JS 44 (Rev. 16:26) \$2.2-GY-60259-JEM Docume TINE TO PER Docket 02/04/2022 Page 1 of 15

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.) NOTICE: Attorneys MUST Indicate All Re-filed Cases Below.

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS	S	
	KCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA		County of Residence NOTE: Attorneys (If Known	e of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES O IN LAND CONDEMNATION CA THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLV	ASES, USE THE LOCATION OF
•	ddress, and Telephone Number) on Arose: □ MIAMI-DADE			, JCIE □ INDIAN RIVER □ OKEECHOBE	E □ HIGHLANDS
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" is	n One Box Only)	I. CITIZENSHIP OF P	PRINCIPAL PARTIES (P.	lace an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff)
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Fede	eral Question Not a Party)		PTF DEF ☐ 1 ☐ 1 Incorporated <i>or</i> Prii of Business In This	
2 U.S. Government Defendant		ersity ip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2 Incorporated and Proof Business In A	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "Y" in One Roy On	lv)	Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country Click here for: Nature of Suit Code	3 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6
CONTRACT		RTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	PERSONAL INJURY □ 310 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander □ 330 Federal Employers' Liability	PERSONAL INJURY ☐ 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability ☐ 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability ☐ 368 Asbestos Personal	☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 ☐ 690 Other	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS ☐ 820 Copyrights ☐ 830 Patent ☐ 835 Patent — Abbreviated New Drug Application ☐ 840 Trademark	☐ 375 False Claims Act ☐ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729 (a)) ☐ 400 State Reapportionment ☐ 410 Antitrust ☐ 430 Banks and Banking ☐ 450 Commerce ☐ 460 Deportation
Student Loans	340 Marine	Injury Product Liabi	lity	→ 880 Defend Trade Secrets	☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations
(Excl. Veterans)	☐ 345 Marine Product		LABOR	Act of 2016 SOCIAL SECURITY	480 Consumer Credit
153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise	Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractice	PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability	☐ 710 Fair Labor Standards Act ☐ 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations ☐ 740 Railway Labor Act ☐ 751 Family and Medical Leave Act ☐ 790 Other Labor Litigation ☐ 791 Empl. Ret. Inc.	□ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g))	(15 USC 1681 or 1692) 485 Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA) 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information
REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability	CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence Other:	Security Act	FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	Act 896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of Stat Statutes
290 All Other Real Property	Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education m "X" in One Box Only)	☐ 535 Death Penalty ☐ 540 Mandamus & Other ☐ 550 Civil Rights ☐ 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee – ☐ Conditions of Confinement	☐ 462 Naturalization Application☐ 465 Other Immigration Actions	on The Control of the	
V. ORIGIN 1 Original 2 Remore from S Court	ved □ 3 Re-filed □ 4	Reinstated 5 Transfe or Reopened 5 Specify		District Judge I from Magistrate	Multidistrict 9 Remanded from Appellate Court - Direct File
VI. RELATED/	(See instructions): a) JUDO	-	□ NO b) Related O	Cases TYES NO	
RE-FILED CASE(S) VII. CAUSE OF ACTION	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	atute under which you are fi		DOCKET NUMBER: ent of Cause (Do not cite jurisdiction)	onal statutes unless diversity):
VIII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	LENGTH OF TRIAL CHECK IF THIS UNDER F.R.C.P.	IS A CLASS ACTION	for both sides to try entire case DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only i	f demanded in complaint:
ABOVE INFORMATION IS TO DATE	TRUE & CORRECT TO T		WLEDGE TTORNEY OF RECORD	JURY DEMAND:	☐ Yes ☐ No

JUDGE

IFP

MAG JUDGE

AMOUNT

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: RECEIPT#

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I. (a) **Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- **II. Jurisdiction**. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.C.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.

United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked. Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)

- **III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- **IV. Nature of Suit.** Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Refiled (3) Attach copy of Order for Dismissal of Previous case. Also complete VI.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.

Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment. (7) Check this box for an appeal from a magistrate judge's decision.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (8) Check this box if remanded from Appellate Court.

- VI. Related/Refiled Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases or re-filed cases. Insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judges name for such cases.
- VII. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553

Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service

VIII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

Demand. In this space enter the dollar amount (in thousands of dollars) being demanded or indicate other demand such as a preliminary injunction.

Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

Date:

UNITED STA	ATES DISTRICT COURT
	District of
Plaintiff(s) V.))))) ()) () () () () () () () () (
Defendant(s))
SUMMO	ONS IN A CIVIL ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address)	
A lawsuit has been filed against you.	
Within 21 days after service of this summon are the United States or a United States agency, or a P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff	ns on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. f an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,
If you fail to respond, judgment by default You also must file your answer or motion with the o	will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. court.
	CLERK OF COURT

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (na.	me of individual and title, if an	ny)						
was rec	ceived by me on (date)		·						
	☐ I personally served	I the summons on the ind	ividual at (place)						
			on (date)	; or					
	☐ I left the summons	at the individual's reside	ence or usual place of abode with (name)						
		, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,							
	on (date)	e), and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or							
	☐ I served the summer	ons on (name of individual)		, who is					
	designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of (name of organization)								
			on (date)	; or					
	☐ I returned the sum	mons unexecuted because	e	; or					
	☐ Other (specify):								
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$						
	I declare under penalt	y of perjury that this info	ormation is true.						
Date:									
		_	Server's signature						
		_	Printed name and title						
		_	Server's address						

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

KARE	N YAI	NEIRE	BECERI	RA OL	.GUIN,
------	-------	-------	--------	-------	--------

Case No.

Plaintiff,

v.

SUNBEAM PRODUCTS, INC.,

Defendant.

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, by and through her attorneys, **JOHNSON BECKER**, **PLLC**, upon information and belief, at all times hereinafter mentioned, alleges as follows:

NATURE OF THE CASE

- 1. Defendant Sunbeam Products. Inc. (hereinafter generally referred to as "Defendant Sunbeam") designs, manufactures, markets, imports, distributes and sells a wide-range of consumer products, including the subject "Crock-Pot Express Crock Multicooker," which specifically includes the Model Number SCCPPC 600-V1 (referred to hereafter as "Pressure Cooker(s)").
- 2. Defendant Sunbeam touts that its Pressure Cookers are designed with "safety in mind," which include supposed "safety measures" such as "safety sensors" that purport to keep the lid from being opened while the unit is under pressure.
- 3. Despite Defendant Sunbeam's claims of "safety," it designed, manufactured, marketed, imported, distributed and sold, both directly and through third-party retailers, a product

¹ See Sunbeam Products, Inc. Crock-Pot Express Crock Multicooker Owner's Manual, pg. 10, attached hereto as Exhibit A

² *Id*.

³ *Id*.

that suffers from serious and dangerous defects. Said defects cause significant risk of bodily harm and injury to its consumers.

- 4. Specifically, said defects manifest themselves when, despite Defendant Sunbeam's statements, the lid of the Pressure Cooker is removable with built-up pressure, heat and steam still inside the unit. When the lid is removed under such circumstances, the pressure trapped within the unit causes the scalding hot contents to be projected from the unit and into the surrounding area, including onto the unsuspecting consumers, their families and other bystanders. The Plaintiff in this case was able to remove the lid while the Pressure Cooker retained pressure, causing her serious and substantial bodily injuries and damages.
- 5. On November 24, 2020, the Consumer Products Safety Commission ("CPSC") announced a recall of more than 900,000 of Defendant Sunbeam's SCCPPC600-V1 pressure cookers, which includes the subject pressure cooker, after receiving "119 reports of lid detachment, resulting in 99 burn injuries ranging in severity from first-degree to third-degree burns."
- 6. Defendant Sunbeam knew or should have known of these defects but has nevertheless put profit ahead of safety by continuing to sell its Pressure Cookers to consumers, failing to warn said consumers of the serious risks posed by the defects, and failing to timely recall the dangerously defective Pressure Cookers regardless of the risk of significant injuries to Plaintiff and consumers like her.
- 7. Defendant Sunbeam ignored and/or concealed its knowledge of these defects in its Pressure Cookers from the Plaintiff in this case, as well as the public in general, in order to continue

⁴ *See* the CPSC Recall notice from November 24, 2020 (https://www.cpsc.gov/Recalls/2020/crock-pot-6-quart-express-crock-multi-cookers-recalled-by-sunbeam-products-due-to-burn#), a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

generating a profit from the sale of said Pressure Cookers, demonstrating a callous, reckless, willful, deprayed indifference to the health, safety and welfare of Plaintiff and consumers like her.

8. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant Sunbeam's conduct, the Plaintiff in this case incurred significant and painful bodily injuries, medical expenses, physical pain, mental anguish, and diminished enjoyment of life.

PLAINTIFF KAREN YANEIRE BECERRA OLGUIN

- 9. Plaintiff is a resident and citizen of the city of Denver, County of Adams, State of Colorado.
- 10. On or about February 14, 2020, Plaintiff suffered serious and substantial burn injuries as the direct and proximate result of the Pressure Cooker's lid being able to be rotated and opened while the Pressure Cooker was still under pressure, during the normal, directed use of the Pressure Cooker, allowing its scalding hot contents to be forcefully ejected from the Pressure Cooker and onto Plaintiff. The incident occurred as a result of the failure of the Pressure Cooker's supposed "safety measures," which purport to keep the consumer safe while using the Pressure Cooker. In addition, the incident occurred as the result of Defendant Sunbeam's failure to redesign the Pressure Cooker, despite the existence of economical, safer alternative designs.

DEFENDANT SUNBEAM PRODUCTS, INC.

11. Defendant Sunbeam designs, manufacturers, markets, imports, distributes and sells a variety of consumer products⁵ including pressure cookers, toasters, panini makers, and mixers, amongst others.

⁵ See generally, https://www.sunbeam.com/ (last accessed January 7, 2022).

- 12. Defendant Sunbeam claims that through its "cutting-edge innovation and intelligent design" it has been "simplifying the lives of everyday people" for "over 100 years". 8
- 13. Defendant Sunbeam is a Delaware Corporation with its registered place of business at 1293 North University Drive, #322 City of Coral Springs, Broward County, Florida 33071, and its principal place of business located at 2381 Executive Center Drive, Boca Raton, Florida 33431.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 14. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this case pursuant to diversity jurisdiction prescribed by 28 U.S.C. § 1332 because the matter in controversy exceeds the sum or value of \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs, and there is complete diversity between the parties.
- 15. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because Defendant is a resident and citizen of this district.
- 16. Venue is also proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because Defendant has sufficient minimum contacts with the Florida and intentionally availed itself of the markets within Florida through the promotion, sale, marketing, and distribution of its products.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

17. Defendant Sunbeam is engaged in the business of designing, manufacturing, warranting, marketing, importing, distributing and selling the Pressure Cookers at issue in this litigation.

⁶ See, https://www.newellbrands.com/our-brands/sunbeam (last accessed January 7, 2022).

⁷ *Id*.

⁸ *Id*.

- 18. Defendant Sunbeam aggressively warrants, markets, advertises and sells its Pressure Cookers as "an all-in-one appliance that's always ready when you are," allowing consumers to cook "instant, healthy, home-cooked dish in under an hour." 10
- 19. According to the Owner's Manual¹¹ accompanying each individual unit sold, the Pressure Cookers purport to be designed with "safety in mind and has various safety measures."¹²
- 20. For instances, the Defendant Sunbeam claims that it's pressure cookers include "safety sensors" to keep the lid from being opened while the unit is under pressure; that "[p]ressure will not build if the Lid is not shut correctly and has not sealed" and that "[o]nce the pressure increases, the Lid cannot be opened." 15
- 21. In addition to the "safety measures" listed in the manual, Defendant Sunbeam's Crock-Pot website claims that consumers can "cook with confidence" because the "airtight locking lid remains locked while pressure is inside the unit." ¹⁶
- 22. On November 24, 2020, the Consumer Products Safety Commission ("CPSC") announced a recall of more than 900,000 of Defendant Sunbeam's SCCPPC600-V1 pressure cookers, which includes the subject pressure cooker, after receiving "119 reports of lid"

⁹ See https://www.crock-pot.com/multi-cookers/express-crock/crock-pot-6-quart-express-crock-multi-cooker/SCCPPC600-V1.html (last accessed January 7, 2022).

¹⁰ *Id*.

¹¹See Sunbeam Products, Inc. Crock-Pot Express Crock Multicooker Owner's Manual ("Exhibit A"), pg. 10.

 $^{^{12}}$ *Id*.

¹³ *Id*.

¹⁴ *Id*.

¹⁵ *Id*.

¹⁶ See https://www.crock-pot.com/multi-cookers/express-crock/crock-pot-6-quart-express-crock-multi-cooker/SCCPPC600-V1.html (last accessed January 7, 2022).

detachment, resulting in 99 burn injuries ranging in severity from first-degree to thirddegree burns."¹⁷

23. By reason of the forgoing acts or omissions, the above-named Plaintiff and/or her family purchased their Pressure Cooker with the reasonable expectation that it was properly designed and manufactured, free from defects of any kind, and that it was safe for its intended, foreseeable use of cooking.

24. Plaintiff used her Pressure Cooker for its intended purpose of preparing meals for herself and/or family and did so in a manner that was reasonable and foreseeable by Defendant Sunbeam.

25. However, the aforementioned Pressure Cooker was defectively designed and manufactured by Defendant Sunbeam in that it failed to properly function as to prevent the lid from being removed with normal force while the unit remained pressurized, despite the appearance that all the pressure had been released, during the ordinary, foreseeable and proper use of cooking food with the product; placing the Plaintiff, her family, and similar consumers in danger while using the Pressure Cookers.

- 26. Defendant Sunbeam's Pressure Cookers possess defects that make them unreasonably dangerous for their intended use by consumers because the lid can be rotated and opened while the unit remains pressurized.
- 27. Further, Defendant Sunbeam's representations about "safety" are not just misleading, they are flatly wrong, and put innocent consumers like Plaintiff directly in harm's way.

(https://www.cpsc.gov/Recalls/2020/crock-pot-6-quart-express-crock-multi-cookers-recalled-by-sunbeam-products-due-to-burn#), a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

¹⁷ See the CPSC Recall notice from November 24, 2020

- 28. Economic, safer alternative designs were available that could have prevented the Pressure Cooker's lid from being rotated and opened while pressurized.
- 29. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant Sunbeam's intentional concealment of such defects, its failure to warn consumers of such defects, its negligent misrepresentations, its failure to remove a product with such defects from the stream of commerce, and its negligent design of such products, Plaintiff used an unreasonably dangerous Pressure Cooker, which resulted in significant and painful bodily injuries upon Plaintiff's simple removal of the lid of the Pressure Cooker.
- 30. Consequently, the Plaintiff in this case seeks damages resulting from the use of Defendant Sunbeam's Pressure Cooker as described above, which has caused the Plaintiff to suffer from serious bodily injuries, medical expenses, physical pain, mental anguish, diminished enjoyment of life, and other damages.

CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

COUNT I STRICT LIABILITY

- 31. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each preceding and succeeding paragraph as though set forth fully at length herein.
- 32. At the time of Plaintiff's injuries, Defendant Sunbeam's Pressure Cookers were defective and unreasonably dangerous for use by foreseeable consumers, including Plaintiffs.
- 33. Defendant Sunbeam's Pressure Cookers were in the same or substantially similar condition as when they left the possession of Defendant Sunbeam.
 - 34. Plaintiffs did not misuse or materially alter the Pressure Cooker.
- 35. The Pressure Cookers did not perform as safely as an ordinary consumer would have expected them to perform when used in a reasonably foreseeable way.

- 36. Further, a reasonable person would conclude that the possibility and serious of harm outweighs the burden or cost of making the Pressure Cookers safe. Specifically:
 - a. The Pressure Cookers designed, manufactured, sold, and supplied by Defendant Sunbeam were defectively designed and placed into the stream of commerce in a defective and unreasonably dangerous condition for consumers;
 - b. The seriousness of the potential burn injuries resulting from the product drastically outweighs any benefit that could be derived from its normal, intended use;
 - c. Defendant Sunbeam failed to properly market, design, manufacture, distribute, supply, and sell the Pressure Cookers, despite having extensive knowledge that the aforementioned injuries could and did occur;
 - d. Defendant Sunbeam failed to warn and place adequate warnings and instructions on the Pressure Cookers;
 - e. Defendant Sunbeam failed to adequately test the Pressure Cookers; and
 - f. Defendant Sunbeam failed to market an economically feasible alternative design, despite the existence of the aforementioned economical, safer alternatives, that could have prevented the Plaintiff' injuries and damages.
- 37. Defendant Sunbeam's actions and omissions were the direct and proximate cause of the Plaintiff's injuries and damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendant Sunbeam for damages, together with interest, costs of suit, and all such other relief as the Court deems proper. Plaintiff reserves the right to amend this Complaint to include a claim for punitive damages according to proof.

COUNT II NEGLIGENCE

38. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each preceding and succeeding paragraph as though set forth fully at length herein.

- 39. Defendant Sunbeam has a duty of reasonable care to design, manufacture, market, and sell non-defective Pressure Cookers that are reasonably safe for their intended uses by consumers, such as Plaintiffs and their family.
- 40. Defendant Sunbeam failed to exercise ordinary care in the manufacture, sale, warnings, quality assurance, quality control, distribution, advertising, promotion, sale and marketing of its Pressure Cookers in that Defendant Sunbeam knew or should have known that said Pressure Cookers created a high risk of unreasonable harm to the Plaintiffs and consumers alike.
- 41. Defendant Sunbeam was negligent in the design, manufacture, advertising, warning, marketing and sale of its Pressure Cookers in that, among other things, it:
 - a. Failed to use due care in designing and manufacturing the Pressure Cookers to avoid the aforementioned risks to individuals;
 - b. Placed an unsafe product into the stream of commerce;
 - c. Aggressively over-promoted and marketed its Pressure Cookers through television, social media, and other advertising outlets; and
 - d. Were otherwise careless or negligent.
- 42. Despite the fact that Defendant Sunbeam knew or should have known that consumers were able to remove the lid while the Pressure Cookers were still pressurized, Defendant Sunbeam continued to market (and continues to do so) its Pressure Cookers to the general public.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendant Sunbeam for damages, together with interest, costs of suit, and all such other relief as the Court deems proper. Plaintiff reserves the right to amend this Complaint to include a claim for punitive damages according to proof.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff demands that all issues of fact of this case be tried to a properly impaneled jury to the extent permitted under the law.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against the Defendant Sunbeam for damages, including punitive damages if applicable, to which she is entitled by law, as well as all costs of this action and interest, to the full extent of the law, whether arising under the common law and/or statutory law, including:

- a. judgment for Plaintiff and against Defendant Sunbeam;
- b. damages to compensate Plaintiff for his injuries, economic losses and pain and suffering sustained as a result of the use of the Defendant Sunbeam's Pressure Cookers;
- c. pre and post judgment interest at the lawful rate;
- d. a trial by jury on all issues of the case; and
- e. for any other relief as this Court may deem equitable and just, or that may be available under the law of another forum to the extent the law of another forum is applied, including but not limited to all reliefs prayed for in this Complaint and in the foregoing Prayer for Relief.

Respectfully submitted,

JOHNSON BECKER, PLLC

Date: February 4, 2022

/s/ Lisa A. Gorshe, Esq.
Lisa A. Gorshe, Esq. (FL #122180)
Adam J. Kress, Esq. (MN #0397289)
Pro Hac Vice to be filed
444 Cedar Street, Suite 1800
(612) 436-1800 / (612) 436-1801 (fax)
lgorshe@johnsonbecker.com
akress@johnsonbecker.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff