	Case 3:25-cv-08058-DWL	Document 34	Filed 06/13/25	Page 1 of 20
1 2	Jill J. Ormond (SBN: 024250) Shawn J. Alves (SBN: 037476) Gordon Rees Scully Mansukhani, LLP			
3	Two North Central Avenue, Suite 2200 Phoenix, Arizona 85004 Telephone: (602) 794-2491 jormond@grsm.com salves@grsm.com			
4				
5 6	Attorneys for Defendant Nurse Assist LLC			
7	Nurse Assist LLC			
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			COURT
° 9	FC	R THE DISTR	ICT OF ARIZO	NA
9 10	Norman Vallade, an individu	ıal,	Case No. 3:25-0	CV-08058-PCT-DWL
11	Plaintiff,			
12	DEFENDANT NURSE ASSIST 11 C'			NURSE ASSIST, LLC's PLAINTIFF'S SECOND
13	AMENDED COMPLAINT ANSWED			OMPLAINT; ANSWER COM SERVICES,
14	liability company; Nurse As limited liability company; M	sist LLC, a	LLC'S CROSSCLAIMS; AND ANSWER TO MCKESSON MEDICAL	
15				
16	Defendants.			
17	Defendant, Nurse Assist, LLC ("Defendant" or "Nurse Assist"), by and through			se Assist"), by and through
18	Counsel undersigned, hereby Answers Plaintiff Norman Vallade's Amended Complaint.			
19	INTRODUCTION <sup>1</sup>			
20	1. Nurse Assist lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to			
21	the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 1 and therefore denies same.			
22	PARTIES			
23	2. Nurse Assist l	acks sufficient ki	nowledge or infor	mation to form a belief as to
24	the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 2 and therefore denies same.			
25	3. Nurse Assist lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to			
26	the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 3 and therefore denies same.			
27 28	<sup>1</sup> Nurse Assist repeats the headings as used in the Amended Complaint for ease of reference and organization purposes. Nurse Assist does not admit to the contents or statements contained in each heading.			mplaint for ease of reference the contents or statements
			1	

1	4. Nurse Assist admits only that Nurse Assist is incorporated in the state of		
2	Delaware but currently lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the		
3	truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 4 and therefore denies same.		
4	5. Nurse Assist lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to		
5	the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 5 and therefore denies same.		
6	6. Nurse Assist lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to		
7	the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 6 and therefore denies same.		
8	JURISDICTION AND VENUE		
9	7. Nurse Assist lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as		
10	to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 7 and therefore denies same.		
11	8. Paragraph 8 contains a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To		
12	the extent a response is deemed to be required, Nurse Assist lacks sufficient knowledge or		
13	information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 7 and therefore		
14	denies same.		
15	9. Nurse Assist lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to		
16	the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 9 and therefore denies same.		
17	10. Paragraph 10 contains a legal conclusion to which no response is required.		
18	To the extent a response is deemed to be required, Nurse Assist lacks sufficient knowledge		
19	or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations regarding Tier designation		
20	contained within Paragraph 10 and therefore denies same.		
21	FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS		
22	11. Nurse Assist lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to		
23	the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 11 and therefore denies same.		
24	12. Nurse Assist lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to		
25	the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 12 and therefore denies same.		
26	13. Nurse Assist lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to		
27	the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 13 and therefore denies same.		
28	14. Nurse Assist lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to		
illy P	- 2 -		
I			

1	the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 14 and therefore denies same.
2	15. Nurse Assist lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to
3	the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 15 and therefore denies same.
4	16. Nurse Assist lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to
5	the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 16 and therefore denies same.
6	17. Nurse Assist lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to
7	the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 17 and therefore denies same.
8	18. Nurse Assist lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to
9	the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 18 and therefore denies same.
10	19. Nurse Assist lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to
11	the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 19 and therefore denies same.
12	20. Nurse Assist lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to
13	the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 20 and therefore denies same.
14	21. Nurse Assist lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to
15	the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 21 and therefore denies same.
16	22. Nurse Assist lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to
17	the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 22 and therefore denies same.
18	23. Nurse Assist lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to
19	the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 23 and therefore denies same.
20	24. Nurse Assist lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to
21	the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 24 and therefore denies same.
22	25. Nurse Assist lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to
23	the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 25 and therefore denies same.
24	26. Nurse Assist lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to
25	the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 26 and therefore denies same.
26	27. Nurse Assist lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to
27	the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 27 and therefore denies same.
28	28. Nurse Assist lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to
LLY D	- 3 -

1	the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 28 and therefore denies same.
2	29. Nurse Assist lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to
3	the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 29 and therefore denies same.
4	30. Nurse Assist lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to
5	the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 30 and therefore denies same.
6	31. Nurse Assist lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to
7	the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 31 and therefore denies same.
8	32. Nurse Assist lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to
9	the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 32 and therefore denies same.
10	33. Nurse Assist lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to
11	the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 33 and therefore denies same.
12	34. Nurse Assist lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to
13	the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 34 and therefore denies same.
14	35. Nurse Assist lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to
15	the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 35 and therefore denies same.
16	36. Nurse Assist lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to
17	the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 36 and therefore denies same.
18	37. Nurse Assist lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to
19	the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 37 and therefore denies same.
20	38. Nurse Assist lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to
21	the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 38 and therefore denies same.
22	39. Nurse Assist lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to
23	the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 39 and therefore denies same.
24	40. Nurse Assist lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to
25	the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 40 and therefore denies same.
26	41. The allegations in Paragraph 41 do not appear to pertain to Nurse Assist and
27	therefore no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed required, Nurse Assist
28	
illy P	- 4 -

1 lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations 2 in Paragraph 41 and therefore denies same.

3

4

5

6

7

8

19

20

25

27

28

42. The allegations in Paragraph 42 do not appear to pertain to Nurse Assist and therefore no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed required, Nurse Assist lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 42 and therefore denies same.

# COUNT I Strict Products Liability – Manufacturing & Information Defect (All Defendants)

9 43. Nurse Assist incorporates all preceding answers as if answered again in full 10 herein.

11 Paragraph 44 does not appear to contain allegations to which a response is 44. 12 required. To the extent a response is deemed required, Nurse Assist lacks sufficient 13 knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 44 14 and therefore denies same.

15 45. Nurse Assist lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to 16 the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 45 and therefore denies same. To the extent the 17 allegations are directed at other parties, no response is required. 18

46. Nurse Assist lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 46 and therefore denies same. To the extent the allegations are directed at other parties, no response is required.

21 47. Nurse Assist lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to 22 the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 47 and therefore denies same. To the extent the 23 allegations are directed at other parties, no response is required. 24

48. Nurse Assist lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 48 and therefore denies same. To the extent the 26 allegations are directed at other parties, no response is required.

> 49. Nurse Assist lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to

o form a belief as to b. To the extent the o form a belief as to b. To the extent the ed an unreasonably		
To the extent the ofform a belief as to a. To the extent the et an unreasonably		
o form a belief as to b. To the extent the ed an unreasonably		
•. To the extent the ed an unreasonably		
•. To the extent the ed an unreasonably		
ed an unreasonably		
-		
-		
-1 50 T - 41 - 4		
ph 52. To the extent		
o form a belief as to		
o form a belief as to		
the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 54 and therefore denies same.		
To the extent the		
<u>COUNT II</u> <u>Negligence</u>		
wered again in full		
o form a belief as to		
the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 57 and therefore denies same. To the extent the		
allegations are directed at other parties, no response is required.		
usion to which no		
Nurse Assist denies		
the allegations in paragraph 58. To the extent the allegations are directed at other parties,		

1	59. Nurse Assist denies the allegations in Paragraph 59. To the extent the	
2	allegations are directed at other parties, no response is required.	
3	60. Nurse Assist denies the allegations in Paragraph 60. To the extent the	
4	allegations are directed at other parties, no response is required.	
5	61. Nurse Assist denies the allegations in Paragraph 61 and Subparagraphs (a)	
6	through (g). To the extent the allegations are directed at other parties, no response is	
7	required.	
8	62. Nurse Assist denies the allegations in Paragraph 62. To the extent the	
9	allegations are directed at other parties, no response is required.	
10	63. Nurse Assist denies the allegations in Paragraph 63. To the extent the	
11	allegations are directed at other parties, no response is required.	
12		
13	<u>COUNT III</u> Negligence <i>Per Se</i>	
14	(All Defendants)	
15	64. Nurse Assist incorporates all preceding answers as if answered again in full	
16	herein.	
17	65. The allegations in paragraph 65 contain a legal conclusion to which no	
18	response is required. To the extent a response is deemed to be required, Nurse Assist denies	
19	the allegations in paragraph 65. To the extent the allegations are directed at other parties,	
20	no response is required.	
21	66. The allegations in paragraph 66 contain a legal conclusion to which no	
22	response is required. To the extent a response is deemed to be required, Nurse Assist denies	
23	the allegations in paragraph 66.	
24	67. The allegations in paragraph 67 contain a legal conclusion to which no	
25	response is required. To the extent a response is deemed to be required, Nurse Assist denies	
26	the allegations in paragraph 67.	
27	68. The allegations in paragraph 68 contain a legal conclusion to which no	
28	response is required. To the extent a response is deemed to be required, Nurse Assist denies	
LLY	- 7 -	

1	the allegations in paragraph 68.	
2	69. The allegations in paragraph 69 contain a legal conclusion to which no	
3	response is required. To the extent a response is deemed to be required, Nurse Assist denies	
4	the allegations in paragraph 69.	
5	70. The allegations in paragraph 70 contain a legal conclusion to which no	
6	response is required. To the extent a response is deemed to be required, Nurse Assist denies	
7	the allegations in paragraph 70.	
8	71. The allegations in paragraph 71 contain a legal conclusion to which no	
9	response is required. To the extent a response is deemed to be required, Nurse Assist denies	
10	the allegations in paragraph 71.	
11	72. Nurse Assist denies the allegations in Paragraph 72. To the extent the	
12	allegations are directed at other parties, no response is required.	
13	73. Nurse Assist denies the allegations in Paragraph 73. To the extent the	
14	allegations are directed at other parties, no response is required.	
15	GENERAL DENIAL	
16	Nurse Assist intends to have responded to each proper and applicable allegation in	
17	the Complaint. If any allegation is deemed not to have been fully addressed, it is hereby	
18	denied.	
19	JURY TRIAL DEMAND	
20	The undersigned, pursuant to Rule 38, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, demands a	
21	jury trial for all triable issues in this matter.	
22	AFFIRMATIVE AND OTHER DEFENSES	
23	Nurse Assist has not had an opportunity to ascertain the facts. Therefore, Nurse	
24	Assist in unable to state with certainty all defenses it will assert, whether affirmative or	
25	otherwise, and preserves all defenses. Nurse Assist asserts the following affirmative and	
26	other defenses conditional upon facts, circumstances and matters learned through	
27	discovery, investigation, disclosure, and from any other source. Nurse Assist does not	
28	waive any defense, affirmative or otherwise, available now or in the future of this litigation.	
ULLY _P	- 8 -	

1 The affirmative and other defenses stated by Nurse Assist are as follows: 2 1. Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can 3 be granted and should be dismissed with prejudice. 4 2. Plaintiff's claims are barred by the doctrines of comparative negligence, 5 contributory negligence as well as avoidable consequences of Plaintiff and 6 others including non-parties at fault to be designated at a later date. 7 3. Plaintiff's claims are barred by his failure to exercise reasonable care for his own 8 safety. 9 4. Plaintiff's claims are barred by plaintiff's assumption of risk. 5. If Plaintiff used products manufactured, sold, or supplied by Nurse Assist, and 10 11 if it is shown that such use or exposure is causally related to Plaintiff's claims, 12 all of which are specifically denied, then it is alleged that Plaintiff was negligent 13 in several ways, including but not limited to: (a) in failing to read any instruction 14 or warnings on products; (b) by using improper techniques and methods in the 15 use and application of product for which Nurse Assist may have legal 16 responsibility, which responsibility is expressly denied; and (c) in otherwise 17 failing to exercise due care and caution under the circumstances. 18 6. Plaintiff, and/or other persons without Nurse Assist's knowledge and approval 19 redesigned, modified, altered and used Nurse Assist's products contrary to 20 instructions, custom and practice of the industry. This redesign, modification, 21 alteration and use substantially changed the product's character such that if there 22 was a defect in the product -- which is specifically denied -- such defect resulted 23 solely from the redesign, modification, alteration or other such treatment or 24 change and not from any act or omission by Nurse Assist. Therefore, said defect, 25 if any, was created by Plaintiff and/or other persons, as the case may be, and was 26 the direct and proximate cause of the injuries, death and damages, if any, that 27 Plaintiff allegedly suffered. 28 7.

	Case 3:25	-cv-08058-DWL Document 34 Filed 06/13/25 Page 10 of 20	
1		See Restatement (Third) of Torts: Product Liability, Section 4, comment e.	
2	8.	Plaintiff's claims may be barred pursuant to the Restatement (Second) of Torts,	
3		Section 402A, comment K.	
4	9.	Plaintiff's alleged damages, if any, should be apportioned among all parties at	
5		fault, and any nonparties at fault.	
6	10.	Plaintiff's claims are barred to the extent they arose out of pre-existing injuries	
7		or conditions.	
8	11.	Plaintiff's claims are barred by the applicable statute of limitations.	
9	12.	Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by any applicable statute of	
10		repose.	
11	13.	Plaintiff's alleged injuries were caused by misuse, improper use, unintended use,	
12		or alteration of the product after it left the control of the manufacturer or seller,	
13		plaintiff's claims are barred.	
14	14.	Any alleged injuries or damages suffered by Plaintiff were caused by the acts or	
15		omissions of third parties, including but not limited to the manufacturer,	
16		distributor, and/or seller of the product at issue, over whom Amazon had no	
17		control or responsibility.	
18	15.	Plaintiff's claims are preempted, in whole or in part, by federal law, including	
19		but not limited to the FDCA, FDA regulations, and applicable preemption	
20		doctrines.	
21	16.	Plaintiff cannot show that any alleged alternative design would render the	
22		product safer overall under Restatement (Third) of Torts: Product Liability,	
23		Section 2, comment f.	
24	17.	Nurse Assist acted in compliance with all applicable federal and state laws,	
25		regulations, and industry standards, including but not limited to the Federal	
26		Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FDCA) and regulations enforced by the U.S.	
27		Food and Drug Administration (FDA).	
28	18.	To the extent Plaintiff seeks purely economic damages, such claims are barred	
CULLY LP w		- 10 -	

GORDON REES SCU MANSUKHANI, LLF Attorneys at Law

	Case 3:25	-cv-08058-DWL Document 34 Filed 06/13/25 Page 11 of 20
1		by the economic loss doctrine
2	19.	The product at issue was not defective or unreasonably dangerous at the time it
3		left the control of Nurse Assist, and Nurse Assist denies any claim that it placed
4		a defective product into the stream of commerce.
5	20.	Plaintiff's alleged injuries or damages were the result of independent,
6		intervening, and superseding causes that were not within Nurse Assist's control
7		or reasonably foreseeable.
8	21.	Nurse Assist affirmatively alleges that Plaintiff's claims may be barred by the
9		doctrine of spoliation of evidence.
10	22.	Plaintiff failed to join all necessary and/or indispensable parties to this suit
11		pursuant to Rule 19 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
12	23.	Plaintiff failed to mitigate his damages.
13	24.	Plaintiff did not reasonably or justifiably rely on any representations, warranties,
14		or statements allegedly made by Nurse Assist regarding the product at issue.
15	25.	Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrines of estoppel,
16		waiver, and laches.
17	26.	Nurse Assist did not sell the product at issue directly to Plaintiff, and therefore
18		any claims requiring contractual privity fail as a matter of law.
19	27.	Nurse Assist affirmatively alleges that Plaintiff's damages, if any, were caused
20		or contributed to by negligence of Plaintiff, or Plaintiff's agents, and that this
21		comparative negligence bars any recovery by Plaintiff.
22	28.	Nurse Assist adopts by reference any additional applicable defense pleaded by
23		any other Defendants not otherwise pleaded herein.
24	29.	Nurse Assist is entitled to indemnity from Co-Defendants Amazon.com
25		Services, LLC; McKesson Medical-Surgical, Inc.; Integrity Health Partners
26		LLC dba Health & Prime ("Health & Prime"); and any other defendant not yet
27		named or discovered.
28	30.	The product at issue was sold in breach of any manufacturing contracts,
SCULLY LLP _aw		- 11 -
I	11	

distribution contracts, and/or other related contract between Nurse Assist and Co-Defendants Amazon.com Services, LLC; McKesson Medical-Surgical, Inc.; Health & Prime; and any other defendant not yet named or discovered.

- 31. If punitive damages are sought, such claim for punitive damages is barred by the Fifth Amendment to the United States Constitution, as applied to the States through the Fourteenth Amendment, and by Article 2, Section 10 of the Constitution of the United States.
- 32. To the extent punitive damages are sought, the amount of punitive damages
  sought is unconstitutionally excessive under the United States Constitution, it
  violates the Excessive Fines Clause of the Eighth Amendment, U.S. Const.
  Amend. VIII, and the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment, U.S.
  Const. Amend. XIV, Section 1, and its counterpart under the Arizona
  Constitution.
- 1433.Any claim for punitive damages impermissibly seeks a multiple award of15punitive damages against Nurse Assist in violation of the Contracts Clause of16Article I, Section 10 of the United States Constitution; the Equal Protection17clause provision of the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments of the United States18Constitution; and the right to be free of cruel and unusual punishment as19guaranteed under the Fifth, Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United20States Constitution.
  - 34. Nurse Assist cannot be held liable for punitive damages and/or exemplary damages, which are or may be attributable to the conduct of other parties. Further, Nurse Assist asserts that the alleged conduct of any other defendant cannot, as a matter of law, provide a legal basis for the liability or imposition of damages against other Defendants.
    - 35. Nurse Assist reserves the right to (a) rely on any and all defenses and presumptions set forth in or arising from any rule or law or statute whose substantive law might control the relevant action; (b) reply upon any other

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

1	applicable defenses set forth in any Answer or disclosure of affirmative defenses	
2	of any other defendant in the above-captioned action (including, without	
3	limitation, any case transferred to the above-captioned action), except to the	
4	extent that they would impose liability on Defendant; (c) rely upon any other	
5	defenses that may become apparent during fact or expert discovery in this	
6	matter; and (d) supplement these defenses with any additional defenses that	
7	subsequently become apparent or available during discovery in this matter, or at	
8	trial.	
9	WHEREFORE, having fully answered the Complaint, Nurse Assist contests each	
10	and every prayer for relief in the Complaint and request the following relief:	
11	a. That Plaintiff's Complaint against Nurse Assist be dismissed with	
12	prejudice;	
13	b. That Plaintiff recovers no damages from Nurse Assist;	
14	c. That Defendants be awarded costs incurred and attorneys' fees incurred;	
15	d. That Defendants be granted such other relief that the Court may deem	
16	appropriate.	
17	ANSWER TO AMAZON.COM SERVICES, LLC'S CROSSCLAIMS	
18	Nurse Assist, LLC ("Nurse Assist") hereby answers cross-claims asserted by Co-	
19	Defendant Amazon.com Services, LLC ("Amazon"). Nurse Assist only intends to answer	
20	the cross-claims asserted by Amazon against Nurse Assist, and not those asserted against	
21	McKesson Medical-Surgical, Inc. ("MMS") or other co-defendants not yet named. To the	
22	extent a response is deemed required as to Amazon's cross-claims against MMS and MMS,	
23	Nurse Assist lacks sufficient knowledge and information to form an answer a belief as to	
24	the truth of the allegations and therefore denies same.	
25	FIRST CROSS-CLAIM	
26	AGAINST NURSE ASSIST, LLC FOR CONTRIBUTION	
27	Nurse Assist hereby Answers Amazon's First and Second Cross-Claims asserted	
28	against Nurse Assist as follows:	
CULLY LP	- 13 -	
197		

Paragraph 1 of Amazon's First Cross-Claim does not contain allegations
 requiring a response. To the extent a response is deemed required, Nurse Assist denies the
 allegations.

2. Nurse Assist lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to
the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 2 Amazon's First Cross-Claim and therefore denies
same. Nurse Assist affirmatively alleges that it has no knowledge of the exact product sold
by Amazon in this case or whether it was manufactured by Nurse Assist. Any product sold
by Amazon related to this matter was not purchased directly from Nurse Assist nor
distributed by Nurse Assist to Amazon.

103.Nurse Assist denies the allegations in Paragraph 2 Amazon's First Cross-11Claim.

4. Paragraph 4 of Amazon's First Cross-Claim appears to contain legal
conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed required,
Nurse Assist denies the allegations.

15 5. Paragraph 5 of Amazon's First Cross-Claim appears to contain legal
16 conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed required,
17 Nurse Assist denies the allegations.

- 18
- 19

# SECOND CROSS-CLAIM

# AGAINST NURSE ASSIST, LLC FOR INDEMNIFICATION

20 6. Paragraph 6 of Amazon's Second Cross-Claim does not contain allegations
21 requiring a response. To the extent a response is deemed required, Nurse Assist denies the
22 allegations.

7. Nurse Assist lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to
the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 7 of Amazon's Second Cross-Claim and therefore
denies same. Nurse Assist affirmatively alleges that it has no knowledge of the exact
product sold by Amazon in this case or whether it was manufactured by Nurse Assist. Any
product sold by Amazon related to this matter was not purchased directly from Nurse Assist
nor distributed by Nurse Assist to Amazon.

GORDON REES SCULLY MANSUKHANI, LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW

- 8. Paragraph 8 of Amazon's Second Cross-Claim appears to contain legal
   conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed required,
   Nurse Assist denies the allegations.
- 4 9. Paragraph 9 of Amazon's Second Cross-Claim appears to contain legal 5 conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed required, 6 Nurse Assist lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of 7 the allegations in Paragraph 9 of Amazon's Second Cross-Claim and therefore denies same. Nurse Assist affirmatively alleges that it has no knowledge of the exact product sold by 8 9 Amazon in this case or whether it was manufactured by Nurse Assist. Any product sold by 10 Amazon related to this matter was not purchased directly from Nurse Assist nor distributed 11 by Nurse Assist to Amazon.
- 12 10. Paragraph 10 of Amazon's Second Cross-Claim appears to contain legal
  13 conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed required,
  14 Nurse Assist denies the allegations.
- WHEREFORE, Nurse Assist denies that Amazon is owed contribution or indemnity
  in this matter. Nurse Assist affirmatively alleges that:
- If the product at issue in this matter is found to have been manufactured by
   Nurse Assist, it was improperly sold by Amazon or Amazon's third-party seller Health &
   Prime without Nurse Assist's knowledge;
  - 2. The product at issue is a prescription product improperly sold by Amazon;
- 21 3. Amazon and/or its third-party sellers lacked authorization to sell the product
  22 at issue;
- 4. The product at issue was damaged or altered while in Amazon's care and
  possession;
- 5. If Nurse Assist is found liable, Nurse Assist is entitled to full indemnification
  from Amazon for any and all damages, attorneys' fees, and costs incurred as a result of this
  lawsuit.

28

20

1

# ANSWER TO MCKESSON MEDICAL-SURGICAL, INC.'s CROSSCLAIMS

2 Nurse Assist, LLC ("Nurse Assist") hereby answers cross-claims asserted by Co-3 Defendant McKesson Medical-Surgical, Inc. ("MMS"). Nurse Assist only intends to answer 4 the cross-claims asserted by MMS against Nurse Assist, and not those asserted against 5 Amazon.com Services, LLC ("Amazon") or other co-defendants not yet named. To the 6 extent a response is deemed required as to MMS's cross-claims against Amazon, Nurse 7 Assist lacks sufficient knowledge and information to form an answer a belief as to the truth 8 of the allegations and therefore denies same.

9

10

## FIRST CROSS-CLAIM

# AGAINST NURSE ASSIST, LLC FOR CONTRIBUTION

11 Nurse Assist hereby Answers MMS's First Cross-Claim asserted against Nurse 12 Assist as follows

13 1. Paragraph 1 of MMS's First Cross-Claim does not contain allegations 14 requiring a response. To the extent a response is deemed required, Nurse Assist denies the 15 allegations.

16 2. Nurse Assist lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to 17 the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 2 MMS's First Cross-Claim and therefore denies 18 same. Nurse Assist affirmatively alleges that it has no knowledge of the exact product sold 19 in this case or whether it was manufactured by Nurse Assist. Any Nurse Assist product 20 distributed or sold by MMS related to this matter was done so improperly and/or in breach 21 of contract and in contravention of the law with respect to prescription products.

22

3. Nurse Assist denies the allegations in Paragraph 2 MMS's First Cross-Claim.

23

4. Paragraph 4 of MMS's First Cross-Claim appears to contain legal 24 conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed required, 25 Nurse Assist denies the allegations.

26 5. Paragraph 5 of MMS's First Cross-Claim appears to contain legal 27 conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed required, 28 Nurse Assist denies the allegations.

# SECOND CROSS-CLAIM

# AGAINST NURSE ASSIST, LLC FOR INDEMNIFICATION

6. Paragraph 6 of MMS's Second Cross-Claim does not contain allegations requiring a response. To the extent a response is deemed required, Nurse Assist denies the allegations.

7. Nurse Assist lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to
the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 7 of MMS's Second Cross-Claim and therefore
denies same. Nurse Assist affirmatively alleges that it has no knowledge of the exact product
sold by Amazon in this case or whether it was manufactured by Nurse Assist. Any Nurse
Assist product distributed or sold by MMS related to this matter was done so improperly
and/or in breach of contract and in contravention of the law with respect to prescription
products. Further, any product sold by Amazon related to this matter was not purchased
directly from Nurse Assist nor distributed by Nurse Assist to Amazon.

8. Paragraph 8 of MMS's Second Cross-Claim appears to contain legal
conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed required,
Nurse Assist denies the allegations.

9. Paragraph 9 of MMS's Second Cross-Claim appears to contain legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed required, Nurse Assist lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 9 of MMS's Second Cross-Claim and therefore denies same. Nurse Assist affirmatively alleges that it has no knowledge of the exact product sold by Amazon in this case or whether it was manufactured by Nurse Assist. Any Nurse Assist product distributed or sold by MMS related to this matter was done so improperly and/or in breach of contract and in contravention of the law with respect to prescription products. Further, any product sold by Amazon related to this matter was not purchased directly from Nurse Assist nor distributed by Nurse Assist to Amazon.

27 10. Paragraph 10 of MMS's Second Cross-Claim appears to contain legal
28 conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed required,

	Case 3:25-cv-08058-DWL Document 34 Filed 06/13/25 Page 18 of 20
1	Nurse Assist denies the allegations.
2	WHEREFORE, Nurse Assist denies that MMS is owed contribution or indemnity
3	in this matter. Nurse Assist affirmatively alleges that:
4	1. If the product at issue in this matter is found to have been manufactured by
5	Nurse Assist, it was improperly sold or distributed by MMS without Nurse Assist's
6	knowledge;
7	2. The product at issue is a prescription product improperly sold or distributed
8	by MMS;
9	3. The product at issue was damaged or altered while in MMS's care and
10	possession;
11	4. If Nurse Assist is found liable, Nurse Assist is entitled to full indemnification
12	from MMS for any and all damages, attorneys' fees, and costs incurred as a result of this
13	lawsuit.
14	
15	DATED this 13 <sup>th</sup> day of June, 2025.
16	GORDON REES SCULLY
17	MANSUKHANI, LLP
18	
19	By: /s/Jill Ormond Jill J. Ormond
20	Shawn J. Alves Attorneys for Defendant Nurse Assist
21	
22	
23	
24	
25 26	
26 27	
27	
28 GORDON REES SCULLY MANSUKHANI, LLP Attorneys at Law	- 18 -

	Case 3:25-cv-08058-DWL Document 34 Filed 06/13/25 Page 19 of 20
1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	I hereby certify that on June 13, 2025, I electronically transmitted the foregoing
3	document to the Clerk's Office using the CM/ECF System for filing thereby transmitting
4	a notice of electronic filing to all counsel and parties of record.
5	Shannon L. Clark
6	Erin Jenkins
7	Gallagher & Kennedy, P.A. 2575 E. Camelback Road
8	Phoenix, AZ 85016 slc@gknet.com
9	erin.jenkins@gknet.com
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff
11	Sean K. McElenney Janessa E. Doyle
12	Bryan Cave Leighton Paisner LLP
13	Two North Central Avenue, Ste. 2100 Phoenix, AZ 85004
14	sean.mcelenney@bclplaw.com
15	janessa.doyle@bclplaw.com
16	James P. Emanuel, Jr. Bryan Cave Leighton Paisner LLP
17	One Metropolitan Square
18	211 N. Broadway, Ste. 3600 St. Louis, MO 63102
19	james.emanuel@bclplaw.com
20	Attorneys for Defendant McKesson Medical-Surgical, Inc.
21	James E. Ledbetter
22	The Ledbetter Law Firm, P.L.C.
23	1003 North Main Street Cottonwood, AZ 86326
24	court@ledbetterlawaz.com
25	
26	
27	
28 Gordon Rees Scully	
MANSUKHANI, LLP Attorneys at Law	- 19 -

	Case 3:25-cv-08058-DWL Document 34 Filed 06/13/25 Page 20 of 20
1	Christopher S. Coleman
2	Rahgan N. Jensen Perkins Coie LLP
3	2525 E. Camelback Road, Ste. 500
4	Phoenix, AZ 85016 ccoleman@perkinscoie.com
5	rjensen@perkinscoie.com
6	Attorneys for Defendant Amazon.com Services, LLC
7	
8	
9	By: <u>/s/ L. Alabado</u>
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28 GORDON REES SCULLY	
GORDON REES SCULLY MANSUKHANI, LLP Attorneys at Law	- 20 -