	Case 3:25-cv-08058-DWL	Document 32	Piled 06/03/25	Page 1 of 21	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	James E. Ledbetter, Esq. State Bar No. 012788 <b>THE LEDBETTER LAW FIRM, P.L.C.</b> 1003 North Main Street Cottonwood, Arizona 86326 <u>court@ledbetterlawaz.com</u> (928) 649-8777 (928) 649-8778 Facsimile Christopher S. Coleman (Bar No. 01 Rahgan N. Jensen (Bar No. 037473) <b>Perkins Coie LLP</b> 2525 E. Camelback Road, Suite 500 Phoenix, Arizona 85016-4227 Telephone: +1.602.351.8000 Facsimile: +1.602.648.7000	8287)			
11	CColeman@perkinscoie.com				
12	RJensen@perkinscoie.com DocketPHX@perkinscoie.com				
13	Attorneys for Amazon.com Services	s, L.L.C.			
14	UNITED ST	FATES DIST	TRICT COURT		
15	DIST	<b>TRICT OF A</b>	RIZONA		
16 17	NORMAL VALLADE, an individua	al,	Case No. 3:25-cv-	08058-PCT-DWL	
18	Plaintiff,				
19	VS.		DEFENDANT A	MAZON.COM	
20	AMAZON.COM SERVICES, LLC, liability company; NURSE ASSIST		SERVICES LLC DEFENSES TO	''S ANSWER AND PLAINTIFF'S	
21	limited liability company; and MCK CORPORATION,	ESSON	AMENDED CON AND CROSS-CI		
22	Defendants.				
23 24					
24	Defendant Amazon.com Services, LLC ("Amazon") responds to Plaintiff's				
26	Second Amended Complaint ("Complaint") as follows:				
		1	1003 No	er Law Firm, P.L.C. rth Main Street d, Arizona 86326	

(928) 649-8777

1. Amazon lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 1 and, on that basis, denies them.

2. Amazon does not have sufficient information to admit or deny the allegation regarding Plaintiff Norman Vallade's residence but does not dispute it for jurisdictional purposes.

3. Amazon admits only that Amazon.com Services, LLC is a Delaware limited liability company with its principal place of business in Seattle, Washington, and that people in Arizona buy products from its online store at www.amazon.com. Amazon denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 3.

4. Amazon lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 4 and, on that basis, denies them.

5. Amazon lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 5 and, on that basis, denies them.

6. Amazon lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 6 and, on that basis, denies them.

7. Amazon lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 7 and, on that basis, denies them.

8. Amazon lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 8 and, on that basis, denies them.

9. Amazon lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 9 and, on that basis, denies them.

10. Amazon lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 10 and, on that basis, denies them.

11. Amazon lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 11 and, on that basis, denies them.

12. Amazon admits that it operates the website www.amazon.com, which enables millions of third-party sellers to offer and sell products. Amazon further admits that Norman Vallade purchased a McKesson Sterile Water product (ASIN B08LDPRPKJ) from a third-party seller "Health & Prime" via Order ID 114-1042938-1178651 on January 14, 2023. Amazon denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 12.
13. Amazon lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 13 and, on that basis, denies them.

14. Amazon lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 14 and, on that basis, denies them.

15. Amazon lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 15 and, on that basis, denies them.

16. Amazon lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 16 and, on that basis, denies them.

17. Amazon lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 17 and, on that basis, denies them.

18. Amazon lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 18 and, on that basis, denies them.

1	19.	Amazon lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the		
2	truth of the	allegations in Paragraph 19 and, on that basis, denies them.		
3	20.	Amazon lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the		
4	truth of the	allegations in Paragraph 20 and, on that basis, denies them.		
5	21.	Amazon lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the		
6				
7 8	truth of the	allegations in Paragraph 21 and, on that basis, denies them.		
° 9	22.	Amazon lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the		
10	truth of the	allegations in Paragraph 22 and, on that basis, denies them.		
11	23.	Amazon lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the		
12	truth of the	allegations in Paragraph 23 and, on that basis, denies them.		
13	24.	Amazon lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the		
14	truth of the allegations in Paragraph 24 and, on that basis, denies them.			
15	25.	Amazon lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the		
16				
17		allegations in Paragraph 25 and, on that basis, denies them.		
18 19	26.	Amazon lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the		
20	truth of the	allegations in Paragraph 26 and, on that basis, denies them.		
20	27.	Amazon lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the		
22	truth of the	allegations in Paragraph 27 and, on that basis, denies them.		
23	28.	Amazon lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the		
24	truth of the	allegations in Paragraph 28 and, on that basis, denies them.		
25	29.	Amazon lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the		
26		allegations in Paragraph 29 and, on that basis, denies them.		
	u u u u u u u	anoganono ni i aragraph 27 and, on mat basis, ucinco menn.		

30. Amazon lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 30 and, on that basis, denies them.

31. Amazon admits that on November 6, 2023, Nurse Assist, LLC, issued a recall for certain lots of 0.9% Sodium Chloride Irrigation USP and Sterile Water for Irrigation USP due to potential sterility concerns. Amazon lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 31 and, on that basis, denies them.

32. Amazon admits that on November 6, 2023, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) issued a safety communication warning consumers not to use certain brands of saline and sterile water medical products from Nurse Assist, LLC, due to potential sterility concerns.

33. Amazon admits that Plaintiff purports to selectively quote Nurse Assist's recall announcement. The referenced recall announcement speaks for itself. Amazon denies any characterization of the recall announcement inconsistent with its actual content.

34. Amazon admits that Plaintiff purports to selectively quote Nurse Assist's recall announcement. The referenced recall announcement speaks for itself. Amazon denies any characterization of the recall announcement inconsistent with its actual content. Amazon lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 34 and, on that basis, denies them.

35. Amazon admits that Plaintiff purports to selectively quote Nurse Assist's recall announcement. The referenced recall announcement speaks for itself. Amazon

5

ini naz

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

denies any characterization of the recall announcement inconsistent with its actual content.

36. Amazon admits that it sent correspondence advising of the recall of ASIN B08LDPRPKJ to Plaintiff (and all customers that purchased ASIN B08LDPRPKJ) on November 7, 2023 at 21:10.

37. Amazon lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 37 and, on that basis, denies them.

38. Amazon lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 38 and, on that basis, denies them.

39. Amazon admits that on April 15, 2024, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) issued an updated safety communication warning consumers not to use certain brands of saline and sterile water medical products from Nurse Assist, LLC, due to potential sterility concerns. Amazon further admits that Plaintiff purports to selectively quote the FDA's announcement. The referenced recall announcement speaks for itself. Amazon denies any characterization of the recall announcement inconsistent with its actual content.

40. Amazon lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 40 and, on that basis, denies them.

41. Amazon admits that Norman Vallade purchased a McKesson Sterile Water product (ASIN B08LDPRPKJ) from a third-party seller "Health & Prime" via Order ID 114-1042938-1178651 on January 14, 2023. Amazon denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 41.

1

42. Amazon admits that on March 4, 2025, its counsel advised Plaintiff's counsel that Health & Prime was a seller associated with the Sterile Water product at issue. Amazon denies that Plaintiff was previously unaware of this information. Amazon alleges that the identity of Health & Prime as the seller was readily available to Plaintiff at the time of purchase, including through the order confirmation details and the product listing on Amazon.com, both of which contained the same seller information later relayed by counsel. Except as expressly admitted, Amazon denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 42.

43. Amazon lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 43 and, on that basis, denies them.

44. Amazon reasserts and incorporates its responses to the allegations set forth above as though fully stated herein.

45. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 45 are directed at a party other than Amazon, no response is required. However, to the extent they are directed at Amazon, Amazon denies them.

46. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 46 are directed at a party other than Amazon, no response is required. However, to the extent they are directed at Amazon, Amazon denies them.

47. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 47 are directed at a party other than Amazon, no response is required. However, to the extent they are directed at Amazon, Amazon denies them.

48. Amazon lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 48 and, on that basis, denies them.

49. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 49 are directed at a party other than Amazon, no response is required. However, to the extent they are directed at Amazon, Amazon denies them.

50. Amazon lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in 50 and, on that basis, denies them.

51. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 51 are directed at a party other than Amazon, no response is required. However, to the extent they are directed at Amazon, Amazon lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in 51 and, on that basis, denies them.

52. Amazon lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 52 and, on that basis, denies them.

53. Amazon admits only that on November 6, 2023, Nurse Assist, LLC, issued a recall for certain lots of 0.9% Sodium Chloride Irrigation USP and Sterile Water for Irrigation USP. Amazon lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 53 and, on that basis, denies them.

54. Amazon lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 54 and, on that basis, denies them.

55. Amazon lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 55 and, on that basis, denies them.

56. Amazon denies the allegations in Paragraph 56, including any claim that Plaintiff suffered injuries or damages as a direct and proximate result of Amazon's actions or any defect in the product at issue.

57. Amazon reasserts and incorporates its responses to the allegations set forth above as though fully stated herein.

58. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 58 are directed at a party other than Amazon, no response is required. However, to the extent they are directed at Amazon, Amazon denies them.

59. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 59 are directed at a party other than Amazon, no response is required. However, to the extent they are directed at Amazon, Amazon denies them.

60. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 60 are directed at a party other than Amazon, no response is required. However, to the extent they are directed at Amazon, Amazon denies them.

61. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 61 are directed at a party other than Amazon, no response is required. However, to the extent they are directed at Amazon, Amazon denies them.

62. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 62, including subparts (a) through (g), are directed at a party other than Amazon, no response is required. However, to the extent they are directed at Amazon, Amazon denies them.

1

63. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 63 are directed at a party other than Amazon, no response is required. However, to the extent they are directed at Amazon, Amazon denies them.

64. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 64 are directed at a party other than Amazon, no response is required. However, to the extent they are directed at Amazon, Amazon denies them, including any claim that Plaintiff sustained damages as a direct and proximate result of any alleged negligence by Amazon.

65. Amazon reasserts and incorporates its responses to the allegations set forth above as though fully stated herein.

66. Amazon denies the allegations in Paragraph 66, including any claim that it was a provider of drug products within the State of Arizona or owed a duty under A.R.S. § 32-1965.

67. Paragraph 67 sets forth a legal conclusion to which no response is required.To the extent a response is deemed necessary, Amazon denies any allegations of wrongdoing.

68. Paragraph 68 sets forth legal conclusions to which no response is required.To the extent a response is deemed necessary, Amazon denies any allegations of wrongdoing.

69. Amazon denies the allegations in Paragraph 69, including any claim that it was a provider of drug products within the United States or owed a duty under 21 U.S.C.§ 331.

1

2

3

70. Paragraph 70 sets forth a legal conclusion to which no response is required.To the extent a response is deemed necessary, Amazon denies any allegations of wrongdoing.

71. Paragraph 71 sets forth a legal conclusion to which no response is required.To the extent a response is deemed necessary, Amazon denies any allegations of wrongdoing.

72. Paragraph 72 sets forth a legal conclusion to which no response is required.To the extent a response is deemed necessary, Amazon denies any allegations of wrongdoing.

73. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 73 are directed at a party other than Amazon, no response is required. However, to the extent they are directed at Amazon, Amazon denies them.

74. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 74 are directed at a party other than Amazon, no response is required. However, to the extent they are directed at Amazon, Amazon denies them, including any claim that Plaintiff sustained injuries or damages as a direct and proximate result of Amazon's conduct.

### **AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES**

Without admitting any liability, Amazon asserts the following affirmative defenses. By asserting these defenses, Amazon does not assume the burden of proof on any issue where the law places that burden on Plaintiff.

## **1. Failure to State a Claim**

Plaintiff's Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

### 2. No Duty Owed

Amazon owed no legal duty to Plaintiff with respect to the product at issue, including but not limited to duties regarding design, manufacturing, testing, or labeling.

#### **3. Third-Party Liability**

Any alleged injuries or damages suffered by Plaintiff were caused by the acts or omissions of third parties, including but not limited to the manufacturer, distributor, and/or seller of the product at issue, over whom Amazon had no control or responsibility.

#### **4.** Comparative Fault

To the extent Plaintiff suffered any injuries or damages, such damages were caused, in whole or in part, by Plaintiff's own negligence, fault, or conduct, and any recovery must be reduced accordingly under Arizona's comparative fault laws.

#### 5. Assumption of Risk

Plaintiff knowingly and voluntarily assumed the risks associated with the use of the product at issue.

#### 6. Intervening and Superseding Causes

Any alleged injuries or damages were the result of independent, intervening, and superseding causes that were not within Amazon's control or reasonably foreseeable.

#### 7. No Defect in Product

The product at issue was not defective or unreasonably dangerous at the time it left the control of Amazon, and Amazon denies any claim that it placed a defective product into the stream of commerce.

## 8. Compliance with Applicable Laws and Regulations

Amazon acted in compliance with all applicable federal and state laws, regulations, and industry standards, including but not limited to the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FDCA) and regulations enforced by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA).

### 9. Preemption

Plaintiff's claims are preempted, in whole or in part, by federal law, including but not limited to the FDCA, FDA regulations, and applicable preemption doctrines.

#### **10. Economic Loss Doctrine**

To the extent Plaintiff seeks purely economic damages, such claims are barred by the economic loss doctrine.

#### **11. Failure to Mitigate Damages**

To the extent Plaintiff sustained any damages, Plaintiff failed to take reasonable steps to mitigate those damages, and any recovery should be reduced accordingly.

### 12. Statute of Limitations

Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the applicable statutes of limitations.

### **13. Statute of Repose**

Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by any applicable statute of repose.

### **14. Misuse or Alteration of the Product**

To the extent Plaintiff's alleged injuries were caused by misuse, improper use, unintended use, or alteration of the product after it left the control of the manufacturer or seller, Plaintiff's claims are barred.

#### **15. Spoliation of Evidence**

To the extent Plaintiff or any third party has failed to preserve or has altered, destroyed, or otherwise spoliated evidence necessary for Amazon's defense, Plaintiff's claims should be barred or Plaintiff's evidence should be subject to an adverse inference.

### 16. Estoppel, Waiver, and Laches

Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrines of estoppel, waiver, and laches.

### **17. Lack of Standing**

Plaintiff lacks standing to assert some or all of the claims alleged in the Complaint.

### **18. Collateral Source Rule**

Plaintiff's claims may be subject to offset or reduction under the collateral source rule.

### **19. No Proximate Cause**

Even if Plaintiff suffered injuries or damages, which Amazon denies, Amazon's conduct was not the proximate cause of those injuries or damages.

1

2

3

4

5

1	20. No Reliance on Representations			
2	Plaintiff did not reasonably or justifiably rely on any representations, warranties,			
3	or statements allegedly made by Amazon regarding the product at issue.			
4	21. Punitive Damages Barred or Limited			
5				
6	To the extent Plaintiff seeks punitive damages, such claims are barred or limited			
7	under applicable law, including but not limited to due process limitations under the U.S.			
8	and Arizona Constitutions.			
9	22. Lack of Privity			
10 11	Amazon did not sell the product at issue directly to Plaintiff, and therefore any			
12	claims requiring contractual privity fail as a matter of law.			
13	23. A.R.S. § 12-683			
14	Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, under A.R.S. § 12-683.			
15				
16	24. Incorporation of Other Defenses			
17	Amazon adopts and incorporates by reference any applicable defenses asserted by			
18	other Defendants, including but not limited to Nurse Assist, LLC, and McKesson			
19	Corporation, to the extent such defenses are applicable to Amazon.			
20	25. Reservation of Additional Defenses			
21	25. Reservation of Auditional Defenses			
22	Amazon reserves the right to assert additional defenses that may arise through			
23	further investigation and discovery.			
24	///			
25				
26				
	15 The Ledbetter Law Firm, P.L.C.			

# **CROSS-CLAIMS**

## FIRST CROSS-CLAIM

## AGAINST NURSE ASSIST, LLC FOR CONTRIBUTION

1. Amazon denies any liability to Plaintiff but asserts this cross-claim in the alternative should liability be established.

2. Nurse Assist, LLC designed, manufactured, and distributed the Sterile Water at issue in this case.

3. If Plaintiff suffered injuries or damages as alleged, those injuries or damages were caused in whole or in part by the actions, omissions, or negligence of Nurse Assist, LLC.

4. Under A.R.S. § 12-2501 et seq., Arizona law provides for contribution among joint tortfeasors.

5. To the extent Amazon is found liable to Plaintiff, which Amazon denies, Amazon is entitled to contribution from Nurse Assist, LLC in an amount proportionate to Nurse Assist, LLC's responsibility for Plaintiff's alleged injuries and damages.

WHEREFORE, Amazon requests judgment against Nurse Assist, LLC for contribution, along with attorneys' fees, costs, and any other relief the Court deems just and proper.

### SECOND CROSS-CLAIM

## AGAINST NURSE ASSIST, LLC FOR INDEMNIFICATION

6. Amazon denies any liability to Plaintiff but asserts this cross-claim in the alternative should liability be established.

16

The Ledbetter Law Firm, P.L.C. 1003 North Main Street Cottonwood, Arizona 86326 (928) 649-8777 7. Nurse Assist, LLC was responsible for the design, manufacture, labeling, testing, distribution, and/or sale of the Sterile Water that is the subject of this lawsuit.

8. If Amazon is found liable to Plaintiff, its liability is passive, secondary, and derivative of Nurse Assist, LLC's primary responsibility.

9. Nurse Assist, LLC had a duty to ensure that its product was safe for consumer use and was not defective, mislabeled, or adulterated.

10. If Amazon is found liable, Amazon is entitled to full indemnification from Nurse Assist, LLC for any and all damages, attorneys' fees, and costs incurred as a result of this lawsuit.

WHEREFORE, Amazon requests judgment against Nurse Assist, LLC for full indemnification, along with attorneys' fees, costs, and any other relief the Court deems just and proper.

### THIRD CROSS-CLAIM

## AGAINST MCKESSON CORPORATION FOR CONTRIBUTION

11. Amazon denies any liability to Plaintiff but asserts this cross-claim in the alternative should liability be established.

12. McKesson Corporation was involved in the distribution, supply, and/or sale of the Sterile Water at issue.

13. If Plaintiff suffered injuries or damages, those injuries or damages were caused in whole or in part by McKesson Corporation's actions, omissions, or negligence.

17

14. Under A.R.S. § 12-2501 et seq., Arizona law provides for contribution among joint tortfeasors.

15. To the extent Amazon is found liable to Plaintiff, which Amazon denies,
Amazon is entitled to contribution from McKesson Corporation in an amount
proportionate to McKesson Corporation's responsibility for Plaintiff's alleged injuries
and damages.

WHEREFORE, Amazon requests judgment against McKesson Corporation for contribution, along with attorneys' fees, costs, and any other relief the Court deems just and proper.

## FOURTH CROSS-CLAIM

## AGAINST MCKESSON CORPORATION FOR INDEMNIFICATION

16. Amazon denies any liability to Plaintiff but asserts this cross-claim in the alternative should liability be established.

17. McKesson Corporation was involved in the distribution, supply, and/or sale of the Sterile Water that is the subject of this lawsuit.

18. If Amazon is found liable to Plaintiff, its liability is passive, secondary, and derivative of McKesson Corporation's primary responsibility.

19. McKesson Corporation had a duty to ensure that the product was properly handled, stored, and distributed in a safe condition.

20. If Amazon is found liable, Amazon is entitled to full indemnification from McKesson Corporation for any and all damages, attorneys' fees, and costs incurred as a result of this lawsuit.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

WHEREFORE, Amazon requests judgment against McKesson Corporation for full indemnification, along with attorneys' fees, costs, and any other relief the Court deems just and proper.

### **REQUEST FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, having fully answered Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, asserted its affirmative defenses, and set forth its cross-claims, Amazon respectfully requests that the Court enter judgment in its favor and against Plaintiff as follows:

1. Dismissal with Prejudice – That Plaintiff's Amended Complaint and all claims asserted therein be dismissed with prejudice in their entirety;

Judgment in Favor of Amazon – That judgment be entered in favor of
 Amazon and against Plaintiff on all causes of action;

3. Denial of Damages – That Plaintiff take nothing by way of his Amended Complaint, and that all requests for damages, including special, general, compensatory, punitive, or any other form of relief, be denied in their entirety;

4. Costs and Attorneys' Fees – That Amazon be awarded its costs, reasonable attorneys' fees, and expenses incurred in defending this action to the fullest extent permitted by law;

5. Contribution and/or Indemnification – That, to the extent Amazon is found liable for any damages, the Court enter judgment requiring Cross-Defendants to fully indemnify and/or contribute to any damages or liability assessed against Amazon, including attorneys' fees and litigation costs;

1	6.	Costs and Attorneys' Fees Against Cross-Defendants – That Amazon be					
2	awarded its costs, attorneys' fees, and expenses in pursuing its cross-claims against Nurse						
3	Assist, LLC and McKesson Corporation; and						
4	7.	Any Additional Relief – That the Court grant such other and further relief					
5							
6	as it deems just, equitable, and proper under the circumstances.						
7	RESI	PECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 3 <sup>rd</sup> day of June, 2025.					
8 9		THE LEDBETTER LAW FIRM, PLC					
9 10		<u> s  James E. Ledbetter</u>					
11		James E. Ledbetter					
12		PERKINS COIE LLP					
13		<u> s  Christopher S. Coleman</u>					
14		Christopher S. Coleman					
15		Attorneys for Defendant, Amazon.com Services, L.L.C.					
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							
26							
		20 The Ledbetter Law Firm, P.L.C.					
		20 The Leaberter Law Finn, P.L.C. 1003 North Main Street Cottonwood, Arizona 86326					

(928) 649-8777

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE				
2	I hereby certify that on June 3, 2025, I electronically transmitted the attached				
3	documents to the Clerk's Office by using the CM/ECF System for filing and transmittal or	f			
4 5	a Notice of Electronic Filing to the following CM/ECF registrants:				
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Shannon Clark Gallagher & Kennedy, PA 2575 E. Camelback Rd. Phoenix, AZ 8501 <i>Attorneys for Plaintiff</i> Jill Ormond Shawn Alves Gordon Rees Scully Mansukhani LLP Two North Central Ave., Suite 2200 Phoenix, AZ 85004				
13 14	jormond@grsm.com salves@grsm.com Attorneys for Nurse Assist LLC				
<ol> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> </ol>	Sean K. McElenney Janessa E. Doyle Bryan Cave Leighton Paisner LLP Two North Central Ave., Suite 2100 Phoenix, AZ 85004-4406 <u>Sean.mcelenney@bclplaw.com</u> <u>Janessa.doyle@bclplaw.com</u> <i>Attorneys for McKesson Corporation</i>				
20 21	[s] Bethany Arnold				
22					
23					
24					
25 26					
	21 The Ledbetter Law Firm, P.L.C. 1003 North Main Street Cottonwood, Arizona 86326				

(928) 649-8777