UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

_____ X

IN RE: Acetaminophen - ASD-ADHD :

Products Liability Litigation

22md3043 (DLC) 22mc3043 (DLC)

ORDER: SHORT FORM

COMPLAINTS

DENISE COTE, District Judge:

During the Initial Pretrial Conference held on November 17, 2022, this Court ordered the use of Master Pleadings and corresponding Short Form Complaints in this litigation. On January 4, 2023, the parties submitted their competing versions of the Short Form Complaint. The parties were given an opportunity to be heard on their disputes at a conference held on January 6. It is hereby

ORDERED that, for the reasons stated on the record at the January 6 conference, the model Short Form Complaint ("SFC") attached hereto is approved and adopted for use in this MDL.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all current and future plaintiffs shall file and serve SFCs using the attached form, in accordance with the following provisions.

- I. TIMING OF FILING SHORT FORM COMPLAINTS
- 1. <u>Currently Pending Cases</u>: Any plaintiff who has a case pending in this MDL as of the date of this Order is hereby ordered to file his/her SFC on the individual member docket for his/her case, and via the platform for the Census of Filed Cases

(the "Census platform"), no later than January 20, 2023.

- 2. <u>Future Cases</u>: Any future plaintiff who files directly in this MDL pursuant to the December 2, 2022 Direct Filing Order (22MC3043: ECF No. 25) ("Direct Filing Order") or whose case is transferred into this MDL from another jurisdiction, is required to file a SFC on his/her individual member docket, and via the Census platform.
- a. <u>Direct Filings</u>: Plaintiffs who file directly in this MDL must initiate their cases using the SFC, along with the Civil Cover Sheet required by this Court's local rules, and must comply with all Local Rules and with the Direct Filing Order in initiating their respective cases.
- b. <u>Transferred Cases</u>: In the event any plaintiff's pending case is transferred into this MDL from another jurisdiction, whether via the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation's Conditional Transfer Order process, via motion to transfer, or otherwise, that plaintiff shall be required to complete and file an SFC, which shall supersede that plaintiff's previously filed long-form complaint, within 14 days of transfer into the MDL.
- c. Removed Cases: A plaintiff whose case is removed from state court and transferred to this MDL shall be required to complete and file an SFC, which shall supersede that plaintiff's previously filed long-form complaint, within the

plaintiff's deadline to file a motion to remand, or if the plaintiff files a motion to remand, within fourteen (14) days after the Court denies the plaintiff's motion to remand.

- II. PROCEDURES FOR FILING OF SHORT FORM COMPLAINTS
- 3. Plaintiffs' leadership will make the attached version of the SFC accessible to any new plaintiffs' counsel who request it. A plaintiff will not be excused from compliance with the Court's SFC procedures due to a claimed inability to obtain the SFC template.
- 4. For purposes of statutes of limitation and statutes of repose, a plaintiff shall be deemed to have initiated his or her case as of the date of the filing of his or her original long-form complaint, even if filed in another court (including state and federal courts), or his or her SFC, whichever was filed first.
- 5. SFCs shall not be filed on the master MDL dockets, and shall only be filed on the docket of a plaintiff's individual member case.
- 6. With the exception of SFCs that include plaintiffs who are immediate family members or who solely assert derivative claims, plaintiffs in multi-plaintiff cases must file individual SFCs for each plaintiff but may list the same docket number (i.e., the docket number for their multi-plaintiff member case) on their SFCs. Defendants reserve all rights, after meeting and

conferring with plaintiffs' counsel regarding such multiplaintiff cases, to move to sever these multi-plaintiff cases
based on improper joinder. Plaintiffs who are immediate family
members or who assert solely derivative claims may file a single
SFC.

- 7. To the extent a plaintiff wishes to amend his or her SFC, or to voluntarily dismiss a party from this action, any such amendment or dismissal shall be done in a manner consistent with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
 - III. PROCEDURES FOR DEFICIENT SHORT FORM COMPLAINTS, AND FOR FAILURE TO TIMELY FILE SHORT FORM COMPLAINTS
- 8. In the event a plaintiff fails to file a SFC by the deadlines set forth in this Order, or fails to fully and accurately fill out the SFC in the manner contemplated by the form, the defendants shall reach out to the plaintiff to advise the plaintiff of the failure. Any such plaintiff shall have fourteen (14) days from receipt of any such communication from the defendants to meet and confer with the defendants, if necessary, and to cure the deficiency.
- 9. In the event any such deficiency in the SFC is not cured, the defendants may seek dismissal of that plaintiff's case or other relief by filing a letter brief, pursuant to this Court's procedures, on the individual member case docket, and on the main MDL docket, No. 22md3043. The plaintiff will have

seven (7) days from the date of filing of any such letter to respond to the defendants' letter brief, and the defendants will have five (5) business days to reply.

- IV. SERVICE OF SHORT FORM COMPLAINTS
- 10. For plaintiffs who have already filed complaints and served them on the defendants, such plaintiffs may effectuate service of the SFC on any appearing defendants' counsel by CM/ECF. Unless otherwise set forth below, plaintiffs who directly file cases in this MDL after the date or this Order, or whose cases are later transferred to this MDL, must effectuate service of process of the SFC in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and any previous or subsequent orders from this Court.
- 11. Service may be effectuated as to the following entities via the means set forth below.
- effectuate service on Johnson & Johnson Consumer Inc.: Plaintiffs can effectuate service on Johnson & Johnson Consumer Inc. by emailing a fully executed copy of the Summons, Civil Cover Sheet, and SFC to APAP_JJCI_Service@btlaw.com, which mailbox shall respond with acknowledgment of same. Under no circumstances shall Johnson & Johnson Consumer Inc.'s willingness to accept service via email be deemed as a waiver of service, or willingness to forfeit ordinary service requirements pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, as to any

affiliated entity.

- effectuate service on Costco Wholesale Corporation: Plaintiffs can effectuate service on Costco Wholesale Corporation by emailing a fully executed copy of the Summons, Civil Cover Sheet, and Short Form Complaint to APAP_Costco_Service@btlaw.com, which mailbox shall respond with acknowledgment of same. Under no circumstances shall Costco Wholesale Corporation's willingness to accept service via email be deemed as a waiver of service, or willingness to forfeit ordinary service requirements pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, as to any affiliated entity.
- c. CVS Pharmacy, Inc.: Plaintiffs can effectuate service on CVS Pharmacy, Inc. by emailing a fully executed copy of the Summons, Civil Cover Sheet, and SFC to APAP_CVS_Service@btlaw.com, which mailbox shall respond with acknowledgment of same. Under no circumstances shall CVS Pharmacy, Inc.'s willingness to accept service via email be deemed as a waiver of service, or willingness to forfeit ordinary service requirements pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, as to any affiliated entity.
- d. <u>Dollar Tree Stores, Inc.</u>: Plaintiffs can effectuate service on Dollar Tree Stores, Inc. by emailing a fully executed copy of the Summons, Civil Cover Sheet and SFC to DollarTree-APAPMDL-Service@arnoldporter.com, which mailbox shall

respond with acknowledgment of same. Under no circumstances shall Dollar Tree Stores, Inc.'s willingness to accept service via email be deemed as a waiver of service, or willingness to forfeit ordinary service requirements pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, as to any affiliated entity.

- e. <u>Family Dollar Stores, LLC</u>: Plaintiffs can effectuate service on Family Dollar Stores, LLC by emailing a fully executed copy of the Summons, Civil Cover Sheet and SFC to FamilyDollar-APAPMDL-Service@arnoldporter.com, which mailbox shall respond with acknowledgment of same. Under no circumstances shall Family Dollar Stores, LLC's willingness to accept service via email be deemed as a waiver of service, or willingness to forfeit ordinary service requirements pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, as to any affiliated entity.
- f. The Kroger Co.: Plaintiffs can effectuate service on The Kroger Co. by emailing a fully executed copy of the Summons, Civil Cover Sheet, and Short Form Complaint to Kroger APAPMDL-Service@stonedeanlaw.com, which mailbox shall respond with acknowledgment of same. Under no circumstances shall The Kroger Co.'s willingness to accept service via email be deemed as a waiver of service, or willingness to forfeit ordinary service requirements pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, as to any affiliated entity.

- g. Walgreen Co.: Plaintiffs can effectuate service on Walgreen Co. by emailing a fully executed copy of the Summons, Civil Cover Sheet, and SFC to APAP_Walgreens_Service@btlaw.com, which mailbox shall respond with acknowledgment of same. Under no circumstances shall Walgreen Co.'s willingness to accept service via email be deemed as a waiver of service, or willingness to forfeit ordinary service requirements pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, as to any affiliated entity.
- h. <u>Walmart Inc.</u>: Plaintiffs can effectuate service on Walmart Inc. by emailing a fully executed copy of the Summons, Civil Cover Sheet and SFC to APAP_Walmart_Service@kslaw.com, which mailbox shall respond with acknowledgment of same. Under no circumstances shall Walmart Inc.'s willingness to accept service via email be deemed as a waiver of service, or willingness to forfeit ordinary service requirements pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, as to any affiliated entity.
- 12. The failure to email a defendant's appropriate service email address, as set forth above, shall constitute a failure to effect service on that defendant.
- 13. Under no circumstances shall a defendant's agreement to accept service via email or any alternative means, pursuant to Paragraph IV.11, above, be deemed to waive any defenses

available to that defendant. Nor shall a defendant's agreement to accept service via email waive ordinary service requirements outside this MDL.

defendants' counsel shall confer regarding whether those defendants will accept service of SFCs via email or any other means, including via the Census platform currently contemplated by the Court. The parties shall report to the Court no later than January 23, 2023, regarding these discussions. In the interim, to the extent not covered by Paragraph IV.10, above, the plaintiffs must continue to serve any SFCs in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Dated:

New York, New York January 9, 2023

DZNISE COTE

United States District Judge

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

IN RE: Acetaminophen – ASD-ADHD Products Liability | Docket No. 22-md-3043 (DLC) Litigation

This Document Relates To: All Cases

MODEL SHORT FORM COMPLAINT

I. FILING OF SHORT FORM COMPLAINT

Plaintiff(s)	hereby file their Complaint by way of (select one):
☐ This C	Complaint is filed pursuant to Order: Direct Filing (DE 238). Plaintiff(s)
hereby designate	(s) the United States District Court for the
	as Plaintiff(s)' home venue ("Home Venue"), as this case may
have originally b	een filed there because:
	The APAP product(s) Plaintiff-Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff-Minor were purchased and/or used in
	(city),(state).
	Plaintiff-minor was born in (city), (state).
	A substantial part of other events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred there, to wit:
	At least Defendant is a resident of the district and all defendants are residents of the State in which that district is located.
☐ This ca	ase originally was filed in the United States District Court for the
	and was transferred to this Court via Conditional Transfer Order
No by	the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation

II. PLAINTIFF(S) INFORMATION

Plaintiff(s) are the following individuals (check all boxes which apply and fill out al
information for selected Plaintiff(s)):
☐ Plaintiff-Mother (name):
State of Residence:
■ State of Citizenship:
■ Filing Capacity:
☐ As Guardian, on behalf of Plaintiff Child
☐ Individually
☐ Plaintiff Child #1 (full name, or initials if Plaintiff Child is currently a minor):
State of Residence:
State of Citizenship:
• Year of Birth (yyyy):
■ Injury:
☐ Autism Spectrum Disorder
☐ Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder
☐ Plaintiff Child #2 (full name, or initials if Plaintiff Child is currently a minor):
■ State of Residence:
State of Citizenship:
• Year of Birth (yyyy):
■ Injury:

☐ Autism Spectrum Disorder
☐ Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder
☐ Other Plaintiff(s):
■ Capacity to assert claim(s) (e.g., other parent, guardian, conservator, administrator,
executor):
State of Residence:
State of Citizenship:
In the rare instance that Plaintiff(s) include additional Plaintiff(s) who are immediate family members or who solely assert derivative claims, but are not otherwise listed above due to space constraints, please check here and list the additional Plaintiff's name, capacity to assert claims, state of residence, state of citizenship, and pertinent factual and legal claims on a separate sheet of paper.
In the rare instance that Plaintiff(s) seek(s) to include additional Plaintiff-Children, please check here and list the additional name (or initials, if the Plaintiff Child is currently a minor), state of residence, state of citizenship, year of birth, and injury on a separate sheet of paper. □ See attached
III. INCORPORATION OF MASTER COMPLAINT(S)
Plaintiff(s) incorporate by reference the allegations contained in the below indicated Master
Long Form Complaint(s) and Jury Demand(s) filed in In Re: Acetaminophen - ASD-ADHD
Products Liability Litigation, MDL No. 3043, on December 16, 2022:
☐ The Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Johnson & Johnson
Consumer Inc. (DE 276).
 Note: Plaintiffs incorporating this Master Complaint must complete Section
IV.A in addition to the above sections.

		The Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against the Retailer
		Defendants (DE 277).
		• Note: Plaintiffs incorporating this Master Complaint must complete Section
		IV.B in addition to the above sections.
IV.	DEFE	ENDANT(S)
	A.	Manufacturer Defendant
		1. Plaintiff(s) allege claims against the Manufacturer Defendant selected
below:		
	□ J o	hnson & Johnson Consumer Inc.
		Plaintiff Child #1:
		Johnson & Johnson Consumer Inc. Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1:
		☐ Tylenol Regular [®] ■ Date range Mother took <u>Tylenol Regular</u> ® while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
		☐ Tylenol Extra Strength® ■ Date range Mother took <u>Tylenol Extra Strength®</u> while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
		☐ Tylenol Extra Strength Rapid Release Gels® ■ Date range Mother took <u>Tylenol Extra Strength Rapid Release®</u> while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
		Other: Date range Mother took Other Product while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):

Plaintiff Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child):

Johnson & Johnson Consumer Inc. Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2:
☐ Tylenol Regular®
 Date range Mother took <u>Tylenol Regular®</u> while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
☐ Tylenol Extra Strength®
 Date range Mother took <u>Tylenol Extra Strength®</u> while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
☐ Tylenol Extra Strength Rapid Release Gels®
 Date range Mother took <u>Tylenol Extra Strength Rapid Release®</u> while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
☐ Other:
 Date range Mother took Other Product_while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):

- 2. Plaintiff(s) hereby adopt(s) and incorporate(s) by reference the *Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Johnson & Johnson Consumer Inc.* as if fully set forth herein.
- 3. The following claims and allegations asserted in the *Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Johnson & Johnson Consumer Inc.* are herein adopted by Plaintiff-Mother in her own right (*i.e.* not covered by claims asserted on behalf of Plaintiff Child(ren), Plaintiff Child(ren), and/or Plaintiff-Other (check all applicable boxes below)):

Plaintiff-	Plaintiff	Plaintiff	Plaintiff-	Claim/Allegation
Mother	Child	Child #2	Other	
	#1	(if more		
		than one		
		Plaintiff		
		Child)		
				Count I: Strict Liability for Failure to Warn

	Count II: Strict Liability for Design Defect Due to
	Inadequate Warnings and Precautions
	Count III: Negligence
	Count IV: Negligent Misrepresentation
	Count V: Strict Liability Misrepresentation Under § 402B of the Restatement (Second) of Torts (Limited to Arizona, California, Colorado, Illinois, Kansas, Maryland, Nebraska, New Mexico, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, Texas, Wyoming)
	Count VI: Violation of Consumer Protection Laws
	Count VII: Breach of Implied Warranty

4.

In checking the box(es) above for which misrepresentation is an element,

Plaintiff(s) adopt(s) and incorporate(s) the allegations made in the Master Long Form Complaint		
and Jury Demand Against Johnson & Johnson Consumer Inc. Any additional Plaintiff(s)-specifi		
allegations as to the alleged misrepresentation must be set forth here:		
5. In checking the box(es) above concerning Count VI: Violation of Consumer		
Protection Laws, Plaintiff(s) adopt(s) and incorporate(s) allegations made in the Master Long		
Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Johnson & Johnson Consumer Inc. and alleges		
violation of the following Consumer Protection Laws from the State(s) of		

6. The following claims and allegations asserted are not included in the *Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Johnson & Johnson Consumer Inc.* and are herein added to Plaintiffs' Short Form Complaint. (Please state additional claims and the factual

and legal basis for them below or on a separate sheet if more space is needed.):		
В.	Retailer Defendant(s):	
	1. Plaintiff(s) allege claims against the Retailer Defendants selected below.	
By checking	g a box against a Retailer Defendant, Plaintiff(s) allege their claims arise out of the	
acetaminoph	nen store brands identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand	
Against Reta	tiler Defendants or otherwise specify additional products below:	
□ 7	-Eleven, Inc.	
	Plaintiff Child #1:	
	 Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy): 	
	■ Location(s) where purchased (City, State):	
	• Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:	
-	Plaintiff Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child):	
	 Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy): 	
	■ Location(s) where purchased (City, State):	
	 Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury 	

Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
с.
Child #1:
Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child):
Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
olesale Corporation
Child #1:
Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:

Plaintiff Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child):
 Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
 Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
CVS Pharmacy, Inc.
Plaintiff Child #1:
 Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
 Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
■ Plaintiff Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child):
 Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
 Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
Dolgencorp, LLC
Plaintiff Child #1:

	 Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	■ Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
	 Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
Plainti	iff Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child):
	 Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	■ Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
	 Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
□ Dollar Tr	ree Stores, Inc.
Plainti	iff Child #1:
	 Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	■ Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
	 Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
Plainti	iff Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child):
	 Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	■ Location(s) where purchased (City, State):

•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 Two if not identified in the <i>Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants</i> :
☐ Family Dolla	r Stores, LLC
Plaintiff C	Child #1:
•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
Plaintiff C	Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child):
•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
-	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
□ The Kroger (Co.
Plaintiff C	Child #1:
•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
-	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):

	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
Plaintif	f Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child):
	■ Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
☐ Rite Aid C	orporation
Plaintif	f Child #1:
	 Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
	 Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
Plaintif	f Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child):
	■ Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	■ Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:

\square S	feway, Inc.
	Plaintiff Child #1:
	 Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	■ Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
	 Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
•	Plaintiff Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child):
	 Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	■ Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
	 Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
\square S	m's West Inc.
•	Plaintiff Child #1:
	 Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	 Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
	 Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
	Plaintiff Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child):

•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
-	
☐ Target Cor	poration
Plaintiff	Child #1:
•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
Plaintiff	Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child):
•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
-	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
□ Walgreen (Co.
Plaintiff	Child #1:
•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):

•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):		
•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:		
Plaintiff C	Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child):		
•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):		
•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):		
•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:		
□ Walmart Inc	•		
 Plaintiff C 	Child #1:		
•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):		
•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):		
•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:		
Plaintiff C	Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child):		
•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):		
•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):		
	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child		

#2 if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury

	Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
Other Retaile	er:
 Plaintiff C 	Child #1:
•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1:
•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
Plaintiff C	Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child):
•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2:
•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):

- 2. Plaintiff(s) hereby adopt(s) and incorporate(s) by reference the *Master Long*Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants as if fully set forth herein.
- 3. The following claims and allegations asserted in the *Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants* are herein adopted by Plaintiff-Mother in her own right (i.e. not covered by claims asserted on behalf of Plaintiff Child(ren)), Plaintiff Child(ren), and/or Plaintiff-Other (check all applicable boxes below):

Plaintiff-	Plaintiff	Plaintiff	Plaintiff-	Claim/Allegation
Mother	Child	Child #2	Other	
	#1	(if more		
		than one		
		Plaintiff		
		Child)		
				Count I: Strict Liability for Failure to Warn
				Count II: Strict Liability for Design Defect Due to
				Inadequate Warnings and Precautions
				Count III: Negligence
				Count IV: Negligent Misrepresentation
				Count V: Strict Liability Misrepresentation Under § 402B of
				the Restatement (Second) of Torts (Limited to: Arizona,
				California, Colorado, Illinois, Kansas, Maryland, Nebraska,
				New Mexico, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, Texas,
				Wyoming)
				Count VI: Violation of Consumer Protection Laws
				Count VII: Breach of Implied Warranty
				Count VIII: Liability as Apparent Manufacturer

	In checking the boxes above for which misrepresentation is an element,
Plaintiff(s) adopt(s) a	nd incorporate(s) the allegations made in the Master Long Form Complaint
and Jury Demand Ag	ainst Retailer Defendants. Any additional Plaintiff(s)-specific allegations as
to the alleged misrepr	resentation must be set forth here:

5. In checking the box(es) above concerning Count VI: Violation of Consumer Protection Laws, Plaintiff(s) adopt(s) and incorporate(s) allegations made in the *Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants* and alleges violation of the

following Consumer Protection Laws from the State(s) of		
6. The following claim	ns and allegations asserted are not included in the Master	
Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand	Against Retailer Defendants and are herein added to	
Plaintiffs' Short Form Complaint (Please s	tate additional claims and the factual and legal basis for	
them below or on a separate sheet if more	space is needed):	
V. PRAYER FOR RELIEF		
WHEREFORE, Plaintiff(s) pray(s)) for relief and demand(s) a trial by jury as set forth in	
the Master Long Form Complaint(s) and .	Jury Demand(s) filed in In Re: Acetaminophen – ASD-	
ADHD Products Liability Litigation, MDI	L No. 3043 (S.D.N.Y.), on December 16, 2022.	
Dated:	Respectfully submitted,	
	Attorney Name Attorney Firm Attorney Address Line 1 Attorney Address Line 2 Telephone Fax Attorney Email Counsel for Plaintiff(s)	