to time may also divest certain businesses.

Purchases of marketable securities and investments and proceeds from maturities and sale of marketable securities and investments are primarily attributable to certificates of deposit/time deposits, commercial paper, and other securities, which are classified as available-for-sale. Refer to Note 9 for more details about 3M’s diversified marketable securities portfolio. Purchases of investments include additional survivor benefit insurance, plus investments in equity securities.

Cash Flows from Financing Activities:

(Millions)	Six months ended June 30,	
	2022	2021
Change in short-term debt — net	\$ 344	\$ 4
Repayment of debt (maturities greater than 90 days)	(1,179)	(450)
Proceeds from debt (maturities greater than 90 days)	1	1
Total cash change in debt	(834)	(445)
Purchases of treasury stock	(773)	(734)
Proceeds from issuances of treasury stock pursuant to stock option and benefit plans	227	480
Dividends paid to shareholders	(1,700)	(1,716)
Other — net	(22)	(19)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	\$ (3,102)	\$ (2,434)

Total debt was approximately \$16.3 billion at June 30, 2022 and \$17.4 billion at December 31, 2021. Decreases in debt were largely due to the repayments of 500 million euros and \$600 million aggregate principal amounts of fixed-rate medium-term notes in February 2022 and June 2022, respectively, offset by increases in commercial paper outstanding. The Company had \$350 million and no commercial paper outstanding at June 30, 2022 and December 31, 2021, respectively. In July 2022, 3M exchanged \$350 million of commercial paper debt for obligations under \$350 million of new debt securities due in 2030 that, in addition to obligations under certain other anticipated new debt, are intended to be assumed by Neogen Corporation in connection with the intended Food Safety Division split-off transaction expected to close in the third quarter of 2022 (see Note 3). Net commercial paper issuances in addition to repayments and borrowings by international subsidiaries are largely reflected in “Change in short-term debt – net” in the preceding table. 3M’s primary short-term liquidity needs are met through cash on hand and U.S. commercial paper issuances. 2021 issuances, maturities, and extinguishments of short-and long-term debt are described in Note 10 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in 3M’s Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 26, 2022 (which updated 3M’s 2021 Annual Report on Form 10-K).

Repurchases of common stock are made to support the Company’s stock-based employee compensation plans and for other corporate purposes. In the first six months of 2022, the Company purchased \$773 million of its own stock. For more information, refer to the table titled “Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities” in Part II, Item 2. The Company does not utilize derivative instruments linked to the Company’s stock.

3M has paid dividends since 1916. In February 2022, 3M’s Board of Directors declared a first-quarter 2022 dividend of \$1.49 per share, an increase of 1 percent. This is equivalent to an annual dividend of \$5.96 per share and marked the 64th consecutive year of dividend increases. In May 2022, 3M’s Board of Directors declared a second-quarter 2022 dividend of \$1.49 per share.

Other cash flows from financing activities may include various other items, such as cash paid associated with certain derivative instruments, distributions to or sales of noncontrolling interests, changes in overdraft balances, and principal payments for finance leases.

Free Cash Flow (non-GAAP measure):

Free cash flow and free cash flow conversion are not defined under U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). Therefore, they should not be considered a substitute for income or cash flow data prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP and may not be comparable to similarly titled measures used by other companies. The Company defines free cash flow as net cash provided by operating activities less purchases of property, plant and equipment. It should not be inferred that the entire free cash flow amount is available for discretionary expenditures. The Company defines free cash flow conversion as free cash flow divided by net income attributable to 3M. The Company believes free cash flow and free cash flow conversion are meaningful to investors as they are useful measures of performance and the Company uses these measures as an indication of the strength of the company and its ability to generate cash. Free cash flow and free cash flow conversion vary across quarters throughout the year. Below find a recap of free cash flow and free cash flow conversion.

Refer to the preceding “Cash Flows from Operating Activities” and “Cash Flows from Investing Activities” sections for discussion of items that impacted the operating cash flow and purchases of PP&E components of the calculation of free cash flow. Refer to the preceding “Results of Operations” section for discussion of items that impacted the net income attributable to 3M component of the calculation of free cash flow conversion.

(Millions)	Six months ended June 30,	
	2022	2021
Major GAAP Cash Flow Categories		
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$ 2,138	\$ 3,575
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(814)	(1,043)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(3,102)	(2,434)
Free Cash Flow (non-GAAP measure)		
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$ 2,138	\$ 3,575
Purchases of property, plant and equipment	(808)	(704)
Free cash flow	1,330	2,871
Net income attributable to 3M	\$ 1,377	\$ 3,148
Free cash flow conversion	97 %	91 %

Material Cash Requirements from Known Contractual and Other Obligations:

See the Financial Condition and Liquidity - Material Cash Requirement from Known Contractual and Other Obligations section of Item 7 of 3M's Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 26, 2022 (which updated 3M's 2021 Annual Report on Form 10-K). Additionally, in July 2022, as discussed in Note 14 herein, in connection with steps toward resolving Combat Arms Earplugs litigation, 3M entered into an agreement and committed \$1.0 billion to fund a trust to satisfy claims determined to be entitled to compensation and committed an additional \$0.2 billion to fund projected related case expenses.

CAUTIONARY NOTE CONCERNING FACTORS THAT MAY AFFECT FUTURE RESULTS

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, including “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” in Part I, Item 2, contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. The Company may also make forward-looking statements in other reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, in materials delivered to shareholders and in press releases. In addition, the Company’s representatives may from time to time make oral forward-looking statements.

Forward-looking statements relate to future events and typically address the Company’s expected future business and financial performance. Words such as “plan,” “expect,” “aim,” “believe,” “project,” “target,” “anticipate,” “intend,” “estimate,” “will,” “should,” “could,” “forecast” and other words and terms of similar meaning, typically identify such forward-looking statements. In particular, these include, among others, statements relating to:

- worldwide economic, political, regulatory, international trade, capital markets and other external conditions, such as interest rates, financial conditions of our suppliers and customers, trade restrictions such as tariffs in addition to retaliatory counter measures, inflation, military conflicts, and natural and other disasters or climate change affecting the operations of the Company or our suppliers and customers,
- risks related to public health crises such as the global pandemic associated with the coronavirus (COVID-19),
- liabilities related to certain fluorochemicals and the outcome of contingencies,
- the Company’s strategy for growth, future revenues, earnings, cash flow, uses of cash and other measures of financial performance, and market position,
- competitive conditions and customer preferences,
- foreign currency exchange rates and fluctuations in those rates,
- new business opportunities, product development, and future performance or results of current or anticipated products,
- fluctuations in the costs and availability of purchased components, compounds, raw materials and energy,
- Information technology systems including ERP system roll-out and implementations,
- Security breaches and other disruptions to information technology infrastructure,
- the scope, nature or impact of acquisition, strategic alliance and divestiture activities,
- operational execution, including inability to generate productivity improvements as estimated,
- future levels of indebtedness, common stock repurchases and capital spending,
- future availability of and access to credit markets,
- pension and postretirement obligation assumptions and future contributions,
- asset impairments,
- tax liabilities and effects of changes in tax rates, laws or regulations,
- the proposed spin-off of the Company's Health Care business to establish two separate public companies,
- the voluntary chapter 11 proceedings initiated by the Company's Aearo Entities, and
- legal and regulatory proceedings, legal compliance risks (including third-party risks) with regards to environmental, product liability and other laws and regulations in the United States and other countries in which we operate.

The Company assumes no obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements.

Forward-looking statements are based on certain assumptions and expectations of future events and trends that are subject to risks and uncertainties. Actual future results and trends may differ materially from historical results or those reflected in any such forward-looking statements depending on a variety of factors. Important information as to these factors can be found in this document, including, among others, “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” under the headings of “Overview,” “Financial Condition and Liquidity” and annually in “Critical Accounting Estimates.” Discussion of these factors is incorporated by reference from Part II, Item 1A, “Risk Factors,” of this document, and should be considered an integral part of Part I, Item 2, “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.” For additional information concerning factors that may cause actual results to vary materially from those stated in the forward-looking statements, see our reports on Form 10-K, 10-Q and 8-K filed with the SEC from time to time.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

In the context of Item 3, 3M is exposed to market risk due to the risk of loss arising from adverse changes in foreign currency exchange rates, interest rates and commodity prices. Changes in those factors could impact the Company's results of operations and financial condition. For a discussion of sensitivity analysis related to these types of market risks, refer to Part II, Item 7A, Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk, in 3M's Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 26, 2022 (which updated 3M's 2021 Annual Report on Form 10-K). There have been no material changes in information that would have been provided in the context of Item 3 from the end of the preceding year until June 30, 2022. However, the Company does provide risk management discussion in various places in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, primarily in the Derivatives note.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

a. The Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of its management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's "disclosure controls and procedures" (as defined in the Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(e)) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective.

b. There was no change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Company's most recently completed fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

The Company is implementing an enterprise resource planning ("ERP") system on a worldwide basis, which is expected to improve the efficiency of certain financial and related transaction processes. The gradual implementation is expected to occur in phases over the next several years. The implementation of a worldwide ERP system will likely affect the processes that constitute the Company's internal control over financial reporting and will require testing for effectiveness.

The Company completed implementation with respect to various processes/sub-processes in certain subsidiaries/locations, including aspects relative to the United States, and will continue to roll out the ERP system over the next several years. As with any new information technology application the Company implements, this application, along with the internal controls over financial reporting included in this process, was appropriately considered within the testing for effectiveness with respect to the implementation in these instances. The Company concluded, as part of its evaluation described in the above paragraphs, that the implementation of the ERP system in these circumstances has not materially affected its internal control over financial reporting.

3M COMPANY
FORM 10-Q
For the Quarterly Period Ended June 30, 2022
PART II. Other Information

Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

Discussion of legal matters is incorporated by reference from Part I, Item 1, Note 14, “Commitments and Contingencies” of this document, and should be considered an integral part of Part II, Item 1, “Legal Proceedings.”

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

Provided below is a cautionary discussion of what we believe to be the most important risk factors applicable to the Company. Discussion of these factors is incorporated by reference into and considered an integral part of Part I, Item 2, “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Conditions and Results of Operations.”

Risks Related to the Global Economy and Public Health Crises

** The Company’s results are impacted by the effects of, and changes in, worldwide economic, political, regulatory, international trade and other external conditions.*

The Company operates in more than 70 countries and derives approximately 60 percent of its revenues from outside the United States, and, accordingly, the Company’s business is subject to global competition and geopolitical risks that are beyond its control, such as disruptions in financial markets, economic downturns, military conflicts, government actions impacting international trade agreements, imposing trade restrictions such as tariffs, and retaliatory counter measures, inflation, government deficit reduction and other austerity measures in specific countries or regions, or in the various industries in which the Company operates; social, political or labor conditions in specific countries or regions; or adverse changes in the availability and cost of capital, interest rates, or exchange control, ability to expatriate earnings and other regulations in the jurisdictions in which the Company operates.

The global economy has been impacted by the military conflict between Russia and Ukraine. The U.S. and other governments have imposed export controls on certain products and financial and economic sanctions on certain industry sectors and parties in Russia. The Company has suspended its operations in Russia. If the environment were to deteriorate, such as a lack of currency exchangeability coupled with an acute degradation in the ability to make key operational decisions, a need to deconsolidate these subsidiaries' operations could arise. Additionally, the Company continues to evaluate options, some of which could lead to termination of activities of these subsidiaries and substantially their liquidation. 3M also has other operations that source certain raw materials from suppliers in Russia and have experienced related supply disruption due to the conflict. These geopolitical tensions related to the military conflict could result in, among other things, cyberattacks, further supply chain disruptions impacting downstream customers, higher energy cost, lower consumer demand, and changes to foreign exchange rates and financial markets, any of which may adversely affect the Company's business and supply chain.

Climate change, as well as related environmental and social regulations, may negatively impact the Company or its customers and suppliers, in terms of availability and cost of natural resources, sources and supply of energy, product demand and manufacturing, and the health and well-being of individuals and communities in which we operate.

** The Company is subject to risks related to public health crises such as the global pandemic associated with the coronavirus (COVID-19).*

3M, as a global company, is impacted by public health crises such as the global pandemic associated with COVID-19. The outbreak has significantly increased economic and demand uncertainty. In addition, public and private sector policies and initiatives to reduce the transmission of COVID-19, such as the imposition of travel restrictions, the adoption of remote working, and government-ordered vaccine mandates, have impacted and will continue to impact 3M's operations. In these challenging and dynamic circumstances, 3M continues to work to protect its employees and the public, maintain business continuity and sustain its operations, including ensuring the safety and protection of approximately 50,000 people who work in our plants and distribution centers across the world, many of whom support the manufacturing and delivery of products that are critical in response to the global pandemic. COVID-19 has impacted 3M's supply chains relative to global demand for products like respirators, surgical masks and commercial cleaning solutions. Within individual regions and countries around the world, 3M is working with governments, distributors and others to prioritize supplies to the most critical customer and public health needs. In addition, trade barriers, export restrictions and other similar measures imposed by national governments also negatively impact the supplies of personal protection equipment including those made by 3M going into the most needed areas. COVID-19 has also affected the ability of suppliers and vendors to provide products and services to 3M. Some of these COVID-related factors have increased demand for certain 3M products, while others have decreased demand from certain end markets or could make it more difficult for 3M to serve customers. 3M has received reports of price gouging, counterfeiting and other illegal or fraudulent activities involving its N95 respirators, has taken legal action in several states and continues to work with state, federal and international law enforcement to protect the public and 3M against those who seek to exploit 3M's brand and reputation and defraud others. Furthermore, COVID-19 has impacted and may further impact the broader economies of affected countries, including negatively impacting economic growth, the proper functioning of financial and capital markets, foreign currency exchange rates, and interest rates. For example, COVID-19 has led to disruption and volatility in the global capital markets, which increases the cost of capital and could adversely impact access to capital. With increasing vaccinations and as economies start to reopen in certain parts of the world, workplace safety, for the Company and others, will increasingly become a focus of concern. As part of the return to work process at the Company, the Company could face additional privacy and data security risks in various countries related to the collection of data regarding employees and contractors with respect to COVID-19 testing, temperature checks, contact tracing, and vaccination status. As the pandemic evolves, demand for personal protection products such as disposable respirators has experienced a decline from prior levels. Due to the speed and scope with which the COVID situation is developing and evolving and the uncertainty of its duration and the timing of recovery, 3M is not able at this time to predict the extent to which the COVID-19 pandemic may have a material effect on its consolidated results of operations or financial condition.

** Foreign currency exchange rates and fluctuations in those rates may affect the Company's ability to realize projected growth rates in its sales and earnings.*

Because the Company's financial statements are denominated in U.S. dollars and approximately 60 percent of the Company's revenues are derived from outside the United States, the Company's results of operations and its ability to realize projected growth rates in sales and earnings could be adversely affected if the U.S. dollar strengthens significantly against foreign currencies.

Risks Related to Legal and Regulatory Proceedings

** The Company faces liabilities related to certain fluorochemicals, which could adversely impact our results.*

As previously reported, the Company has been voluntarily cooperating with various local, state, federal (primarily the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)), and international agencies in their review of the environmental and health effects of a broad group of perfluoroalkyl and polyfluoroalkyl substances produced by the Company, collectively known as “PFAS.” The PFAS group contains several categories and classes of durable chemicals and materials with properties that include oil, water, temperature, chemical and fire resistance, as well as electrical insulating properties. The strength of the carbon-fluorine bond also means that these compounds do not easily degrade. These characteristics have made PFAS critical to the manufacture of electronic devices such as cell phones, tablets and semi-conductors. They are also used to help prevent infections in products like surgical gowns and drapes. Commercial aircraft and low-emissions vehicles also rely on PFAS technology. PFAS compounds are currently manufactured by various companies, including 3M, and are used in everyday products. As science and technology evolve and advance, and in response to evolving knowledge and the understanding that PFAS compounds had the potential to build up over time, 3M announced in 2000 that we would voluntarily phase out production of perfluorooctanoate (PFOA) and perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) globally as a precautionary measure. We phased out of materials used to produce certain repellants and surfactant products, with most of these activities in the U.S. completed by the end of 2002. Phased out products included Aqueous Film Forming Foam (AFFF) and coatings for food packaging, for example. 3M currently is defending lawsuits concerning various PFAS-related products and chemistries, and is subject to unasserted and asserted claims and governmental regulatory proceedings and inquiries related to the production and use of PFAS in a variety of jurisdictions, as discussed in Note 14, “Commitments and Contingencies,” within the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. An adverse outcome in any one or more of these matters could be material to our financial results. For example, we recorded a pre-tax charge of \$897 million, inclusive of legal fees and other related obligations, in the first quarter of 2018 with respect to the settlement of a matter brought by the State of Minnesota involving the presence of PFAS in the groundwater, surface water, fish or other aquatic life, and sediments in the state. Governmental inquiries or lawsuits involving PFAS could lead to our incurring liability for damages or other costs, civil or criminal proceedings, the imposition of fines and penalties, or other remedies, as well as restrictions on or added costs for our business operations going forward, including in the form of restrictions on discharges at our manufacturing facilities, suspension of their operations, switching costs in seeking alternative sources of supply, potential customer damage claims due to supply disruptions or otherwise.

** The Company’s future results may be affected by various asserted and unasserted legal and regulatory proceedings and legal compliance risks, including those involving product liability, antitrust, intellectual property, environmental, tax, the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and other anti-bribery laws, U.S. trade sanctions compliance, regulations of the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and similar foreign agencies, U.S. federal healthcare program-related laws and regulations including the False Claims Act, anti-kickback laws, the Sunshine Act, or other matters. Legal compliance risks also include third-party risks where the Company’s suppliers, vendors or channel partners have business practices that are inconsistent with 3M’s Supplier Responsibility Code, 3M performance requirements or with legal requirements.*

The outcome of these legal proceedings may differ from the Company’s expectations because the outcomes of litigation, including regulatory matters, are often difficult to reliably predict. Although the Company maintains general liability insurance, the amount of liability that may result from certain of these risks may not always be covered by, or could exceed, the applicable insurance coverage. Various factors or developments can lead the Company to change current estimates of liabilities and related insurance receivables where applicable, or make such estimates for matters previously not susceptible of reasonable estimates, such as a significant judicial ruling or judgment, a significant settlement, significant regulatory developments or changes in applicable law. A future adverse ruling, settlement or unfavorable development could result in future charges that could have a material adverse effect on the Company’s results of operations or cash flows in any particular period. In addition, negative publicity related to product liability, environmental, health and safety or other matters referenced above involving the Company may negatively impact the Company’s reputation. For a more detailed discussion of the legal proceedings involving the Company and the associated accounting estimates, see the discussion in Note 14, “Commitments and Contingencies,” within the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Risks Related to Our Products and Customer Preferences

** The Company's results are affected by competitive conditions and customer preferences.*

Demand for the Company's products, which impacts revenue and profit margins, is affected by (i) the development and timing of the introduction of competitive products; (ii) the Company's response to downward pricing to stay competitive; (iii) changes in customer order patterns, such as changes in the levels of inventory maintained by customers and the timing of customer purchases which may be affected by announced price changes, changes in the Company's incentive programs, or the customer's ability to achieve incentive goals; (iv) changes in customers' preferences for our products, including the success of products offered by our competitors, and changes in customer designs for their products that can affect the demand for some of the Company's products; and (v) changes in the business environment related to disruptive technologies, such as artificial intelligence, block-chain, expanded analytics and other enhanced learnings from increasing volume of available data.

** The Company's growth objectives are largely dependent on the timing and market acceptance of its new product offerings, including its ability to continually renew its pipeline of new products and to bring those products to market.*

This ability is subject to difficulties or delays in product development, such as the inability to identify viable new products, obtain adequate intellectual property protection, or gain market acceptance of new products. There are no guarantees that new products will prove to be commercially successful.

** The Company's future results are subject to vulnerability with respect to materials and fluctuations in the costs and availability of purchased components, compounds, raw materials, energy, and labor due to shortages, increased demand and wages, logistics, supply chain interruptions, manufacturing site disruptions, natural disasters and other disruptive factors.*

The Company depends on various components, compounds, raw materials, and energy (including oil and natural gas and their derivatives) supplied by others for the manufacturing of its products. Supplier relationships have been and could be interrupted in the future due to supplier material shortage, climate impacts, natural and other disasters and other disruptive events such as military conflicts, or be terminated. Any sustained interruption in the Company's receipt of adequate supplies, supply chain disruptions impacting the distribution of products, or disruption to key manufacturing sites' operations due to natural and other disasters or events, such as government actions relating to discharge or emission permits or other legal or regulatory requirements, could have a material adverse effect on the Company. In addition, while the Company has a process to minimize volatility in component and material pricing, no assurance can be given that the Company will be able to successfully manage price fluctuations or that future price fluctuations or shortages will not have a material adverse effect on the Company.

Risks Related to Our Business

** The Company employs information technology systems to support its business and collect, store and use proprietary and confidential information, including ongoing phased implementation of an enterprise resource planning (ERP) system as part of business transformation on a worldwide basis over the next several years. Security and data breaches, cyberattacks and other cybersecurity incidents involving the Company's information technology systems and infrastructure could disrupt or interfere with the Company's operations, result in the compromise and misappropriation of proprietary and confidential information belonging to the Company or its customers, suppliers and employees, and expose the Company to numerous expenses, liabilities and other negative consequences, any or all of which could adversely impact the Company's business, reputation and results of operations.*

In the ordinary course of business, the Company relies on centralized and local information technology networks and systems, some of which are provided, hosted or managed by vendors and other third parties, to process, transmit and store electronic information, and to manage or support a variety of businesses. Additionally, the Company collects and stores certain data, including proprietary business information, and has access to confidential or personal information in certain of our businesses that is subject to privacy and cybersecurity laws, regulations and customer-imposed controls. Third parties and threat actors, including organized criminals, nation-state or nation-state supported actors, regularly attempt to gain unauthorized access to the Company's information technology networks and infrastructure, data and other information, and many such attempts are increasingly sophisticated. Despite our cybersecurity and business continuity measures (including employee and third-party training, monitoring of networks and systems, patching, maintenance, and backup of systems and data), the Company's information technology networks and infrastructure are still potentially susceptible to attack, compromise, damage, disruption or shutdown, including as a result of the exploitation of known or unknown hardware or software vulnerabilities in our systems or in the systems of our vendors and third-party service providers, the introduction of computer viruses or ransomware, service or cloud provider disruptions or security breaches, phishing attempts or employee error or malfeasance, power outages, telecommunication or utility failures, systems failures, natural disasters or other catastrophic events. The Company's increased adoption of remote working, initially driven by the pandemic, also introduces additional threats and risk of disruptions to our information technology networks and infrastructure. Despite our cybersecurity measures, it is possible for security vulnerabilities or a cyberattack to remain undetected for an extended time period, up to and including several years, and the prioritization decisions with respect to security measures and remediation of known vulnerabilities that we and the vendors and other third parties upon which we rely make may prove inadequate to protect against attacks. While we have experienced, and expect to continue to experience, cyberattacks on and disruptions of the Company's information technology systems and infrastructure, we are not aware of any such incidents to date having had a material impact on the Company. Any cybersecurity incident or information technology network disruption could result in numerous negative consequences, including the risk of legal claims or proceedings, investigations or enforcement actions by U.S., state or foreign regulators, liabilities or penalties under applicable laws and regulations, including privacy laws and regulations in the U.S. and other jurisdictions, interference with the Company's operations, the incurrence of remediation costs, loss of intellectual property protection, the loss of customer, supplier or employee relationships and damage to the Company's reputation, which could adversely affect the Company's business. Although the Company maintains insurance coverage for various cybersecurity and business continuity risks, there can be no guarantee that all costs or losses incurred will be fully insured.

** Acquisitions, strategic alliances, divestitures, and other unusual events resulting from portfolio management actions and other evolving business strategies, and possible organizational restructuring could affect future results.*

The Company monitors its business portfolio and organizational structure and has made and may continue to make acquisitions, strategic alliances, divestitures and changes to its organizational structure. With respect to acquisitions, including, for example, the acquisition of Acclivity, Inc. and its KCI subsidiaries (a leading global medical technology company), future results will be affected by the Company's ability to integrate acquired businesses quickly and obtain the anticipated synergies.

** The Company's future results may be affected by its operational execution, including scenarios where the Company generates fewer productivity improvements than estimated.*

The Company's financial results depend on the successful execution of its business operating plans. The Company utilizes various tools, such as Lean Six Sigma, and engages in ongoing global business transformation. Business transformation is defined as changes in processes and internal/external service delivery across 3M to move to more efficient business models to improve operational efficiency and productivity, while allowing 3M to serve customers with greater speed and efficiency. This is enabled by the ongoing multi-year phased implementation of an ERP system. There can be no assurance that all of the projected productivity improvements will be realized. In addition, the ability to adapt to business model and other changes and agility to respond to customer needs and service expectations are important, which, if not done successfully, could negatively impact the Company's ability to win new business and enhance revenue and 3M's brand. Operational challenges, including those related to customer service, pace of change and productivity improvements, could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial conditions and results of operations.

Risks Related to Financial and Capital Markets and Tax Matters

** The Company's defined benefit pension and postretirement plans are subject to financial market risks that could adversely impact our results.*

The performance of financial markets and discount rates impact the Company's funding obligations under its defined benefit plans. Significant changes in market interest rates, decreases in the fair value of plan assets and investment losses on plan assets, and legislative or regulatory changes relating to defined benefit plan funding may increase the Company's funding obligations and adversely impact its results of operations and cash flows.

** Change in the Company's credit ratings could increase cost of funding.*

The Company's credit ratings are important to 3M's cost of capital. The major rating agencies routinely evaluate the Company's credit profile and assign debt ratings to 3M. This evaluation is based on a number of factors, which include financial strength, business and financial risk, as well as transparency with rating agencies and timeliness of financial reporting. As of July 2022, 3M has a credit rating of A1, stable outlook from Moody's Investors Service and a credit rating of A+, CreditWatch negative from S&P Global Ratings. The Company's credit ratings have served to lower 3M's borrowing costs and facilitate access to a variety of lenders. The addition of further leverage to the Company's capital structure could impact 3M's credit ratings in the future. Failure to maintain strong investment grade ratings would adversely affect the Company's cost of funding and could adversely affect liquidity and access to capital markets.

** Changes in tax rates, laws or regulations could adversely impact our financial results.*

The Company's business is subject to tax-related external conditions, such as tax rates, tax laws and regulations, changing political environments in the U.S. and foreign jurisdictions that impact tax examination, assessment and enforcement approaches. In addition, changes in tax laws including further regulatory developments arising from U.S. tax reform legislation and/or regulations around the world could result in a tax expense or benefit recorded to the Company's Consolidated Statement of Earnings. In connection with the Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (BEPS) Integrated Framework provided by Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD), determination of multi-jurisdictional taxation rights and the rate of tax applicable to certain types of income may be subject to potential change. Due to uncertainty of the regulation changes and other tax-related factors stated above, it is currently not possible to assess the ultimate impact of these actions on our financial statements.

Risks Related to the Voluntary Chapter 11 Proceedings Initiated by the Company's Aearo Entities

**The Company is subject to risks related to its subsidiaries' chapter 11 proceedings.*

On July 26, 2022, Aearo Technologies and certain of its related entities ("Aearo Entities"), all wholly owned subsidiaries of the Company, voluntarily initiated chapter 11 proceedings seeking bankruptcy court supervision to establish a trust – funded by the Company – to address potential liabilities related to Dual-Ended Combat Arms – Version 2 earplugs and mask/respirator products historically manufactured and sold by Aearo Entities. This represents a change in strategy for managing the Combat Arms Version 2 earplugs and Aearo respirator mask/asbestos alleged litigation liabilities. Aearo Entities were acquired by the Company in 2008 and they, along with its related subsidiaries, have operated as Company subsidiaries since that time. 3M has entered into a funding agreement with Aearo Entities and committed to fund a trust to satisfy all claims determined to be entitled to compensation, and to support Aearo Entities as they continue to operate during the chapter 11 proceedings. There are a number of risks and uncertainties associated with the chapter 11 proceedings, including, among others, those related to: legal risks related to the chapter 11 proceedings; potential impacts to the Company's reputation and relationships with its customers, suppliers, federal contracting officials, employees, regulators and other counterparties and community members; impacts to the Company's liquidity or results of operations, including risks related to the amount that will be necessary to fully and finally resolve all of the Company's obligations to make payments to resolve such claims under the terms of its funding and indemnification agreement with Aearo Entities; the costs of chapter 11 proceedings and length of time necessary to resolve the cases; and Aearo Entities' ability to reach acceptable agreements with claimants and navigate the chapter 11 proceedings to obtain approval and consummation of a plan of reorganization. Due to the inherent uncertainty of litigation, the Company cannot predict the timing, outcome or financial impact of this matter, or any other ongoing or future litigation.

Risks Related to the Planned Spin-off of the Company's Health Care Business

**The Company is subject to risks related to its plan to spin off its Health Care business.*

On July 26, 2022, the Company announced its intent to spin off its Health Care business, resulting in two standalone public companies, in a transaction that is intended to be tax-free for the Company's stockholders for U.S. federal income tax purposes. The spin-off will be subject to the satisfaction of a number of conditions, including final approval by the Company's board of directors, the filing and effectiveness of a Form 10 registration statement, the receipt of a private letter ruling from the Internal Revenue Service and a tax opinion from external counsel, and other customary conditions. The failure to satisfy all of the required conditions, many of which are outside of the Company's control, could delay the completion of the spin-off relative to the anticipated timeline or prevent it from occurring. Any delay in the completion of the spin-off or any change to the anticipated terms of the transaction could reduce the expected benefits of the transaction, or delay the time at which such benefits are realized. There can also be no assurance that the anticipated benefits of the transaction will be realized if the spin-off is completed, or that the costs or dis-synergies of the transaction (including costs of related restructuring transactions) will not exceed the anticipated amounts. Whether or not the spin-off is ultimately completed, the pendency of the transaction may impose challenges on the Company and its business, including potential business disruption; the diversion of management time on matters relating to the transaction; the impact on the Company's ability to retain talent; and potential impacts on the Company's relationships with its customers, employees, regulators and other counterparties. In addition, while it is intended that the transaction would be tax-free to the Company's stockholders for U.S. federal income tax purposes, there is no assurance that the transactions will qualify for this treatment. If the spin-off was ultimately determined to be taxable, either the Company, Health Care business, or the Company's stockholders could incur income tax liabilities that could be significant. Any of these factors could negatively impact our business, financial condition, results of operations, cash flows, and/or the price of our common stock.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Repurchases of 3M common stock are made to support the Company’s stock-based employee compensation plans and for other corporate purposes. In November 2018, 3M’s Board of Directors replaced the Company’s February 2016 repurchase program with a new repurchase program. This new program authorizes the repurchase of up to \$10 billion of 3M’s outstanding common stock, with no pre-established end date.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities (registered pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act)

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased (1)	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs (2)	Maximum Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased under the Plans or Programs (Millions)
January 1 - 31, 2022	1,458,623	\$ 176.61	1,458,623	\$ 5,329
February 1 - 28, 2022	1,445,206	147.03	1,441,534	5,117
March 1 - 31, 2022	1,871,301	145.61	1,871,301	4,845
January 1 - March 31, 2022	4,775,130	155.51	4,771,458	
April 1 - 30, 2022	—	—	—	4,845
May 1 - 31, 2022	—	—	—	4,845
June 1 - 30, 2022	—	—	—	4,845
April 1 - June 30, 2022	—	—	—	
January 1 - June 30, 2022	4,775,130	\$ 155.51	4,771,458	

- (1) The total number of shares purchased includes: (i) shares purchased under the Board’s authorizations described above, and (ii) shares purchased in connection with the exercise of stock options.
- (2) The total number of shares purchased as part of publicly announced plans or programs includes shares purchased under the Board’s authorizations described above.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities. — No matters require disclosure.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures. Pursuant to Section 1503 of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the “Act”), the Company is required to disclose, in connection with the mines it operates, information concerning mine safety violations or other regulatory matters in its periodic reports filed with the SEC. The information concerning mine safety violations or other regulatory matters required by Section 1503(a) of the Act is included in Exhibit 95 to this quarterly report.

Item 5. Other Information. — No matters require disclosure.

Item 6. Exhibits.

- (31.1) Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.
- (31.2) Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.
- (32.1) Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.
- (32.2) Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.
- (95) Mine Safety Disclosures.
- (101.INS) Inline XBRL Instance Document (the instance document does not appear in the Interactive Data File because its XBRL tags are embedded within the Inline XBRL document)
- (101.SCH) Inline XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
- (101.CAL) Inline XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
- (101.DEF) Inline XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
- (101.LAB) Inline XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
- (101.PRE) Inline XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document
- (104) Cover Page Interactive Data File (formatted as inline XBRL and contained in Exhibit 101)

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

3M COMPANY
(Registrant)

Date: July 27, 2022

By /s/ Monish Patolawala
Monish Patolawala,

Executive Vice President and Chief Financial and
Transformation Officer (Mr. Patolawala is a Principal
Financial Officer and has been duly authorized to
sign on behalf of the Registrant.)

SARBANES-OXLEY SECTION 302 CERTIFICATION

I, Michael F. Roman, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of 3M Company;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the Registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The Registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the Registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the Registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the Registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the Registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The Registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the Registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the Registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the Registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ Michael F. Roman

Michael F. Roman
Chief Executive Officer

July 27, 2022

SARBANES-OXLEY SECTION 302 CERTIFICATION

I, Monish Patolawala, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of 3M Company;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the Registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The Registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the Registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the Registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the Registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the Registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The Registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the Registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the Registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the Registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ Monish Patolawala

Monish Patolawala
Chief Financial and Transformation Officer

July 27, 2022

SARBANES-OXLEY SECTION 906 CERTIFICATION

In connection with the Quarterly Report of 3M Company (the “Company”) on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2022 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the “Report”), I, Michael F. Roman, Chief Executive Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that, to my knowledge:

1. The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
2. The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ Michael F. Roman

Michael F. Roman
Chief Executive Officer

July 27, 2022

SARBANES-OXLEY SECTION 906 CERTIFICATION

In connection with the Quarterly Report of 3M Company (the “Company”) on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2022 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the “Report”), I, Monish Patolawala, Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that, to my knowledge:

1. The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
2. The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ Monish Patolawala

Monish Patolawala
Chief Financial and Transformation Officer

July 27, 2022

MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

For the second quarter of 2022, the Company has the following mine safety information to report in accordance with Section 1503(a) of the Act, in connection with the Pittsboro, North Carolina mine, the Little Rock, Arkansas mine, the Corona, California mine, and the Wausau, Wisconsin mine(including Greystone Plant):

Mine or Operating Name/MSHA Identification Number	Section 104 S&S Citations Orders (#)	Section 104(b) Orders (#)	Section 104(d) Citations and Orders (#)	Section 110(b)(2) Violations (#)	Section 107(a) Orders (#)	Total Dollar Value of MSHA Assessments Proposed (\$)	Total Number of Mining Related Fatalities (#)	Received Notice of Pattern of Violations Under Section 104(c) (yes/no)	Received Notice of Potential of Violations Under Section 104(c) (yes/no)	Legal Actions Pending as of Last Day of Period (#)	Aggregate Legal Actions Initiated During Period (#)	Aggregate Legal Actions Resolved During Period (#)
3M Pittsboro ID: 3102153	—	—	—	—	—	\$ —	—	No	No	—	—	—
3M Little Rock ID: 0300426	—	—	—	—	—	2,648	1	No	No	1	—	—
3M Corona Plant ID: 0400191	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	No	No	—	—	—
Greystone Plant ID: 4700119	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	No	No	—	—	—
Wausau Plant ID: 4702918	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	No	No	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	\$ 2,648	1	—	—	1	—	—

EXHIBIT 2

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
PENSACOLA DIVISION

IN RE: 3M COMBAT ARMS EARPLUG)	Case No. 3:19md2885
PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION,)	
)	Pensacola, Florida
)	August 11, 2022
)	10:05 a.m.
)	
)	
)	
)	

ORAL ARGUMENT

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
BEFORE THE HONORABLE M. CASEY RODGERS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

(Pages 1-76)

A P P E A R A N C E S

FOR THE PLAINTIFFS: Keller Lenkner, LLC
 By: **ASHLEY KELLER**
ack@kellerlenkner.com
 150 N. Riverside Plaza, Suite 4270
 Chicago, Illinois 60606

Quinn Emanuel Urquhart
 By: **ADAM B. WOLFSON**
adamwolfson@quinnemanuel.com
 865 South Figuero St., 10th Floor
 Los Angeles, California 90017

A P P E A R A N C E S

FOR THE PLAINTIFFS:

Aylstock, Witkin, Kreis & Overholtz
by: **BRYAN F. AYLSTOCK**
baylstock@awkolaw.com

JENNIFER HOEKSTRA

jhoekstra@awkolaw.com

17 E Main Street, Suite 200
Pensacola, Florida 32502

Clark Love & Hutson, GP

by: **SHELLEY HUTSON**

bgreif@triallawfirm.com

440 Louisiana Street, Suite 1600
Houston, Texas 77002

FOR THE DEFENDANTS:

Moore, Hill & Westmoreland, PA

By: **CHARLES F. BEALL, JR.**

cbeall@mhw-law.com

350 W Cedar Street, Suite 100
Pensacola, Florida 32502

White & Case

By: **JESSICA C. LAURIA**

Jessica.lauria@whitecase.com

1221 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10020-1095

11:44:25 1 getting emails that actually this was testified to in
11:44:28 2 depositions. I think Stein's deposition was yesterday; that's
11:44:31 3 the disinterested director. And the 30(b)(6) for 3M was on
11:44:34 4 Tuesday. So we're happy to produce those transcripts to Your
11:44:37 5 Honor.

11:44:37 6 **THE COURT:** Thank you. What I'm hearing, though, is
11:44:39 7 that they said that indemnification agreement they didn't know
11:44:42 8 anything about it, the 2013 agreement. So let's don't play
11:44:46 9 fast and loose with the facts.

11:44:47 10 If there is an agreement that exists prior to the July
11:44:55 11 indemnification agreement and you believe that it creates
11:45:03 12 liability in Aearo -- and that's what you represented to me --
11:45:06 13 I hope it does because that's what I was told.

11:45:09 14 **MS. LAURIA:** Your Honor, we'll file the documents,
11:45:11 15 also file the deposition transcripts. My understanding is
11:45:14 16 different than Mr. Aylstock's, but let's just look at the
11:45:17 17 documents ourselves and make that determination.

11:45:19 18 **THE COURT:** Fair enough.

11:45:21 19 **MR. BEALL:** May I respond to something separate? They
11:45:24 20 had raised the issue of the Rule 26 conference and
11:45:27 21 representations and Ms. Hoekstra put the declaration in the
11:45:30 22 record about what occurred. Nobody on our side remembers that,
11:45:34 23 but I certainly am not trying to argue that her declaration is
11:45:38 24 wrong or right. I have no idea about that situation, I have no
11:45:39 25 recollection of it.

11:45:40 1 But, again, if there was an admission made at the
11:45:43 2 Rule 26 conference that we're going to do something, then the
11:45:46 3 way to handle that is to put it in the Rule 26 report or into a
11:45:50 4 stipulation or request for admission or whatever. But again,
11:45:53 5 we answered the complaint shortly thereafter, within I think
11:45:57 6 weeks of that, and denied that very issue.

11:45:59 7 So the idea that three-and-a-half years later we're
11:46:02 8 getting a declaration saying that an oral representation was
11:46:07 9 made by somebody at a conference three-and-a-half years ago
11:46:12 10 somehow binds the company to an oral representation made, that
11:46:15 11 just doesn't make any sense to me if that was the case. But
11:46:19 12 again, that's not part of their waiver argument. Their waiver
11:46:24 13 argument really goes to the answer, that's just window
11:46:26 14 dressing, and so we think it's irrelevant for this Court's
11:46:28 15 consideration.

11:46:28 16 **THE COURT:** All right. Thank you.

11:46:28 17 Thanks to everyone. I appreciate your arguments.
11:46:31 18 We'll be in recess.

19 ***(Proceedings concluded at 11:46 a.m.)***

20 -----
21 *I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the*
22 *record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter. Any*
23 *redaction of personal data identifiers pursuant to the Judicial*
Conference Policy on Privacy are noted within the transcript.

24 *s/Donna L. Boland*
25 *Donna L. Boland, RPR, FCRR*
Official Court Reporter

8-11-2022
Date

EXHIBIT 3

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
PENSACOLA DIVISION

IN RE: 3M COMBAT ARMS) Case No.
EARPLUG PRODUCTS) 3:19md2885
LIABILITY LITIGATION)
_____) Judge M. Casey
) Rodgers
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES) Magistrate Judge
TO ALL CASES) Gary R. Jones

THURSDAY, JANUARY 23, 2020
CONFIDENTIAL - PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER

- - -

Videotaped deposition of D. Garrad Warren, III, held at the offices of KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP, 300 North LaSalle, Chicago, Illinois, commencing at 9:02 a.m., on the above date, before Carrie A. Campbell, Registered Diplomate Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, Illinois, California & Texas Certified Shorthand Reporter, Missouri & Kansas Certified Court Reporter.

- - -

GOLKOW LITIGATION SERVICES
877.370.3377 ph | 917.591.5672 fax
deps@golkow.com

1 A P P E A R A N C E S :

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

AYLSTOCK, WITKIN, KREIS & OVERHOLTZ,
PLLC
BY: BRYAN F. AYLSTOCK
baylstock@awkolaw.com
JENNIFER HOEKSTRA
jhoekstra@awkolaw.com
17 East Main Street
Pensacola, Florida 32502
(850) 202-1010

and

CLARK, LOVE & HUTSON, PLLC
BY: EMILY MARLOWE
emarlowe@triallawfirm.com
440 Louisiana Street, Suite 1600
Houston, Texas 77002
(713) 757-1400

and

LANIER LAW FIRM PLLC
BY: CRISTINA DELISE
cristina.delise@lanierlawfirm.com
126 East 56th Street
New York, New York 10022
(212) 421-2800

and

TRACEY & FOX
BY: LAWRENCE TRACEY
ltracey@tracetylafirm.com
440 Louisiana Street, Suite 1901,
Houston, Texas 77002
(713) 495-2333
Counsel for Plaintiffs

1 KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
BY: BARRY E. FIELDS
2 barry.fields@kirkland.com
300 North LaSalle
3 Chicago, Illinois 60654
(312) 862-2000

4
and

5
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
6 BY: WHITNEY N. KNOWLTON
whitney.knowlton@kirkland.com
7 2049 Century Park East
Los Angeles, California 90067
8 (310) 552-4200
Counsel for Defendants

9
10
ALSO PRESENT:

11 DANNY OLIVO, Tracey & Fox
12 JAMES BATTLE, Aylstock, Witkin,
Kreis & Overholtz

13
COREY SMITH, trial technician,
14 Golkow Litigation Services

15
16 V I D E O G R A P H E R :
DAN LAWLOR,
17 Golkow Litigation Services

18 - - -

19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1 Is that -- did I read that
2 correctly?

3 A. Yes, sir.

4 Q. And that's correct, right?

5 A. Yes, sir.

6 (Warren Exhibit 4 marked for
7 identification.)

8 QUESTIONS BY MR. AYLSTOCK:

9 Q. I'm going to mark this exhibit,
10 and it's a demonstrative exhibit we've
11 created based upon what we know. I just want
12 to ask you if this is reflective of the three
13 private equity firms over, well, four years
14 that had created over \$1 billion in value as
15 reflected on your CV.

16 A. Those are the three private
17 equity firms, yes, sir.

18 Q. And so VCP, what does that
19 stand for?

20 A. Vestar Capital Partners.

21 Q. Okay. So when you came on to
22 Aearo, VCP was a private equity firm?

23 A. Yes, sir.

24 Q. A group of investors that owned
25 it that were interested in making it into a

1 more profitable company and selling it,
2 right?

3 A. That is correct.

4 Q. And they had purchased it for
5 205 million.

6 Does that sound right to you?

7 A. I believe so, yes.

8 Q. And then it looks like while
9 you were there, they sold it for \$180 million
10 profit to Bear Stearns.

11 Does that comport with your
12 recollection?

13 MR. FIELDS: Objection. Form.

14 Sorry, objection. Form.

15 THE WITNESS: They sold it for
16 \$385 million. I'm not sure what their
17 profit was.

18 QUESTIONS BY MR. AYLSTOCK:

19 Q. Okay. Well, VCP had bought it
20 205 and sold it for 385, so that's pretty
21 good.

22 MR. FIELDS: Objection. Form.

23 THE WITNESS: Yes, it is.

24 QUESTIONS BY MR. AYLSTOCK:

25 Q. Yeah.

1 And so Bear Stearns, was that
2 private equity, or is that a hedge fund?

3 A. No, that was -- again, these
4 are all private equity firms.

5 Q. Again, a group of investors
6 looking to make the business profitable and
7 then sell it after a period of time --

8 A. Yes, sir.

9 Q. -- for hopefully a nice profit,
10 right?

11 A. Yes, sir.

12 Q. And it looks like they were
13 successful from 2004 to 2006, because Bear
14 Stearns private equity sold it to Permira?

15 A. Yes, sir.

16 Q. And that was for 765 million.
17 Does that comport with your
18 recollection?

19 A. Yes, sir.

20 Q. And so now we're talking about
21 doubling inside of two years.

22 That's pretty good, isn't it?

23 A. Well, not doubling, but it
24 was --

25 Q. Pretty close?

1 A. -- a good -- a nice increase,
2 yes.

3 Q. And what -- for the jury, what
4 is Permira? Is that --

5 A. Again, they're a private equity
6 firm that are actually London based, but they
7 had operations in the US as well.

8 Q. So am I pronouncing it right,
9 Permira?

10 A. Permira, yes, sir.

11 Q. So Permira bought it from Bear
12 Sterns in 2006-ish when you were there?

13 A. Uh-huh.

14 Q. And they're a group of
15 investors in London?

16 A. That's where they're
17 headquartered, yes, sir.

18 Q. Okay. And so again, as private
19 equity, their goal is to make it more
20 profitable and then sell it again for another
21 profit after a few years, right?

22 A. Yes, sir.

23 Q. It looks like they were able to
24 achieve their goal as well because it wasn't
25 a couple years later they sold it for

1 1.2 billion to 3M; is that right?

2 A. That is correct.

3 Q. And you were part of the team
4 that helped engineer those sales to the
5 different private equity firms, Bear Stearns,
6 Permira and 3M, correct?

7 A. Correct. Yes, sir.

8 Q. The second bullet point talks
9 about that you successfully led business --

10 A. You're referring to the --

11 Q. Yeah, I'm sorry, I'm back to
12 the CV.

13 A. -- résumé? Okay. Thank you.

14 Q. Yeah, I'm sorry about that.

15 A. Okay.

16 Q. It talks about that you
17 successfully led business through multiple
18 line reviews with such key retails, Home
19 Depot, Lowe's, Ace Hardware and Walmart.

20 Do you see that?

21 A. I do.

22 Q. And you're aware, are you not,
23 that the Combat Arms version 2 also had a
24 consumer component to it?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. And it was actually sold in
2 consumer retailers as a sportsman plug?

3 A. Yes, I believe it was.

4 Q. And to welders as an Arc plug.
5 Do you recall that?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. And so was part of your
8 responsibility to get the Combat Arms
9 version 2 plug in a consumer form into the
10 consumer market?

11 A. Yeah, part of our
12 responsibility was to get as many of our
13 products into retail as we possibly could,
14 and that included the Combat Arms.

15 Q. Okay. Because that would help
16 realize more profit, which hopefully would
17 make the company more profitable and able to
18 be sold, correct?

19 A. As would all of the products
20 that we sold.

21 Q. Okay. Above -- well, let's
22 see. After 2008, it looks like you left
23 Aearo Technologies; is that right?

24 A. Yes, sir.

25 Q. And that was after it was sold

1 to 3M, correct?

2 A. Yes, sir.

3 Q. Now, you stayed on as a
4 consultant for 3M for a little --

5 A. I did not.

6 Q. You did not?

7 A. I did not.

8 Q. Okay.

9 A. No, I was not offered a
10 consulting opportunity.

11 Q. Okay. But you were part of the
12 team that made the pitch to 3M to buy
13 Aearo --

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. -- for 1.2 billion, right?

16 A. Yes. Yes.

17 Q. Now, since then, until your
18 recent retirement, you were at Icon
19 Investment Partners.

20 Is that another hedge fund or a
21 private equity or whatever you call it?

22 A. No, actually Icon Investment
23 Partners was a small company that myself and
24 our CEO and two other senior managers formed.
25 We were what we would call senior advisors

1 our responsibility.

2 Q. Well, when you were
3 communicating with those end users in
4 marketing materials or anywhere, it was
5 important that the information conveyed was
6 accurate, clear and unambiguous when it came
7 to how to use the product?

8 A. I would agree with that.

9 (Warren Exhibit 8 marked for
10 identification.)

11 QUESTIONS BY MR. AYLSTOCK:

12 Q. Okay. Plaintiff's Exhibit 8
13 for the record.

14 You've seen this document
15 before, haven't you?

16 A. I believe so, yes.

17 Q. In fact, you were involved in
18 the creation of this document, correct?

19 A. I was. Portions of it, yes.

20 Q. And the purpose of this
21 document was to meet with 3M and try to
22 convince 3M to purchase Aearo, correct?

23 A. The purpose of the document was
24 to -- we made this presentation to a number
25 of potential acquirers, of which 3M was one.

1 Q. Did Aearo get other bids to
2 purchase it or just 3M's?

3 A. I believe we did, but I don't
4 recall.

5 Q. 3M's was the most, I take it,
6 because you took that one?

7 A. 3M's is the one that we
8 accepted, yes, sir.

9 Q. Okay. And since you weren't
10 hanging around, it didn't really matter to
11 you who bought it, other than whoever paid
12 the most, right?

13 MR. FIELDS: Objection. Form.

14 THE WITNESS: That is not
15 necessarily true. We had sold the
16 company three previous times, and I
17 stuck around all three of those times.
18 So it really depended upon who the
19 acquirer was.

20 QUESTIONS BY MR. AYLSTOCK:

21 Q. Okay. Well, in any event, you
22 knew that if it was acquired that you stood
23 to gain financially, fair?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. Okay. So if we look at this

1 presentation, the introduction is by Mike
2 McLain, your boss, right?

3 A. Yes, sir.

4 Q. And the very first slide after
5 that is the management team, and you're there
6 on the left, Gary Warren, senior vice
7 president, North America, right?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. And the operating board on the
10 next page has your name on it as the
11 president, North America safety, correct?

12 A. That is correct.

13 Q. And we talked about this
14 earlier, but it looks like Mike McLain,
15 yourself, Rahul Kapur, Jim Phillips and Jim
16 Floyd had all been at DowBrands previously?

17 A. Yes, sir.

18 Q. And you knew all of those folks
19 from DowBrands?

20 A. Yes, sir.

21 Q. Okay. And so is the operating
22 board different from the board of directors?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. Okay. You're the ones who
25 actually on the ground running the company?

1 A. That is correct.

2 Q. And when it came to North
3 American safety products, including the
4 Combat Arms version 2, you were the one
5 running that?

6 A. North -- yes, sir.

7 Q. Okay. Now, there's an
8 executive summary on page 5, and there's a
9 bullet point for military and law
10 enforcement?

11 A. Yes, sir.

12 Q. And so one of the things you're
13 touting to 3M and others when you're asking
14 them to purchase Aearo is that you've been
15 able to get sales from the military and law
16 enforcement for various products, correct?

17 MR. FIELDS: Objection. Sorry.
18 Objection. Form.

19 THE WITNESS: If I could, the
20 purpose of this slide was really to
21 set out the agenda as to what we were
22 going to cover in the presentation.

23 QUESTIONS BY MR. AYLSTOCK:

24 Q. Okay. That's fair.

25 A. Okay.

1 Q. And the next page, page 6,
2 talks about the fact that Aearo has a strong
3 strategic fit and value creating for 3M, that
4 was one of the messages you wanted to convey
5 to 3M?

6 A. Yes, sir.

7 Q. And if we drill down a little
8 bit on page 11, one of the things any suitor
9 to purchase a company looks for is sales and
10 revenue growth over time, fair?

11 A. That is correct.

12 Q. And, in fact, this chart
13 demonstrates that year after year after year
14 Aearo was able to increase sales and increase
15 revenue?

16 MR. FIELDS: Objection. Form.

17 QUESTIONS BY MR. AYLSTOCK:

18 Q. Making it a more attractive
19 purchase for 3M, fair?

20 MR. FIELDS: Sorry, same
21 objection.

22 THE WITNESS: Yes.

23 QUESTIONS BY MR. AYLSTOCK:

24 Q. And if you go a couple more
25 pages, drilling down again, there's a slide

1 here about the safety --

2 A. Page 13?

3 Q. Yes, sir.

4 A. Okay.

5 Q. And again, this is the part
6 that you're in charge of, right?

7 A. No.

8 Q. The safety product line?

9 A. No. Well, no. This slide is
10 talking about global sales of which my areas
11 of responsibility would be part of that.

12 Q. Part of that.

13 Did you present this slide, or
14 was this Mr. McLain --

15 A. You know, I don't recall.

16 Q. You could have?

17 A. I -- since it was global, I
18 doubt it.

19 Q. Okay.

20 A. I don't recall.

21 Q. Well, in any event --

22 A. In fact -- in fact, as I
23 look -- no, I don't believe I did.

24 Q. Okay. But you were there when
25 this presentation was being given?

1 A. Yes, sir.

2 Q. And you don't quarrel with
3 anything that was presented to 3M about these
4 numbers, do you?

5 A. I don't recall that I did at
6 the time, no.

7 Q. Okay. And you don't today
8 either, do you?

9 A. I haven't looked at them, but,
10 no, I take it at face value.

11 Q. Okay. And if we're talking
12 about gross sales for the safety product
13 line, hearing protection is actually the
14 number one driver for sales, correct?

15 A. It is certainly the largest,
16 yes.

17 Q. Largest by far, and it's
18 increasing year over year, correct?

19 A. 4.4 percent versus the prior
20 year and -- yes, sir.

21 Q. Okay. So that was one of the
22 things that you felt important for 3M to know
23 when valuing the company and making the
24 decision to purchase Aearo, correct?

25 MR. FIELDS: Objection. Form.

1 THE WITNESS: Yeah, they needed
2 to understand, you know, all of our
3 business and how it was doing.

4 QUESTIONS BY MR. AYLSTOCK:

5 Q. Including --

6 A. So including hearing
7 protection, but, yes, everything.

8 Q. Sure. Absolutely.

9 Because they're buying it all,
10 right?

11 A. Right.

12 Q. If you go to page 20, another
13 thing that 3M needed to understand, according
14 to this presentation about passive hearing,
15 was the fact that Aearo had a recognized
16 testing lab.

17 Do you see that on the passive
18 hearing? Well, let me strike that. Let's
19 just kind of walk through it a little bit on
20 page 20.

21 You see there on the left with
22 passive hearing?

23 A. Yes, sir.

24 Q. That would include the Combat
25 Arms version 2?

1 A. Yes, sir.

2 Q. What it says up at the top is
3 that Aearo has leading hearing and eyewear
4 R&D capabilities?

5 A. Yes, sir.

6 Q. And that, you believed, would
7 be important to 3M in deciding whether or not
8 to purchase Aearo, right?

9 A. One of the factors, yes.

10 Q. In fact, one of the factors
11 here is that it had attenuation, NRR testing
12 in a recognized testing lab, correct?

13 A. That is correct.

14 Q. And you also tout here that it
15 had extensive end user training programs.

16 Do you see that?

17 A. I do.

18 Q. In fact, you were involved in
19 the decision to do some training product --
20 programs with regard to Combat Arms
21 version 2, correct?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. Okay. If we go to page 28,
24 there's passive hearing protection
25 strategies.

1 Why'd you sell it?

2 A. We felt we could get a good
3 price for it.

4 Q. And, in fact, you were a part
5 owner in Aearo?

6 A. I was.

7 (Warren Exhibit 53 marked for
8 identification.)

9 QUESTIONS BY MR. AYLSTOCK:

10 Q. These are some schedules to the
11 plan to merger -- the sale, basically, of
12 Aearo to 3M.

13 A. Okay.

14 Q. Are you familiar with this?

15 A. I am.

16 Q. It's Exhibit 53.

17 What's the date on it?

18 A. November 14, 2007.

19 Q. If we turn to the page that
20 ends in Bates number 15, 215 --

21 A. Okay.

22 Q. -- about four pages in, it
23 actually lists the number of common shares
24 and preferred shares you have, correct?

25 A. That's correct.

1 CERTIFICATE

2
3 I, CARRIE A. CAMPBELL, Registered
4 Diplomate Reporter, Certified Realtime
5 Reporter and Certified Shorthand Reporter, do
6 hereby certify that prior to the commencement
7 of the examination, D. Garrad Warren, III,
8 was duly sworn by me to testify to the truth,
9 the whole truth and nothing but the truth.

10 I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that the
11 foregoing is a verbatim transcript of the
12 testimony as taken stenographically by and
13 before me at the time, place and on the date
14 hereinbefore set forth, to the best of my
15 ability.

16
17 I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am
18 neither a relative nor employee nor attorney
19 nor counsel of any of the parties to this
20 action, and that I am neither a relative nor
21 employee of such attorney or counsel, and
22 that I am not financially interested in the
23 action.

24
25

26 CARRIE A. CAMPBELL,
27 NCRA Registered Diplomate Reporter
28 Certified Realtime Reporter
29 California Certified Shorthand
30 Reporter #13921
31 Missouri Certified Court Reporter #859
32 Illinois Certified Shorthand Reporter
33 #084-004229
34 Texas Certified Shorthand Reporter #9328
35 Kansas Certified Court Reporter #1715
36 Notary Public
37 Dated: January 27, 2020

EXHIBIT 4

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
PENSACOLA DIVISION**

IN RE: 3M COMBAT ARMS)	
EARPLUG PRODUCTS)	CASE NO. 3:19-MD-2885
LIABILITY LITIGATION)	
)	

**PLAINTIFFS’ FIRST REQUEST
FOR THE PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT pursuant to Rules 26 and 34 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiffs hereby request that Defendants produce for inspection and copying the documents designated in these Requests for the Production of Documents (the “Requests” and each a “Request”) to Plaintiffs’ ESI Liaison, in accordance with the Order for Production of Documents and Electronically Stored Information by 3M, within 30 days from the date of service hereof.

DEFINITIONS AND INSTRUCTIONS

1. As used herein, the terms “you,” “your,” or “yourself,” refers to 3M Company, Aearo Technologies LLC, Aearo Holdings, LLC, Aearo Intermediate, LLC, Aearo, LLC, and any of their related or affiliated entities or individuals named as defendants in this proceeding (the “Defendants” and each a “Defendant”), their present and former officers, directors, executives, agents, representatives, employees, and/or attorneys.
2. As used herein, the term “representative” means any and all agents, employees, servants, officers, directors, attorneys or other persons acting or purporting to act on behalf of any Defendant.
3. As used herein, the term “person” shall mean any natural person or any business, legal

- Brian McGinley, Global Business Director for 3M;
- Kevin L. Michael, PH.D., President of Michael & Associates, Inc. (Acoustic Lab);
- Doug Moses, 3M Marketing – U.S. Active Communication’s / Military Manager;
- Brian Myers, 3M Business Director – Personal Safety Division;
- Dr. Douglas W. Ohlin, 3M Audiologist/Scientist; and
- Walter Pawlowski, President of New Business Dynamics LLC.

35. All documents referenced in the U.S. Army Criminal Investigation Command Report— together with all other correspondence, communications, or documents produced in, generated in, in connection with, or relating to such investigation or proceeding—including the documents bearing the bates numbers listed in Exhibit A hereto.

CORPORATE STRUCTURE, BOARD, FINANCIAL MATTERS, & PROCEDURES

36. Documents sufficient to show for each Defendant the hierarchy, organizational structure, corporate structure, lines of authority, relationships, functions, operations, or personnel reporting requirements between or among persons, officers, directors, independent contractors, outside suppliers departments, divisions, parents, subsidiaries, joint ventures, affiliates, or other sub-units or related entities or persons responsible for the topics enumerated in Requests 1-9.

37. All minutes, presentation materials, handouts, background materials, board books, and other documents relating to any meeting of the managers, members, shareholders and/or Board of Directors of any Defendant addressing or concerning the 3M Earplugs or the Related Proceedings.

38. Documents sufficient to show the value of each Defendant for each year from 2003 to 2019, including statements of income, balance sheets, statements of cash flows, and financial statements of each Defendant.

39. All purchase agreements, sale agreements, contracts, or other documents relating to 3M’s 2008 acquisition of Aearo Technologies, LLC and any of its affiliates, including any due diligence information exchanged in the course of that acquisition.