BEFORE THE UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE: HAIR RELAXER MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES, AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION MDL No. 3060

RESPONSE OF DEFENDANTS STRENGTH OF NATURE, LLC, STRENGTH OF NATURE GLOBAL, LLC AND GODREJ SON HOLDINGS, INC. <u>TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR TRANSFER</u>

Defendants Strength of Nature, LLC; Strength of Nature Global, LLC; and Godrej SON Holdings, Inc. (the "SON Entities") respectfully submit this response to Plaintiffs' Motion for Transfer.

The SON Entities join with codefendants in opposing the coordination of the pending actions for the reasons set forth in the submissions of those Defendants.

However, should the Panel determine that coordination is warranted, the SON Entities request transfer to the Southern District of New York as the most appropriate venue for this litigation. First, L'Oréal and other Defendants are located or have offices in or near the Southern District of New York. Defendant L'Oréal is named as a defendant in most of the actions currently filed alleging injury from the use of hair relaxer products. Their headquarters are located in the Southern District of New York. Similarly, codefendant Dabur has an office in Princeton, New Jersey, closely located to courts in the Southern District. Accordingly, that District is a natural, centralized location for an MDL. The Panel has repeatedly recognized the added convenience of locating an MDL "reasonably nearby" defendants' headquarters. *See, e.g., In re Deere & Co. Repair Servs. Antitrust Litig.*, MDL No. 3030 (June 1, 2022) (assigning MDL to Northern District of Illinois, "reasonably nearby" to defendant's headquarters); *In re Google*

Case MDL No. 3060 Document 32 Filed 12/07/22 Page 2 of 4

Antitrust Litig., MDL 2981 (Feb. 5, 2021) (assigning MDL to Northern District of California, where defendant's headquarters, and therefore "the primary witnesses and documentary evidence," were located); *In re Valsartan N-Nitrosodimethlylamine (NDMA) Contamination Prod. Liab. Litig.* MDL 2875) (Feb. 14, 2019) (assigning MDL to District of New Jersey, where "[m]any of the defendants have their U.S. headquarters," including party named "in nearly all actions").

Second, there is already a state court action filed in the New York courts (*Prudhomme v. L'Oréal USA, Inc. et al.*, Index No. 159714/2022 (Sup. Ct. New York Co.)), and location of the MDL in New York would ease the coordination of federal and state court proceedings. *In re Social Media Adolescent Addiction/Personal Injury Prod. Liab. Litig.*, MDL 3047 (Oct. 6, 2022) (recognizing centralization in Northern District of California would "facilitate coordination with the state court cases pending in California"). Particularly in this matter, where the questionable science supporting plaintiffs' claims will be center stage, allowing the coordination of any evidentiary hearings will be important. *See* Rothstein & Borden, *Managing Multidistrict Litigation in Products Liability Cases: A Pocket Guide for Transferee Judges*, Fed. J. Ctr. (2011) at 26-27 (recognizing "positive effect" of coordinating *Daubert*/expert hearings with state court judges).

Finally, New York City is served by multiple airports and airlines, making access to court proceedings easy. And at least one action is already pending in the district, assigned to Judge Valerie E. Caproni.

On the other hand, Plaintiffs' identification of the Northern District of Illinois as a focus of the litigation is simply a product of their own making. As Plaintiffs acknowledge, this is a nationwide litigation, and there is not any factual nexus to Illinois. Indeed, the first filed case,

2

Case MDL No. 3060 Document 32 Filed 12/07/22 Page 3 of 4

Mitchell, is brought by Missouri-based plaintiff. *See* Exhibit 4 to Plaintiffs' Motion to Transfer, MDL No. 3060, Dkt. No. 1-4. It is questionable whether the Illinois court in which it has been filed can even assert personal jurisdiction over many of the named defendants. Nor can Mitchell argue that the Northern District of Illinois is the most convenient forum even for her.

However, should the Panel ultimately decide—despite Defendants' universal selection of the Southern District of New York—to create an MDL in the Northern District of Illinois, the SON Entities believe Judge John J. Tharp, Jr. is an appropriate selection to lead the MDL.

Judge Kennelly, Plaintiffs' apparent first choice, was recently assigned an MDL in August of this year. *In re Recalled Abbott Infant Formula Prod. Liab. Litig.*, MDL 3037 (August 5, 2022). Moreover, Plaintiffs' argument that Judge Kennelly presides over the firstfiled *Mitchell* case should carry no weight. Plaintiffs have not yet served any defendant in that (or nearly any other) pending case. Thus, the case has not proceeded any further than any other one in this litigation. In addition, as discussed above, the case is brought by a Missouri plaintiff, against defendants not located in Illinois, and it is likely that the case would be dismissed on personal jurisdiction grounds at least as to certain defendants, including the SON Entities.

Unlike Judge Rowland, Plaintiffs' alternate choice to lead the litigation, Judge Tharp has been on the bench for over ten years, and has extensive experience presiding over complex litigation.

* * * * *

3

Case MDL No. 3060 Document 32 Filed 12/07/22 Page 4 of 4

Accordingly, the SON Entities respectfully request, should the Panel determine

centralization is warranted, that the MDL be assigned to a judge within the Southern District of

New York.

Dated: December 7, 2022

ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER LLP

By: /s/ Lori B. Leskin

Lori B. Leskin 250 W. 55th Street New York, New York 10019 Telephone: 212.836.8000 Facsimile: 212.836.8689 Email: lori.leskin@arnoldporter.com

Attorneys for Defendants Strength of Nature, LLC, Strength of Nature Global, LLC, and Godrej SON Holdings, Inc.

BEFORE THE UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE: HAIR RELAXER MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES, and PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL No. 3060

PROOF OF SERVICE

In accordance with Rule of Procedure for the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict

Litigation 4.1(a), I hereby certify that on December 7, 2022 I caused to be electronically filed the

following with the Clerk of the Court using the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation's

CM/ECF system:

1. Response of Defendants Strength of Nature, LLC, Strength of Nature Global,

LLC and Godrej SON Holdings, Inc. to Plaintiffs' Motion for Transfer; and

2. Proof of Service.

I further certify that copies of the foregoing are being served to all plaintiffs, defendants,

the Clerk of the Panel and the Clerk of the Court of each proposed transferor court for the above-

titled actions, by First Class Mail, as follows:

Clerk of the Panel United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation Thurgood Marshall Federal Judiciary Building One Columbus Circle, NE, Room G-255, North Lobby Washington, DC 20002-8041

Clerks:

Clerk, Northern District of Illinois Everett McKinley Dirksen United States Courthouse 219 South Dearborn Street Chicago, Illinois 60604

Clerk, Northern District of California United States Courthouse 450 Golden Gate Avenue San Francisco, California 94102 Clerk, Southern District of New York Daniel Patrick Moynihan United States Courthouse 500 Pearl Street New York, New York 10007

Clerk, Southern District of Georgia United States Courthouse 8 Southern Oaks Ct. Savannah, Georgia 31405

Plaintiffs:

Danielle Ward Mason BULLOCK WARD MASON LLC 4915 N. Main Street #426 Acworth, Georgia 30101 Tel. No.: (770) 202-4603 Email: danielle@bwmlaw.com Attorney for Plaintiffs Rhonda Terrell, KeAira Gamble and Mahogany Lee

Diandra S. Debrosse Zimmermann DICELLO LEVITT LLC 505 20th North Street, 15th Floor Birmingham, Alabama 35203 Tel. No.: (205) 855-5700 Email: fu@dicellolevitt.com Attorney for Plaintiffs Jenny Mitchell, Rugieyatu Bhonopha, Diane Grant, and Bernadette Gordon

Timothy J. Becker JOHNSON BECKER 444 Cedar Street, Suite 1800 Saint Paul, Minnesota 55101 Tel: 612-436-1804 Fax: 612-436-1801 Email: tbecker@johnsonbecker.com *Attorney for Plaintiff Timika Smith*

Defendants:

Dennis Sean Ellis ELLIS GEORGE CIPOLLONE O'BRIEN ANNAGUEY LLP 2121 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 3000 Los Angeles, California 90067 Tel.: (310) 274-7100 Email: dellis@egcfirm.com Attorney for Defendants L'Oréal USA, Inc.; L'Oréal USA Products, Inc.; SoftSheen-Carson (W.I.), Inc.; and SoftSheen-Carson LLC

Donna M. Welch KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP 300 North LaSalle Street Chicago, Ilinois 60654 Tel.: (312) 862-2425 Email: dwelch@kirkland.com Attorney for Defendants Dabur International Ltd. Dabur USA, Inc. and Namaste Laboratories LLC

R. Trent Taylor MCGUIREWOODS LLP One Gateway Plaza 800 East Canal Street Richmond, Virginia 23219 Tel: (804) 775-1182 Email: rtaylor@mcguirewoods.com *Attorneys for Defendants House of Cheatham, LLC and Beauty Bell*

PDC Brands and Parfums De Coeur, Ltd. 750 E. Main Street Suite 1000 Stamford, Connecticut 06902

Revlon Consumer Products Corp. One New York Plaza New York, New York 10004

Dated: December 7, 2022

ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER LLP

By: /s/ Lori B. Leskin

Lori B. Leskin 250 W. 55th Street New York, New York 10019 Telephone: 212.836.8000 Facsimile: 212.836.8689 Email: lori.leskin@arnoldporter.com

Attorneys for Defendants Strength of Nature, LLC, Strength of Nature Global, LLC, and Godrej SON Holdings, Inc.