UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA

NICHOLAS BAVAS,

Plaintiff,

v.

DRAFTKINGS INC., and CROWN IA GAMING LLC d/b/a/ DraftKings,

Defendants.

Case No. _____ (Removed from the Iowa District Court for Polk County), Case No: CVCV069026)

DEFENDANTS DRAFTKINGS INC. AND CROWN IA GAMING LLC'S NOTICE OF REMOVAL

Defendants DraftKings Inc. ("DraftKings") and Crown IA Gaming LLC (collectively, "Defendants") hereby remove the above-entitled action from the Iowa District Court for Polk County (the "State Court") to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Iowa pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332, 1441(a), and 1446 ("Notice of Removal"). In support of this Notice of Removal, Defendants state as follows:

THE STATE COURT ACTION

1. On April 18, 2025, Plaintiff Nicholas Bavas ("Plaintiff") commenced this action against DraftKings and Crown IA Gaming LLC in the Iowa District Court for Polk County, Case No. CVCV069026 ("State Court Action"), captioned *Nicholas Bavas v. DraftKings Inc., and Crown IA Gaming LLC d/b/a DraftKings*.

2. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(a) and Local Rule 81(a)(1), true and correct copies of all process, pleadings, and orders served upon Defendants in this action are attached to this

Notice as Exhibit A, including a copy of the Summons and Complaint (Original Notice and

Petition) filed in the State Court (Exhibit A at pp. 4-37 et seq., "Complaint").

3. Pursuant to Local Rule 81(a)(2), no motions are currently pending in the State Court

Action.

4. Pursuant to Local Rule 81(a)(3), the names of counsel and the law firms

representing Plaintiff that have appeared in the State Court Action are:

Ben Lynch AT0013089Darren T. Kaplan (to be admitted pro hac vice)BEN LYNCH LAW, P.L.C.KAPLAN GORE LLP8550 Hickman Road2727 Paces Ferry Road SE, Suite 750Clive, Iowa 50325Atlanta, GA 30339Telephone: (515) 276-3921Telephone: (404) 537-3300Facsimile: (515) 276-2634Facsimile: (404) 537-3320Email: ben@benlynchlaw.comEmail: dkaplan@kaplangore.com
Attorney Number: 172670 (Georgia Bar)

SERVICE AND TIMELINESS OF REMOVAL

5. Plaintiff served the Summons and Complaint on DraftKings on April 24, 2025.

6. Plaintiff served the Summons and Complaint on Crown IA Gaming LLC on May 9,

2025.

7. Accordingly, Defendants have filed this notice of removal within the 30-day time period required by 28 U.S.C. §1446(b).

8. The Iowa District Court for Polk County is located within the Southern District of

Iowa. This Notice of Removal is therefore properly filed in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a).

ALLEGATIONS

9. The Complaint attempts to state claims for five counts of breach of contract, five counts of breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, and five violations of Iowa Code § 714H.5 and § 714H.3. (Complaint ¶¶ 55-169.)

10. DraftKings operates an online sportsbook in Iowa ("DK Sportsbook"). (*Id.* ¶¶ 9-10.)

11. Plaintiff alleges that, in connection with the 2024 AT&T Pebble Beach Pro-Am (the "Tournament") that took place in Pebble Beach, California on February 1-3, 2024, DraftKings accepted five wagers made by Plaintiff, and then impermissibly voided those wagers after the final round of the Tournament was cancelled due to inclement weather (*Id.* ¶¶ 17-54.)

12. DraftKings denies these allegations.

13. The Complaint seeks actual and compensatory damages, treble damages, attorney fees, costs of the action, interest at the legal rate and such other and further relief as is consistent with the allegations in the Petition and otherwise deemed just and equitable by the Court. (*Id.* at p. 33.) The amount at issue well exceeds \$75,000. (*Id.* ¶¶ 26, 29, 32, 35, 39.)

REMOVAL BASED ON DIVERSITY JURSIDICTION

14. "Federal court diversity jurisdiction of state law claims requires an amount in controversy greater than \$75,000 and complete diversity of citizenship among the litigants." *OnePoint Solutions, LLC v. Borchert*, 486 F.3d 342, 346 (8th Cir. 2007). This Court has original jurisdiction over this matter under 28 U.S.C. § 1332 and 28 U.S.C. § 1441 because it involves an amount in controversy that exceeds the sum of seventy-five thousand dollars (\$75,000) and because Plaintiff and all Defendants are citizens of different states.

Complete Diversity

15. "Complete diversity of citizenship exists where no defendant holds citizenship in the same state where any plaintiff holds citizenship." *OnePoint Solutions, LLC*, 486 F.3d at 346.

16. As admitted in the Complaint, the Plaintiff is a resident of Dallas County, Iowa.For purposes of determining diversity of citizenship, Plaintiff is a citizen of Iowa. (Exhibit A, ¶ 2).

17. At the time the action was filed, and at the time of the filing of this Notice of Removal, DraftKings is a Nevada corporation headquartered in Boston, Massachusetts. (*See* **Exhibit A**, \P 3 (alleging DraftKings is a Nevada corporation with its principal place of business in Boston, Massachusetts)).

18. At the time the action was filed, and at the time of the filing of this Notice of Removal, Crown IA Gaming LLC is a Delaware limited liability company with its principal place of business in Boston, Massachusetts. The sole member of Crown IA Gaming LLC is Crown Gaming Inc., which is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in Boston, Massachusetts. *See* Exhibit A, ¶ 4-5; Declaration of Paul Liberman, ¶ 2-3.

19. Plaintiff and Defendants are, accordingly, citizens and residents of different states and complete diversity exists under 28 U.S.C. § 1332.

Amount in Controversy

20. "[A] district court has subject matter jurisdiction in a diversity case when a fact finder could legally conclude, from the pleadings and proof adduced to the court before trial, that the damages that the plaintiff suffered are greater than \$75,000." *Kopp v. Kopp*, 280 F.3d 883, 885 (8th Cir. 2002). "The jurisdictional fact ... is not whether the damages are greater than the requisite amount, but whether a fact finder might legally conclude they are." *Id.*

Case 4:25-cv-00185-SHL-SBJ Document 1 Filed 05/23/25 Page 5 of 6

21. In the Complaint, Plaintiff alleges that he was entitled to a total of \$14,204,781.77 of winnings on the five wagers that DraftKings voided. (Complaint, ¶ 26 [\$4,651,571.26 on Bet #1]; ¶ 29 [\$2,325,785.63 on Bet #2]; ¶ 32 [\$4,651,571.26 on Bet #3]; ¶ 35 [\$2,325,785.63 on Bet #2]; ¶ 32 [\$4,651,571.26 on Bet #3]; ¶ 35 [\$2,325,785.63 on Bet #2]; ¶ 32 [\$4,651,571.26 on Bet #3]; ¶ 35 [\$2,325,785.63 on Bet #2]; ¶ 32 [\$4,651,571.26 on Bet #3]; ¶ 35 [\$2,325,785.63 on Bet #2]; ¶ 32 [\$4,651,571.26 on Bet #3]; ¶ 35 [\$2,325,785.63 on Bet #2]; ¶ 32 [\$4,651,571.26 on Bet #3]; ¶ 35 [\$2,325,785.63 on Bet #2]; ¶ 32 [\$4,651,571.26 on Bet #3]; ¶ 35 [\$2,325,785.63 on Bet #4]; and ¶ 39 [\$250,067.99 on Bet #5]).

22. Without conceding any merit to the Complaint's allegations or causes of action, the amount in controversy satisfies this jurisdictional threshold.

VENUE

23. The State Court Action is being removed from the Iowa District Court for Polk County. As such, venue lies in the District Court for the Southern District of Iowa pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1332 and 28 U.S.C. §1446(b).

RESERVATION OF RIGHTS AND DEFENSES

24. By filing this Notice of Removal, Defendants do not waive any defenses that may be available to it and reserves all such defenses. Defendants do not concede that Plaintiff states any claim upon which relief can be granted, or that Plaintiff is entitled to any relief of any nature.

25. If any challenges to the propriety of the removal of this action arise, Defendants respectfully request the opportunity to present oral argument and/or additional evidence.

COMPLIANCE WITH 28 U.S.C. §1446(b)

26. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1446(a), copies of all process, pleadings and orders served on Defendants are filed with this Notice of Removal.

27. All Defendants have joined this Notice of Removal.

28. Defendants will file a copy of this Notice of Removal with the Clerk of the State Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1446(d).

WHEREFORE, Defendants give notice that the State Court Action is removed to this Court.

Respectfully submitted,

DATED: May 23, 2025

BELIN McCORMICK, P.C.

By: /s/ Matthew D. Callanan Matthew D. Callanan 666 Walnut Street, Suite 2000 Des Moines, IA 50309-3989 Telephone: (515) 243-7100 Facsimile: (515) 558-0639 Email: mdcallanan@belinmccormick.com

COBLENTZ PATCH DUFFY & BASS LLP

Richard R. Patch (pro hac vice forthcoming) Rees F. Morgan (pro hac vice forthcoming) Emlyn R. Mandel (pro hac vice forthcoming) Franklin Krbechek (pro hac vice forthcoming) One Montgomery Street, Suite 3000 San Francisco, California 94104-5500 Telephone: 415.391.4800 Facsmile: 415.989.1663 Email: ef-rrp@cpdb.com ef-rfk@cpdb.com ef-erm@cpdb.com ef-fsk@cpdb.com

Counsel for Defendants DRAFTKINGS INC. and CROWN IA GAMING LLC

Exhibit A

Case 4:25-cv-00185-SHL-SBJ Document 1-1 Filed 05/23/25 Page 2 of 39 E-FILED 2025 APR 18 3:05 PM POLK - CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT

NICHOLAS BAVAS, Plaintiff,	Case No
vs. DRAFTKINGS, INC., and CROWN IA GAMING LLC d/b/a DraftKings, Defendants.	ORIGINAL NOTICE

IN THE IOWA DISTRICT COURT FOR POLK COUNTY

TO THE ABOVE-NAMED DEFENDANTS:

You are notified that lawsuit has been filed in Polk County naming you as a party in this action. A copy of those documents are attached to this notice. The attorney for Plaintiffs are Ben Lynch and Darren Kaplan. Their contact information is as follows:

Ben Lynch	Darren Kaplan
Ben Lynch Law, PLC	Kaplan Gore, LLP
8550 Hickman Road	One Paces West
Clive, Iowa 50325	2727 Ferry Road SE, Suite 750
(515) 276-3921	Atlanta, GA 30339
ben@benlynchlaw.com	(404) 537-330
	<u>dkaplan@kaplangore.com</u>

You must serve a motion or answer within twenty days after service of this original notice upon you and, within a reasonable time thereafter, file your motion or answer with the Clerk of Court located at the Polk County Courthouse in Des Moines, Iowa. If you do not, judgment by default may be rendered against you for the relief demanded in the petition.

This case has been filed in a county that utilizes electronic filing. General rules and information on electronic filing are contained in Iowa Court Rules Chapter 16. Information regarding requirements related to the protection of personal information in court filings is contained in Iowa Court Rules Chapter 16, Division VI.

If you require the assistance of auxiliary aids or services to participate in court because of a disability, immediately call your district ADA coordinator at (515) 561-5818. If you are hearing impaired, call Relay Iowa TTY at 1-800-735-2943.

IMPORTANT: YOU ARE ADVISED TO SEEK LEGAL ADVICE AT ONCE TO PROTECT YOUR INTERESTS

Case 4:25-cv-00185-SHL-SBJ Document 1-1 Filed 05/23/25 Page 3 of 39 E-FILED 2025 APR 21 9:14 AM POLK - CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT

Iowa Judicial Branch

Case No. CVCV069026 County Polk

Case Title NICHOLAS BAVAS VS DRAFTKINGS INC ET AL

You must file your Appearance and Answer on the Iowa Judicial Branch eFile System, unless the attached Petition and Original Notice contains a hearing date for your appearance, or unless the court has excused you from filing electronically (*see* Iowa Court Rule 16.302).

Register for the eFile System at <u>www.iowacourts.state.ia.us/Efile</u> to file and view documents in your case and to receive notices from the court.

For general rules and information on electronic filing, refer to the Iowa Rules of Electronic Procedure in chapter 16 of the Iowa Court Rules at www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/ACO/CourtRulesChapter/16.pdf.

Court filings are public documents and may contain personal information that should always be kept confidential. For the rules on protecting personal information, refer to Division VI of chapter 16 of the Iowa Court Rules and to the Iowa Judicial Branch website at www.iowacourts.gov/for-the-public/representing-yourself/protect-personal-information/.

Scheduled Hearing:

If you need assistance to participate in court due to a disability, call the disability access coordinator at **(515) 561-5818**. Persons who are hearing or speech impaired may call Relay Iowa TTY (1-800-735-2942). For more information, see www.iowacourts.gov/for-the-public/ada/. Disability access coordinators cannot provide legal advice.

Date Issued 04/21/2025 09:14:05 AM



District Clerk of Court or/by Clerk's Designee of Polk /s/ Cole Heim

County

Case 4:25-cv-00185-SHL-SBJ Document 1-1 Filed 05/23/25 Page 4 of 39 E-FILED 2025 APR 18 3:05 PM POLK - CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT

IN THE IOWA DISTRICT COURT FOR POLK COUNTY

NICHOLAS BAVAS,	
Plaintiff,	Case No.
VS.	PETITION AT LAW AND JURY DEMAND
DRAFTKINGS, INC., and CROWN IA	
GAMING LLC d/b/a DraftKings,	
Defendants.	

1. COMES NOW Nicholas Bavas, by and through the undersigned attorneys and for his Petition at Law and Jury Demand states as follows:

PARTIES, JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. Plaintiff Nicholas Bavas ("Bavas") is, and at all relevant times, was, a resident of Dallas County, Iowa.

3. Defendant DraftKings, Inc. ("DraftKings") is a Nevada corporation with its principal place of business in Massachusetts.

4. Defendant Crown IA Gaming LLC d/b/a Draftkings ("Crown IA") is a Delaware corporation licensed by the Iowa Racing and Gaming Commission to conduct sports wagering within the State.

5. On information and belief, Crown IA is a wholly owned subsidiary of Crown Gaming, Inc., which is a wholly owned subsidiary of DK Crown Holdings, Inc., which is a wholly owned subsidiary of DraftKings Holdings, Inc. which is a

Case 4:25-cv-00185-SHL-SBJ Document 1-1 Filed 05/23/25 Page 5 of 39 E-FILED 2025 APR 18 3:05 PM POLK - CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT

wholly owned subsidiary of DraftKings.

6. The amount of damages meets applicable jurisdictional requirements for the amount in controversy.

7. The acts that form the basis of these causes of action alleged herein occurred in Polk County, Iowa.

8. The Terms of Use applicable to this dispute provide:

The exclusive jurisdiction and venue for proceedings involving any and all disputes, claims or controversies arising out of or relating to this Agreement, the breach thereof, or any use of the Website (including all commercial transactions conducted through the Website) ("Claims") shall be the courts of competent jurisdiction sitting within Des Moines, Iowa (the "Forum").

FACTS

9. DraftKings owns and operates a sports gambling website at URL <u>www.draftkings.com</u>. The site is accessible via a web browser and a mobile version of the site is accessible via mobile web browser or via DraftKings mobile applications installed on smartphones.

10. According to the Iowa Racing and Gaming Commission, in 2023 alone,

DraftKings took over \$838 million in bets from Iowa bettors and kept almost \$70 million in revenue for itself. <u>https://irgc.iowa.gov/media/318/download?inline=</u>

11. Internet sports gambling is easy and convenient, and DraftKings offers bettors a myriad of possible wagers in professional and college sporting events in both the real world and in "fantasy" sports.

Case 4:25-cv-00185-SHL-SBJ Document 1-1 Filed 05/23/25 Page 6 of 39 E-FILED 2025 APR 18 3:05 PM POLK - CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT

12. The convenience and ease of placing a sports bet online masks a dizzying array of interlocking sets of rules that may or may not govern each bet depending on the type of wager placed, the specific event, and the particular sport on which the wager is placed.

13. DraftKings is the drafter of these rules and bettors have no opportunity to negotiate these rules with DraftKings.

14. These rules can and do lead bettors to sometimes place bets erroneously, or without full comprehension of the rules. Unforeseen events can also occur in any athletic contest, resulting in changes to the odds that bettors may not have anticipated when they placed their bets.

15. Unsurprisingly, DraftKings makes no allowance for such errors or unforeseen events. Once a bet is accepted by DraftKings, the money is theirs, subject to the obligation to pay out on a winning bet.

16. However, when DraftKings makes an error or accepts a bet it should not have, or when unforeseen events occur that require an unanticipated large pay out by DraftKings, then it seems different rules apply.

I. The 2024 AT&T Pebble Beach Pro-Am

17. The 2024 AT&T Pebble Beach Pro-Am (the "Tournament") took place in Pebble Beach, California on February 1-3, 2024.

18. Play was concluded after 54 holes and three complete rounds due to

Case 4:25-cv-00185-SHL-SBJ Document 1-1 Filed 05/23/25 Page 7 of 39 E-FILED 2025 APR 18 3:05 PM POLK - CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT

inclement weather.

19. Despite the threat of inclement weather, DraftKings was still accepting bets on the Tournament from Iowa bettors as late as February 4, 2024, at 12:01 AM CST.

II. The DraftKings Rules

20. As of February 3, 2024, as relevant to this dispute, the following "Rules" were hosted on the DraftKings https://sportsbook.draftkings.com/ website and accessible through the DraftKings mobile application by registered Iowa DraftKings users/bettors: (i) The DraftKings "Terms of Use" (the "Terms"); (ii) the DraftKings "General Rules" (the "General Rules"); (iii) the DraftKings "Market Rules" (the "Market Rules"); and the (iv) DraftKings "Sport Rules" for "Golf" (the "Golf Rules").

21. The Terms provided as follows:

WINNINGS/PRIZES

Subject to the Company (defined as "DraftKings") verifying your compliance with the Terms, Rules and other conditions of participation, at the conclusion of each Game, the Company shall use commercially reasonable efforts to award the winnings/prizes within a reasonable amount of time.

III. DraftKings Accepts Bets by Bavas on the Tournament

22. On February 3, 2024, at 10:04 PM CST, Bavas placed a \$100.00 bet via

the DraftKings mobile application on the Tournament, which DraftKings accepted

under Ticket #DK638426162431822333 ("Bet #1").

23. Bet #1 was a "Parlay." As provided in the Market Rules, a "Parlay" is:

a bet where the customer chooses two (2) or more selections and requires every selection to be correct in order for the Parlay to win. In the event a Parlay contains a selection which is settled as void or push, then the individual selection will be excluded from the Parlay, and the odds of the Parlay will be recalculated to reflect the remaining selections in the Parlay.

24. Bet #1 was specifically a "20 Picks" Parlay in which Bavas was picking

multiple Tournament finishers in multiple specific leading positions at the conclusion of the Tournament.

- 25. Bavas's picks on Bet #1 were:
 - a. One (1) pick for the Tournament winner, whom Bavas picked to be golfer Wyndham Clark;
 - b. Four (4) picks for the golfers who would place among the top five Tournament finishers, whom Bavas picked to be Ludvig Aberg, Mark Hubbard, Matthieu Pavon, and Thomas Detry;
 - c. Five (5) picks for the golfers who would place among the top 10 Tournament finishers, whom Bavas picked to be Jason Day, Justin Thomas, Sam Burns, Scottie Scheffler, and Tom Hoge;
 - d. Ten (10) picks for the golfers who would place among the top 20 Tournament finishers, whom Bavas picked to be Beau Hossler, Collin Morikawa, Emiliano Grillo, Eric Cole, Erik Van Rooyen, Justin Rose, Keegan Bradley, Patrick Cantlay, Peter Malnati, and

Case 4:25-cv-00185-SHL-SBJ Document 1-1 Filed 05/23/25 Page 9 of 39 E-FILED 2025 APR 18 3:05 PM POLK - CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT

Si Woo Kim.

26. At the time DraftKings accepted Bet #1, DraftKings promised to pay

Bavas \$4,651,571.26 if every one of Bavas's picks were correct.



27. On February 3, 2024, at 11:22 PM CST, Bavas placed a \$50.00 bet via the DraftKings mobile application on the Tournament, which DraftKings accepted under Ticket #DK638426209743564733 ("Bet #2").

28. Apart from the time of day and the amount of the bet, Bet #2 was identical to Bet #1.

29. At the time DraftKings accepted Bet #2, DraftKings promised to pay Bavas \$2,325785.63 if every one of Bavas's picks were correct.

Case 4:25-cv-00185-SHL-SBJ Document 1-1 Filed 05/23/25 Page 10 of 39 E-FILED 2025 APR 18 3:05 PM POLK - CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT



30. On February 3, 2024, at 11:59 PM CST, Bavas placed a \$100.00 bet via the DraftKings mobile application on the Tournament, which DraftKings accepted under Ticket #DK638426231939772047 ("Bet #3").

- 31. Apart from the time of day, Bet #3 was identical to Bet #1.
- 32. At the time DraftKings accepted Bet #3, DraftKings promised to pay

Bavas \$4,651,571.26 if every one of Bavas's picks were correct.



33. On February 4, 2024, at 12:01 AM CST, Bavas placed a \$50.00 bet via the DraftKings mobile application on the Tournament, which DraftKings accepted

Case 4:25-cv-00185-SHL-SBJ Document 1-1 Filed 05/23/25 Page 11 of 39 E-FILED 2025 APR 18 3:05 PM POLK - CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT

under Ticket #DK638426233063912571 ("Bet #4").

- 34. Apart from the date and time, Bet #4 was identical to Bet #2.
- 35. At the time DraftKings accepted Bet #4, DraftKings promised to pay

Bavas \$2,325785.63 if every one of Bavas's picks were correct.



36. On February 3, 2024, at 10:18 PM CST, Bavas placed a \$25.00 bet via the DraftKings mobile application on the Tournament, which DraftKings accepted under Ticket # #DK638426170887420385 ("Bet #5").

37. Bet #5 was a different type of Parlay. Bet #5 was specifically a "20 Pick" Parlay in which Bavas was simply picking 20 golfers to be the top 20 finishers in the Tournament in no particular order.

38. Bavas picked golfers Adam Scott, Beau Hossler, Cam Davis, Christiaan Bezuidenhout, Collin Morikawa, Emiliano Grillo, Eric Cole, Erik Van Rooyen, J.T. Poston, Jason Day, Justin Rose, Keegan Bradley, Mark Hubbard, Patrick Cantlay, Peter Malnati, Sahith Theegala, Sam Burns, Si Woo Kim, Thomas Detry, and Tom Hoge to be the top 20 finishers in the Tournament. 39. At the time DraftKings accepted Bet #5, DraftKings promised to pay

Bavas \$250,067.99 if every one of Bavas's picks were correct.



IV. PGA Tour Referees Delay, Suspend and Then Declare the Tournament Completed

40. On February 4, 2024, PGA Tour referees continually delayed the start of the final round of the Tournament due to Monterey County, California experiencing historic rain and wind and continued to delay the final round of the Tournament throughout the day.

41. At 9:15 PM EST, the PGA Tour Rules Committees announced there would be no play on February 5, 2024, and "[t]herefore, in accordance with the PGA TOUR Regulations the tournament results will be final through the conclusion of 54 holes."

42. The leaderboard of the top 20 golfers at the conclusion of play in the Tournament is not in dispute:

Case 4:25-cv-00185-SHL-SBJ Document 1-1 Filed 05/23/25 Page 13 of 39 E-FILED 2025 APR 18 3:05 PM POLK - CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT

POS t PLATER TOTAL THRU ROUND RI R2 R2 R3 STROKES PROL STRUKE PROL 1 122 \pm Wyndham Clark (PB) Stater -17 F -12 72 67 60 199 3 61 1 2 41 \pm Ludvig Aberg (PB) Stater -16 F -5 68 65 67 200 6 41 1 3 12 \pm () Matt Hubbard (PB) Stater -16 F -5 68 65 202 17 66 9 74 4.3 \oplus Thomas Debry (PB) -14 F -7 69 68 55 202 18 TB4 17 74 4.3 \oplus Thomas Debry (PB) -13 F -6 71 68 60 203 14 729 6 74 4.4 \oplus for in Hope (PB) Stater -11 F -6 68 71 66 203 11	All F	All Players							FEDEXCUP					
2 41 * © Ludvig Aberg (PB) \overline{Mater} -16 F -5 68 65 67 200 6 41 * 3 12 * () Matthieu Paron (PB) \overline{Mater} -15 F -6 65 70 66 201 1 2 \overline{mater} 16 * 1 2 \overline{materr} 66 70 66 201 1 2 \overline{materr} 66 70 66 201 1 2 \overline{materr} 7 66 67 200 18 76 7 66 67 68 65 202 18 78 16 1 * \overline{materr} 73 F -6 71 63 203 10 22 55 7 76 14 * \overline{materr} 13 F -6 71 66 66 203 14 72 7 71 11 * \overline{materr} 13 F -5 68 67 204 24 37 7 7					TOTAL	THRU	ROUND	R1	R2	R3	STROKES	PROJ.	STARTING	↑↓
3 $12 \pm (1)$ Matthieu Pavon (PB) 326 km^2 -15 F -6 65 70 66 201 1 $2 = 0$ T4 $16 \pm \frac{6}{6}$ Mark Hubbard (PB) 326 km^2 -14 F -7 69 68 65 202 18 784 ± 1 T4 $+3 \pm \frac{6}{6}$ Thomas Detry (PB) -14 F -3 63 70 69 202 18 784 ± 1 T6 $+24 \pm \frac{6}{6}$ Tom Hoge (PB) -13 F -6 71 66 66 203 12 55 7 T6 $+4 \pm \frac{6}{6}$ Tom Hoge (PB) 326 km^2 -13 F -6 71 66 66 203 14 729 7 T6 $+4 \pm \frac{6}{6}$ Justin Thomas (PB) 326 km^2 71 F -5 68 69 67 204 24 37 77 T1 $+12 \pm \frac{6}{6}$ Justin Rose (PB) 326 km^2 71 F -5 68 71 66 205 37	1	↑22	🗴 🚖 Wyndham Clark (PB)	Titleist	-17	F	-12	72	67	60	199	3	61	↑58
T4 16 $\star \oplus$ Mark Hubbard (PB) $\Im Base$ -14 F -7 69 68 65 202 17 66 1 T4 43 $\star \oplus$ Thomas Detry (PB) -14 F -3 63 70 69 202 18 TB4 11 T6 :28 $\star \oplus$ Jason Day (PB) -13 F -9 69 71 63 203 10 22 13 T6 :14 $\star \oplus$ Jason Day (PB) .13 F -9 69 71 63 203 10 22 13 T6 :14 $\star \oplus$ Jason Day (PB) .33 F -6 71 66 66 203 22 55 9 T6 :15 :4 :0stints Scheffler (PB) .33 F -2 69 64 70 203 5 11 7 T0 :4 :4 :5 :5 :68 69 27 204 24 37 11 12 :4 14 12 11 17 16 </td <td>2</td> <td>↓1</td> <td>★ 🛟 Ludvig Åberg (PB)</td> <td>Titleist</td> <td>-16</td> <td>F</td> <td>-5</td> <td>68</td> <td>65</td> <td>67</td> <td>200</td> <td>6</td> <td>41</td> <td>↑35</td>	2	↓1	★ 🛟 Ludvig Åberg (PB)	Titleist	-16	F	-5	68	65	67	200	6	41	↑35
T4 4.3 $*$ () Thomas Detry (PB) -14 F -3 63 70 69 202 18 T84 1 T6 +28 \bullet Jason Day (PB) -13 F -9 69 71 63 203 10 22 9 T6 +14 \bigstar Tom Hoge (PB) π -13 F -6 71 66 66 203 12 55 9 T6 +14 \bigstar Justin Thomas (PB) π -13 F -4 68 67 68 203 14 T29 9 T6 +5 \bigstar Soutis Scheffler (PB) -13 F -2 68 64 70 203 5 11 9 9 7 10 -7 10 -7 10 10 -7 4 3 7 100 11 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 <	3	↑2	* () Matthieu Pavon (PB)	Titleist	-15	F	-6	65	70	66	201	1		↑1
T6 $128 + 0$ Jason Day (PB) -13 F -9 69 71 63 203 10 22 15 T6 $14 + 0$ $14 + 0$ 13 F -6 71 66 66 203 22 55 9 T6 $11 + 0$ 12 F -6 71 66 66 203 14 $T29$ 9 T6 $41 + 0$ 4 5 sottle Scheffler (PB) $.73a$ F -2 69 64 70 203 5 11 9 T0 $- \times 0$ Sam Burns (PB) -12 F -5 68 69 67 204 24 37 10 T11 $12 + 1$ Justin Rose (PB) $.73a$ F -1 64 70 71 205 21 26 37 110 7 T11 $14 + 2$ Patrick Cantlay (PB) $.711$ F -1 64 70 71 205 21 <	T4	↑6	★ 👙 Mark Hubbard (PB)	Titleist	-14	F	-7	69	68	65	202	17	66	↑49
T6 $+4 + \oplus$ Tom Hoge (PB) $\Im Zabar$ -13 F -6 71 66 66 203 22 55 9 T6 $+1 + \oplus$ Justin Thomas (PB) $\Im Zabar$ -13 F -4 68 67 68 203 14 $T29$ 11 T6 $+5 + \oplus$ Scottie Scheffler (PB) -13 F -2 69 64 70 203 5 11 10 $- + \oplus$ Sam Burns (PB) -12 F -5 68 69 67 204 24 37 11 10 $- + \oplus$ Sam Burns (PB) $\Im Zabar$ -11 F -5 68 71 66 205 11 14 11 $+12 + \pm$ Justin Rose (PB) $\Im Zabar$ -11 F -3 70 66 69 205 11 14 11 $+3 + \oplus$ Eric Cole (PB) $\Im Zabar$ -10 F -3 66 71 69 206 28 42 41 $44 + \oplus$ 5 Coli	T4	43	\star 🌔 Thomas Detry (PB)		-14	F	-3	63	70	69	202	18	T84	↑66
T6 41 \oplus Justin Thomas (PB) \mathcal{R}_{Black} -13 F -4 68 67 68 203 14 T29 1 T6 4.5 \oplus Soctile Scheffler (PB) -13 F -2 69 64 70 203 5 11 9 10 - \star \oplus Sam Burns (PB) -12 F -5 68 69 67 204 24 37 9 10 - \star \oplus Sam Burns (PB) -12 F -5 68 69 67 204 24 37 9 11 12 \star + Justin Rose (PB) \mathcal{Z}_{Black} -11 F -6 68 71 66 205 11 14 9 111 \star \star Harck Cantlay (PB) \mathcal{Z}_{Black} -10 F -3 66 71 69 206 28 42 14 11 \star \star Si Woo Kim (PB) \mathcal{Z}_{Black} -10 F -3 69 68 69 206 51 - 114 \star	Т6	↑28	★ 🥥 Jason Day (PB)		-13	F	-9	69	71	63	203	10	22	↑12
T6 4.5 \bigstar Sociel Scheffler (PB) -13 F -2 69 64 70 203 5 11 9 10 - \star \circledast Sam Burns (PB) -12 F -5 68 69 67 204 24 37 9 111 +12 \star + Justin Rose (PB) $226\omega c$ -11 F -6 68 71 66 205 37 T101 9 111 +12 \star + Justin Rose (PB) $226\omega c$ -11 F -3 70 66 69 205 11 14 4 111 +7 \bigstar Reagan Bradley (PB) $226\omega c$ -11 F -1 64 70 71 205 21 26 9 114 +7 \bigstar Patrick Cantlay (PB) $226\omega c$ -10 F -3 66 71 69 206 28 42 9 114 +4 \star Si Woo Kim (PB) $226\omega c$ -10 F -3 69 68 69 206 51 -	Т6	↑4	★ 👙 Tom Hoge (PB)	Titleist	-13	F	-6	71	66	66	203	22	55	133
10 - $\star \notin$ Sam Burns (PB) -12 F -5 68 69 67 204 24 37 1 11 112 \star Justin Rose (PB) $\chi Z d \omega c$ -11 F -6 68 71 66 205 37 T101 4 111 +3 $\star \notin$ Keegan Bradley (PB) -11 F -3 70 66 69 205 11 14 4 111 +7 $\star \notin$ Patrick Cantlay (PB) $\chi Z d \omega c$ -11 F -1 64 70 71 205 21 26 4 114 +9 $\star \notin$ Eric Cole (PB) $\chi Z d \omega c$ -10 F -5 68 71 67 206 20 19 4 114 +4 $\star &$ SiWoo Kim (PB) -10 F -3 69 68 69 206 51 - 114 +4 $\star \oplus$ Peter Malnati (PB) $\chi Z d \omega c$ -10 F -3 67 70 206 32 44 4 114 +6	Т6	↓1	★ 👙 Justin Thomas (PB)	Titleist	-13	F	-4	68	67	68	203	14	T29	↑15
T11 +12 $+$ Justin Rose (PB) $322kar$ -11 F -6 68 71 66 205 37 T101 $+$ T11 +3 \pm Keegan Bradley (PB) -11 F -3 70 66 69 205 11 14 4 T11 +7 \pm Patrick Cantlay (PB) $322kar$ -11 F -1 64 70 71 205 21 26 26 T14 +9 \pm Patrick Cantlay (PB) $322kar$ -10 F -5 68 71 67 206 20 19 7 T14 +4 \pm SiWoo Kim (PB) -10 F -3 66 71 69 206 28 42 4 T14 +4 \pm Peter Mainati (PB) $322kar$ -10 F -3 69 68 69 206 51 - T14 +6 \pm Beau Hossier (PB) $322kar$ -10 F -2 69 67 70 206 32 44 4 <tr< td=""><td>Т6</td><td>↓5</td><td>* 👙 Scottie Scheffler (PB)</td><td></td><td>-13</td><td>F</td><td>-2</td><td>69</td><td>64</td><td>70</td><td>203</td><td>5</td><td>11</td><td>↑6</td></tr<>	Т6	↓5	* 👙 Scottie Scheffler (PB)		-13	F	-2	69	64	70	203	5	11	↑6
T11 $+3 \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$	10	-	★ 👙 Sam Burns (PB)		-12	F	-5	68	69	67	204	24	37	↑13
T11 $+7 \ \star \notin$ Patrick Cantlay (PB) \Im the equation of the equa	T11	↑12	★ 🕂 Justin Rose (PB)	Titleist	-11	F	-6	68	71	66	205	37	T101	↑64
T14 $19 \div 4$ $Eric Cole (PB)$ $\Im Zebae$ -10 F -5 68 71 67 206 20 19 T14 $+4 \div 8$ Si Woo Kim (PB) -10 F -3 66 71 69 206 28 42 10 T14 $+4 \div 8$ Peter Malnati (PB) $\Im Zebae$ -10 F -3 69 68 69 206 51 -10 T14 $+4 \div 8$ Collin Morikawa (PB) -10 F -3 67 70 69 206 16 15 T14 $+4 \div 8$ Collin Morikawa (PB) -10 F -2 69 67 70 206 32 44 41 T14 $+6 \div 8$ Beau Hossler (PB) $\Im Zebae$ -9 F -1 66 69 71 206 26 31 92 T14 $+9 \div 8$ Adam Scott (PB) $\Im Zebae$ -9 F -4 72 67 68 207	T11	↓3	★ 👙 Keegan Bradley (PB)		-11	F	-3	70	66	69	205	11	14	↑3
T14 +4 * * * Si Woo Kim (PB) -10 F -3 66 71 69 206 28 42 1 T14 +4 * © Peter Mainati (PB) 700 F -3 69 68 69 206 51 - T14 +4 * © Collin Morikawa (PB) -10 F -3 67 70 69 206 51 - T14 +4 * © Collin Morikawa (PB) -10 F -3 67 70 69 206 16 15 - T14 +6 * © Beau Hossier (PB) 700 F -2 69 67 70 206 32 44 1 T14 +9 * © Emiliano Grillo (PB) -10 F -1 66 69 71 206 26 31 - T20 +3 * © Adam Scott (PB) 700 F -4 69 70 68 207 13 T9 70 67 68 207 34 36 - 720 13 49 <td>T11</td> <td>↓7</td> <td>* 👙 Patrick Cantlay (PB)</td> <td>Titleist</td> <td>-11</td> <td>F</td> <td>-1</td> <td>64</td> <td>70</td> <td>71</td> <td>205</td> <td>21</td> <td>26</td> <td>↑5</td>	T11	↓ 7	* 👙 Patrick Cantlay (PB)	Titleist	-11	F	-1	64	70	71	205	21	26	↑5
T14 $44 \pm 4 \pm 100$ F -3 69 68 69 206 51 - T14 $44 \pm 4 \pm 100$ Morikawa (PB) -10 F -3 67 70 69 206 16 15 - T14 $44 \pm 4 \pm 100$ Morikawa (PB) -10 F -3 67 70 69 206 16 15 - T14 $46 \pm 4 \pm 100$ Morikawa (PB) 700 ± 100 F -3 67 70 69 206 16 15 - T14 $46 \pm 4 \pm 100$ Morikawa (PB) 700 ± 100 F -2 69 67 70 206 32 44 4 T14 $49 \pm 3 \pm 100$ 700 ± 100 F -10 F -2 69 67 70 206 32 44 44 49 320 ± 100 320 ± 100 700 ± 10	T14	↑ 9	* 🚔 Eric Cole (PB)	Titleist	-10	F	-5	68	71	67	206	20	19	+1
T14 +4 \bigstar Collin Morikawa (PB) -10 F -3 67 70 69 206 16 15 T14 +6 \bigstar Beau Hossler (PB) $\Im a$ -10 F -2 69 67 70 206 32 44 4 T14 +6 \bigstar Beau Hossler (PB) $\Im a$ -10 F -2 69 67 70 206 32 44 4 T14 +9 \bigstar Emiliano Grillo (PB) -10 F -1 66 69 71 206 26 31 4 T20 $\uparrow 3$ \bigstar Adam Scott (PB) $\Im a$ -9 F -4 72 67 68 207 63 - T20 $\uparrow 3$ \bigstar Adam Scott (PB) $\Im a$ $= 0$ F -4 69 70 68 207 13 T9 $= 0$ $= 0$ F -4 69 70 68 207 34 36 $= 0$ T20 $\uparrow 3$ <t< td=""><td>T14</td><td>↓4</td><td>★ 🛞 Si Woo Kim (PB)</td><td></td><td>-10</td><td>F</td><td>-3</td><td>66</td><td>71</td><td>69</td><td>206</td><td>28</td><td>42</td><td>↑14</td></t<>	T14	↓4	★ 🛞 Si Woo Kim (PB)		-10	F	-3	66	71	69	206	28	42	↑14
T14 46×4 Beau Hossler (PB) $5526uuc$ -10 F -2 69 67 70 206 32 44 114 19×2 Emiliano Grillo (PB) -10 F -1 66 69 71 206 26 31 31 T20 13×4 Adam Scott (PB) $5526uuc$ -9 F -4 72 67 68 207 63 -10 T20 13×4 Adam Scott (PB) $5526uuc$ -9 F -4 69 70 68 207 63 -10 T20 13×4 Christiaan Bezuidenho (PB) $5526uuc$ -9 F -4 69 70 68 207 13 $T9$ 500 450 550 450 450 550 450 450 550 450 450 550 450 550 450 550 450 550 450 550 450 550 450 550 550 550 <	T14	↓4	* 🁙 Peter Malnati (PB)	Titleist	-10	F	-3	69	68	69	206	51	-	-
T14 49×3 Emiliano Grillo (PB) -10 F -1 66 69 71 206 26 31 9 T20 13×6 Adam Scott (PB) $702644e^{2}$ -9 F -4 72 67 68 207 63 - T20 13×6 Christiaan Bezuidenho (PB) $702644e^{2}$ -9 F -4 69 70 68 207 13 T9 90 6 T20 13×6 Christiaan Bezuidenho (PB) $702644e^{2}$ -9 F -4 69 70 68 207 13 T9 90 6 T20 13×6 Erik van Rooyen (PB) -9 F -4 69 70 68 207 34 36 9 T20 13×6 Cam Davis (PB) $70264e^{2}$ -9 F -4 70 69 68 207 49 98 9 T20 13×6 Cam Davis (PB) $70264e^{2}$ -9 F -2 70 67 70 <td< td=""><td>T14</td><td>↓4</td><td>* 👙 Collin Morikawa (PB)</td><td></td><td>-10</td><td>F</td><td>-3</td><td>67</td><td>70</td><td>69</td><td>206</td><td>16</td><td>15</td><td>41</td></td<>	T14	↓4	* 👙 Collin Morikawa (PB)		-10	F	-3	67	70	69	206	16	15	41
T20 $13 \\ * \\ \oplus \\$ Adam Scott (PB) $\Im U U U C$ -9 F -4 72 67 68 207 63 -63 T20 $13 \\ * \\ \oplus $ Christiaan Bezuidenho (PB) $\Im U U U C$ -9 F -4 69 70 68 207 13 $T9 \\ = 0$ 67 T20 $13 \\ * \\ \oplus $ Erik van Rooyen (PB) -9 F -4 69 70 68 207 34 36 67 T20 $13 \\ * \\ \oplus $ Cam Davis (PB) $\Im U U U C$ -9 F -4 69 70 68 207 34 36 67 T20 $13 \\ * \\ \oplus $ Cam Davis (PB) $\Im U U U C$ -9 F -4 70 69 68 207 49 98 10 T20 $+10 \\ * \\ \oplus $ Sahith Theegala (PB) $\Im U U U C$ -9 F -2 70 67 70 207 9 6 $=0$ 30 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	T14	46	* 👙 Beau Hossler (PB)	Titleist	-10	F	-2	69	67	70	206	32	44	↑12
T20 13 \bigstar Christiaan Bezuidenho (PB) $\Im \mathcal{U} \mathcal{U} \mathcal{U} \mathcal{U}$ -9 F -4 69 70 68 207 13 T9 $\Im \mathcal{U}$ T20 13 \star \Leftrightarrow Erik van Rooyen (PB) -9 F -4 69 70 68 207 34 36 36 T20 13 \star \Leftrightarrow Cam Davis (PB) $\Im \mathcal{U} \mathcal{U} \mathcal{U}$ -9 F -4 69 70 68 207 34 36 36 T20 t^3 \star \circlearrowright Cam Davis (PB) $\Im \mathcal{U} \mathcal{U} \mathcal{U}$ -9 F -4 70 69 68 207 49 98 4 T20 t^{10} \star \Leftrightarrow Sahith Theegala (PB) $\Im \mathcal{U} \mathcal{U} \mathcal{U}$ -9 F -2 70 67 70 207 9 6 $\Im \mathcal{U}$	T14	†9	* 😳 Emiliano Grillo (PB)		-10	F	-1	66	69	71	206	26	31	↑5
T20 $\uparrow 3 \ \star \bigoplus$ Erik van Rooyen (PB) -9 F -4 69 70 68 207 34 36 70 T20 $\uparrow 3 \ \star \bigoplus$ Cam Davis (PB) $\overline{\mathcal{II20ise}}$ -9 F -4 70 69 68 207 49 98 \uparrow T20 $\uparrow 3 \ \star \bigoplus$ Cam Davis (PB) $\overline{\mathcal{II20ise}}$ -9 F -4 70 69 68 207 49 98 \uparrow T20 $\downarrow 10 \ \star \oiint$ Sahith Theegala (PB) $\overline{\mathcal{II20ise}}$ -9 F -2 70 67 70 207 9 6 $\overline{\mathbb{II00}}$ $\overline{\mathbb{II000}}$	T20	† 3	* 🌖 Adam Scott (PB)	Titleist	-9	F	-4	72	67	68	207	63	-	-
T20 ↑3 ★ @ Cam Davis (PB) 700 69 F -4 70 69 68 207 49 98 ↑ T20 ↓10 ★ Sahith Theegala (PB) 700 69 F -2 70 67 70 207 9 6 10 4	T20	↑3	★ ಿ Christiaan Bezuidenho (PB)	Titleist	-9	F	-4	69	70	68	207	13		↓4
T20 ↓10 ★ ∯ Sahith Theegala (PB) 7768466 -9 F -2 70 67 70 207 9 6 100 0	T20	↑3	★ 🚔 Erik van Rooyen (PB)		-9	F	-4	69	70	68	207	34	36	↑2
	T20	↑3	★ 🌒 Cam Davis (PB)	Titleist	-9	F	-4	70	69	68	207	49	98	↑49
T20 ↓2 ★ ∯ J.T. Poston (PB) 722844± -9 F -3 70 68 69 207 8 5 ₩0	T20	↓10	★ 👙 Sahith Theegala (PB)	Titleist	-9	F	-2	70	67	70	207	9		+3
	T20	+2	* 👙 J.T. Poston (PB)	Titleist	-9	F	-3	70	68	69	207	8		+3

43. All of Bavas's Bets 1-5 were therefore winners.

V. DraftKings Voids Bavas's Bets 1-5.

44. On February 5, 2024, DraftKings unilaterally voided Bets 1, 2, 3, 4, and

5 and refunded the amounts wagered.

45. DraftKings cited its "Tournament Futures Winner" rule from its

"Specific Market Rules" of its Golf Rules as its reason for voiding the bets. As of

February 4, 2024, the rule was as follows:

Tournament Futures Winner - All futures bets are settled on the player/team winning the trophy. The result of playoffs is taken into account. All bets stand except for those placed on participants not competing in the first round. In the event that there is a change to or decrease in the booked number of rounds or openings played in the competition, wagers set on this market will stand if 36 holes of the tournament have been completed. If less than 36 holes have been completed, or futures bets were placed after the last shot of the previous completed round, bets will be void. [Golf Rules]

46. However, there is no mention in the "Tournament Futures Winner" rule

of multiple players or multiple finishing positions in the same tournament, as in

Bavas's Parlay bets. The rule speaks only of "Tournament Futures Winner" (i.e.

"Winner" singular) and the "player/team winning the trophy."

47. Indeed, there is no indication in any the DraftKings rules that "Tournament Futures *Winner*" refers to golf tournament Parlay bets, such as those placed by Bavas, on *multiple players* finishing in a particular order in the same tournament, *in addition to the tournament winner*.

Case 4:25-cv-00185-SHL-SBJ Document 1-1 Filed 05/23/25 Page 15 of 39 E-FILED 2025 APR 18 3:05 PM POLK - CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT

48. Had Bavas wanted to place a bet on only the single individual "player" who would "win the trophy" and become the "Tournament Winner," he would have done so.

49. Instead, DraftKings has separate rules, including those also in the "Specific Market Rules" of its Golf Rules, that apply to Parlays, including, but not limited to:

Top Specified Finish - A Player to finish within the Top 4, Top 5, Top 6, Top 10 or Top 20 of a specific tournament. Dead Heat rules apply. Bets stand once the player has teed off the first hole. [Golf Rules].

50. Further, the Parlay rule itself provides:

[i]n the event a Parlay contains a selection which is settled as void or push, then the individual selection will be excluded from the Parlay, and the odds of the Parlay will be recalculated to reflect the remaining selections in the Parlay. [Market Rules]

51. The DraftKings rules for "Same Game Parlay" were also seemingly

applicable to Bets 1-5 and the cancellation of the final round of the Tournament:

A "Same Game Parlay" is a single bet combining multiple selections from the same event and is dependent on all of those selections winning, with the exception of some selections which are settled as void or push. Further details for the settlement rules for Same Game Parlays are set forth in the Sport Rules for the relevant sport. [Market Rules]

52. Whether considered separately or combined, the meaning of these rules

is that, even if DraftKings could "void" the portions of Bets 1-4 that selected the "Tournament Futures Winner" "player" as Windham Clark, that "individual selection [should have been] excluded from the Parlay, and the odds of the Parlay

Case 4:25-cv-00185-SHL-SBJ Document 1-1 Filed 05/23/25 Page 16 of 39 E-FILED 2025 APR 18 3:05 PM POLK - CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT

[should have been] recalculated to reflect the remaining selections in the Parlay."

53. Significantly, Bet #5 did not even include Tournament winner Wyndham Clark as one of Bavas's chosen top 20 finishers, so the "Tournament Futures Winner" rule had no plausible application to that specific bet.

54. On February 20, 2024, DraftKings indicated that is decision to void Bets 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 was final.

CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

COUNT I

(Breach of Contract on Bet #1)

55. Bavas repeats and realleges each and every allegation set forth above, as if fully set forth herein.

56. Bet #1 was a valid and enforceable written contract between Bavas and Defendants for which Bavas gave good and valuable consideration.

57. The terms and conditions of the Bet #1 Contract were the Terms; the General Rules; the Market Rules; and the Golf Rules posted on the DraftKings website and accessible through the DraftKings mobile application on February 3-4, 2024.

58. Defendants were the drafters of the terms and conditions of the Bet #1 Contract, and the contract was adhesive as to Bavas.

59. Bavas fully performed under the Bet #1 Contract by depositing

Case 4:25-cv-00185-SHL-SBJ Document 1-1 Filed 05/23/25 Page 17 of 39 E-FILED 2025 APR 18 3:05 PM POLK - CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT

sufficient funds into his DraftKings account and placing a valid bet that was accepted by Defendants.

60. The Defendants, without legal excuse, breached the Bet #1 Contract by failing "to award the winnings/prizes" when the contracted for conditions occurred.

61. Bavas has suffered damages as a result of Defendants' breach in an amount to be determined at trial plus prejudgment interest.

COUNT II

(Breach of the Implied Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing on Bet #1)

62. Bavas repeats and realleges each and every allegation set forth above, as if fully set forth herein.

63. Iowa law recognizes an implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing in all contracts.

64. The implied covenant prevents one party from using technical compliance with a contract as a shield from liability when that party is acting for a purpose contrary to that for which the contract was made.

65. Defendants were the drafter of the terms and conditions of the Bet #1 Contract, and the contract was adhesive as to Bavas.

66. Here, Defendants breached the implied covenant by using rules that were not applicable to the Bet #1 Contract, rather than other, more applicable rules, in an attempt to avoid their obligation under the Bet #1 Contract "to award the

Case 4:25-cv-00185-SHL-SBJ Document 1-1 Filed 05/23/25 Page 18 of 39 E-FILED 2025 APR 18 3:05 PM POLK - CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT

winnings/prizes."

67. Bavas has suffered damages as a result of Defendants' breach of the implied covenant in an amount to be determined at trial plus prejudgment interest.

COUNT III

(Action under I.C.A. § 714H.5 for Violation of I.C.A. § 714H.3 on Bet #1)

68. Bavas repeats and realleges each and every allegation set forth above, as if fully set forth herein.

69. Bavas is a "consumer" within the meaning of I.C.A. § 714H.2(3) and an online sports bet is "merchandise" within the meaning of I.C.A. § 714.16(e), made applicable to this proceeding by I.C.A. § 714H.2(6).

70. Defendants have engaged in prohibited practices and acts under I.C.A. § 714H.3 including acts and practices that were likely to mislead Bavas as to the material facts with regard to Bet #1 by failing to honor a bet accepted by Defendants according to the represented terms on the DraftKings website and accessible from the DraftKings mobile application.

71. Defendants advertised sports betting throughout Iowa by television, radio, digital advertising and print.

72. Defendants engaged in unfair practices and disseminated false, misleading and deceptive information in one or more of the following ways:

a. Representing to consumers that winning bets accepted by

Case 4:25-cv-00185-SHL-SBJ Document 1-1 Filed 05/23/25 Page 19 of 39 E-FILED 2025 APR 18 3:05 PM POLK - CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT

Defendants would be honored, and Defendants would "award the winnings/prizes";

- b. Concealing or failing to disclose that Defendants would attempt to avoid paying winning bets;
- c. Engaging in the unfair practice of promising to pay winning bets when Defendants knew, or should have known, that they would not honor that promise;
- d. Asserting Defendants would conduct themselves in accordance with the terms, conditions and rules on the DraftKings website and accessible via the DraftKings mobile application when they would not;
- e. Otherwise failing to honor their promises and representations that they would pay valid, winning bets, regardless of subsequent events.

73. Bavas has suffered an ascertainable loss of money or property as a result of Defendants' prohibited practices and acts in violation of I.C.A. § 714H with regard to Bet #1.

74. Bavas is entitled to recover actual damages as a result of Defendants' violation of I.C.A. § 714H with regard to Bet #1, as provided in I.C.A. § 714H.5(1).

75. Bavas is entitled to reasonable attorneys' fees as a result of Defendants'

Case 4:25-cv-00185-SHL-SBJ Document 1-1 Filed 05/23/25 Page 20 of 39 E-FILED 2025 APR 18 3:05 PM POLK - CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT

violation of I.C.A. § 714H with regard to Bet #1, as provided in I.C.A. § 714H.5(2).

76. Defendants' violation of I.C.A. § 714H with regard to Bet #1 was in willful and wanton disregard for the rights of Bavas, entitling Bavas to statutory damages of up to three times the amount of actual damages, as provided in I.C.A. § 714H.5(4).

77. Pursuant to I.C.A. § 714H.6, Bavas is providing a copy of this Petition to the Iowa Attorney General.

COUNT IV

(Breach of Contract on Bet #2)

78. Bavas repeats and realleges each and every allegation set forth above, as if fully set forth herein.

79. Bet #2 was a valid and enforceable written contract between Bavas and Defendants for which Bavas gave good and valuable consideration.

80. The terms and conditions of the Bet #2 Contract were the Terms; the General Rules; the Market Rules; and the Golf Rules posted on the DraftKings website and accessible through the DraftKings mobile application on February 3-4, 2024.

81. Defendants were the drafters of the terms and conditions of the Bet #2Contract, and the contract was adhesive as to Bavas.

82. Bavas fully performed under the Bet #2 Contract by depositing

Case 4:25-cv-00185-SHL-SBJ Document 1-1 Filed 05/23/25 Page 21 of 39 E-FILED 2025 APR 18 3:05 PM POLK - CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT

sufficient funds into his DraftKings account and placing a valid bet that was accepted by Defendants.

83. The Defendants, without legal excuse, breached the Bet #2 Contract by failing "to award the winnings/prizes" when the contracted for conditions occurred.

84. Bavas has suffered damages as a result of Defendants' breach in an amount to be determined at trial plus prejudgment interest.

COUNT V

(Breach of the Implied Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing on Bet #2)

85. Bavas repeats and realleges each and every allegation set forth above, as if fully set forth herein.

86. Iowa law recognizes an implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing in all contracts.

87. The implied covenant prevents one party from using technical compliance with a contract as a shield from liability when that party is acting for a purpose contrary to that for which the contract was made.

88. Defendants were the drafters of the terms and conditions of the Bet #2Contract, and the contract was adhesive as to Bavas.

89. Here, Defendants breached the implied covenant by using rules that were not applicable to the Bet #2 Contract, rather than other, more applicable rules, in an attempt to avoid their obligation under the Bet #2 Contract "to award the

Case 4:25-cv-00185-SHL-SBJ Document 1-1 Filed 05/23/25 Page 22 of 39 E-FILED 2025 APR 18 3:05 PM POLK - CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT

winnings/prizes."

90. Bavas has suffered damages as a result of Defendants' breach of the implied covenant in an amount to be determined at trial plus prejudgment interest.

COUNT VI

(Action Under I.C.A. § 714H.5 for Violation of I.C.A. § 714H.3 on Bet #2)

91. Bavas repeats and realleges each and every allegation set forth above, as if fully set forth herein.

92. Bavas is a "consumer" within the meaning of I.C.A. § 714H.2(3) and an online sports bet is "merchandise" within the meaning of I.C.A. § 714.16(e), made applicable to this proceeding by I.C.A. § 714H.2(6).

93. Defendants have engaged in prohibited practices and acts under I.C.A. § 714H.3 including acts and practices that were likely to mislead Bavas as to the material facts with regard to Bet #2 by failing to honor a bet accepted by DraftKings according to the represented terms on the DraftKings website and accessible with the DraftKings mobile application.

94. Defendants advertised sports betting throughout Iowa by television, radio, digital advertising and print.

95. Defendants engaged in unfair practices and disseminated false, misleading and deceptive information in one or more of the following ways:

a. Representing to consumers that winning bets accepted by

Case 4:25-cv-00185-SHL-SBJ Document 1-1 Filed 05/23/25 Page 23 of 39 E-FILED 2025 APR 18 3:05 PM POLK - CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT

Defendants would be honored, and Defendants would "award the winnings/prizes";

- b. Concealing or failing to disclose that Defendants would attempt to avoid paying winning bets;
- c. Engaging in the unfair practice of promising to pay winning bets when Defendants knew, or should have known, that they would not honor that promise;
- d. Asserting Defendants would conduct themselves in accordance with the terms, conditions and rules on the DraftKings website and accessible via the DraftKings mobile application when they would not;
- e. Otherwise failing to honor their promises and representations that they would pay valid, winning bets, regardless of subsequent events.

96. Bavas has suffered an ascertainable loss of money or property as a result of Defendants' prohibited practices and acts in violation of I.C.A. § 714H with regard to Bet #2.

97. Bavas is entitled to recover actual damages as a result of Defendants' violation of I.C.A. § 714H with regard to Bet #2, as provided in I.C.A. § 714H.5(1).

98. Bavas is entitled to reasonable attorneys' fees as a result of Defendants'

Case 4:25-cv-00185-SHL-SBJ Document 1-1 Filed 05/23/25 Page 24 of 39 E-FILED 2025 APR 18 3:05 PM POLK - CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT

violation of I.C.A. § 714H with regard to Bet #2, as provided in I.C.A. § 714H.5(2).

99. Defendants' violation of I.C.A. § 714H with regard to Bet #2 was in willful and wanton disregard for the rights of Bavas, entitling Bavas to statutory damages of up to three times the amount of actual damages, as provided in I.C.A. § 714H.5(4).

100. Pursuant to I.C.A. § 714H.6, Bavas is providing a copy of this Petition to the Iowa Attorney General.

COUNT VII

(Breach of Contract on Bet #3)

101. Bavas repeats and realleges each and every allegation set forth above, as if fully set forth herein.

102. Bet #3 was a valid and enforceable written contract between Bavas and Defendants for which Bavas gave good and valuable consideration.

103. The terms and conditions of the Bet #3 Contract were the Terms; the General Rules; the Market Rules; and the Golf Rules posted on the DraftKings website and accessible through the DraftKings mobile application on February 3-4, 2024.

104. Defendants were the drafters of the terms and conditions of the Bet #3 Contract, and the contract was adhesive as to Bavas.

105. Bavas fully performed under the Bet #3 Contract by depositing

Case 4:25-cv-00185-SHL-SBJ Document 1-1 Filed 05/23/25 Page 25 of 39 E-FILED 2025 APR 18 3:05 PM POLK - CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT

sufficient funds into his DraftKings account and placing a valid bet that was accepted by Defendants.

106. The Defendants, without legal excuse, breached the Bet #3 Contract by failing "to award the winnings/prizes" when the contracted for conditions occurred.

107. Bavas has suffered damages as a result of Defendants' breach in an amount to be determined at trial plus prejudgment interest.

COUNT VIII

(Breach of the Implied Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing on Bet #3)

108. Bavas repeats and realleges each and every allegation set forth above, as if fully set forth herein.

109. Iowa law recognizes an implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing in all contracts.

110. The implied covenant prevents one party from using technical compliance with a contract as a shield from liability when that party is acting for a purpose contrary to that for which the contract was made.

111. Defendants were the drafters of the terms and conditions of the Bet #3Contract, and the contract was adhesive as to Bavas.

112. Here, Defendants breached the implied covenant by using rules that were not applicable to the Bet #3 Contract, rather than other, more applicable rules, in an attempt to avoid their obligation under the Bet #3 Contract "to award the

Case 4:25-cv-00185-SHL-SBJ Document 1-1 Filed 05/23/25 Page 26 of 39 E-FILED 2025 APR 18 3:05 PM POLK - CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT

winnings/prizes."

113. Bavas has suffered damages as a result of Defendants' breach of the implied covenant in an amount to be determined at trial plus prejudgment interest.

COUNT IX

(Action Under I.C.A. § 714H.5 for Violation of I.C.A. § 714H.3 on Bet #3)

114. Bavas repeats and realleges each and every allegation set forth above, as if fully set forth herein.

115. Bavas is a "consumer" within the meaning of I.C.A. § 714H.2(3) and an online sports bet is "merchandise" within the meaning of I.C.A. § 714.16(e), made applicable to this proceeding by I.C.A. § 714H.2(6).

116. Defendants have engaged in prohibited practices and acts under I.C.A. § 714H.3 including acts and practices that were likely to mislead Bavas as to the material facts with regard to Bet #3 by failing to honor a bet accepted by Defendants according to the represented terms on the DraftKings website and accessible with the DraftKings mobile application.

117. Defendants advertised sports betting throughout Iowa by television, radio, digital advertising and print.

118. Defendants engaged in unfair practices and disseminated false, misleading and deceptive information in one or more of the following ways:

a. Representing to consumers that winning bets accepted by

Case 4:25-cv-00185-SHL-SBJ Document 1-1 Filed 05/23/25 Page 27 of 39 E-FILED 2025 APR 18 3:05 PM POLK - CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT

Defendants would be honored, and Defendants would "award the winnings/prizes";

- b. Concealing or failing to disclose that Defendants would attempt to avoid paying winning bets;
- c. Engaging in the unfair practice of promising to pay winning bets when Defendants knew, or should have known, that they would not honor that promise;
- d. Asserting Defendants would conduct themselves in accordance with the terms, conditions and rules on the DraftKings website and accessible via the DraftKings mobile application when they would not;
- e. Otherwise failing to honor their promises and representations that they would pay valid, winning bets, regardless of subsequent events.

119. Bavas has suffered an ascertainable loss of money or property as a result of Defendants' prohibited practices and acts in violation of I.C.A. § 714H with regard to Bet #3.

120. Bavas is entitled to recover actual damages as a result of Defendants' violation of I.C.A. § 714H with regard to Bet #3, as provided in I.C.A. § 714H.5(1).

121. Bavas is entitled to reasonable attorneys' fees as a result of Defendants'

violation of I.C.A. § 714H with regard to Bet #3, as provided in I.C.A. § 714H.5(2).

122. Defendants' violation of I.C.A. § 714H with regard to Bet #3 was in willful and wanton disregard for the rights of Bavas, entitling Bavas to statutory damages of up to three times the amount of actual damages, as provided in I.C.A. § 714H.5(4).

123. Pursuant to I.C.A. § 714H.6, Bavas is providing a copy of this Petition to the Iowa Attorney General.

COUNT X

(Breach of Contract on Bet #4)

124. Bavas repeats and realleges each and every allegation set forth above, as if fully set forth herein.

125. Bet #4 was a valid and enforceable written contract between Bavas and Defendants for which Bavas gave good and valuable consideration.

126. The terms and conditions of the Bet #4 Contract were the Terms; the General Rules; the Market Rules; and the Golf Rules posted on the DraftKings website and accessible through the DraftKings mobile application on February 3-4, 2024.

127. Defendants were the drafters of the terms and conditions of the Bet #4 Contract, and the contract was adhesive as to Bavas.

128. Bavas fully performed under the Bet #4 Contract by depositing

Case 4:25-cv-00185-SHL-SBJ Document 1-1 Filed 05/23/25 Page 29 of 39 E-FILED 2025 APR 18 3:05 PM POLK - CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT

sufficient funds into his DraftKings account and placing a valid bet that was accepted by Defendants.

129. The Defendants, without legal excuse, breached the Bet #4 Contract by failing "to award the winnings/prizes" when the contracted for conditions occurred.

130. Bavas has suffered damages as a result of Defendants' breach in an amount to be determined at trial plus prejudgment interest.

COUNT XI

(Breach of the Implied Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing on Bet #4)

131. Bavas repeats and realleges each and every allegation set forth above, as if fully set forth herein.

132. Iowa law recognizes an implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing in all contracts.

133. The implied covenant prevents one party from using technical compliance with a contract as a shield from liability when that party is acting for a purpose contrary to that for which the contract was made.

134. Defendants were the drafters of the terms and conditions of the Bet #4 Contract, and the contract was adhesive as to Bavas.

135. Here, Defendants breached the implied covenant by using rules that were not applicable to the Bet #4 Contract, rather than other, more applicable rules, in an attempt to avoid their obligation under the Bet #4 Contract "to award the

Case 4:25-cv-00185-SHL-SBJ Document 1-1 Filed 05/23/25 Page 30 of 39 E-FILED 2025 APR 18 3:05 PM POLK - CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT

winnings/prizes."

136. Bavas has suffered damages as a result of Defendants' breach of the implied covenant in an amount to be determined at trial plus prejudgment interest.

COUNT XII

(Action Under I.C.A. § 714H.5 for Violation of I.C.A. § 714H.3 on Bet #4)

137. Bavas repeats and realleges each and every allegation set forth above, as if fully set forth herein.

138. Bavas is a "consumer" within the meaning of I.C.A. § 714H.2(3) and an online sports bet is "merchandise" within the meaning of I.C.A. § 714.16(e), made applicable to this proceeding by I.C.A. § 714H.2(6).

139. Defendants have engaged in prohibited practices and acts under I.C.A. § 714H.3 including acts and practices that were likely to mislead Bavas as to the material facts with regard to Bet #4 by failing to honor a bet accepted by DraftKings according to the represented terms on the DraftKings website and accessible with the DraftKings mobile application.

140. Defendants advertised sports betting throughout Iowa by television, radio, digital advertising and print.

141. Defendants engaged in unfair practices and disseminated false, misleading and deceptive information in one or more of the following ways:

a. Representing to consumers that winning bets accepted by

Case 4:25-cv-00185-SHL-SBJ Document 1-1 Filed 05/23/25 Page 31 of 39 E-FILED 2025 APR 18 3:05 PM POLK - CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT

Defendants would be honored, and Defendants would "award the winnings/prizes";

- b. Concealing or failing to disclose that Defendants would attempt to avoid paying winning bets;
- c. Engaging in the unfair practice of promising to pay winning bets when Defendants knew, or should have known, that they would not honor that promise;
- d. Asserting Defendants would conduct themselves in accordance with the terms, conditions and rules on the DraftKings website and accessible via the DraftKings mobile application when they would not;
- e. Otherwise failing to honor their promises and representations that they would pay valid, winning bets, regardless of subsequent events.

142. Bavas has suffered an ascertainable loss of money or property as a result of Defendants' prohibited practices and acts in violation of I.C.A. § 714H with regard to Bet #4.

143. Bavas is entitled to recover actual damages as a result of Defendants' violation of I.C.A. § 714H with regard to Bet #4, as provided in I.C.A. § 714H.5(1).

144. Bavas is entitled to reasonable attorneys' fees as a result of Defendants'

violation of I.C.A. § 714H with regard to Bet #4, as provided in I.C.A. § 714H.5(2).

145. Defendants' violation of I.C.A. § 714H with regard to Bet #4 was in willful and wanton disregard for the rights of Bavas, entitling Bavas to statutory damages of up to three times the amount of actual damages, as provided in I.C.A. § 714H.5(4).

146. Pursuant to I.C.A. § 714H.6, Bavas is providing a copy of this Petition to the Iowa Attorney General.

COUNT XIII

(Breach of Contract on Bet #5)

147. Bavas repeats and realleges each and every allegation set forth above, as if fully set forth herein.

148. Bet #5 was a valid and enforceable written contract between Bavas and Defendants for which Bavas gave good and valuable consideration.

149. The terms and conditions of the Bet #5 Contract were the Terms; the General Rules; the Market Rules; and the Golf Rules posted on the DraftKings website and accessible through the DraftKings mobile application on February 3-4, 2024.

150. Defendants were the drafters of the terms and conditions of the Bet #5 Contract, and the contract was adhesive as to Bavas.

151. Bavas fully performed under the Bet #5 Contract by depositing

Case 4:25-cv-00185-SHL-SBJ Document 1-1 Filed 05/23/25 Page 33 of 39 E-FILED 2025 APR 18 3:05 PM POLK - CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT

sufficient funds into his DraftKings account and placing a valid bet that was accepted by Defendants.

152. The Defendants, without legal excuse, breached the Bet #5 Contract by failing "to award the winnings/prizes" when the contracted for conditions occurred.

153. Bavas has suffered damages as a result of Defendants' breach in the amount of \$250,067.99 plus prejudgment interest.

COUNT XIV

(Breach of the Implied Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing on Bet #5)

154. Bavas repeats and realleges each and every allegation set forth above, as if fully set forth herein.

155. Iowa law recognizes an implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing in all contracts.

156. The implied covenant prevents one party from using technical compliance with a contract as a shield from liability when that party is acting for a purpose contrary to that for which the contract was made.

157. Defendants were the drafters of the terms and conditions of the Bet #5 Contract, and the contract was adhesive as to Bavas.

158. Here, Defendants breached the implied covenant by using rules that were not applicable to the Bet #5 Contract, rather than other, more applicable rules, in an attempt to avoid their obligation under the Bet #5 Contract "to award the

Case 4:25-cv-00185-SHL-SBJ Document 1-1 Filed 05/23/25 Page 34 of 39 E-FILED 2025 APR 18 3:05 PM POLK - CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT

winnings/prizes."

159. Bavas has suffered damages as a result of Defendants' breach of the implied covenant in the amount of \$250,067.99 plus prejudgment interest.

COUNT XV

(Action Under I.C.A. § 714H.5 for Violation of I.C.A. § 714H.3 on Bet #5)

160. Bavas repeats and realleges each and every allegation set forth above, as if fully set forth herein.

161. Bavas is a "consumer" within the meaning of I.C.A. § 714H.2(3) and an online sports bet is "merchandise" within the meaning of I.C.A. § 714.16(e), made applicable to this proceeding by I.C.A. § 714H.2(6).

162. Defendants have engaged in prohibited practices and acts under I.C.A. § 714H.3 including acts and practices that were likely to mislead Bavas as to the material facts with regard to Bet #5 by failing to honor a bet accepted by Defendants according to the represented terms on the DraftKings website and accessible with the DraftKings mobile application.

163. Defendants advertised sports betting throughout Iowa by television, radio, digital advertising and print.

164. Defendants engaged in unfair practices and disseminated false, misleading and deceptive information in one or more of the following ways:

a. Representing to consumers that winning bets accepted by

Case 4:25-cv-00185-SHL-SBJ Document 1-1 Filed 05/23/25 Page 35 of 39 E-FILED 2025 APR 18 3:05 PM POLK - CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT

Defendants would be honored, and Defendants would "award the winnings/prizes";

- b. Concealing or failing to disclose that Defendants would attempt to avoid paying winning bets;
- c. Engaging in the unfair practice of purporting to promise to pay winning bets when Defendants knew, or should have known, that they would not honor that promise;
- d. Asserting Defendants would conduct themselves in accordance with the terms, conditions and rules on the DraftKings website and accessible via the DraftKings mobile application when they would not;
- e. Otherwise failing to honor their promises and representations that they would pay valid, winning bets, regardless of subsequent events.

165. Bavas has suffered an ascertainable loss of money or property as a result of Defendants' prohibited practices and acts in violation of I.C.A. § 714H with regard to Bet #5.

166. Bavas is entitled to recover actual damages as a result of Defendants' violation of I.C.A. § 714H with regard to Bet #5, as provided in I.C.A. § 714H.5(1) in the amount of \$250,067.99 plus prejudgment interest.

Case 4:25-cv-00185-SHL-SBJ Document 1-1 Filed 05/23/25 Page 36 of 39 E-FILED 2025 APR 18 3:05 PM POLK - CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT

167. Bavas is entitled to reasonable attorneys' fees as a result of Defendants' violation of I.C.A. § 714H with regard to Bet #5, as provided in I.C.A. § 714H.5(2).

168. Defendants' violation of I.C.A. § 714H with regard to Bet #5 was in willful and wanton disregard for the rights of Bavas, entitling Bavas to statutory damages of up to three times the amount of actual damages, as provided in I.C.A. § 714H.5(4).

169. Pursuant to I.C.A. § 714H.6, Bavas is providing a copy of this Petition to the Iowa Attorney General.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury of all claims and issues raised in this Petition.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Nicholas Bavas prays for judgment against Defendants DraftKings, Inc., and Crown IA Gaming LLC d/b/a DraftKings for actual and compensatory damages in a fair and reasonable amount as is set forth herein; treble damages, attorney fees, costs of this action, interest at the legal rate and such other and further relief as is consistent with the allegations in this Petition and otherwise deemed just and equitable by the Court.

Respectfully submitted,

BEN LYNCH LAW, P.L.C. 8550 Hickman Road Clive, Iowa 50325 T: (515) 276-3921 F: (515) 276-2634 Email: ben@benlynchlaw.com

Case 4:25-cv-00185-SHL-SBJ Document 1-1 Filed 05/23/25 Page 37 of 39 E-FILED 2025 APR 18 3:05 PM POLK - CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT

By: /s/ Ben Lynch AT0013089

KAPLAN GORE LLP Darren T. Kaplan (To be admitted *pro hac vice*) One Paces West 2727 Paces Ferry Road SE Suite 750 Atlanta, GA 30339 T: (404) 537-3300 F: (404) 537-3320 Email: <u>dkaplan@kaplangore.com</u> Attorney Number: 172670 (Georgia Bar)

Case 4:25-cv-00185-SHL-SBJ Document 1-1 Filed 05/23/25 Page 38 of 39 E-FILED 2025 MAY 13 2:36 PM POLK - CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

Case: CVCV069026	Court: IN THE IOWA DISTRCT COURT	County: FOR POLK COUNTY	Job: 13144169		
Plaintiff / Petitioner: NICHOLAS BAVAS		Defendant / Respondent: DRAFTKINGS, INC., and CROWN IA GAMING LLC d/b/a DraftKings			
Received by:For:Iowa Process ServiceBen Lynch Law					
To be served upon: Crown IA Gaming LLC d/b/a DraftKings c/o CT Corporation System, Registered Agent					

I, Halee Loftis, being duly sworn, depose and say: I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to this action, and that within the boundaries of the state where service was effected, I was authorized by law to make service of the documents and informed said person of the contents herein

Recipient Name / Address:	Joel Kissel, Owner for Crown IA Gaming LLC d/b/a DraftKings c/o CT Corporation System, Registered Agent , 400 East Court Avenue, Des Moines, IA 50309
Manner of Service:	Authorized, May 9, 2025, 2:27 pm CDT
Documents:	Original Notice; Petition at Law and Jury Demand (Received Apr 21, 2025 at 11:04am CDT)

Additional Comments:

1) Served: May 9, 2025, 2:27 pm CDT at 400 East Court Avenue, Des Moines, IA 50309 received by Joel Kissel, Owner for Crown IA Gaming LLC d/b/a DraftKings c/o CT Corporation System, Registered Agent . Age: 50; Ethnicity: Caucasian; Gender: Male; Weight: 180; Height: 6'2"; Hair: Brown;

Subscribed and sworn to before me by the affiant who is personally known to me. /s/ Halee Loftis 05/12/2025 Halee Loftis Date Notary Public Iowa Process Service P.O. Box 1 Winterset, IA 50273 Date **Commission Expires** TAYLOR HALL RIAL Commission Number 839189 My Commission Expires May 05, 2028

Case 4:25-cv-00185-SHL-SBJ Document 1-1 Filed 05/23/25 Page 39 of 39 E-FILED 2025 MAY 15 10:52 AM POLK - CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

Case: CVCV069026	Court: IN THE IOWA DISTRCT COURT	County: FOR POLK COUNTY	Job: 13144175	
Plaintiff / Petitic NICHOLAS BAVA		Defendant / Respondent: DRAFTKINGS, INC., and CROWN IA GAMING LLC d/b/a DraftKings		
Received by: lowa Process Se	rvice	For: Ben Lynch Law		
To be served up	on: C. C/O C T CORPORATION SYSTEM			

I, Erik Kelliher, being duly sworn, depose and say: I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to this action, and that within the boundaries of the state where service was effected, I was authorized by law to make service of the documents and informed said person of the contents herein

Recipient Name / Address:Ana Gomes, Intake Specialist for DRAFTKINGS INC. C/O C T Corporation System,
Registered Agent, REGISTERED AGENT: C T CORPORATION SYSTEM: 701 S CARSON ST
SUITE 200, CARSON CITY, NV 89701Manner of Service:Authorized, Apr 24, 2025, 2:51 pm PDTDocuments:ORIGINAL NOTICE AND PETITION AT LAW AND JURY DEMAND (Received Apr 21, 2025
at 11:04am CDT)

Additional Comments:

1) Served: Apr 24, 2025, 2:51 pm PDT at REGISTERED AGENT: C T CORPORATION SYSTEM: 701 S CARSON ST SUITE 200, CARSON CITY, NV 89701 received by Ana Gomes, Intake Specialist for DRAFTKINGS INC. C/O C T Corporation System, Registered Agent. Age: 30's; Ethnicity: Caucasian; Gender: Female; Weight: 230; Height: 5'7"; Hair: Brown;

5/14/2

Erik Kelliher R-2024-10753

Date

Iowa Process Service P.O. Box 1 Winterset, IA 50273 Subscribed and sworn to before me by the affiant who is

personally known to me. County of Washe State of Nevada

Notary Public

5/14/25

Date

Commission Expires

7/1/28



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA

Case No.

NICHOLAS BAVAS,

Plaintiff,

v.

DRAFTKINGS INC., and CROWN IA GAMING LLC d/b/a/ DraftKings,

Polk County), Case No: CVCV069026)

(Removed from the Iowa District Court for

Defendants.

DECLARATION OF PAUL LIBERMAN IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS DRAFTKINGS INC. AND CROWN IA GAMING LLC'S NOTICE OF REMOVAL

I, Paul Liberman declare as follows:

1. I am the President and Chief Executive Officer for Crown IA Gaming LLC. I

submit this declaration in support of Defendants DraftKings Inc. and Crown IA Gaming LLC's Notice of Removal.

2. Crown IA Gaming LLC is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of Delaware with its principal place of business in Boston, Massachusetts.

3. The sole member of Crown IA Gaming LLC is Crown Gaming Inc., which is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Delaware with its principal place of business in Boston, Massachusetts.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing facts are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief based on my personal knowledge, the books and records at DraftKings, or information reported to me in the regular course of business by other individuals in the organization with personal knowledge of such facts.

Executed on the 23rd day of May, 2025, in <u>Sharon</u>, Massachusetts.

-Signed by: PAUL LIBERMAN 2ED17463556

Paul Liberman